

P-LATIN MATRICES AND PASCAL'S TRIANGLE MODULO A PRIME

Valery V. Karachik

Institute of Cybernetics of Acad. Sci. of Uzbekistan,
34, F. Hodzhaev St., Tashkent, 700143, Uzbekistan
(Submitted December 1994)

INTRODUCTION

One of the more effective methods of counting residues modulo a prime in the rows of Pascal's triangle is a reduction of this problem to that of solving of certain systems of recurrence equations. This way was successfully employed by B. A. Bondarenko [1] in the investigation of this problem for various values of p and (only) for certain rows of Pascal's triangle. However, some characteristic properties of the matrices of these recurrent systems were noticed which led to the idea of p -latin matrices. This idea was formulated in more detail in [2], which also uses p -latin matrices in the investigation of other arithmetic triangles.

In this paper we consider a new application of the properties of p -latin matrices to the investigation of Pascal's triangle modulo a prime. Using a representation of the p -latin matrices in a convenient basis, we obtain the distribution of Pascal's triangle elements modulo a prime for an arbitrary row.

p -LATIN MATRICES

We note the definition of a p -latin matrix as given in [1] and [2]. A square matrix of order n is called a "latin square of order n " [3] if its elements take on n values in such a way that each value occurs only once in each column and row. A latin square of order n is called a " p -latin square of order n " if no diagonals except the main and secondary ones (the element indices are i and $n-i+1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$) have equal elements. A p -latin square of order n is said to be a "normalized p -latin square of order n " if its first row has the form $(1, 2, \dots, n)$, and the main diagonal has the form $(1, \dots, 1)$.

We will construct such a matrix for any prime p .

Let us introduce the matrix $P = (j/i)_{i, j=1, \overline{p-1}}$ of order $p-1$ whose elements are to be understood as elements from the field \mathbb{Z}_p . (Here and later we use the notation $i, j = 1, \overline{p-1}$ to mean $1 \leq i \leq p-1, 1 \leq j \leq p-1$.)

Example 1: For $p = 7$, the matrix P has the form:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1/1 & 2/1 & 3/1 & 4/1 & 5/1 & 6/1 \\ 1/2 & 2/2 & 3/2 & 4/2 & 5/2 & 6/2 \\ 1/3 & 2/3 & 3/3 & 4/3 & 5/3 & 6/3 \\ 1/4 & 2/4 & 3/4 & 4/4 & 5/4 & 6/4 \\ 1/5 & 2/5 & 3/5 & 4/5 & 5/5 & 6/5 \\ 1/6 & 2/6 & 3/6 & 4/6 & 5/6 & 6/6 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 6 & 3 \\ 5 & 3 & 1 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 & 2 & 5 & 1 & 4 \\ 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 1: If p is a prime number, then the matrix P is a normalized p -latin square.

Proof: It is obvious that elements of P occurring in the same row or column are distinct and belong to the multiplicative group of the field \mathbb{Z}_p . Thus the matrix P is a latin square.

Let j/i be one element of some diagonal that is parallel to the main diagonal. Then any other element of this diagonal has the form $(j+s)/(i+s)$. Assume that these elements are equal; then $is = js$ and therefore $i = j$, so in this case the element j/i has to occur on the main diagonal. There is an analogous situation with diagonals parallel to the secondary one. Hence P is a p -latin square. Since the first row of P has the form $1, 2, \dots, p-1$ and on the main diagonal there are only 1's, P is a normalized p -latin square.

Let us define the set of square matrices of order $p-1$ (called in [2] "normalized p -latin matrices"):

$$\mathbb{N}_p = \left\{ (c_{p_{i,j}})_{i,j=1, \overline{p-1}} \mid c_1, \dots, c_{p-1} \in \mathbb{C}, (p_{i,j}) = P \right\},$$

where \mathbb{C} denotes the complex numbers.

Example 2: If $p = 7$, then, according to Example 1, the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & c_5 & c_6 \\ c_4 & c_1 & c_5 & c_2 & c_6 & c_3 \\ c_5 & c_3 & c_1 & c_6 & c_4 & c_2 \\ c_2 & c_4 & c_6 & c_1 & c_3 & c_5 \\ c_3 & c_6 & c_2 & c_5 & c_1 & c_4 \\ c_6 & c_5 & c_4 & c_3 & c_2 & c_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

belongs to \mathbb{N}_7 .

Though the idea of this set of matrices was contained in [1] and [2], their existence for any p was not made explicit.

Corollary 1: If $C, B \in \mathbb{N}_p$, then $CB \in \mathbb{N}_p$ and $CB = BC$.

