

## ODD NONUNITARY PERFECT NUMBERS

Peter Hagis, Jr.

Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122

(Submitted December 1987)

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper lower-case letters will be used to denote natural numbers, with  $p$  and  $q$  always representing primes. As usual,  $(c, d)$  will symbolize the greatest common divisor of  $c$  and  $d$ . If  $cd = n$  and  $(c, d) = 1$ , then  $d$  is said to be a unitary divisor of  $n$  and we write  $d \parallel n$ .  $\sigma(n)$  and  $\sigma^*(n)$  denote, respectively, the sum of the divisors and unitary divisors of  $n$ . Both  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^*$  are multiplicative, and  $\sigma(p^e) = 1 + p + \dots + p^e$  while  $\sigma^*(p^e) = 1 + p^e$ .

In [1] Ligh & Wall have defined  $\bar{d}$  to be a nonunitary divisor of  $n$  if  $cd = n$  and  $(c, d) > 1$ . If  $\sigma^\#(n)$  denotes the sum of the nonunitary divisors of  $n$ , it is immediate that  $\sigma^\#(n) = \sigma(n) - \sigma^*(n)$ . It is easy to see that  $\sigma^\#$  is not multiplicative, and that  $\sigma^\#(n) = 0$  if and only if  $n$  is squarefree. Now,  $n$  has a unique representation of the form  $n = \bar{n} \cdot n^\#$  where  $(\bar{n}, n^\#) = 1$ ,  $\bar{n}$  is squarefree, and  $n^\#$  is powerful. (The value of  $\bar{n}$  is 1 if  $n$  is powerful,  $n^\# = 1$  if  $n$  is squarefree, and  $1 = 1 \cdot 1$ .) It follows easily that

$$\sigma^\#(n) = \sigma(\bar{n}) \cdot \sigma^\#(n^\#)$$

so that

$$(1) \quad \sigma^\#(n) = \prod_{p \parallel n} (1 + p) \left\{ \prod_{p^e \parallel n} (1 + p + \dots + p^e) - \prod_{p^e \parallel n} (1 + p^e) \right\}$$

where  $e > 1$ .

Ligh & Wall [1] say that  $n$  is a  $k$ -fold nonunitary perfect number if  $\sigma^\#(n) = kn$ . In particular, if  $\sigma^\#(n) = n$ , then  $n$  is said to be a nonunitary perfect number. The integers  $m$  and  $n$  are nonunitary amicable numbers if  $\sigma^\#(m) = n$  and  $\sigma^\#(n) = m$ . All known  $k$ -fold nonunitary perfect numbers and all known nonunitary amicable pairs are even. In the present paper we initiate the study of *odd* nonunitary perfect numbers. Nonunitary aliquot sequences will also be discussed.

### 2. Odd Nonunitary Perfect Numbers

We begin this section by proving the following

*Theorem 1:* The value of  $\sigma^\#(n)$  is odd if and only if  $n = 2^\alpha M^2$  where  $(M, 2) = 1$ ,  $M > 1$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

*Proof:* Suppose that  $\sigma^\#(n)$  is odd and  $n = 2^\alpha K$  where  $(K, 2) = 1$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Then  $K \geq 3$  since  $\sigma^\#(2^0) = \sigma^\#(2) = 0$  and  $\sigma^\#(2^\alpha)$  is even if  $\alpha \geq 2$ . Since  $2 \mid (1 + p^e)$  if  $p$  is odd, and since  $2 \mid (1 + p + \dots + p^e)$  if and only if  $e$  is odd, it follows easily from (1) [since  $\sigma^\#(n)$  is odd] that  $K = M^2$  and  $n = 2^\alpha M^2$ . Now suppose that  $n = 2^\alpha M^2$  where  $(M, 2) = 1$ ,  $M > 1$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Since  $(1 + p^e)$  is even and  $(1 + p + \dots + p^e)$  is odd if  $e$  is even and  $p$  is odd, it follows from (1) that  $\sigma^\#(n) = \sigma^\#(2^\alpha M^2)$  is odd for  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

The following corollaries are immediate consequences of Theorem 1.

*Corollary 1:* If  $n$  is an odd nonunitary perfect number (or an odd  $k$ -fold nonunitary perfect number where  $k$  is odd), then  $n = M^2$ .

*Corollary 2:* If  $m$  and  $n$  are nonunitary odd amicable numbers, then  $m = M^2$  and  $n = N^2$ .

