

A CRITERION FOR POLYNOMIALS TO BE CONGRUENT TO THE PRODUCT OF LINEAR POLYNOMIALS (mod p)

Zhi-Hong Sun

Department of Mathematics, Huaiyin Teachers College, Huaian, Jiangsu 223001, P.R. China
e-mail: hyzhsun@public.hy.js.cn

(Submitted November 2004-Final Revision February 2005)

ABSTRACT

Let $\{u_n\}$ be defined by $u_{1-m} = \cdots = u_{-1} = 0, u_0 = 1$ and $u_n + a_1u_{n-1} + \cdots + a_mu_{n-m} = 0$ ($m \geq 2, n \geq 1$). In this paper we show that the congruence $x^m + a_1x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has m distinct solutions if and only if $u_{p-m} \equiv \cdots \equiv u_{p-2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $u_{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, where p is a prime such that $p > m$ and $p \nmid a_m$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [2] the author extended Lucas series to general linear recurring sequences by defining $\{u_n(a_1, \dots, a_m)\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{1-m} = \cdots = u_{-1} = 0, \quad u_0 = 1, \\ u_n + a_1u_{n-1} + \cdots + a_mu_{n-m} = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $m \geq 2$ and a_1, \dots, a_m are complex numbers.

Let \mathbb{Z} be the set of integers. In this paper we establish the following result.

Theorem 1: *Let $m \geq 2, m, a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{Z}, u_n = u_n(a_1, \dots, a_m)$, and let p be a prime such that $p > m$ and $p \nmid a_m$. Then the congruence $x^m + a_1x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has m distinct solutions if and only if*

$$u_{p-m} \equiv \cdots \equiv u_{p-2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}. \tag{2}$$

The famous Chebotarev density theorem implies that (see for example [4]) if the polynomial $x^m + a_1x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_m$ ($a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{Z}$) is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}(x)$, then the set S of primes p such that $x^m + a_1x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has m solutions has a positive density $d(S)$, that is,

$$d(S) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\{p : p \leq x, p \in S\}|}{|\{p : p \leq x, p \text{ is a prime}\}|} > 0.$$

Thus, by Theorem 1 we have

Corollary 1: *Let $m \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u_n = u_n(a_1, \dots, a_m)$. If $x^m + a_1x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_m$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}(x)$, then there are infinitely many prime p satisfying (2).*

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $f(x) = x^m + a_1x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_m$. If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has m distinct solutions b_1, \dots, b_m , then we have $f(x) \equiv (x - b_1) \cdots (x - b_m) \pmod{p}$ and $b_i \not\equiv b_j \pmod{p}$ for $i \neq j$ (see [1, Theorem 108]). Suppose $(x - b_1) \cdots (x - b_m) = x^m + A_1x^{m-1} + \cdots + A_m$. Then

$\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - A_i)x^{m-i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for any integer x . Since $p > m$, by [1, Theorem 107] or Lagrange's theorem we must have $a_i \equiv A_i \pmod{p}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. By the definition of $\{u_n\}$, it is evident that $u_n \equiv u_n(A_1, \dots, A_m) \pmod{p}$ for all $n \geq 1 - m$. Since $p \nmid a_m$ we see that $p \nmid b_1 \cdots b_m$. Hence, applying [2, Theorem 2.3] and Fermat's little theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+p-1} &\equiv u_{n+p-1}(A_1, \dots, A_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{b_i^{n+p-1+m-1}}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^m (b_i - b_j)} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{b_i^{n+m-1}}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^m (b_i - b_j)} \\ &= u_n(A_1, \dots, A_m) \equiv u_n \pmod{p} \quad (n \geq 1 - m). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $u_{1-m} = \dots = u_{-1} = 0$ and $u_0 = 1$. So (2) holds.

