EXTRACTION PROPERTY OF THE GOLDEN SEQUENCE

Wai-fong Chuan*

Department of Mathematics, Chung-yuan Christian University, Chung-li, Taiwan 320, Republic of China (Submitted June 1993)

Let a and b be two distinct letters and let $\tau = (\sqrt{5}-1)/2$. Let x be the infinite string whose n^{th} term is "a" if $[(n+1)\tau] - [n\tau] = 0$ and is "b" if $[(n+1)\tau] - [n\tau] = 1$. Let s_m be the left factor of x of length m and let x_m be the corresponding right factor of x. Note that $x = x_0$ is the golden sequence. It is known that

$$x = c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 \dots$$
 (1)

where $c_0 = a$, $c_1 = b$, and $c_{n+1} = c_{n-1}c_n$ $(n \ge 1)$. In the notation of [1]-[3], $x = F^1(b, ab)$, $c_n = w_{n+1}^1$ and $s_{F_n} = w_n^0$ $(n \le 1)$, where F_n denotes the n^{th} Fibonacci number.

Hofstadter [6] formulated the concept of aligning two strings. By way of illustration, we present the procedure by which x_m is aligned with $x = x_0$.

Starting from the $(m+1)^{st}$ term in x, an attempt is made to match each term in x with a term in x_m . After a term in x is matched with a term in x_m , one looks for the earliest match to the next term in x. Those terms in x_m that are skipped over form the extracted string $y_{m,0}$. For example, when m = 4,

It was Hendel and Monteferrante [4] who first reformulated Hofstadter's alignment concept in terms of a formal relation on strings. If x_m aligns with x_n with extraction $y_{m,n}$, then we notationally indicate this by

$$x_m \supset x_n; \ y_{m,n}. \tag{2}$$

[4] also introduced the idea of representing x_m as a product of c_{α} with specific properties by using a canonical representation $x_m = c_{\alpha(1)}c_{\alpha(2)}\dots$ where $\alpha(k)$ is an increasing function on the positive integers that can be derived from the Zeckendorf representation of m as a sum of Fibonacci numbers. Using this, they were able to completely determine $y_{m,0}$ for all positive integers m.

The goal of this paper is to determine the remaining cases of $y_{m,n}$. In Section 2, $y_{0,m}$ is found to be precisely the reverse $R(s_m)$ of the left factor s_m of x of length m.

Here the *reverse operation* R is defined by

$$R(a_1a_2\ldots a_k)=a_k\ldots a_2a_1,$$

where $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k$ are letters. The importance of the reversal operation in studying x was first observed by Higgins [5]. In Section 4, it is shown that $y_{m,n}$ and $y_{m-1,n-1}$ differ by at most the first letter. From this, $y_{m,n}$ can easily be determined by $y_{m-n,0}$ (if m > n) or $y_{0,n-m}$ (if n > m).

^{*} This research was supported in part by the National Science Council, R.O.C. Grant NSC 83-0208-M-033-013.

1. BASIC LEMMAS AND DEFINITIONS ON EXTRACTION

The following definitions come from [4, Definitions 1 and 2]. Suppose that $U = u_1 \dots u_n$, $V = v_1 \dots v_m$, and $E = e_1 \dots e_p$ with $u_i, v_j, e_k \in \{a, b\}, n, m > 0, p \ge 0$, and n = m + p. We say that U aligns (with) V with extraction E if there exist integers $j(0), j(1), j(2), \dots, j(p)$ such that

$$U = (v_1 \dots v_{j(1)})e_1(v_{j(1)+1} \dots v_{j(2)})e_2 \dots e_p(v_{j(p)+1} \dots v_m),$$

with $v_i \dots v_k$ empty if k < i and

- (i) $0 = j(0) \le j(1) \le j(2) \le ... \le j(p) < m$,
- (ii) $e_i \neq v_{i(i)+1}$, for $1 \le i \le p$.

