

## CONJECTURES ABOUT $s$ -ADDITIVE SEQUENCES

Steven R. Finch

6 Foster Street, Wakefield, MA 01880  
(Submitted July 1989)

A strictly increasing sequence of positive integers  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  is defined to be  $s$ -additive [1] if, for  $n > 2s$ ,  $a_n$  is the least integer greater than  $a_{n-1}$  having precisely  $s$  representations  $a_i + a_j = a_n$ ,  $i < j$ . The first  $2s$  terms of an  $s$ -additive sequence are called the *base* of the sequence. An  $s$ -additive sequence, for a given base, may be either finite or infinite; the sequence is assumed to be maximal in the sense that the total number of terms is as large as possible. Consider, for example, the case in which  $s = 1$ ,  $a_1 = 1$ , and  $a_2 = 2$ . The next fifteen terms of the sequence are 3, 4, 6, 8, 11, 13, 16, 18, 26, 28, 36, 38, 47, 48, 53. The sequence is infinite (as is any 1-additive sequence) since  $a_{n-3} + a_{n-1}$  is an integer greater than  $a_{n-1}$  with no other representation  $a_i + a_j$  and, hence, there exists a least such integer. It is the archetypal  $s$ -additive sequence, and was first studied by Stanislaw Ulam [2]. An example of a 2-additive sequence is 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 17, 19, 29, 31, 33, 43, 44, 47, 51,  $\dots$ , which also appears to be infinite (though a proof of this fact is not known). Not all 2-additive sequences are infinite, as illustrated by the sequence 1, 3, 5, 7, 8.

For  $s \geq 1$ , Raymond Queneau [1] showed that an  $s$ -additive sequence has at least  $2s + 2$  terms if and only if there exist positive integers  $u$  and  $v$  such that the  $2s$  numbers in the base (up to ordering) are  $u, 2u, \dots, su, v, u + v, 2u + v, \dots, (s - 1)u + v$ . This is called *Condition  $u, v$* . We denote an  $s$ -additive sequence satisfying Condition  $u, v$  by the ordered triple  $(s, u, v)$ . Note that the correspondence between such sequences and ordered triples is not one-to-one, since  $(s, 1, s + 1) = (s, 2, 1)$ . Queneau undertook a detailed examination of various properties of  $s$ -additive sequences, including conditions for sequences to be infinite and conditions for sequences to be regular (in a sense to be defined shortly). Some of the conjectures in [1] are consistent with conjectures presented here; some others are false due to several unfortunate errors in Queneau's computations.

We examine first conditions for  $s$ -additive sequences to be infinite.

*Conjecture 1:* A 2-additive sequence is infinite if and only if Condition  $u, v$  is met.

For  $s \geq 3$ , Condition  $u, v$  is necessary but not sufficient for infinitude, as evidenced by the finite 4-additive sequence  $(4, 1, 5) = 1$  (1) 10, 12 (2) 20, 23 (2) 31, 36, 38, 47, 48, 49, 51, 53, 60, 80, 85. In order to state Conjectures 2 through 4, we assume that Condition  $u, v$  is satisfied and that, without loss of generality,  $u$  and  $v$  are relatively prime. These two assumptions hold throughout the remainder of this paper.

*Conjecture 2:* An  $s$ -additive sequence, when  $3 \leq s \leq 6$ , is infinite if and only if

- (a)  $u = 1$  and  $v$  is as in Table 1,
- (b)  $u = 2$  and  $v$  is as in Table 2, or
- (c)  $u \geq 3$ .

*Conjecture 3:* An  $s$ -additive sequence, when  $s$  is even and  $8 \leq s \leq 20$ , is infinite if and only if

- (a)  $u = 2$  and  $v$  is as in Table 3, or
- (b)  $u \geq 3$ .

