

## SOME SUMS CONTAINING THE GREATEST INTEGER FUNCTION

L. CARLITZ\*

Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27706

1. Let  $[x]$  denote the greatest integer less than or equal to the real number  $x$ . It is well known (see for example [4, p. 97]) that

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right] = \frac{1}{2}(h-1)(k-1),$$

where  $(h, k) = 1$ . Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right] &= \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{h(k-r)}{k} \right] = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left[ h - \frac{hr}{k} \right] = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left( h - 1 - \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right] \right) \\ &= (h-1)(k-1) - \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and (1.1) follows immediately.

For a later purpose we shall require the following extension of (1.1):

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \left[ x + \frac{hr}{k} \right] = [kx] + \frac{1}{2}(h-1)(k-1).$$

For  $h = 1$ , (1.2) reduces to the familiar result [4, p. 97]

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \left[ x + \frac{r}{k} \right] = [kx].$$

To prove (1.2), put

$$(1.4) \quad \phi(x) = x - [x],$$

the fractional part of  $x$ . Then clearly

$$(1.5) \quad \phi(x+1) = \phi(x)$$

and, by (1.3),

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \phi\left(x + \frac{r}{k}\right) = kx + \frac{1}{2}(k-1) - [kx] = \phi(kx) + \frac{1}{2}(k-1).$$

It follows, using (1.5), that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \left[ x + \frac{hr}{k} \right] &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \left( x + \frac{hr}{k} - \phi\left(x + \frac{hr}{k}\right) \right) = kx + \frac{1}{2}h(k-1) - \phi(kx) - \frac{1}{2}(k-1) \\ &= [kx] + \frac{1}{2}(h-1)(k-1). \end{aligned}$$

---

\*Supported in part by NSF Grant GP-37924.

The writer has recently proved [2] the following result:

$$(1.7) \quad 6k \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right]^2 + 6h \sum_{s=1}^{h-1} \left[ \frac{ks}{h} \right]^2 = (h-1)(2h-1)(k-1)(2k-1),$$

where  $(h, k) = 1$ . This formula can be proved rapidly in the following way.

Put

$$S_2(h, k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right]^2 = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right]^2.$$

We have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \phi^2 \left( \frac{hr}{k} \right) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{hr}{k} - \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right] \right)^2 = \frac{1}{6k} h^2 (k-1)(2k-1) - \frac{2h}{k} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} r \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right] + S_2(h, k).$$

Since, by (1.5),

$$\sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \phi^2 \left( \frac{hr}{k} \right) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \phi^2 \left( \frac{r}{k} \right) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{r}{k} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{6k} (k-1)(2k-1),$$

it follows that

$$6kS_2(h, k) = 12h \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} r \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right] - (h^2 - 1)(k-1)(2k-1).$$

It is known [3, p. 9] that

$$(1.8) \quad 12h \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} r \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right] + 12k \sum_{s=1}^{h-1} s \left[ \frac{ks}{h} \right] = (h-1)(k-1)(8hk - h - k - 1).$$

Thus

$$6kS_2(h, k) + 6hS_2(k, h) = (h-1)(k-1)(8hk - h - k - 1) - (h^2 - 1)(k-1)(2k-1) - (k^2 - 1)(h-1)(2h-1)$$

and (1.7) follows at once.

Incidentally, (1.8) is equivalent to the reciprocity theorem for Dedekind sums [3, p. 4]:

$$(1.9) \quad s(h, k) + s(k, h) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{h}{k} + \frac{1}{hk} + \frac{k}{h} \right),$$

where

$$(1.10) \quad s(h, k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{r}{k} \left( \frac{r}{k} - \left[ \frac{r}{k} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

2. Define

$$(2.1) \quad S_n(h, k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right]^n \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Thus  $S_1(h, k)$  is evaluated by (1.1) while  $S_2(h, k)$  satisfies (1.7). It is not difficult to show that a similar result holds for  $S_3(h, k)$ . We shall prove that

$$(2.2) \quad 4k(k-1)S_3(h, k) + 4h(h-1)S_3(k, h) = (h-1)^2(k-1)^2(2hk - h - k + 1),$$

where of course  $(h, k) = 1$ .