This relationship is called an *alignment* and is denoted by $U \supset V$; E. The strings U, V, and E are called the *original*, *aligned*, and *extracted strings*, respectively. If U = V, we write $U \supset V$; 1, where 1 denotes the empty string.

Suppose that U, V, and E are (possibly infinite) strings. Suppose that U(n), V(n), and E(n), $n \ge 1$, are sequences of finite strings such that $U(n) \supset V(n)$; E(n), $\lim U(n) = U$, $\lim V(n) = V$, and $\lim E(n) = E$. Then we say that U aligns V with extraction E. This alignment is also denoted by $U \supset V$; E.

Lemma 1.1 [4, Lemmas 1 and 3]:

(a) (Uniqueness of extracted string) For given strings U and V, there is at most one string E such that $U \supset V$; E.

(b) (Concatenation) If U_i, V_i , and $E_i, 1 \le i \le m$, are strings of finite lengths and if $U_i \supset V_i; E_i, 1 \le i \le m$, then

$$U_1 U_2 \dots U_m \supset V_1 V_2 \dots V_m; E_1 E_2 \dots E_m.$$

Lemma 1.2:

- (i) $c_n \supset c_{n-1}; c_{n-2}, n \ge 2$.
- (*ii*) $c_n \supset c_n$; 1, $n \ge 1$.
- (iii) $c_n = c_{n-2}c_{n-1}, n \ge 2$.
- (iv) $c_n c_{n+1} \dots c_p \supset c_{n+1} \dots c_p; c_n, 1 \le n < p$.
- (v) $c_n c_{n+2} \supset c_{n+2}; c_n, n \ge 1.$
- (vi) $c_n c_n \supset c_{n+1}; c_{n-2}, n \ge 2$.
- (vii) $c_n c_{n+3} \supset c_{n+1} c_{n+2}; c_n, n \ge 0.$

Proof: Part (i) has been proved in [4] by induction. Parts (ii) and (iii) are trivial. According to (i) and (ii), we have

$$c_n c_{n+1} \supset c_{n+1}; \ c_n$$
$$c_{n+i} \supset c_{n+i}; \ 1, \ 2 \le i \le p-n$$

Part (iv) now follows by concatenation [Lemma 1.1(b)]. The proofs of (v)-(vii) are similar to (iv).

114

MAY

Lemma 1.3: Let $t \ge 1$. Let $\gamma(0) = 0$ and let $\gamma(1), ..., \gamma(t)$ be positive integers such that $\gamma(i) + 2 \le \gamma(i+1), 1 \le i \le t-1$. Let

$$U = c_{1}c_{2} \dots c_{\gamma(t)}c_{\gamma(t)+1}$$

$$V = \begin{cases} c_{1}c_{2} \dots c_{\gamma(1)-1}c_{\gamma(1)+1}, & \text{if } t = 1, \\ (c_{1}c_{2} \dots c_{\gamma(1)-1})(c_{\gamma(1)+1} \dots c_{\gamma(2)-1}) \cdots (c_{\gamma(t-1)+1} \dots c_{\gamma(t)-1})c_{\gamma(t)+1}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$E = c_{\gamma(1)}c_{\gamma(2)} \dots c_{\gamma(t)},$$

where the factor $c_1c_2 \dots c_{\gamma(1)-1}$ does not appear if $\gamma(1) = 1$. Then $U \supset V$; E.

Proof: By Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\begin{split} & c_{1}c_{2}\ldots c_{\gamma(1)-1} \supset c_{1}c_{2}\ldots c_{\gamma(1)-1}; \ 1, & \text{if } \gamma(1) > 1, \\ & c_{\gamma(i)}c_{\gamma(i)+1}\ldots c_{\gamma(i+1)-1} \supset c_{\gamma(i)+1}\ldots c_{\gamma(i+1)-1}; \ c_{\gamma(i)}, \ 1 \leq i \leq t-1, \\ & c_{\gamma(t)}c_{\gamma(t)+1} \supset c_{\gamma(t)+1}; \ c_{\gamma(t)}. \end{split}$$

The result now follows by concatenation.

