To prove the stronger result that if

 $\{v_m\} \equiv \{w_n\}$ for any n, m, then $\{v_m\} \equiv \{w_n\}$ for all n, m,

it would be necessary to replace "small" with "large" in the enunciation of Theorem 3. This would require S to be a prime ideal which could be achieved by embedding S in a maximal ideal $M\alpha\beta$ which could be proved prime. However, this would then require restrictions on p' and q' as it would be easy to show that $q'v_{N-1} \in S$ but it would not automatically follow that $v_{N-1} \in S$.

Another problem that might be worth investigating is to look for commutators for relations like

$$w_{n+1}^p - w_n^p - w_{n-1}^p$$
, where p is a prime.

These could be useful in Lie algebras.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. J. DeCarli. "A Generalized Fibonacci Sequence over an Arbitrary Ring." The Fibonacci Quarterly 8, No. 2 (1970):182-184.
- 2. A. F. Horadam. "Basic Properties of a Certain Generalized Sequence of Numbers." The Fibonacci Quarterly 3, No. 3 (1965):161-176. 3. O. Wyler. "On Second Order Recurrences." American Mathematical Monthly
- 72, No. 5 (1965):500-506.

A GENERALIZATION OF HILTON'S PARTITION OF HORADAM'S SEQUENCES

A. G. SHANNON

The New South Wales Institute of Technology, Sydney, Australia

1. INTRODUCTION

If P_{n1} , P_{n2} , ..., P_{pp} are distinct integers for positive r, let

$$\omega = \omega(P_{n1}, \ldots, P_{nn})$$

be the set of integer sequences

$$\left\{ W_{sn}^{(r)} \right\} = \left\{ W_{s0}^{(r)}, W_{s1}^{(r)}, W_{s2}^{(r)}, \ldots \right\}$$

which satisfy the recurrence relation of order r,

(1.1)
$$W_{s,n+r}^{(r)} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j+1} P_{rj} W_{s,n+r-j}^{(r)}, \quad (s = 1, 2, ..., r), \quad n \ge 1.$$

This is a generalization of of $\left\{ W_{sn}^{(2)} \right\}$ studied in detail by Horadam [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

Hilton [6] partitioned Horadam's sequence into a set F of generalized Fibonacci sequences and a set L of generalized Lucas sequences. We extend this to show that ω can be partitioned naturally into r sets of generalized sequences.

2. NOTATION

We define r sequences of order r, $\left\{V_{sn}^{(r)}\right\}$ (s = 1, 2, ..., r) by

(2.1)
$$V_{sn}^{(r)} = d^{1-s} \sum_{j=1}^{r} A_{sj}^{(r)} \alpha_{rj}^{n}, \quad n \ge 1,$$

where the α_{rj} are the distinct roots of

(2.2)
$$x^{r} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} (-1)^{k+1} P_{rk} x^{r-k}$$

and

 $d = \det D$ where D is the Vandermonde matrix

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_{r1} & \alpha_{r2} & \dots & \alpha_{rr} \\ \alpha_{r1}^{2} & \alpha_{r2}^{2} & \dots & \alpha_{rr}^{2} \\ \alpha_{r1}^{r-1} & \alpha_{r2}^{r-1} & \dots & \alpha_{rr}^{r-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and the $A_{sj}^{(r)}$ are suitable constants that depend on the initial values of the sequence:

$$\begin{cases} V_{sn}^{(r)} \} \in \omega(P_{r1}, P_{r2}, \dots, P_{rr}). \\ \\ \underline{Phoo6}: \quad V_{s,n+r}^{(r)} = d^{1-s} \sum_{j=1}^{r} A_{sj}^{(r)} \alpha_{rj}^{n+r} \\ \\ = d^{1-s} \sum_{j=1}^{r} A_{sj}^{(r)} \alpha_{rj}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{r} (-1)^{k+1} P_{rk} \alpha_{rj}^{r-k} \\ \\ \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{r} (-1)^{k+1} P_{rk} \left(d^{1-s} \sum_{j=1}^{r} A_{sj}^{(r)} \alpha_{rj}^{n+r-k} \right) \\ \\ \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{r} (-1)^{k+1} P_{rk} V_{s,n+r-k}^{(r)}, \text{ as required.} \end{cases}$$

3. THE PARTITION OF $\omega(P_{r1}, \ldots, P_{rr})$ It follows from (2.1) that we can represent $V_{pq}^{(p)}$ by

$$V_{pn}^{(r)} = d^{t-r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} B_{tj}^{(r)} \alpha_{rj}^{n}$$
 $(t = 1, 2, ..., r)$

so that

 $B_{1j}^{(r)} \equiv A_{rj}^{(r)}$,

and $V_{rn}^{(r)}$ can be put in the form of any of the $V_{sn}^{(r)}$. For example, when t = 3,

$$V_{rn}^{(r)} = d^{3-r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} B_{3j}^{(r)} \alpha_{rj}^{n}$$

has the form of

$$V_{r-2,n}^{(r)} = d^{3-r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} A_{r-2,j}^{(r)} \alpha_{rj}^{n}.$$

We shall now consider the derivation of one sequence from another, so that in what follows the results hold for any of the r sequences. Thus there are r such partitions.