To prove (2.2), take

$$\begin{aligned} S_3(h,k) &= \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{h(k-r)}{k} \right]^3 = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left[ h - \frac{hr}{k} \right]^3 = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left( h - 1 - \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right] \right)^3 \\ &= (h-1)^3(k-1) - 3(h-1)^2 S_1(h,k) + 3(h-1) S_2(h,k) - S_3(h,k), \end{aligned}$$

so that, by (1.1),

$$(2.3) \quad 2S_3(h,k) = 3(h-1)S_2(h,k) - \frac{1}{2}(h-1)^3(k-1).$$

Thus

$$4k(k-1)S_3(h,k) = 6(h-1)(k-1)kS_2(h,k) - (h-1)^3(k-1)^2k,$$

so that, by (1.7),

$$\begin{aligned} 4k(k-1)S_3(h,k) + 4h(h-1)S_3(k,h) &= (h-1)(k-1)\{6kS_2(h,k) + 6hS_2(k,h)\} - (h-1)^2(k-1)^2(2hk-h-k) \\ &= (h-1)^2(2h-1)(k-1)^2(2k-1) - (h-1)^2(k-1)^2(2hk-h-k) \\ &= (h-1)^2(k-1)^2\{(2h-1)(2k-1) - (2hk-h-k)\} \\ &= (h-1)^2(k-1)^2(2hk-h-k+1). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.2).

If we apply the same method to  $S_4(h,k)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_4(h,k) &= \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left( h - 1 - \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right] \right)^4 \\ &= (h-1)^4(k-1) - 4(h-1)^3 S_1(h,k) + 6(h-1)^2 S_2(h,k) - 4(h-1) S_3(h,k) + S_4(h,k), \end{aligned}$$

which reduces to

$$4S_3(h,k) - 6(h-1)S_2(h,k) + (h-1)^3(k-1) = 0$$

in agreement with (2.3).

Generally, for arbitrary positive  $n$ ,

$$S_n(k,k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left( h - 1 - \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right] \right)^n = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} (h-1)^{n-j} S_j(h,k).$$

In particular, we have

$$S_{2n+1}(h,k) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n+1} (-1)^j \binom{2n+1}{j} (h-1)^{2n-j+1} S_j(h,k),$$

so that

$$(2.4) \quad 2S_{2n+1}(h,k) = -\frac{1}{2}(2n-1)(h-1)^{2n+1}(k-1) + \sum_{j=2}^{2n} (-1)^j \binom{2n+1}{j} (h-1)^{2n-j+1} S_j(h,k).$$

Similarly,

$$S_{2n}(h,k) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^j \binom{2n}{j} (h-1)^{2n-j} S_j(h,k),$$

which reduces to

$$(2.5) \quad -(n-1)(h-1)^{2n-1}(k-1) + \sum_{j=2}^{2n-1} (-1)^j \binom{2n}{j} (h-1)^{2n-j-1} S_j(h,k) = 0.$$

For example, for  $n=2$ , (2.4) becomes

$$2S_5(h,k) = -\frac{3}{2}(h-1)^5(k-1) + 10(h-1)^3 S_2(h,k) - 10(h-1)^2 S_3(h,k) + 5S_4(h,k),$$

while, for  $n=3$ , (2.5) becomes

$$-2(h-1)^5(k-1) + 15(h-1)^3S_2(h,k) - 20(h-1)^2S_3(h,k) \\ + 15(h-1)S_4(h,k) - 6S_5(h,k) = 0.$$

Combining these two formulas we get

$$\frac{1}{2}(h-1)^3(k-1) - 3(h-1)S_2(h,k) + 2S_3(h,k) = 0,$$

which is the same as (2.3).

It seems plausible that  $S_n(h,k)$  satisfies a relation similar to (1.7) and (2.2) for every  $n \geq 2$ . However we are unable to prove this.