*Corollary 3:* If  $m$  and  $n$  are nonunitary amicable numbers of opposite parity ( $2 \nmid m$  and  $2 \mid n$ ), then  $m = 2^\alpha M^2$  where  $(M, 2) = 1$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

Now suppose that  $n$  is an odd nonunitary perfect number. From Corollary 1,  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_t^{e_t}$  where  $2 \nmid e_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ . From (1), we have

$$(2) \quad n = \prod_{i=1}^t (1 + p_i + \dots + p_i^{e_i}) - \prod_{i=1}^t (1 + p_i^{e_i}),$$

and it follows that

$$(3) \quad 1 = \prod_{i=1}^t (1 + p_i^{-1} + \dots + p_i^{-e_i}) - \prod_{i=1}^t (1 + p_i^{-e_i}).$$

Therefore,

$$1 < \prod_{i=1}^t (1 + p_i^{-1} + p_i^{-2} + \dots) - \prod_{i=1}^t 1$$

or

$$(4) \quad \prod_{p|n} p/(p-1) > 2.$$

It is well known that (4) holds for (ordinary) odd perfect numbers. Let  $\omega(n)$  denote the number of distinct prime factors of  $n$ . From the table given by Norton in [2], we have

*Proposition 1:* Suppose that  $n$  is a nonunitary odd perfect number. Then  $\omega(n) \geq 3$ . If  $3 \nmid n$ , then  $\omega(n) \geq 7$  and

$$n \geq (5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23)^2 > 10^{15}.$$

If  $(15, n) = 1$ , then  $\omega(n) \geq 15$  and

$$n \geq (7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot \dots \cdot 59 \cdot 61)^2 > 10^{43}.$$

A computer search was made for all odd nonunitary perfect numbers less than  $10^{15}$ . None was found. Therefore, we have

*Proposition 2:* If  $n$  is an odd nonunitary perfect number, then  $n > 10^{15}$ .

If  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_t^{e_t}$  (where  $2 \nmid e_i$ ) is an odd nonunitary perfect number and  $1 \leq f_i \leq e_i$ , then it follows easily from (3) that

$$(5) \quad \prod_{i=1}^t (1 + p_i^{-1} + \dots + p_i^{-f_i}) - \prod_{i=1}^t (1 + p_i^{-f_i}) \leq 1.$$

In particular, if  $n$  is an odd nonunitary perfect number,

$$(6) \quad \prod_{p|n} (1 + p^{-1} + p^{-2}) - \prod_{p|n} (1 + p^{-2}) \leq 1.$$

*Lemma 1:* Suppose that  $N = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_r^{a_r} q_1^{b_1} \dots q_s^{b_s} = RS$  where  $(R, S) = 1$  and  $S \geq 1$ . If

$$(7) \quad \sigma^\#(p_1^{a_1} \dots p_r^{a_r}) / (p_1^{c_1} \dots p_r^{c_r}) \\ = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + p_i^{-1} + \dots + p_i^{-c_i}) - \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + p_i^{-e_i}) > 1$$

where  $2 \leq c_i \leq a_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , then  $N$  is not an odd nonunitary perfect number.

*Proof:* If  $W - V > 1$  and  $V > 0$ , it is easy to see that

$$W(1 + p^{-1} + \dots + p^{-b}) - V(1 + p^{-b}) > W - V > 1.$$

It follows from (7) that  $N$  cannot satisfy the inequality (5). Therefore,  $N$  is not an odd nonunitary perfect number.

Now suppose that  $n$  is an odd nonunitary perfect number and  $3|n$ . Then

$$n = 3^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_t^{e_t} \text{ where } 2|e_i.$$

Since  $1 + 3 + \dots + 3^{e_1} \equiv 1 + 3^{e_1} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and since  $1 + p^e \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$  if  $p > 3$  and  $2|e$ , it follows from (1) that

$$(8) \quad \sigma^\#(n) = n \equiv 0 \equiv \prod_{p^e||n} (1 + p + \dots + p^e) + (-1)^t \pmod{3} \text{ where } p > 3.$$

If  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $1 + p + \dots + p^e \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  if  $e$  is even; if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $1 + p + \dots + p^e \equiv 0, -1, 1 \pmod{3}$  according as  $e \equiv 2, 4, 6 \pmod{6}$ , respectively. The following lemma is an immediate consequence of (8) and the preceding remark.