Lemma 1.4 [4, Lemma 5]: Let $m \ge 1$ have Zeckendorf representation

$$m = F_{k(1)} + F_{k(2)} + \dots + F_{k(t)}$$
(3)

with $k(1) \ge 2$, $k(i) + 2 \le k(i+1)$, i = 1, ..., t-1. Let $\gamma(i) = k(i) - 1$, $1 \le i \le t$, and let V be as in Lemma 1.3. Then

$$x_m = V c_{\gamma(t)+2} c_{\gamma(t)+3} \dots$$
(4)

The ordered collection of indices $1, 2, ..., \gamma(1) - 1, \gamma(1) + 1, ..., \gamma(2) - 1, ..., \gamma(t-1) + 1, ..., \gamma(t) - 1, \gamma(t) + 1, \gamma(t) + 2, ... is called the$ *canonical representation of* $<math>x_m$. Actually [4, Definition 3] uses the term "canonical representation" to refer to the function of the positive integers enumerating this ordered collection. However, in the sequel, if there is no ambiguity, we will simply, by abuse of language, call (4) the canonical representation of x_m .

Corollary 1.5: Let $x_m = c_{\alpha(1)}c_{\alpha(2)}$... be a canonical representation. Then

- (i) $(\alpha(1), \alpha(2)) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3), (2, 4)\}.$
- (ii) $\alpha(k+1) \in \{\alpha(k)+1, \alpha(k)+2\}$, for all $k \ge 1$.
- (iii) There exists a positive integer r such that $\alpha(k+1) = \alpha(k) + 1$ for all $k \ge r$.

2. THE ALIGNMENTS $x \supset x_m$; $y_{0,m}$ AND $x_m \supset x$; $y_{m,0}$

We now express the extraction $y_{0,m}$ in terms of the c_i .

Lemma 2.1: For $m \ge 1$, let *m* have Zeckendorf representation (3). Let $\gamma(i) = k(i) - 1$, $1 \le i \le t$. Then

$$y_{0,m}=c_{\gamma(1)}\ldots c_{\gamma(t)},$$

where $y_{0,m}$ is defined by (2).

1995]

Proof: The result follows from (1), (4), Lemma 1.3, and Lemma 1.2(ii) by concatenation. Next, we look at the left factors of the golden sequence. Let

 $w_1 = a, x_2 = b, w_{n+1} = w_n w_{n-1}, n \ge 2$.

In the notation of [1]-[3],
$$w_n = w_n^0$$
, $n \ge 1$.

Lemma 2.2: Let $n \ge 4$. Then $w_n w_n$ is a left factor of x.

Proof: First, observe that

By Lemma 1.4 of [3], w_{n+2} is a left factor of x, for all $n \ge 4$. The result immediately follows.

Lemma 2.3: Let $m \ge 1$ have Zeckendorf representation (3). Then

w_n.

$$s_m = w_{k(t)} \dots x_{k(2)} w_{k(1)}.$$

Proof: The result clearly holds for m = 1, 2, 3. Suppose $m \ge 4$ and that the result is true for all positive integers less than m.

First, suppose t = 1 so that, by (3), $m = F_n$ for some *n*. By Lemma 2.2, w_n is a left factor of *x*. By definition, s_m is also a left factor of *x*. Since both these left factors of *x* have the same length F_n , they are both equal.