3. Consider the sum

$$\sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \phi^3\left(\frac{hr}{k}\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{hr}{k} - \left[\frac{hr}{k}\right]\right)^3 = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{hr}{k}\right)^3 - 3 \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{hr}{k}\right)^2 \left[\frac{hr}{k}\right] + 3 \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{hr}{k} \left[\frac{hr}{k}\right]^2 \\ - \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left[\frac{hr}{k}\right]^3.$$

Now put

$$(3.1) \quad S_{i,j}(h,k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} r^i \left[\frac{hr}{k}\right]^j, \quad S_j = S_{0,j}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \phi^3\left(\frac{hr}{k}\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \phi^3\left(\frac{r}{k}\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{r}{k}\right)^3 = \frac{1}{4k}(k-1)^2,$$

we get

$$(3.2) \quad S_3(h,k) - \frac{3h}{k}S_{1,2}(h,k) + \frac{3h^2}{k^2}S_{2,1}(h,k) - \frac{1}{4k}(k-1)^2(h^3-1) = 0.$$

In the next place,

$$S_{2,1}(h,k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (k-r)^2 \left(h-1 - \left[\frac{hr}{k}\right]\right) = \frac{1}{6}k(k-1)(2k-1)(h-1) - \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (k-r)^2 \left[\frac{hr}{k}\right] \\ = \frac{1}{6}k(k-1)(2k-1)(h-1) - \frac{1}{2}(h-1)(k-1)k^2 + 2kS_{1,1}(h,k) - S_{2,1}(h,k),$$

so that

$$(3.3) \quad S_{2,1}(h,k) - kS_{1,1}(h,k) + \frac{1}{12}k(k-1)(2k-1)(h-1) - \frac{1}{4}(h-1)(k-1)k^2.$$

Similarly

$$S_{1,2}(h,k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (k-r) \left(h-1 - \left[\frac{hr}{k}\right]\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)(h-1)^2 - 2(h-1) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (k-r) \left[\frac{hr}{k}\right] \\ + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (k-r) \left[\frac{hr}{k}\right]^2 = \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)(h-1)^2 - k(k-1)(h-1)^2 \\ + 2(h-1)S_{1,1}(h,k) + kS_2(h,k) - S_{1,2}(h,k),$$

so that

$$(3.4) \quad S_{1,2}(h,k) = \frac{1}{2}kS_2(h,k) + (h-1)S_{1,1}(h,k) - \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)(h-1)^2.$$

By (1.8)

$$12hS_{1,1}(h,k) + 12kS_{1,1}(k,h) = (h-1)(k-1)(8hk - h - k - 1).$$

Thus (3.3) yields

$$12h^2S_{2,1}(h,k) + 12k^2S_{2,1}(k,h) = hk(h-1)(k-1)(8hk-h-k-1) - 6h^2k^2(h-1)(k-1) \\ + k(k-1)(2k-1)h^2(h-1) + h(h-1)(2h-1)k^2(k-1).$$

Simplifying, we get

$$(3.5) \quad 12h^2S_{2,1}(h,k) + 12k^2S_{2,1}(k,h) = hk(h-1)(k-1)(6hk-2h-2k-1).$$

However, comparing (3.4) with (1.7) and (1.8), it does not seem likely that  $S_{1,2}(h,k)$  satisfies any relation similar to (3.5).

4. We consider next the double sum

$$(4.1) \quad R(h_1, h_2; k) = \sum_{r,s=0}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{h_1r + h_2s}{k} \right]^2 \quad ((h_1h_2, k) = 1).$$

We have

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{r,s=0}^{k-1} \phi^2 \left( \frac{h_1r + h_2s}{k} \right) = \sum_{r,s=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{h_1r + h_2s}{k} - \left[ \frac{h_1r + h_2s}{k} \right] \right)^2 = \frac{1}{k^2} R_1 - \frac{2}{k} R_2 + R_3,$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} R_1 &= \sum_{r,s=0}^{k-1} (h_1r + h_2s)^2 \\ R_2 &= \sum_{r,s=0}^{k-1} (h_1r + h_2s) \left[ \frac{h_1r + h_2s}{k} \right] \\ R_3 &= R(h_1, h_2, k). \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Clearly

$$(4.3) \quad R_1 = \frac{1}{6} h_1^2 k^2 (k-1)(2k-1) + \frac{1}{2} h_1 h_2 k^2 (k-1)^2 + \frac{1}{6} h_2^2 k^2 (k-1)(2k-1).$$