We say that $W_{sn}^{\left(r
ight)}$ is in Fibonacci form when it is represented as in

(3.1)
$$W_{sn}^{(r)} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^{r} A_{rj} \alpha_{rj}^{n} \quad |d| \neq 1$$

and in Lucas form when it is represented as in

(3.2)
$$W_{sn}^{(r)} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} B_{rj} \alpha_{rj}^{n} \qquad |d| \neq 1$$

where the B_{rj} are different constants from the A_{rj} . This is analogous to Hilton. To continue the analogy, one can see from (2.1) that there are r such forms which correspond to the distinct values of s. When $W_{sn}^{(r)}$ is in Fibonacci form we may perform an operation (') to obtain a number

$$W_{sn}^{(r)'}$$

where

$$W_{sn}^{(r)'} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} B_{rj} \alpha_{rj}^{n}.$$

We say (like Hilton) that the sequence $\left\{W_{gn}^{(r)}\right\}$ is derived from the sequence $\left\{W_{gn}^{(r)}\right\}$. Throughout this paper we assume that |d| is not unity, because when d is unity the essential distinction between (3.1) and (3.2) breaks down. There would still be r partitions, provided the $A_{gj}^{(r)}$ of Equation (2.1) are distinct for all values of s, but the groups of sequences would have the basic Lucas form. Now

$$W_{sn}^{(r)'} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} A_{rj} \alpha_{rj}^{n} = d\left(\frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^{r} A_{rj} \alpha_{rj}^{n}\right) = dW_{sn}^{(r)},$$

and so $W_{sn}^{(r)''} = d^2 W_{sn}^{(r)}$, which corresponds to Hilton's Theorem 1.

It follows from (3.1) and Jarden [7] that

where

$$D_{\alpha} = d_{\omega}$$

$$\alpha = [A_{r1}, A_{r2}, \dots, A_{rr}]^{T}$$

$$w = \left[W_{s0}^{(r)}, W_{s1}^{(r)}, \dots, W_{s,r-1}^{(r)}\right]^{T}.$$

and

So in which

.

.

 $dD^{-1} \equiv [d_{\rho\kappa}]$

 $\underset{\sim}{a} = dD^{-1} \underset{\sim}{w}$

is the matrix with $d_{\,\rm \rho\kappa}$ in row ρ and column $\kappa,$ where

$$d_{\rho\kappa} = (-1)^{\rho + \kappa} \prod_{\substack{p \neq m, n \\ m > n}} (\alpha_{rm} - \alpha_{rn}) \sum_{m \neq p} \alpha_{rm_1} \alpha_{rm_2} \cdots \alpha_{rm_{r-\kappa}}.$$
For $r = 2$,

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad D^{-1} = \frac{1}{d} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{22} & -1 \\ -\alpha_{21} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
where $d = \alpha_{22} - \alpha_{21}.$
For $r = 3$,

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & \alpha_{33} \\ \alpha_{31}^2 & \alpha_{32}^2 & \alpha_{33}^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$D^{-1} = \frac{1}{d} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{33}\alpha_{32}(\alpha_{33} - \alpha_{32}), -(\alpha_{33} + \alpha_{32})(\alpha_{33} - \alpha_{32}), (\alpha_{33} - \alpha_{32}) \\ \text{etc.} \end{bmatrix}$$
where $d = (\alpha_{32} - \alpha_{31})(\alpha_{33} - \alpha_{31})(\alpha_{33} - \alpha_{32}).$
For $r = 4$,

