

THE DISTRIBUTION OF RESIDUES OF TWO-TERM RECURRENCE SEQUENCES

Eliot Jacobson

Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701

(Submitted July 1988)

Let  $U_0, U_1, A, B$  be integers and define, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$U_n = AU_{n-1} + BU_{n-2}.$$

For an integer  $m > 1$ , the sequence  $(U_n)$  considered modulo  $m$  is eventually periodic. We say  $(U_n)$  is *uniformly distributed* modulo  $m$  [notation: u.d.(mod  $m$ )] if every residue modulo  $m$  occurs with the same frequency in any period. In this case, it is clear that the length of any period will be a multiple of  $m$ . Conditions that  $(U_n)$  be u.d.(mod  $m$ ) can be found in [2, Theorem A]. Suppose  $(U_n)$  is u.d.(mod  $p^k$ ) where  $p$  is a prime and  $k > 0$ . Let  $M \geq 2$  be any integer. We study the relationship between the distribution of  $U_n \pmod{M}$  and  $U_n \pmod{M \cdot p^k}$ . For integers  $N \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq c < N$ , denote by  $v(N, c)$  the number of times that  $c$  occurs as a residue in one shortest period of  $U_n \pmod{N}$ . Our main result can now be stated.

*Theorem:* Let  $p$  be a prime and  $k > 0$  be an integer such that  $U_n$  is u.d.(mod  $p^k$ ). Say  $U_n$  has shortest period of length  $p^k f$  modulo  $p^k$ . Let  $M \geq 2$ , and assume that  $U_n$  is purely periodic modulo  $M$ , with shortest period of length  $Q$ . Assume  $p \nmid Q$ . Then, for any  $0 \leq a < M$ , and  $0 \leq b < M \cdot p^k$  with  $b \equiv a \pmod{M}$ ,

$$v(M \cdot p^k, b) = \frac{f}{(Q, f)} \cdot v(M, a).$$

We remark that  $(, )$  denotes the GCD. Also, observe that the hypothesis  $p \nmid Q$  yields  $p \nmid M$ . To prove the Theorem, we make use of a recent result of Vélez [2], which we state here for the reader's convenience.

*Lemma:* Suppose that  $U_n$  is u.d.(mod  $p^k$ ) with shortest period of length  $p^k f$ . Then, for any integer  $s \geq 0$ , the sequence  $U_{s+qf}, q = 0, 1, \dots, p^k - 1$ , consists of a complete residue system modulo  $p^k$ .

*Proof of Theorem:* Let  $0 \leq a < M$  and let  $v(M, a) = d$ . As the Theorem is vacuous if  $d = 0$ , assume  $d \geq 1$ . Let  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d$  be all of the integers  $0 \leq w_i < Q$  such that  $U_{w_i} \equiv a \pmod{M}$ . Let  $0 \leq b < M \cdot p^k$ , say  $b \equiv r \pmod{p^k}$  with  $0 \leq r < p^k$ . Assume  $b \equiv a \pmod{M}$ . Note that  $U_n$  has period length

$$\text{LCM}(Q, fp^k) = \frac{f}{(Q, f)} \cdot Q \cdot p^k \text{ modulo } M \cdot p^k.$$

For ease of notation, we set  $z = f/(Q, f)$ . As  $(M, p^k) = 1$ , it suffices, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, to show that the system

$$(1) \quad U_n \equiv \begin{cases} a \pmod{M} \\ r \pmod{p^k} \end{cases}$$

has exactly  $z \cdot d$  solutions,  $0 \leq n < z \cdot Q \cdot p^k$ .

We begin by producing, for each  $w_i$ , solutions  $v_{i1}, v_{i2}, \dots, v_{iz}$  of the system. Fix  $i$ . Then

$$U_{w_i+eQ} \equiv a \pmod{M} \text{ for all } 0 \leq e < z \cdot p^k - 1.$$

Let  $0 \leq s_{i1} < s_{i2} < \dots < s_{iz} < f$  be all of the distinct integers such that

$$w_i \equiv s_{i1} \equiv s_{i2} \equiv \dots \equiv s_{iz} \pmod{(Q, f)}.$$

By Vélez's lemma, there exist integers  $0 \leq q_{i1}, q_{i2}, \dots, q_{iz} \leq p^k - 1$  such that

$$U_{s_{ij} + q_{ij}f} \equiv r \pmod{p^k}, \text{ for all } j.$$

Then, also, for any  $0 \leq t \leq Q/(Q, f) - 1$ , we have

$$U_{s_{ij} + (q_{ij} + tp^k)f} \equiv r \pmod{p^k}.$$

The bounds on  $e, t$  guarantee that these subscripts are less than  $z \cdot Q \cdot p^k$ . For each  $i, j$ , we seek  $e = e_{ij}, t = t_{ij}$  in these bounds such that

$$w_i + e_{ij}Q = s_{ij} + (q_{ij} + t_{ij}p^k)f.$$

Write  $s_{ij} - w_i = (Q, f)m_{ij}$ . Note that since  $(z \cdot p^k, \frac{Q}{(Q, f)}) = 1$ , the linear congruence

$$t \cdot z \cdot p^k \equiv -(m_{ij} + q_{ij}z) \pmod{\frac{Q}{(Q, f)}}$$

has a unique solution  $t = t_{ij}$  with  $0 \leq t_{ij} < \frac{Q}{(Q, f)} - 1$ . But then