Table 1: Conditions associated with Conjecture 2(a)

$s$	Conditions on $v$
3	$v > 3$
4	$5 < v \neq 8, 12, 13, 17, 22$
5	$v = 6, 9, 13, 15$
6	$v = 8$

Table 2: Conditions associated with Conjecture 2(b)

$s$	Conditions on $v$
3	$v = 1, 5$ or $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$
4	$v \equiv 3, 7, 9 \pmod{10}$
5	$v = 1$ or $\equiv 9 \pmod{12}$
6	$v \equiv 3, 5, 7, 9, 11 \pmod{14}$

Table 3: Conditions associated with Conjecture 3(a)

$s$	Conditions on $v$
8	$v = 3, 11, 21, 25, 29, 39, 57, 61, 65, 75, 83, 93, 97, 101, 111, 119, 129, 133, 137, 147$ or $151 < v \equiv 3, 7, 11 \pmod{18}$
10	$v = 19, 23, 45, 51, 67, 89, 95, 107$ or $111 < v \equiv 1, 7, 19 \pmod{22}$
12	$v = 47, 143, 169, 177, 183, 235, 261, 307, 313, 333, 339, 365, 391$ or $411 < v \equiv 1, 21 \pmod{26}$
14	$v = 189, 249, 279, 309, 339, 369, 375, 399, 429, 459, 489, 519, 525, \dots, 939$ or $945 < v \equiv 9, 15 \pmod{30}$
16	$v = 187, 323, 663, 731, 833, 893, 935, 969, 995, 1003, 1029, 1037, 1063, \dots, 1649$ or $1675 < v \equiv 9, 17 \pmod{34}$
18	$v = 417, 645, 759, 873, 979, 987, 1101, 1215, 1329, 1443, 1519, 1557, \dots, 3305$ or $3343 < v \equiv 37 \pmod{38}$
20	$v = 439, 1333, 1343, 1543, 1573, 1615, 1627, 1637, 1657, 1699, 1741, 1783, 1867, 1889, \dots, 4429$ or $4451 < v \equiv 19, 41 \pmod{42}$

*Conjecture 4:* An  $s$ -additive sequence, when  $s$  is odd and  $s \geq 7$ , is infinite if and only if  $u \geq 3$ .

The sequence  $(24, 2, 1523)$  appears to be infinite, whereas  $(22, 2, v)$  is never infinite. Proof that certain sequences are finite is not difficult; for example,  $(3, 2, v)$  has  $(7v + 53)/4$  terms ( $a_{(7v+53)/4} = 10v + 10$ ) when  $5 < v \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . However, no  $s$ -additive sequence,  $s > 1$  and  $u \leq 2$ , has been proven to be infinite. Note that the example involving  $(3, 2, v)$  shows that arbitrarily long finite sequences exist. Long sequences are computationally unwieldy since all terms  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  must be considered when determining  $a_n$ . Thus, the computer evidence leading to Conjectures 1 through 4 is necessarily limited.

We turn now to regularity issues. An infinite  $s$ -additive sequence is *regular* if successive differences  $a_{n+1} - a_n$  are eventually periodic; i.e., there is a positive integer  $N$  such that  $a_{N+n+1} - a_{N+n} = a_{n+1} - a_n$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ . (The smallest such  $N$  is called the *period*.) An equivalent condition involves *arithmetic multiprogressions* [1] which are infinite sequences of the form

$$c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k, b + c_1, b + c_2, \dots, b + c_k, \\ 2b + c_1, 2b + c_2, \dots, 2b + c_k, \dots,$$

where  $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_k < b + c_1$ . If some arithmetic multiprogression, after at most finitely many deletions of certain terms or insertions of additional terms, is equal to the  $s$ -additive sequence  $(s, u, v)$ , then  $(s, u, v)$  is regular. We write this condition more compactly as

$$(s, u, v) \sim bn + [c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k] \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

in which the symbol  $\sim$  is to be interpreted as eventual equality. If greater precision is required, we write

$$(s, u, v) = bn + [c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k] \oplus d_1, \dots, d_p \ominus e_1, \dots, e_q,$$

where  $d_1, \dots, d_p$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_q$  are, respectively, the inserted and deleted terms on the right-hand side that make equality hold.

The nature of Conjectures 2 through 4 might lead one to suspect that something is special about the case  $u \geq 3$ . This is true, in fact, as proved by Queneau in [1].