Conversely, suppose (2) is true. Let

$$a_0 = 1, \quad g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1-m} u_j x^{p-1-m-j} \quad \text{and} \quad f(x)g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} c_k x^k.$$

Then we see that

$$c_k = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m \\ 0 \leq j \leq p-1-m \\ i+j=p-1-k}} a_i u_j = \sum_{\max\{0, m-k\} \leq i \leq \min\{m, p-1-k\}} a_i u_{p-1-k-i} \quad (0 \leq k \leq p-1),$$

where $\max\{a, b\}$ and $\min\{a, b\}$ denote the maximum and minimum elements in the set $\{a, b\}$ respectively. Clearly we have $c_{p-1} = a_0 u_0 = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= a_m u_{p-1-m} \equiv (a_0 u_{p-1} + a_1 u_{p-2} + \dots + a_m u_{p-1-m}) - a_0 u_{p-1} \\ &= -u_{p-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

For $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-2\}$ we claim that

$$c_k = \sum_{\max\{0, m-k\} \leq i \leq m} a_i u_{p-1-k-i}. \tag{3}$$

If $p-1-k \geq m$, then clearly (3) holds. If $1 \leq p-1-k < m$ and for $p-k \leq i \leq m$ we have $1-m \leq p-1-k-i \leq -1$ and so $u_{p-1-k-i} = 0$. Thus, $\sum_{i=p-k}^m a_i u_{p-1-k-i} = 0$ and hence, (3) is also true.

If $m \leq k \leq p-2$, from (1) and (3) we see that $c_k = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i u_{p-1-k-i} = 0$. If $1 \leq k \leq m-1$, by (1), (3) and the fact that $u_{p-m} \equiv \dots \equiv u_{p-2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_k &= \sum_{m-k \leq i \leq m} a_i u_{p-1-k-i} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} a_i u_{p-1-k-i} - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m-k-1} a_i u_{p-1-k-i} \\ &= - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m-k-1} a_i u_{p-1-k-i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $c_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, p-2$.

Now, putting the above together we obtain

$$f(x)g(x) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^{m-i} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1-m} u_j x^{p-1-m-j} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} c_k x^k \equiv x^{p-1} - 1 \pmod{p}. \quad (4)$$

Since $x^{p-1} - 1 \equiv (x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-p+1) \pmod{p}$ by Lagrange's theorem (see [1, Theorem 112]), we see that $f(x)$ is congruent to the product of distinct linear polynomials \pmod{p} . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. APPLICATION TO CUBIC CONGRUENCES

Theorem 2: Let $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $u_n = u_n(a_1, a_2, a_3)$, $a = (a_1^2 - 3a_2)^3$, $b = -2a_1^3 + 9a_1a_2 - 27a_3$, and let $p > 3$ be a prime such that $p \nmid aba_3(b^2 - 4a)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $x^3 + a_1x^2 + a_2x + a_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has three solutions,
- (ii) $u_{p-1+n} \equiv u_n \pmod{p}$ for all $n \geq -2$,
- (iii) $u_{p-3} \equiv u_{p-2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $u_{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$,
- (iv) $u_{p-2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$,
- (v) $U_{\frac{p-(\frac{p}{3})}{3}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$,
- (vi) $s_{p+1} \equiv a_1^2 - 2a_2 \pmod{p}$,
- (vii) $V_{\frac{p-(\frac{p}{3})}{3}} \equiv 2(a_1^2 - 3a_2)^{\frac{1-(\frac{p}{3})}{2}} \pmod{p}$,
- (viii) if $(\frac{a}{p}) = 1$, then $p \mid U_{\frac{p-(\frac{p}{3})}{6}}$; if $(\frac{a}{p}) = -1$, then $p \mid V_{\frac{p-(\frac{p}{3})}{6}}$,

where $(\frac{n}{m})$ is the Legendre symbol, and $\{U_n\}$, $\{V_n\}$, $\{s_n\}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} U_0 &= 0, \quad U_1 = 1, \quad U_{n+1} = bU_n - aU_{n-1} \quad (n \geq 1), \\ V_0 &= 2, \quad V_1 = b, \quad V_{n+1} = bV_n - aV_{n-1} \quad (n \geq 1), \\ s_0 &= 3, \quad s_1 = -a_1, \quad s_2 = a_1^2 - 2a_2, \quad s_{n+3} + a_1s_{n+2} + a_2s_{n+1} + a_3s_n = 0 \quad (n \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: From the definition of u_n we see that (ii) is equivalent to (iii). As $p \nmid b^2 - 4a$ and $-\frac{b^2-4a}{27}$ is the discriminant of $x^3 + a_1x^2 + a_2x + a_3$, the congruence $x^3 + a_1x^2 + a_2x + a_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no multiple solutions. By Theorem 1, (i) and (iii) are equivalent. According to [3, Theorem 4.3], (i) is equivalent to (iv). By [3, Theorem 3.2(i)], (iv) and (v) are equivalent. From [3, Theorem 4.1] we know that (i) is equivalent to (vi). By [3, Lemma 3.1], (vi) is equivalent to (vii). It is well known that (see [5])