Next, suppose that t > 1. Then, by (3),

$$F_{k(t)} < m < F_{k(t)+1} \le 2F_{k(t)}$$

Note that $s_{F_{k(t)}} = w_{k(t)}$ since they are both left factors of x of the same length. let

$$s_m = s_{F_{k(t)}} s = w_{k(t)} s,$$

where s has length $m - F_{k(t)}$. By Lemma 2.2, $w_{k(t)}w_{k(t)}$ is a left factor of x. Since $s_m = w_{k(t)}s$ is also a left factor of x, it follows that s is a left factor of $w_{k(t)}$. Therefore, $s = s_{m-F_{k(t)}}$; hence,

$$S_m = W_{k(t)}S_{m-F_{k(t)}}$$

By the induction hypothesis, the Zeckendorf representation

1

$$n - F_{k(t)} = F_{k(t-1)} + \dots + F_{k(2)} + F_{k(1)}$$

gives the factorization

$$S_{m-F_{k(t)}} = W_{k(t-1)} \cdots W_{k(2)} W_{k(1)}.$$

Consequently, s_m has the desired factorization.

Theorem 2.4: For $m \ge 1$,

$$y_{0,m} = R(s_m).$$

116

[MAY

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned} R(s_m) &= R(w_{k(1)})R(w_{k(2)})\cdots R(w_{k(t)}), & \text{by Lemma 2.3,} \\ &= c_{k(1)-1}c_{k(2)-1}\dots c_{k(t)-1}, & \text{by the result, } R(w_n) = c_{n-1}, \text{ of Theorem 3 in [1],} \\ &= c_{\gamma(1)}\dots c_{\gamma(t)}, & \text{using the notations of Lemma 1.4,} \\ &= y_{0,m}, & \text{by Lemma 2.1.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.5 (Modified Hofstadter's conjecture [4]): Let $m \ge 2$ have Zeckendorf representation (3). Then

 $x_m \supset x$; ax_{m-1} , if k(1) = 2 and k(2) is even; $x_m \supset x$; x_{m-2} , otherwise.

In other words, $y_{m,0} = \alpha x_{m-1}$ in the first case (this is also true when m = 1) and $y_{m,0} = x_{m-2}$ in the second case.

3. SOME LEMMAS

The goal of this section is to prove that, under appropriate conditions, if $s \supset t$; u, then $c_p s \supset t$; $c_p u$. The precise statement and conditions are set forth in Lemma 3.5. The major tool in proving Lemma 3.5 will be Lemma 3.1, which considers three cases.

Throughout this section, we let $p \ge 2$ and we let

$$s = c_{\alpha(1)}c_{\alpha(2)} \dots$$
$$t = c_{\beta(1)}c_{\beta(2)} \dots$$

with

$$\alpha(1) = p+2$$
 or $p+3$, $\beta(1) = p+1$ or $p+2$.

We suppose that r is a positive integer such that, for k < r, we have

$$\alpha(k+1) \in \{\alpha(k)+1, \ \alpha(k)+2\}, \quad \beta(k+1) \in \{\beta(k)+1, \ \beta(k)+2\},$$

while, for $k \ge r$, we have

$$\alpha(k+1) = \alpha(k) + 1, \quad \beta(k+1) = \beta(k) + 1.$$

Lemma 3.1: There is some k such that either cases (i) and (ii) listed below hold, or else case (iii) below holds for all k.

Case (i). There exists a string u_k such that

$$c_{\alpha(1)} \dots c_{\alpha(k)} \supset c_{\beta(1)} \dots c_{\beta(k)}; \ u_k, \tag{5}$$

$$c_p c_{\alpha(1)} \dots c_{\alpha(k)} \supset c_{\beta(1)} \dots c_{\beta(k)}; \ c_p u_k.$$
(6)

Case (ii). There exists a string u_k such that

$$c_{\alpha(1)} \dots c_{\alpha(k-1)} c_{\alpha(k)-2} \supset c_{\beta(1)} \dots c_{\beta(k)}; \ u_k, \tag{7}$$

$$c_p c_{\alpha(1)} \dots c_{\alpha(k-1)} c_{\alpha(k)-2} \supset c_{\beta(1)} \dots c_{\beta(k)}; \ c_p u_k.$$

$$\tag{8}$$

Case (iii).