*Theorem 1:* If  $s > 1$  and  $u \geq 3$ , then  $(s, u, v)$  is regular and

$$(s, u, v) = nu + [v] \oplus u, 2u, \dots, su, (2s - 1)u + 2v.$$

A consequence of this result and Conjecture 4 is that there do not exist infinite irregular sequences when  $s$  is odd and  $s \geq 7$ . No analogous general formulas appear to hold for the remaining cases  $s = 1$  or  $u \leq 2$ . A limited computer search for regular 1-additive sequences has uncovered many examples, some of which are exhibited in Table 4. (The first three of these were found by Queneau [1].) We conjecture that  $(1, u, v)$  is regular for a wide variety of  $u$  and  $v$ . Though a proof is not known, a sensible argument might be based on Theorem 2 and (deceptively simple) Conjecture 5. Periods for  $(1, 2, v)$  and for  $(1, 4, v)$ , as fascinatingly intricate functions of odd  $v > 3$ , are listed in Table 5. [Some cases have either incalculably long periods or long initial stretches before periodicity begins. For example, the period for  $(1, 2, v)$ , where  $35 \leq v \leq 41$  is odd, probably exceeds  $10^9$ .]

Table 4: Regular 1-additive sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1, 2, 5) &= 126n + [5 \text{ (2) } 15, a_9 = 19, a_{10} = 23, \dots, a_{34} = 119] \oplus 2, 12 \\
 (1, 2, 7) &= 126n + [7 \text{ (2) } 21, a_{11} = 25, a_{12} = 29, \dots, a_{28} = 117] \oplus 2, 16 \\
 (1, 2, 9) &= 1778n + [9 \text{ (2) } 27, a_{13} = 31, a_{14} = 35, \dots, a_{446} = 1767] \oplus 2, 20 \\
 (1, 2, 11) &= 6510n + [11 \text{ (2) } 33, a_{15} = 37, a_{16} = 41, \dots, a_{1630} = 6497] \oplus 2, 24 \\
 (1, 2, 13) &= 23622n + [13 \text{ (2) } 39, a_{17} = 43, a_{18} = 47, \dots, a_{5908} = 23607] \oplus 2, 28 \\
 (1, 2, 15) &= 510n + [15 \text{ (2) } 45, a_{19} = 49, a_{20} = 53, \dots, a_{82} = 493] \oplus 2, 32 \\
 (1, 2, 17) &= 507842n + [17 \text{ (2) } 51, a_{21} = 55, a_{22} = 59, \dots, \\
 &\quad a_{126962} = 507823] \oplus 2, 36 \\
 (1, 4, 5) &= 192n + [5 \text{ (4) } 17, 19, 21, a_{10} = 25, a_{11} = 27, \dots, a_{35} = 173] \\
 &\quad \oplus 4, 14, 24 \\
 (1, 4, 9) &= 640n + [9 \text{ (4) } 29, 31, 33, 37 \text{ (2) } 41, a_{15} = 45, a_{16} = 47, \dots, \\
 &\quad a_{91} = 609] \oplus 4, 22, 40 \\
 (1, 4, 11) &= 1318n + [11 \text{ (4) } 27, 37, 39, 43 \text{ (2) } 47, 51 \text{ (2) } 57, 61, 67, 69, 75, 77 \\
 &\quad 83, 85, 89, 91, 99, 105, a_{29} = 111, a_{30} = 123, \dots, a_{249} = 1309] \\
 &\quad \oplus 4, 26, 31, 35, 48 \ominus 57, 105 \\
 (1, 4, 13) &= 896n + [13 \text{ (4) } 41, 43, 45, 49 \text{ (2) } 53, a_{17} = 57, a_{18} = 59, \dots, \\
 &\quad a_{107} = 853] \oplus 4, 30, 56 \\
 (1, 4, 17) &= 2304n + [17 \text{ (4) } 53, 55, 57, 61 \text{ (2) } 65, 69 \text{ (2) } 73, a_{22} = 77, \\
 &\quad a_{23} = 79, \dots, a_{251} = 2249] \oplus 4, 38, 72 \\
 (1, 4, 19) &\sim 2560n + [a_{2552} = 14753, a_{2553} = 14761, \dots, a_{2903} = 17275] \\
 (1, 4, 21) &= 2816n + [21 \text{ (4) } 65, 67, 69, 73 \text{ (2) } 77, 81 \text{ (2) } 85, a_{24} = 89, \\
 &\quad a_{25} = 91, \dots, a_{283} = 2749] \oplus 4, 46, 88
 \end{aligned}$$

Table 5: Periods for  $(1, u, v)$ ,  $u = 2$  and  $4$

$v$	$u = 2$	$u = 4$
5	32	32
7	26	-
9	444	88
11	1628	246
13	5906	104
15	80	-
17	126960	248
19	380882	352
21	2097152	280
23	1047588	5173
25	148814	304
27	8951040	10270
29	5406720	320
31	242	-
33	127842440	712
35	-	826
37	-	776
39	-	108966
41	-	824

*Theorem 2:* If a 1-additive sequence has only finitely many even terms, then the sequence is regular.