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha_{41} \\ \alpha_{41}^2 \\ \alpha_{41}^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D^{-1} = \frac{1}{d} \begin{bmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} & d_{13} & d_{14} \\ etc. \end{bmatrix}$$
where $d = (\alpha_{42} - \alpha_{41})(\alpha_{43} - \alpha_{41})(\alpha_{43} - \alpha_{42})(\alpha_{44} - \alpha_{43}) \\ d_{11} = \alpha_{42}\alpha_{43}\alpha_{44}(\alpha_{43} - \alpha_{42})(\alpha_{44} - \alpha_{42})(\alpha_{44} - \alpha_{43}) \\ d_{12} = -(\alpha_{42}\alpha_{43} + \alpha_{43}\alpha_{44} + \alpha_{42}\alpha_{42})(\alpha_{44} - \alpha_{42})(\alpha_{44} - \alpha_{43}) \\ d_{13} = (\alpha_{42} + \alpha_{43} + \alpha_{44})(\alpha_{43} - \alpha_{42})(\alpha_{44} - \alpha_{42})(\alpha_{44} - \alpha_{43}) \\ d_{14} = -(\alpha_{43} - \alpha_{42})(\alpha_{44} - \alpha_{43}).$

From $\alpha = dD^{-1}\omega$, we have

$$A_{r\rho} = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{r} d_{\rho\kappa} W_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)} \quad \text{and} \quad W_{sn}^{(r)'} = \sum_{\rho=1}^{r} A_{r\rho} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n},$$

so

(3.3)
$$W_{sn}^{(r)'} = \sum_{\rho=1}^{r} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{r} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} W_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}$$

which is effectively a generalization of Equations (2) and (3) of Hilton. Suppose

$$\left\{X_{sn}^{(r)}\right\} \text{ and } \left\{Y_{sn}^{(r)}\right\} \in \omega(P_{r1}, \ldots, P_{rr})$$

and that

$$X_{sn}^{(r)'} = Y_{sn}^{(r)} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, ...).$$

Since

$$dx^{(r)} = y^{(r)},$$

(3.4)
$$Y_{sn}^{(r)} = \sum_{\rho=1}^{r} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{r} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} X_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}$$
, from (3.3)
and

 $d^2 X_{sn}^{(r)} = d Y_{sn}^{(r)}$

(3.5)
$$= \sum_{\rho=1}^{r} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{r} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} X_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}, \text{ from (3.4).}$$

(3.5) is a generalization of Theorem 2(i) of Hilton. The analogue of Theorem 2(ii) of Hilton can be stated as:

$$\begin{split} & \text{if } \left\{ W_{sn}^{(\mathbf{r})} \right\} \in \omega(P_{r1}, \ \dots, \ P_{rr}), \ d^{2} \left| \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} W_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}, \ n < r, \\ & \text{then } \left\{ W_{sn}^{(r)} \right\} = \left\{ X_{sn}^{(r)'} \right\} \text{ for some } \left\{ X_{sn}^{(r)} \right\} \in \omega(P_{r1}, \ \dots, \ P_{rr}). \\ \\ & \underline{Proof}: \text{ If } \left\{ X_{sn}^{(r)} \right\} \in \omega(P_{r1}, \ \dots, \ P_{rr}), \\ & \text{then } \qquad X_{sn}^{(r)'} = \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} X_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}, \text{ from } (3.3). \\ & \text{ If } \qquad X_{sn}^{(r)} = d^{-2} \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} W_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}, \ n < r, \\ & \text{then } \qquad X_{sn}^{(r)'} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} W_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}, \ n < r, \\ & \text{then } \qquad X_{sn}^{(r)} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} W_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}, \ n < r, \\ & \text{but } \qquad W_{sn}^{(r)} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} W_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}, \ n < r. \end{split}$$

So
$$dX_{sn}^{(r)} = W_{sn}^{(r)}$$
 for $n < r$,

from which the result follows.

4. THEOREMS

The basic linear relationships between $\left\{X_{sn}^{(r)}\right\}$ and $\left\{Y_{sn}^{(r)}\right\}$ are described in the following theorem.

Theorem A: The following are equivalent:

(4.1)
$$\left\{ X_{sn}^{(r)'} \right\} = \left\{ Y_{sn}^{(r)} \right\}$$

(4.2)
$$Y_{\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{m}}^{(\boldsymbol{r})} = \sum_{\rho=1}^{\boldsymbol{r}} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\boldsymbol{r}} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{\boldsymbol{r}\rho}^{\boldsymbol{m}} X_{\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{n}+\kappa-1}^{(\boldsymbol{r})}, \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{n} \geq 0,$$

(4.3)
$$X_{s,n+m}^{(r)} = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{\rho=1}^{r} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{r} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{m} Y_{s,n+\kappa-1}^{(r)}, \text{ for all } n \ge 0.$$

<u>**Proof:**</u> For each of (4.2) and (4.3) we need only require that the expression is true for r adjacent values of n.