$$\beta(k) = \alpha(k) - 1, \tag{9}$$

1995]

and there exist strings u_k and v_k such that

$$v_k c_{\alpha(k)-1} = c_p u_k, \tag{10}$$

$$c_{\alpha(1)} \dots c_{\alpha(k)} \supset c_{\beta(1)} \dots c_{\beta(k)}; \ u_k, \tag{11}$$

$$c_p c_{\alpha(1)} \dots c_{\alpha(k-1)} c_{\alpha(k)-2} \supset c_{\beta(1)} \dots c_{\beta(k)}; v_k.$$

$$(12)$$

The factor $c_{\alpha(1)} \dots c_{\alpha(k-1)}$ in (7), (8), and (12) does not appear if k = 1.

Proof: Lemma 3.1 follows immediately from the statements of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 which are proved below.

Lemma 3.2: If k = 1, then one of the three cases listed in Lemma 3.1 holds.

Proof: There are four cases to consider, according to the values of $\alpha(1)$ and $\beta(1)$.

Case (a). $\alpha(1) = p + 2$ and $\beta(1) = p + 1$

We show that case (iii) holds with $u_1 = c_p$ and $v_1 = c_{p-2}$. Clearly (9) holds. By Lemma 1.2(iii), (10) is satisfied. Alignment (11) follows from Lemma 1.2(i), while alignment (12) follows from Lemma 1.2(vi).

Case (b). $\alpha(1) = p + 2$ and $\beta(1) = p + 2$

We show that (i) holds with $u_1 = 1$. Then (5) follows from Lemma 1.2(ii) and (6) follows from Lemma 1.2(v).

Case (c). $\alpha(1) = p + 3 \text{ and } \beta(1) = p + 1$

We show that (ii) holds with $u_1 = 1$. Then (7) follows from Lemma 1.2(ii) and (8) follows from Lemma 1.2(iv).

Case (d). $\alpha(1) = p + 3$ and $\beta(1) = p + 2$

We show that (iii) holds with $u_1 = c_{p+1}$ and $v_1 = .$ Clearly (9) holds. Lemma 1.2(iii) implies equation (10), alignment (11) follows from Lemma 1.2(i), and (12) follows from Lemma 1.2(ii).

Lemma 3.3: Suppose, for some integer $k \ge 1$, case (iii) of Lemma 3.1 holds. Then, for k + 1, one of the three cases of Lemma 3.1 holds.

Proof: First, note that, by (9), $\beta(k+1) \in \{\alpha(k), \alpha(k)+1\}$. There are now four cases to consider, according to the values of $\alpha(k+1)$ and $\beta(k+1)$.

Case (a).
$$\alpha(k+1) = \alpha(k) + 1 \text{ and } \beta(k+1) = \alpha(k)$$

Let
 $u_{k+1} = u_k c_{\alpha(k)-1} \text{ and } v_{k+1} = v_k c_{\alpha(k)-3}.$ (13)

We show that (iii) holds with k+1 replacing k. Clearly $\beta(k+1) = \alpha(k+1) - 1$. By Lemma 1.2(iii) and (10), we have

$$v_{k+1}c_{\alpha(k+1)-1} = v_k c_{\alpha(k)-3}c_{\alpha(k)} = v_k c_{\alpha(k)-3}c_{\alpha(k)-2}c_{\alpha(k)-1}$$
$$= v_k c_{\alpha(k)-1}c_{\alpha(k)-1} = c_p u_k c_{\alpha(k)-1} = c_p u_{k+1}.$$

This demonstrates that (10) holds with k replaced by k + 1.

[MAY

To prove that (11) holds with k + 1 replacing k, we concatenate the following two alignments: (11) as is, with k and $c_{\alpha(k+1)} \supset c_{\beta(k+1)}$; $c_{\alpha(k)-1}$, the last alignment following from Lemma 1.2(i).