$$Q|(Q, f)(m_{ij} + q_{ij}z + t_{ij} \cdot z \cdot p^k);$$

thus, since  $(Q, z \cdot Q \cdot p^k) = Q$ , the linear congruence

$$eQ \equiv (Q, f)(m_{ij} + q_{ij}z + t_{ij} \cdot z \cdot p^k) \pmod{z \cdot Q \cdot p^k}$$

has  $Q$  solutions  $0 \leq e < z \cdot Q \cdot p^k$ . Hence, this congruence has a unique solution  $e = e_{ij}$  satisfying  $0 \leq e_{ij} \leq z \cdot Q \cdot p^k - 1$ . With these values of  $e_{ij}, t_{ij}$ , we have

$$w_i + e_{ij}Q \equiv s_{ij} + (q_{ij} + t_{ij}p^k)f \pmod{z \cdot Q \cdot p^k},$$

so equality holds, since both sides are less than  $z \cdot Q \cdot p^k$ . Set  $v_{ij} = w_i + e_{ij}Q$  for all  $i, j$ . Then  $0 \leq v_{ij} < z \cdot Q \cdot p^k$ , and each  $v_{ij}$  is a subscript that satisfies the system (1), that is,  $U_{v_{ij}} \equiv b \pmod{M \cdot p^k}$  for all  $i, j$ . We claim that the  $v_{ij}$  are distinct.

Suppose that  $v_{ij} = v_{gh}$ . Then  $w_i + e_{ij}Q = w_g + e_{gh}Q$  implies  $Q|(w_i - w_g)$ . As  $0 \leq w_i, w_g < Q$ , this gives  $w_i = w_g$ , so that  $i = g$ . Then

$$s_{ij} + (q_{ij} + t_{ij}p^k)f = s_{ih} + (q_{ih} + t_{ih}p^k)f,$$

so that  $f|(s_{ij} - s_{ih})$ . As  $0 \leq s_{ij}, s_{ih} < f$ , we have that  $s_{ij} = s_{ih}$ ; therefore,  $j = h$ . Thus, the  $v_{ij}$  are distinct. This shows that, for any  $0 \leq a < M$  and any  $0 \leq b < M \cdot p^k$ ,  $v(M \cdot p^k, b) \geq z \cdot v(M, a)$ . The proof is concluded by observing that

$$\begin{aligned} z \cdot Q \cdot p^k &= \sum_{b=0}^{M \cdot p^k - 1} v(M \cdot p^k, b) = \sum_{a=0}^{M-1} \sum_{r=0}^{p^k - 1} v(M \cdot p^k, b), \text{ where } b \equiv \begin{cases} a \pmod{M} \\ r \pmod{p^k} \end{cases} \\ &\geq \sum_{a=0}^{M-1} \sum_{r=0}^{p^k - 1} z \cdot v(M, a) = z \cdot p^k \sum_{a=0}^{M-1} v(M, a) = z \cdot p^k \cdot Q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, equality holds throughout, and the Theorem follows.  $\square$

*Example:* Let  $A = B = 1, U_0 = 0, U_1 = 1$  so that  $U_n$  is the Fibonacci sequence. Then  $U_n$  is u.d.(mod 5). Take  $M = 33$ . Then  $U_n$  has period of length  $Q = 40$  modulo 33, and one computes that  $v(33, 1) = 5$ , whereas  $v(165, 1) = 3$ . This justifies the hypothesis that  $p \nmid Q$ . Moreover, in this case,  $v(33, a)$  assumes 5 values for  $0 \leq a < 33$ , but  $v(165, b)$  assumes only 4 values for  $0 \leq b < 165$ .

In fact, our Theorem asserts that  $U_n$  has the same number of distinct distribution frequencies modulo  $M$  and  $M \cdot p^k$ , whenever  $M, p$  satisfy the hypotheses of the Theorem [that is,  $v(M, *)$  and  $v(M \cdot p^k, *)$  take on the same number of distinct values]. This provides an alternate method of obtaining the results in [1].

Note that the "purely periodic" hypothesis of the Theorem can be omitted if one substitutes asymptotic density for frequency, as the finite number of terms before  $U_n$  becomes periodic modulo  $M$  do not affect density. Our final result is well known but illustrates the Theorem's power.

*Corollary:* Suppose that  $U_n$  is u.d.(mod  $p^k$ ) and is u.d.(mod  $M$ ), where  $p$  is a prime that does not divide the length of the period of  $U_n$  (mod  $M$ ). Then  $U_n$  is u.d.(mod  $M \cdot p^k$ ).

References

1. E. Jacobson. "Almost Uniform Distribution of the Fibonacci Sequence." *Fibonacci Quarterly* 27.4 (1989):335-37.
2. W. Y. Vélez. "Uniform Distribution of Two-Term Recurrence Sequences." *Trans. of the A.M.S.* 301 (1987):37-45.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Masaryk University in Brno, Czechoslovakia, is the only university in the country which subscribes to the *Fibonacci Quarterly*. Unfortunately, their set is not complete. They need volumes 1-9. If anyone would be interested in donating these volumes to Masaryk University please let the editor of this journal know and he will make arrangements.**