$$U_{2n} = U_n V_n, \quad V_{2n} = V_n^2 - 2a^n \quad \text{and} \quad V_n^2 - (b^2 - 4a)U_n^2 = 4a^n.$$

Thus, we have

$$V_{\frac{p-(\frac{p}{3})}{3}} = V_{\frac{p-(\frac{p}{3})}{6}}^2 - 2a^{\frac{p-(\frac{p}{3})}{6}} \equiv V_{\frac{p-(\frac{p}{3})}{6}}^2 - 2 \left(\frac{a_1^2 - 3a_2}{p} \right) (a_1^2 - 3a_2)^{\frac{1-(\frac{p}{3})}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

Therefore, (vii) is equivalent to

$$V_{\frac{p-(\frac{p}{3})}{6}}^2 \equiv 2 \left(1 + \left(\frac{a_1^2 - 3a_2}{p} \right) \right) (a_1^2 - 3a_2)^{\frac{1-(\frac{p}{3})}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

As $V_n^2 - (b^2 - 4a)U_n^2 = 4a^n$, the above congruence is equivalent to

$$(b^2 - 4a)U_{\frac{p-(\frac{p}{3})}{6}}^2 \equiv 2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{a_1^2 - 3a_2}{p} \right) \right) (a_1^2 - 3a_2)^{\frac{1-(\frac{p}{3})}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

Thus, (vii) and (viii) are equivalent and the theorem is proved.

Remark 1: Let $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $x^3 + a_1x^2 + a_2x + a_3$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. From Theorem 2 and Chebotarev density theorem we know that there are infinitely many primes p satisfying (i)-(viii) in Theorem 2.

Let p be a prime such that $p > 3$ and $p \nmid a_1^2 - 3a_2$. From [3, Theorem 4.1 and 4.2] and [3, Lemma 3.1] we know that

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 + a_1x^2 + a_2x + a_3 &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{has no solutions} \\ \iff s_{p+1} &\equiv a_2 \pmod{p} \iff V_{\frac{p-(\frac{p}{3})}{3}} \equiv -(a_1^2 - 3a_2)^{\frac{1-(\frac{p}{3})}{2}} \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 + a_1x^2 + a_2x + a_3 &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{has one and only one solution} \\ \iff s_{p+1} &\not\equiv a_2, a_1^2 - 2a_2 \pmod{p} \\ \iff V_{\frac{p-(\frac{p}{3})}{3}} &\not\equiv -(a_1^2 - 3a_2)^{\frac{1-(\frac{p}{3})}{2}}, 2(a_1^2 - 3a_2)^{\frac{1-(\frac{p}{3})}{2}} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

By Chebotarev density theorem, there are also infinitely many primes satisfying one of the above conditions in terms of $\{s_n\}$ or $\{V_n\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright. *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*. (5th edition), Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1981, 84-86.
- [2] Z.H. Sun. "Linear Recursive Sequences and Powers of Matrices." *Fibonacci Quart.* **39** (2001): 339-351.
- [3] Z.H. Sun. "Cubic and Quartic Congruences Modulo a Prime." *J. Number Theory* **102** (2003): 41-89.
- [4] D. Terr. *Chebotarev Density Theorem*.
<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/ChebotarevDensityTheorem.html>.
- [5] H.C. Williams. *Édouard Lucas and Primality Testing*. Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts (Vol. 22), Wiley, New York, 1998, p. 74.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11A07, 11B39, 11B50, 11T06