*Proof;* Let  $e$  denote the number of even terms in the 1-additive sequence  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$ . Let  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_e$  be the even terms and let  $y_k = x_k/2$  for each  $k$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq e$ . Given an integer  $n \geq y_e$ , define

$$b_n = \text{the number of representations } a_i + a_j = 2n + 1, i < j.$$

Observe that  $a_i + a_j = 2n + 1$  only if either  $a_i$  or  $a_j$  is equal to some  $x_k$  (since a sum of two integers is odd if and only if one of the integers is odd and the other is even). This observation gives rise to the following recursive formula:

$$b_n = \sum_{k=1}^e \delta(b_{n-y_k} - 1)$$

where  $\delta(0) = 1$  and  $\delta(r) = 0$  for  $r \neq 0$ . The summation simply counts the number of times (out of  $e$ ) that  $2n - x_k + 1$  is a term in  $a_1, a_2, \dots$ . Define now, for each  $n \geq x_e$ , a vector of  $y_e$  components

$$\beta_n = (b_{n-y_e} \ b_{n-y_e+1} \ b_{n-y_e+2} \ \dots \ b_{n-1})^T.$$

Regularity of the 1-additive sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  is clearly equivalent to eventual periodicity of the vector sequence  $\beta_{x_e}, \beta_{x_e+1}, \dots$ . The components of  $\beta_n$  obviously do not exceed  $e$ . Since the number of vectors of length  $y_e$  containing  $0, 1, \dots, e - 1$  or  $e$  is  $(e + 1)^{y_e} < \infty$ , some  $\beta_n$  must recur, which, in turn, brings about periodicity by the recursive formula. This completes the proof.

Recall that  $u$  and  $v$  are assumed to be relatively prime. Assume, moreover, that  $u < v$ .

*Conjecture 5:*

- (1, 1,  $v$ ) has infinitely many even terms.
- (1, 2,  $v$ ) has two even terms (specifically  $a_1 = 2$  and  $a_{(v+7)/2} = 2v + 2$ ) when  $v > 3$ ; it has infinitely many even terms when  $v = 3$ .
- (1, 3,  $v$ ) has infinitely many even terms.

- (1, 4,  $v$ ) has four even terms when  $v = 2^k - 1$  for some  $k = 3, 4, 5, \dots$ ;  
otherwise, it has three even terms.
- (1, 5,  $v$ ) has thirteen even terms when  $v = 6$ ;  
otherwise, it has infinitely many even terms.
- (1,  $u$ ,  $v$ ), for even  $u \geq 6$ , has  $2 + u/2$  even terms.
- (1,  $u$ ,  $v$ ), for odd  $u \geq 7$ , has  $2 + v/2$  even terms when  $v$  is even;  
otherwise, it has infinitely many even terms.

There is no reason for even terms to be small; for example, (1, 4, 255) has  $a_{8750} = 260606$ .

Other interesting trends exist in the distribution of successive differences  $a_{n+1} - a_n$  for these sequences. Let us focus on (1, 2,  $v$ ),  $v > 3$ , for definiteness. The successive differences are always even beyond a certain point. For most of a period, the successive differences remain relatively small. As the end of the period draws near, the successive differences seem to explode to a maximum value ( $= 2v + 2$ ), which concludes the period and a new period begins. In contrast, the sequence (1, 2, 3) appears to possess unbounded successive differences. This seems to occur as well for the sequence ( $s, 1, s + 1$ ), for each  $s = 1, 2, 3$ , and 5; e.g., when  $s = 2$ ,  $a_{9384} - a_{9383} = 174886 - 174579 = 307$ . Many questions arise. Is the converse of Theorem 2 true? Do there exist regular  $s$ -additive sequences for  $s > 1$  and  $u \leq 2$ ? Is it possible for successive differences of an infinite *irregular*  $s$ -additive sequence to be *bounded*?