*Lemma 2:* Suppose that  $n$  is an odd nonunitary perfect number such that  $3|n$  and  $\omega(n) = t$ . If  $p^e||n$  and  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $e \geq 4$ . [More precisely,  $e \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{6}$ .] If  $2|t$ , then  $n$  has an odd number of components  $p^e$  such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $e \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ . If  $2 \nmid t$ , then  $n$  has an even number of components  $p^e$  such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $e \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ .

Now assume that  $n$  is an odd nonunitary perfect number such that  $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7|n$ . From Lemma 2,  $7^4|n$ . Suppose that  $3^4|n$ . Then, since  $\sigma^\#(3^4 5^2 7^4) / 3^4 5^2 7^4 > 1$ , Lemma 1 yields a contradiction. Therefore,  $3^2||n$ . Since  $\sigma^\#(3^2 5^2 7^4 13^2) / 3^2 5^2 7^4 13^2 > 1$ , Lemma 1 shows that  $13 \nmid n$ ; and since  $1 + 3 + 3^2 = 13$  and  $1 + 5^2 = 2 \cdot 13$ , we conclude from (2) that  $5^2 \nmid n$  so that  $5^4|n$ .

If  $p > 7$ , let  $F(p) = \sigma^\#(3^2 5^4 7^4 p^2) / 3^2 5^4 7^4 p^2$ . It is easy to verify that  $F$  is a monotonic decreasing function of  $p$  and that  $F(271) > 1$ . [ $F(277) < 1$ .] We have proved

*Proposition 3:* If  $n$  is an odd nonunitary perfect number and if  $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7|n$ , then  $3^2||n$  and  $5^4 7^4|n$ . Also,  $p \nmid n$  if  $11 \leq p \leq 271$ .

*Theorem 2:* If  $n$  is an odd nonunitary perfect number, then  $\omega(n) \geq 4$ .

*Proof:* Assume that  $\omega(n) < 4$ . Then from Proposition 1,  $\omega(n) = 3$  and  $3|n$ . Since  $(3/2)(7/6)(11/10) < 2$  and  $x/(x-1)$  is monotonic decreasing for  $x > 1$ , it follows from (4) that  $5|n$ . Since  $(3/2)(5/4)(17/16) < 2$ ,  $p \nmid n$  if  $p \geq 17$ .

Assume that  $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7|n$ . From Lemma 2,  $3^2||n$  and it follows easily from (3) that  $1 < (13/9)(5/4)(7/6) - (10/9)$ . This is a contradiction.

Now suppose that  $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13|n$ . If  $3^2||n$ , then, from (3),

$$1 < (13/9)(5/4)(13/12) - (10/9).$$

If  $5^2 \parallel n$ , then

$$1 < (3/2)(31/25)(13/12) - (26/25).$$

In each case, we have a contradiction. Therefore,  $3^4 5^4 13^2 \mid n$ . But,

$$\sigma^\#(3^4 5^4 13^2) / 3^4 5^4 13^2 > 1$$

and, from Lemma 1,  $n$  is not a nonunitary perfect number.

Finally, assume that  $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \mid n$ . If  $3^2 \parallel n$ , then, from (3),

$$1 < (13/9)(5/4)(11/10) - (10/9)$$

and we have a contradiction. If  $3^4 \parallel n$ , then, since  $1 + 3 + 3^2 + 3^3 + 3^4 = 11^2$  and  $1 + 3^4 = 82$ , it follows from (2) that  $0 \equiv -5(1 + 5^e) \pmod{11}$ . This is impossible since  $11 \nmid (1 + 5^e)$  if  $2 \mid e$ . Therefore,  $3^6 \mid n$ . Now assume that  $5^2 \parallel n$ . If  $11^4 \mid n$ , then, since

$$\sigma^\#(3^6 5^2 11^4) / 3^6 5^2 11^4 > 1,$$

we have a contradiction. Therefore,  $11^2 \parallel n$ . Since  $n = 3^e 5^2 11^2$ , it follows from (2) that

$$5^2 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 3^e = 31 \cdot 133 \cdot (3^{e+1} - 1) / 2 - 26 \cdot 122 \cdot (1 + 3^e).$$

Therefore,  $25 \cdot 3^e = 10467$  and we have a contradiction. We conclude that  $5^4 \mid n$ . But

$$\sigma^\#(3^6 5^4 11^2) / 3^6 5^4 11^2 > 1$$

and, from Lemma 1,  $n$  is not a nonunitary perfect number.