To prove that (12) holds with k+1 replacing k, we concatenate the following two alignments: (12) as is, with k and $c_{\alpha(k)-1}c_{\alpha(k+1)-2} \supset c_{\beta(k+1)}$; $c_{\alpha(k)-3}$, the last alignment following from Lemma 1.2(vi) with $n = \alpha(k)$. Alignment (12) with k+1 replacing k then holds since, by Lemma 1.2(iii), $c_{\alpha(k)-2}c_{\alpha(k)-1} = c_{\alpha(k)}$.

Case (b). $\alpha(k+1) = \alpha(k) + 1$ and $\beta(k+1) = \alpha(k) + 1$

Let $u_{k+1} = u_k$. We prove that (i) holds with k + 1 replacing k.

To prove that (5) holds with k+1 replacing k, we concatenate the following two alignments: (11) and $c_{\alpha(k+1)} \supset c_{\beta(k+1)}$; 1, this last alignment holding by Lemma 1.2(ii).

To prove (6) with k+1 replacing k, we concatenate the following two alignments: (12) and $c_{\alpha(k)-1}c_{\alpha(k+1)} \supset c_{\beta(k+1)}$; $c_{\alpha(k)-1}$, the last alignment following from Lemma 1.2(v). Alignment (6) with k+1 replacing k then follows from (10) and Lemma 1.2(iii) with $n = \alpha(k)$.

Case (c). $\alpha(k+1) = \alpha(k) + 2$ and $\beta(k+1) = \alpha(k)$

Let $u_{k+1} = u_k$. We show that (ii) holds with k + 1 replacing k.

To prove (7) with k + 1 replacing k, we concatenate the following two alignments: (11) and $c_{\alpha(k+1)-2} \supset c_{\beta(k+1)}$; 1, the last alignment following from Lemma 1.2(ii).

To prove (8) with k+1 replacing k, we concatenate the following two alignments: (12) and $c_{\alpha(k)-1}c_{\alpha(k+1)-2} \supset c_{\beta(k+1)}$; $c_{\alpha(k)-1}$, the last alignment following from Lemma 1.2(iii) and (i). Alignment (8) with k+1 replacing k then follows from (10) and Lemma 1.2(iii) with $n = \alpha(k)$.

Case (d). $\alpha(k+1) = \alpha(k) + 2$ and $\beta(k+1) = \alpha(k) + 1$

Let $u_{k+1} = u_k c_{\alpha(k)}$ and let $v_{k+1} = v_k$. We show that (iii) holds with k+1 replacing k. Clearly (10) with k+1 replacing k follows from (10) as is and Lemma 1.2(iii).

To prove (11) with k + 1 replacing k, we concatenate the following two alignments: (11) as is and $c_{\alpha(k+1)} \supset c_{\beta(k+1)}$; $c_{\alpha(k)}$, the last alignment following from Lemma 1.2(i).

To prove (12) with k + 1 replacing k, we concatenate the following two alignments: (12) as is and $c_{\alpha(k)-1}c_{\alpha(k+1)-2} \supset c_{\beta(k+1)}$; 1, the last alignment following from Lemma 1.2(iii) and (i).

As already noted, Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 provide an inductive proof to Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.4:

(i) If cases (i) and (iii) of Lemma 3.1 do not hold for any k, then eventually (for all $k \ge r$) we are in case (a) of Lemma 3.3.

(ii) In such a case, v_k (resp. u_k) is a proper left factor of v_{k+1} (resp. u_{k+1}).

Proof: By the hypothesis of this lemma, Lemma 3.2, and Lemma 3.3, case (iii) of Lemma 3.1 must hold for all k. By the hypothesis at the beginning of the section, $\alpha(k+1) = \alpha(k) + 1$ for all $k \ge r$. Hence, of the four cases of Lemma 3.3, case (d) cannot hold and, clearly, cases (b) and (c) also do not hold. This proves assertion (i).

Assertion (ii) follows from equation (13).

We are now in a position to state the main lemma.

Lemma 3.5: Assume that the notations and assumptions stated at the beginning of this section hold. If $s \supset t$; u, then $c_p s \supset t$; $c_p u$.