Proof: In fact, if $C = (c_{i,j})$ and $B = (b_{i,j})$, then the equality

$$CB = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c_{ki} b_{jk} \right)_{i,j=1, \overline{p-1}} = \left(\sum_{s=1}^{p-1} c_s b_{j/(is)} \right)_{i,j=1, \overline{p-1}},$$

where all indices are in \mathbb{Z}_p , holds. Therefore, if we denote by a_k the sum $\sum_{s=1}^{p-1} c_s b_{k/s}$, then we will have $CB = (a_{j/i})_{i,j=1, \overline{p-1}}$; hence $CB \in \mathbb{N}_p$. Moreover, in the same way, we can establish

$$BC = \left(\sum_{s=1}^{p-1} b_s c_{js/i} \right)_{i,j=1, \overline{p-1}} = (a_{j/i})_{i,j=1, \overline{p-1}},$$

with the aid of the equality

$$a_k = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} b_s c_{k/s}.$$

Hence $CB = BC$, which was to be proved.

We develop the properties of these matrices from \mathbb{N}_p in what follows.

Proof: Using Theorem 2, we can write the equality

$$\Delta_{p^{r+1}}^{(1)} = \Delta_p^{(1)} * \Delta_{p^r},$$

which means that the n^{th} row of $\Delta_{p^{r+1}}^{(1)}$ is found in the a_r^{th} row of $\Delta_p^{(1)}$, which consists of the triangles $\Delta_{p^r}^{(k)}, 1 \leq k \leq p-1$ (see Example 3). If we set $n_{(k)} \equiv (a_{r-k}, \dots, a_0)$, then the following vector equality will hold:

$$(g_s^{(k)}(n, p))_{k=1, \overline{p-1}} = B_{a_r} (g_s^{(k)}(n_{(1)}, p))_{k=1, \overline{p-1}}.$$

Continuing this process, we can obtain

$$(g_s^{(k)}(n, p))_{k=1, \overline{p-1}} = B_{a_r} \dots B_{a_1} (g_s^{(k)}(n_{(r)}, p))_{k=1, \overline{p-1}}.$$

Since $n_{(r)} = a_0$ and $g_s^{(k)}(a_0, p) = (B_{a_0})_{s,k}$, we get (1). This completes the proof.

Using Theorem 3, we can reduce counting the $g_s^{(1)}(n, p)$, where $s = \overline{1, p-1}$, to finding a product of the matrices B_k .

Theorem 4: $B_k \in \mathbb{N}_p$.

Proof: Let $b_1^{(k)}, \dots, b_{p-1}^{(k)}$ be the elements of the first row of B_k . We will prove the equality

$$B_k = (b_{p_i, j}^{(k)})_{i, j=1, \overline{p-1}}. \tag{2}$$

We can define the addition of the triangles $\Delta_p^{(k)}$ as the same operation between corresponding elements of $\Delta_p^{(k)}$ in \mathbb{Z}_p . For example, the following equality

$$\sum_{k=1}^s \Delta_p^{(1)} = \Delta_p^{(s)} \tag{3}$$

holds. If we denote the elements of matrix B_k by $b_{i, j}^{(k)}$, then, using (3) and the definition of $b_{i, j}^{(k)}$, we can write $b_{1, j}^{(k)} = b_{s, js}^{(k)}$ for each $s = \overline{1, p-1}$. Thus, $b_{i, j}^{(k)} = b_{1, j/i}^{(k)}$, and hence (2) holds. The proof is complete.

Let n_i be the number of elements equal to i in the p -ary representation of n in the form $n = (a_r, \dots, a_0)_p$. By (1), using Corollary 1, we can find

$$g_s^{(k)}(n, p) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} B_i^{n_i} \right)_{k, s}. \tag{4}$$

Here the matrix B_0 is absent because $B_0 = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1) \equiv E$. Now, to calculate the value of $g_s^{(k)}(n, p)$, we have to investigate the further properties of the matrices in \mathbb{N}_p .

PROPERTIES OF THE MATRICES FROM \mathbb{N}_p

It is true that \mathbb{N}_p is just a subspace of the linear space of square matrices of order $p-1$. Moreover, we have

Corollary 2: $\text{Dim } \mathbb{N}_p = p-1$ and

$$B \in \mathbb{N}_p \Rightarrow B = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b_k I_k, \tag{5}$$

where $I_k \in \mathbb{N}_p$ and $I_k = (\delta_{ki, j})_{i, j=1, \overline{p-1}}$.

Here $\delta_{i, j}$ is Kronecker's symbol and all indices are to be understood as elements from \mathbb{Z}_p .