$$(4.1) \Longrightarrow (4.2);$$

$$\begin{cases} \downarrow_{V}(r)' \downarrow_{-} \downarrow_{V}(r) \downarrow \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ X_{gn}^{(r)'} \right\} = \left\{ Y_{gn}^{(r)} \right\},$$

then

$$Y_{s,n}^{(r)} = \sum_{\rho=1}^{r} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{r} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} X_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}, \text{ from (3.3).}$$

Thus (4.2) is true for n = 0. Let $t \ge r$ and assume (4.2) is true for $0 \le n < t$.

$$\begin{split} Y_{s,t+m}^{(r)} &= \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j+1} P_{rj} Y_{s,t+m-j}^{(r)}, \text{ from } (1.1), \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{\rho=1}^{r} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{r} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{m} (-1)^{j+1} P_{rj} X_{s,t-j+\kappa-1}^{(r)} \\ &= \sum_{\rho=1}^{r} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{r} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j+1} P_{rj} X_{s,t-j+\kappa-1}^{(r)} \\ &= \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} X_{s,t+\kappa-1}^{(r)}, \text{ as required.} \end{split}$$

Similarly, (4.3) follows if we use (3.3) and induction.

$$(4.3) \Longrightarrow (4.1);$$

since

$$X_{sn}^{(r)} = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^n Y_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}, \text{ for } n < r,$$

it follows from the generalization of Hilton's Theorem 2(ii) that $\left\{\chi_{x}(r)'\right\} = \left\{\gamma_{x}(r)\right\}$.

$$\binom{n}{sn} \int \binom{n}{sn} \int \binom{n}{sn}$$
, it can be shown that (4.2) \Longrightarrow (4.1).

Similarly, it can be shown that (4.2) \implies (4.1). This completes the proof of Theorem A.

for at least one $n \ge 0$. Then, for $|d_i| \ne 1$,

$$\left\{ W_{sn}^{(r)} \right\} \in L,$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{if } d^2 \mid \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{p\rho}^n \omega_{s,\kappa-1}^{(p)}, \text{ for all } n, \ 0 \leq n < r; \\ \left\{ W_{sn}^{(p)} \right\} \in F, \end{array}$$

if $d^2 \not\mid \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^n \omega_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}$, for at least one $n, 0 \leq n < r$.

In view of Theorem A, if $\left\{ W_{sn}^{(r)} \right\}$ is a member of F (or L), then any "tail" of $\left\{ W_{sn}^{(r)} \right\}$ is also a member of F (or L), respectively. Note that this partition of $\left\{ W_{sn}^{(r)} \right\}$ is not unique, since in terms of (2.1) L corresponds to s = 1 and F corresponds to s = 2. We could proceed with similar partitions for $s = 3, \ldots, r$, but they do not tell us anything essentially new.

0,

Theorem B:
$$\left\{X_{sn}^{(r)}\right\} \in F$$
 iff $\left\{Y_{sn}^{(r)}\right\} \in L$.
Proof: (i) If $\left\{X_{sn}^{(r)}\right\} \in F$, suppose that
 $X_{sn}^{(r)} = d^{2m}x_{sn}^{(r)}$ for all $n \ge$

where $m \ge 0$ is an integer, and

 $\left\{ x_{sn}^{(r)} \right\} \in F \text{ and } d^2 \mid x_{sn}^{(r)} \text{ for at least one } n \ge 0.$ Clearly $d^2 \mid x_{s0}^{(r)}$, or $d^2 \mid x_{s1}^{(r)}$, ..., or $d^2 \mid x_{s,r-1}^{(r)}$. By Theorem A,

$$Y_{sn}^{(r)} = \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} X_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq n < r.$$

Let

Then

$$y_{sn}^{(r)} = \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} x_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}, \quad 0 \le n < r$$

 $Y_{sn}^{(r)} = d^{2m} y_{sn}^{(r)} \quad \text{for all } n \ge 0.$

Since $x_{sn}^{(\mathbf{r})} \in F$, $d^2 \not\mid \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^n x_{s,\kappa-1}^{(\mathbf{r})}$ for at least one $n, 0 \le n < r$. Therefore, $d^2 \not\mid y_{sn}^{(\mathbf{r})}$ for at least one $n, 0 \le n < r$. But it follows from Theorem A that for all $n, 0 \le n < r$,

$$d^{2}x_{sn}^{(r)} = \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} y_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}.$$