Proof: The proof of Lemma 3.5 follows directly from the proof of Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 below.

Lemma 3.6: If, for some k, case (i) or (ii) of Lemma 3.1 holds, then Lemma 3.5 is true.

Proof: Let

Then

$$t' = c_{\beta(k+1)}c_{\beta(k+2)}\dots$$
$$s = c_{\alpha(1)}\dots c_{\alpha(k)}s',$$

 $s' = c_{\alpha(k+1)} c_{\alpha(k+2)} \dots,$

$$t = c_{\beta(1)} \dots c_{\beta(k)} t'.$$

If (i) holds, then define u' so that $s' \supset t'$; u'. Note that u' exists because s' and t' each have an infinite number of "a"s and "b"s. By concatenating this alignment with (5) and (6), respectively, we obtain

$$s \supset t; u_k u'$$

 $c_p s \supset t; c_p u_k u'.$

Hence, $u_k u' = u$ by uniqueness of extracted strings, $c_p u_k u' = c_p u$ and we are done.

If (ii) holds, let $c_{\alpha(k)-1}s' \supset t'$; u'. Then

$$s \supset t; \ u_k u'$$

 $c_p s \supset t; \ c_p u_k u'$

with $u_k u' = u$, $c_p u_k u' = c_p u$ and again we are done.

Lemma 3.7: If cases (i) and (ii) of Lemma 3.1 do not hold for any k, then Lemma 3.5 is true.

Proof: By Lemma 3.4(ii), both $v = \lim v_k$ and $u_0 = \lim u_k$ are infinite strings. Taking the limits of (11) and (12) as k goes to infinity, it is clear that

$$s \supset t; u_0$$

 $c_p s \supset t; v.$

By uniqueness of extracted strings, we have $u = u_0$. By Lemma 3.4 and (13), we have

$$v_{k+2} = v_{k+1}c_{\alpha(k+1)-3} = v_k c_{\alpha(k)-3}c_{\alpha(k)-2} = v_k c_{\alpha(k)-1} = c_p u_k \quad (k \ge r)$$

Consequently, $v = \lim v_{k+2} - \lim c_p u_k = c_p \lim u_k = c_p u$.

Remark: Lemma 3.5 also holds when p = 1. The proof for this case is straightforward and is left for the reader.

4. THE ALIGNMENTS $x_m \supset x_n$; $y_{m,n}$

Theorem 4.1: Either the two extracted strings $y_{m,n}$ and $y_{m+1,n+1}$ are equal or else they differ by the first letter only. Here, $y_{m,n}$ is defined by (2).

Proof: Let $x_m = c_{\alpha(1)}c_{\alpha(2)} \dots$ and $x_n = c_{\beta(1)}c_{\beta(2)} \dots$ be the canonical representations of x_m and x_n , respectively. By Corollary 1.5(i), we have three cases to consider, according to the values of $\alpha(1)$ and $\beta(1)$.

Case (i). $\alpha(1) = \beta(1)$

Clearly $y_{m,n} = y_{m+1,n+1}$ in this case.

Case (ii). $\alpha(1) = 2$ and $\beta(1) = 1$

By Corollary 1.5(i), there are three subcases to consider:

- (a) If $x_m = c_2 s$, $x_n = c_1 c_2 t$ and $s \supset c_2 t$; *u*, then $y_{m,n} = au$ and $y_{m+1,n+1} = bu$.
- (b) If $x_m = c_2 c_3 s$, $x_n = c_1 c_3 t$ and $s \supset t$; *u*, then $y_{m,n} = au$ and $y_{m+1,n+1} = bu$.
- (c) If $x_m = c_2c_4s$, $x_n = c_1c_3t$ and $s \supset t$; *u*, then $y_{m,n} = ac_2u$ and $y_{m+1,n+1} = c_3u = bc_2u$ by Lemma 3.5.