Queneau also introduces several generalizations of  $s$ -additivity, of which we discuss one. (Replacing addition by multiplication in the definition of  $s$ -additivity defines  $s$ -multiplicativity. This has not been studied. Nor has substituting the condition  $i < j$  by  $i \leq j$ .) A strictly increasing sequence of positive integers  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  is defined to be ( $s, t$ )-additive with base  $B$  if  $B$  consists of the first  $m$  terms  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$  for some positive integer  $m$  and if, for  $n > m$ ,  $a_n$  is the least integer greater than  $a_{n-1}$  having precisely  $s$  representations of the form

$$a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} + \dots + a_{i_t} = a_n, \quad i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_t.$$

Note that an  $s$ -additive sequence is the same as an ( $s, 2$ )-additive sequence with  $m = 2s$ . Note also that, while  $m \geq 2s$  is necessary for ( $s, 2$ )-additivity and  $m \geq t$  is necessary for ( $1, t$ )-additivity,  $m = 5$  is possible in conjunction with ( $2, 3$ )-additivity. Lacking a suitable analogue of Condition  $u, v$  for  $s$ -additivity, we write an ( $s, t$ )-additive sequence as ( $s, t; a_1, \dots, a_m$ ). For example,

$$(2, 3; 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = 1(1)5, 8(1)11, 25, 28, 29, 49, 66, 67, 69, 89, 92, 110, 111, \dots$$

which appears to be infinite. As previously, any ( $1, t$ )-additive sequence, for  $t \geq 2$ , is infinite, while extension of the proof to ( $s, t$ )-additive sequences, for  $s > 1$ , does not seem possible. We conclude with several more arithmetic multiprogression formulas obtained by limited computer search for regular ( $1, 3$ )-additive sequences (see Table 6). The first of these was found by Peter N. Muller and also appears in [3].

Table 6: Regular (1, 3)-additive sequences

(1, 3; 1, 2, 3)	~ $25n + [80, 82, 104]$
(1, 3; 1, 2, 9)	~ $572n + [581 (1) 590, 645 (1) 653, 708 (1) 717, 772 (1) 781,$ $836 (1) 844, 899 (1) 908, 963 (1) 972, 1027 (1) 1035, 1090 (1) 1098]$
(1, 3; 1, 3, 4)	~ $219n + [411, 412, 444, 446, 481, 482, 517, 521, 554, 555, 591, 626]$
(1, 3; 1, 3, 5)	~ $82n + [87, 89, 115, 117, 141, 143]$
(1, 3; 1, 3, 6)	~ $51n + [164 (1) 167, 211 (1) 213]$
(1, 3; 1, 3, 7)	~ $20n + [23]$
(1, 3; 2, 3, 4)	~ $148n + [157, 159, 160, 203, 204, 206 (1) 208, 253 (1) 255,$ $258, 302]$

Acknowledgment

I wish to thank Jane Hale [4] for introducing me to Queneau's literary work and for bringing my attention to the sequences discussed in this paper.

Postscript

Recent computations show that

$(1, 4, 7) \sim 11301098n + [a_{13671499} = 80188457, \dots, a_{15599457} = 91489549]$   
and

$(1, 5, 6) \sim 1720n + [a_{156303} = 1579049, \dots, a_{156510} = 1580767];$

thus,  $(1, 4, 7)$  and  $(1, 5, 6)$  have periods 1927959 and 208, respectively. Further results on the regularity of certain 1-additive sequences will appear in a forthcoming paper.

References

1. Raymond Queneau. "Sur les suites  $s$ -additives." *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* 12 (1972):31-71; MR 46, 1741.
2. Richard K. Guy. *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*. New York: Springer Verlag, 1981, Problem C4.
3. Marvin C. Wunderlich. "The Improbable Behaviour of Ulam's Summation Sequence." In *Computers and Number Theory*, ed. A. O. L. Atkin & B. J. Birch. New York: Academic Press, 1971, pp. 249-257.
4. Jane A. Hale. *The Lyric Encyclopedia of Raymond Queneau*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1989.

\*\*\*\*\*