Therefore $\left\{y_{sn}^{(r)}\right\} \in L$, and so $\left\{y_{sn}^{(r)}\right\} \in L$.
(ii) If $\left\{Y_{sn}^{(r)}\right\} \in L$, suppose that
 $Y_{sn}^{(r)} = d^{2m} y_{sn}^{(r)}$ for all $n \ge 0$,

where $m \ge 0$ is an integer, and

 $\left\{y_{sn}^{(r)}\right\} \in L$ and $d^2 \not\mid y_{sn}^{(r)}$ for at least one $n \geq 0$. Clearly $d^2 \not| y_{s0}^{(r)}$, or $d^2 \not| y_{s1}^{(r)}$, ..., or $d^2 \not| y_{s,r-1}^{(r)}$. By Theorem A,

$$X_{sn}^{(r)} = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^n y_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)} \text{ for } 0 \leq n < r.$$

Let

$$X_{gn}^{(r)} = d^{2m} x_{gn}^{(r)} \text{ for all } n \ge 0.$$

Then

Then
$$\begin{aligned} x_{sn}^{(r)} &= \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^n y_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}, \ 0 \le n < r. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left\{ y_{sn}^{(r)} \right\} \in L, \ d^2 \left| \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^n y_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)} \text{ for all } n, \ 0 \le n < r. \end{aligned}$
So $x_{s0}^{(r)}, \ x_{s1}^{(r)}, \ \dots, \ x_{s,r-1}^{(r)} \text{ are integers and so } \left\{ x_{sn}^{(r)} \right\} \in \omega. \end{aligned}$ But

$$y_{sn}^{(r)} = \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^{n} x_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)} \text{ for all } n, \ 0 \le n < r,$$

and since $d^2 \not| y_{s0}^{(r)}$, or $d^2 \not| y_{s1}^{(r)}$, ..., or $d^2 \not| y_{s,r-1}^{(r)}$, it follows that

$$d^2 \not\mid \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\kappa} d_{\rho\kappa} \alpha_{r\rho}^n x_{s,\kappa-1}^{(r)}$$
 for al least one $n, 0 \leq n < r$.

Therefore $\left\{x_{sn}^{(r)}\right\} \in F$, and so $\left\{X_{sn}^{(r)}\right\} \in F$. This completes the proof of Theorem Β.

At this point, Hilton considered identities obtained from the binomial theorem. The corresponding application of the multinomial theorem to the roots α_{rj} of the auxiliary equation seems too complicated to pursue, though it is possible.

Another approach is to modify the method of Williams [10]: let

 $\varepsilon = \exp(2i\pi/r)$, where $i^2 = -1$,

and as before

$$d = \prod_{\substack{j,k=1\\j>k}}^{r} (\alpha_{rj} - \alpha_{rk}).$$

If we let

$$\alpha_{rj} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} W_{k,r+1}^{(r)} d^k \varepsilon^{-jk} \quad (j = 1, 2, ..., r),$$

then it is shown in Shannon [9] that

$$\alpha_{rj}^{m} = r^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} W_{k,n+r}^{(r)} d^{k} \varepsilon^{-jk} ,$$

which seems to be a more useful form than the corresponding multinomial expression.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. F. Horadam. "Basic Properties of a Certain Generalized Sequence of Numbers." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 3 (1965):161-176.
- A. F. Horadam. "Generating Functions for Powers of a Certain Generalized Sequence of Numbers." Duke Math. J. 32 (1965):437-446.
- 3. A. F. Horadam. "Generalizations of Two Theorems of K. Subba Rao." Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 58, Nos. 1 & 2 (1966):23-29.
- A. F. Horadam. "Special Properties of the Sequence W (a,b;p,q)." The Fibonacci Quarterly 5 (1967):424-434.
- 5. A. F. Horadam. "Tschebyscheff and Other Functions Associated with the Sequence $\{W_n(a,b;p,q)\}$." The Fibonacci Quarterly 7 (1969):14-22.
- 6. A. J.W. Hilton. "On the Partition of Horadam's Generalized Sequences into Generalized Fibonacci and Generalized Lucas Sequences." The Fibonacci Quarterly 12 (1974):339-345.
- Dov Jarden. Recurring Sequences. Jerusalem: Riveon Lematematika, 1966, p. 107.
- 8. Edouard Lucas. "Théorie des fonctions numériques périodiques." American J. Math. 1 (1878):184-240, 289-321.
- 9. A. G. Shannon. "A Generalization of the Hilton-Ferns Theorem on the Expansion of Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers." The Fibonacci Quarterly 12 (1974):237-240.
- 10. H. C. Williams. "On a Generalization of the Lucas Functions." Acta Arithmetica 20 (1972):33-51.