In the next place, by (1.2),

$$\sum_{r,s=0}^{k-1} r \left[ \frac{h_1r + h_2s}{k} \right] = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} r \left\{ h_1r + \frac{1}{2} (h_2-1)(k-1) \right\} = \frac{1}{6} h_1 k (k-1)(2k-1) + \frac{1}{4} (h_2-1) k (k-1)^2.$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{r,s=0}^{k-1} s \left[ \frac{h_1r + h_2s}{k} \right] = \frac{1}{6} h_2 k (k-1)(2k-1) + \frac{1}{4} (h_1-1) k (k-1)^2,$$

so that

$$(4.4) \quad R_2 = \frac{1}{6} h_1^2 k (k-1)(2k-1) + \frac{1}{4} (2h_1h_2 - h_1 - h_2) k (k-1)^2 + \frac{1}{6} h_2^2 k (k-1)(2k-1).$$

On the other hand, in view of (1.5),

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{r,s=0}^{k-1} \phi^2 \left( \frac{h_1r + h_2s}{k} \right) = \sum_{r,s=0}^{k-1} \phi^2 \left( \frac{r+s}{k} \right) = k \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \phi^2 \left( \frac{t}{k} \right) = k \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{t}{k} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{6} (k-1)(2k-1).$$

Hence, by (4.2), (4.3), (4.4), (4.5), we have

$$\frac{1}{6} (k-1)(2k-1) = \frac{1}{6} h_1^2 k (k-1)(2k-1) + \frac{1}{2} h_1 h_2 k (k-1)^2 + \frac{1}{6} h_2^2 k (k-1)(2k-1) \\ - \frac{1}{3} h_1^2 k (k-1)(2k-1) - \frac{1}{2} (2h_1h_2 - h_1 - h_2) k (k-1)^2 - \frac{1}{3} h_2^2 k (k-1)(2k-1) + R(h_1, h_2; k).$$

Simplifying, we get

$$(4.6) \quad R(h_1, h_2; k) = \frac{1}{6} (h_1^2 + h_2^2)(k-1)(2k-1) + \frac{1}{2} (h_1 h_2 - h_1 - h_2)(k-1)^2 + \frac{1}{6} (k-1)(2k-1).$$

Next, put

$$(4.7) \quad R(h_1, h_2, h_3; k) = \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{h_1 r + h_2 s + h_3 t}{k} \right]^2 \quad ((h_1 h_2 h_3, k) = 1).$$

Then, exactly as above,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{k-1} \phi^2 \left[ \frac{h_1 r + h_2 s + h_3 t}{k} \right] &= \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{h_1 r + h_2 s + h_3 t}{k} - \left[ \frac{h_1 r + h_2 s + h_3 t}{k} \right] \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2} R_1 - \frac{2}{k} R_2 + R_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{k-1} (h_1 r + h_2 s + h_3 t)^2, & R_2 &= \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{k-1} (h_1 r + h_2 s + h_3 t) \left[ \frac{h_1 r + h_2 s + h_3 t}{k} \right], \\ R_3 &= R(h_1, h_2, h_3; k). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$(4.8) \quad R_1 = \frac{1}{6} k^3 (k-1)(2k-1) \sum h_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} k^3 (k-1)^2 \sum h_1 h_2,$$

where the sums on the right denote symmetric functions.