### 3. Nonunitary Aliquot Sequences

A  $t$ -tuple of distinct natural numbers  $(n_0; n_1; \dots; n_{t-1})$  with  $n_i = \sigma^\#(n_{i-1})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$  and  $n_0 = \sigma^\#(n_{t-1})$  is called a *nonunitary  $t$ -cycle*. A nonunitary 1-cycle is a nonunitary perfect number; a nonunitary 2-cycle is a nonunitary amicable pair. A search was made for all nonunitary  $t$ -cycles with  $t > 2$  and  $n_0 \leq 10^6$ . One was found:

(619368; 627264; 1393551)

The *nonunitary aliquot sequence*  $\{n_i\}$  with leader  $n$  is defined by

$$n_0 = n, n_1 = \sigma^\#(n_0), n_2 = \sigma^\#(n_1), \dots, n_i = \sigma^\#(n_{i-1}), \dots$$

Such a sequence is said to be *terminating* if  $n_k$  is squarefree for some index  $k$  (so that  $n_i = 0$  for  $i > k$ ). [We define  $\sigma^\#(0) = 0$ .] A nonunitary aliquot sequence is said to be *periodic* if an index  $k$  exists such that  $(n_k; n_{k+1}; \dots; n_{k+t-1})$  is a nonunitary  $t$ -cycle. A nonunitary aliquot sequence which is neither terminating nor periodic is *unbounded*. Whether or not unbounded nonunitary aliquot sequences exist is an open question.

An investigation was made of all nonunitary aliquot sequences with leader  $n \leq 10^6$ . About 40 minutes of computer time was required. 740671 sequences were found to be terminating; 1440 were periodic (194 ended in 1-cycles, 1195 in 2-cycles, and 51 in 3-cycles); and in 257889 cases an  $n_k > 10^{12}$  was encountered and (for practical reasons) the sequence was terminated with its final behavior undetermined. As was pointed out by the referee, since there are 607926 squarefree numbers between 1 and  $10^6$ , more than 82% of the 740671 terminating sequences were guaranteed to terminate *before* the investigation just described even began. From this perspective we see that the behavior of only about one-third of the "doubtful" sequences with leaders less than  $10^6$  has been determined. The first sequence with unknown behavior has leader  $n_0 = 792$ .

$n_{52} = 1,780,270,202,880$  is the first term of this sequence which exceeds  $10^{12}$ .

References

1. S. Ligh & C. R. Wall. "Functions of Nonunitary Divisors." *Fibonacci Quarterly* 25.4 (1987):333-338.
2. K. K. Norton. "Remarks on the Number of Factors of an Odd Perfect Number." *Acta Arithmetica* 6 (1961):365-374.

\*\*\*\*\*

*Announcement*  
**FOURTH  
INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE  
ON FIBONACCI NUMBERS  
AND THEIR APPLICATIONS**  
**Monday through Friday, July 30-August 3, 1990**  
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
**Wake Forest University**  
**Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27109**

**International Committee**

Horadam, A.F. (Australia), *Co-Chairman*  
Philippou, A.N. (Cyprus), *Co-Chairman*  
Ando, S. (Japan)  
Bergum, G. (U.S.A.)  
Johnson, M. (U.S.A.)  
Kiss, P. (Hungary)  
Filipponi, Piero (Italy)  
Campbell, Colin (Scotland)  
Turner, John C. (New Zealand)

**Local Committee**

Fred T. Howard, *Co-Chairman*  
Marcellus E. Waddill, *Co-Chairman*  
Elmer K. Hayashi  
Theresa Vaughan  
Deborah Harrell

**CALL FOR PAPERS**

The FOURTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON FIBONACCI NUMBERS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS will take place at Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, N.C., from July 30 to August 3, 1990. This Conference is sponsored jointly by the Fibonacci Association and Wake Forest University.

Papers on all branches of mathematics and science related to the Fibonacci numbers as well as recurrences and their generalizations are welcome. Abstracts are to be submitted by March 15, 1990, while manuscripts are due by May 1, 1990. Abstracts and manuscripts should be sent in duplicate following the guidelines for submission of articles found on the inside front cover of any recent issue of *The Fibonacci Quarterly* to:

Professor Gerald E. Bergum  
*The Fibonacci Quarterly*  
Department of Computer Science, South Dakota State University  
P.O. Box 2201, Brookings, South Dakota 57007-0194