

and

$$z(N) < C_\beta \beta^N$$

giving

$$N \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z(N)}{Z(N)} = 0.$$

*Corollary.* On similar lines

$$N \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Z(N)}{C_N} = N \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z(N)}{C_N} = 0.$$

NOTE. Given a partition of  $N$  in terms of 1 and 2, if we rearrange the summands so as to get the maximum number of max we get a  $Z_2$  composition. If we rearrange to get the maximum number of min we get a  $Z_1$  composition. Roughly a Zeckendorf composition is either a maximax or a maximin composition.

#### REFERENCES

1. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., and Krishnaswami Alladi, "Compositions and Recurrence Relations," *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, Vol. 13, No. 3 (Oct. 1975), pp. 233-235.
2. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., and Krishnaswami Alladi, "Limiting Ratios of Convolved Recursive Sequences," *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, Vol. 15, No. 3 (Oct. 1977), pp. 211-214.

\*\*\*\*\*

### A TOPOLOGICAL PROOF OF A WELL KNOWN FACT ABOUT FIBONACCI NUMBERS

ETHAN D. BOLKER

Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania

*Theorem.* Let  $p$  be a prime. Then there is a sequence  $\{m_j\}$  of positive integers such that

$$F_{m_j} \equiv 1 - F_{m_{j-1}} \equiv 1 - F_{m_{j+1}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^j}.$$

The proof depends on the following lemma.

*Lemma.* Let  $G$  be a topological group whose completion (in the natural uniformity) is compact. Let  $g \in G$ . Then the sequence  $g, g^2, g^3, \dots$  has a subsequence which converges to 1.

*Proof.* The sequence of powers of  $g$  has an accumulation point  $h = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} g^{n_j}$  in the compact completion  $\bar{G}$  of  $G$ . Let  $m_j = n_{j+1} - n_j$ . Then  $g^{m_j} \rightarrow 1$  in  $\bar{G}$  and hence in  $G$ .

To prove the theorem we shall apply the lemma to

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in the group  $G$  of  $2 \times 2$  integer matrices of determinant  $\pm 1$  topologized  $p$ -adically. That is, for every integer  $n$  write  $n = p^k m$ ,  $(p, m) = 1$  and set  $\|n\|_p = p^{-k}$ . Then for  $A, B \in G$  let

$$d(A, B) = \max \{ \|A_{ij} - B_{ij}\|_p : i, j = 1, 2 \}$$

$G$  equipped with the metric  $d$  satisfies the hypotheses of the lemma.

It is easy to check inductively that

$$g^m = \begin{pmatrix} F_{m+1} & F_m \\ F_m & F_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

[Continued on p. 280.]