By (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{k-1} r \left[ \frac{h_1 r + h_2 s + h_3 t}{k} \right] &= \sum_{r,s=0}^{k-1} r \left\{ h_1 r + h_2 s + \frac{1}{2} (h_3 - 1)(k-1) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{6} h_1 k^2 (k-1)(2k-1) - \frac{1}{4} (h_2 + h_3 - 1) k^2 (k-1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(4.9) \quad R_2 = \frac{1}{6} k^2 (k-1)(2k-1) \sum h_i^2 + \frac{1}{4} (2 \sum h_1 h_2 - \sum h_1) k^2 (k-1)^2.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{k-1} \phi^2 \left( \frac{h_1 r + h_2 s + h_3 t}{k} \right) &= \frac{1}{6} k(k-1)(2k-1) \sum h_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} k(k-1)^2 \sum h_1 h_2 \\ &\quad - 2 \left\{ \frac{1}{6} k(k-1)(2k-1) \sum h_i^2 + \frac{1}{4} k(k-1)^2 (2 \sum h_1 h_2 - \sum h_1) \right\} + R(h_1, h_2, h_3; k) \\ (4.10) \quad &= -\frac{1}{6} k(k-1)(2k-1) \sum h_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} k(k-1)^2 \sum h_1 h_2 + \frac{1}{2} k(k-1)^2 \sum h_1 \\ &\quad + R(h_1, h_2, h_3; k). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\sum_{r,s,t=0}^{k-1} \phi^2 \left( \frac{h_1 r + h_2 s + h_3 t}{k} \right) = \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{k-1} \phi^2 \left( \frac{r+s+t}{k} \right) = k^2 \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \phi^2 \left( \frac{r}{k} \right) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} r^2 = \frac{1}{6} k(k-1)(2k-1).$$

Comparison with (4.10) gives

$$(4.11) \quad R(h_1, h_2, h_3; k) = \frac{1}{6} k(k-1)(2k-1) \sum h_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} k(k-1)^2 \sum h_1 h_2 \\ - \frac{1}{2} k(k-1)^2 \sum h_1 = \frac{1}{6} k(k-1)(2k-1).$$

It will now be clear how to evaluate

$$(4.12) \quad R(h_1, \dots, h_n; k) = \sum_{r_i=0}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{h_1 r_1 + \dots + h_n r_n}{k} \right]^2 \quad ((h_1 h_2 \dots h_n, k) = 1)$$

for any  $n$ .

The writer has proved [1] that the sum

$$(4.13) \quad S(b, c; a) = \sum_{r, s=1}^{a-1} rs \left[ \frac{br + cs}{a} \right]$$

satisfies

$$(4.14) \quad S(1, b; a) = 4a^4 b - 10a^3 b + a^4 + 8a^2 b - 2ab - a^2,$$

where  $(a, b) = 1$ . The proof of (4.14) is rather complicated.

5. Summary. For the convenience of the reader, we restate the main results proved above.

$$(5.1) \quad 6k \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right]^2 + 6h \sum_{s=1}^{h-1} \left[ \frac{ks}{h} \right]^2 = (h-1)(2h-1)(k-1)(2k-1).$$

$$(5.2) \quad 4k(k-1) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right]^3 + 4h(h-1) \sum_{s=1}^{h-1} \left[ \frac{ks}{h} \right]^3 \\ = (h-1)^2 (k-1)^2 (2hk - h - k + 1).$$

$$(5.3) \quad 12h^2 \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} r^2 \left[ \frac{hr}{k} \right] + 12k^2 \sum_{s=1}^{h-1} s^2 \left[ \frac{ks}{h} \right] = hk(h-1)(k-1)(6hk - 2h - 2k + 1).$$

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{r, s=0}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{h_1 r + h_2 s}{k} \right]^2 = \frac{1}{6} (h_1^2 + h_2^2)(k-1)(2k-1) + \frac{1}{2} (h_1 h_2 - h_1 - h_2)(k-1)^2 + \frac{1}{6} (k-1)(2k-1).$$

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{r, s, t=0}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{h_1 r + h_2 s + h_3 t}{k} \right]^2 = \frac{1}{6} k(k-1)(2k-1) \left( \sum h_i^2 + 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} k(k-1)^2 \left( \sum h_i h_j - \sum h_i \right).$$

In (5.1), (5.2), (5.3) it is assumed that  $(h, k) = 1$ ; in (5.4),  $(h_1 h_2, k) = 1$ ; in (5.5),  $(h_1 h_2 h_3, k) = 1$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Carlitz, "Inversions and Generalized Dedekind Sums," *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, Vol. 42 (1974), pp. 41-52.
2. L. Carlitz, "Some Sums Containing the Greatest Integer Function," *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, Vol. 20 (1975), pp. 521-530.
3. Hans Rademacher and Emil Grosswald, "Dedekind Sums," *The Mathematical Association of America*, 1972.
4. J. V. Uspensky and M. A. Heaslet, *Elementary Number Theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1939.

★★★★★