Case (iii). $\alpha(1) = 1$ and $\beta(1) = 2$

- (a) If $x_m = c_1 c_2 s$, $x_n = c_2 t$ and $s \supset t$; *u*, then $y_{m,n} = bu$ and $y_{m+1,n+1} = au$.
- (b) If $x_m = c_1c_3s$, $x_n = c_2t$ and $s \supset t$; u, then $y_{m,n} = bbu$ and $y_{m+1,n+1} = c_2u = abu$ by Lemma 3.5.

This theorem, together with Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 (the modified Hofstadter's conjecture) imply the following result.

Corollary 4.2: Let m and n be two nonnegative integers.

(a) If m > n, then $y_{m,n}$ is an infinite string; for $m \ge n+2$ (resp. m = n+1) the strings $y_{m,n}$ and x_{m-n-2} (resp. ax) differ by at most the first letter.

(b) If n > m, then $y_{m,n}$ is a finite string with length n-m; the strings $y_{m,n}$ and $R(s_{n-m})$ differ by at most the first letter.

The above corollary motivates determining the first letters of the strings $y_{m,n}$ $(m \neq n)$, x_{m-n-2} $(m \ge n+2)$, and $R(s_{n-m})$ (n > m), where m and n are nonnegative integers.

Lemma 4.3:

(a) Let $m \ge n+2$. Let $m-n-2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j F_{j+1}$ be the Zeckendorf representation of m-n-2. Then the first letter of x_{m-n-2} is an "a" or "b" depending on whether ε_1 equals 1 or 0, respectively.

(b) Let n > m. Let $n-m-1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j F_{j+1}$ be the Zeckendorf representation of n-m-1. Then the first letter of $R(s_{n-m})$ is an "a" or "b" depending on whether ε_1 equals 1 or 0, respectively.

(c) Let $m \neq n$. Let $m = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j F_{j+1}$ and $n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \delta_j F_{j+1}$ be the Zeckendorf representations of m and n, respectively. Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $\varepsilon_k \neq \delta_k$. Then the first letter of $y_{m,n}$ is an "a" iff either $\varepsilon_k = 0$ with k even or $\varepsilon_k = 1$ with k odd.

Proof: (a) and (b) follow from [8, p. 85]. A similar proof holds for (c) after noting that, by Lemma 1.4, the following statements are true:

If $\varepsilon_k = 0$ (resp. 1) and $\delta_k = 1$ (resp. 0), then $x_m = uc_k s$ (resp. $uc_{k+1} s$) and $x_n = uc_{k+1} t$ (resp. $uc_k t$) for some strings u, s, and t.

ACKNOWLEDGMEWNT

The author wishes to express her gratitude to the referee for his/her valuable comments regarding this paper

REFERENCES

- 1. W. Chuan. "Fibonacci Words." The Fibonacci Quarterly 30.1 (1992):68-76.
- 2. W. Chuan. "Symmetric Fibonacci Words." The Fibonacci Quarterly 31.3 (1993):251-55.
- W. Chuan. "Embedding Fibonacci Words into Fibonacci Word Patterns." In Applications of Fibonacci Numbers 5:113-22. Ed. G. E. Bergum, A. N. Philippou, & A. F. Horadam. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1993.
- 4. R. J. Hendel & S. A. Monteferrante. "Hofstadter's Extraction Conjecture." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **32.2** (1994):98-107.
- 5. P. M. Higgins. "The Naming of Popes and a Fibonacci Sequence in Two Noncommuting Indeterminates." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **25.1** (1987):57-61.
- 6. D. R. Hofstadter. *Eta-Lore*. First presented at the Stanford Math Club, Stanford, California, 1963, p. 13.
- 7. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1969; rpt. Santa Clara, CA: The Fibonacci Association, 1980.
- 8. D. E. Knuth. The Art of Computer Programming, Vol. I. New York: Addison-Wesley, 1973.

AMS Classification Numbers: 68R15, 20M05