Proof of this property can be obtained directly from the definition of \mathbb{N}_p .

Let us verify that the matrices I_k possess the property $I_k I_m = I_{km}$. In fact

$$I_k I_m = \left(\sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \delta_{ki, s} \delta_{ms, j} \right)_{i, j=1, \overline{p-1}},$$

and consequently the element of the matrix $I_k I_m$ with the indices i and j does not vanish if there exists an s so that $ki = s$ and $ms = j$. Hence $j = mki$, and therefore $I_k I_m = (\delta_{mki, j})_{i, j=1, \overline{p-1}} = I_{km}$.

Let ν be the root of the equation $x^{p-1} = 1$ in the field \mathbb{Z}_p , such that for each $k = 1, \overline{p-2}$ the inequality $\nu^k \neq 1$ holds. For what follows, it will be convenient to introduce the matrices $J_k = (I_\nu)^k$. If we set $c_k = b_{\nu^k}$, then (5) can be written in the form

$$B = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c_k J_k. \tag{6}$$

Corollary 3: If μ is an eigenvalue of B , then there is a root of the equation $z^{p-1} = 1$ in \mathbb{C} , which we denote as λ , such that

$$\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c_k \lambda^k. \tag{7}$$

Proof: Let a be some vector from \mathbb{C}^{p-1} and

$$b = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \lambda^{-k} J_k a.$$

Then, employing the equality $J_s b = \lambda^s b$ and carrying this out for each $s = 1, \overline{p-1}$, we can write

$$Bb = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c_k J_k b = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c_k \lambda^k b = \mu b,$$

i.e., μ is an eigenvalue of B . Now it remains to prove that formula (7) gives us all eigenvalues of B . We will complete this after Corollary 6.

As a consequence of Corollary 3, we note that the matrices I_k , and hence the matrices J_k , are nonsingular matrices, and $\forall k, \det I_k = \det J_k = 1$. Indeed, since all eigenvalues of J_k are the roots of the equation $x^{p-1} = 1$ (we denote them by λ_i), then we have

$$\det J_k = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i^k = \mu^k,$$

where $\mu = \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{p-1}$. Using the equality $\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} k = 0 \pmod{p}$, we get $\mu = 1$, and hence $\det J_k = 1$.

As another interesting property of the matrices I_k we note that they are orthogonal matrices, namely, $I_k I_k^* = E$, where $(a_{i,j})^* = (\overline{a_{j,i}})$ and the bar denotes complex conjugation. This immediately follows from the equality

$$I_k^* = (\delta_{i,jk})_{i,j=\overline{1,p-1}} = I_{1/k}.$$

Obviously, the matrices J_k possess the same property, but by the equality $J_k J_s = J_{k+s}$ we have

$$J_k^* = J_k^{-1} = J_{p-k-1} \tag{8}$$

for each $k = \overline{1, p-2}$. Since $J_{p-1} = E$, we have $J_{p-1}^* = J_{p-1}$.

Corollary 4: Let B be in \mathbb{N}_p and be written in the form (6), then

$$B^* = \sum_{k=1}^{p-2} \overline{c_{p-k-1}} J_k + \overline{c_{p-1}} J_{p-1}.$$

Proof: In fact, using (8), we immediately obtain

$$B^* = \sum_{k=1}^{p-2} \overline{c_k} J_k^* + \overline{c_{p-1}} J_{p-1}^* = \sum_{k=1}^{p-2} \overline{c_k} J_{p-k-1} + \overline{c_{p-1}} J_{p-1};$$

hence Corollary 4 is true.

Let us introduce the matrices S_i for $i = \overline{1, p-1}$ in the form

$$S_i = \frac{1}{(p-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i^{-k} J_k. \tag{9}$$

Here, as before, λ_i is one of the roots of the equation $x^{p-1} = 1$ in \mathbb{C} . It is clear that, for each $i = \overline{1, p-1}$, the matrices S_i belong to \mathbb{N}_p .

Let λ be a primitive root of the equation $x^{p-1} = 1$, i.e., for each $k = \overline{1, p-2}$, we have $\lambda^k \neq 1$. Therefore, in formula (9), we can assume that $\lambda_i = \lambda^i$.

Theorem 5: The following equalities,

$$S_i S_j = \delta_{i,j} S_i \tag{10}$$

are true for all $i, j = \overline{1, p-1}$.

Proof: Consider the left-hand side of (10). After some calculation, we get

$$S_i S_j = \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{p-2} \lambda_j^{-\ell} J_\ell \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \lambda_i^{-k} + \sum_{\ell=p-1}^{2(p-2)} \lambda_j^{-\ell} J_\ell \sum_{k=\ell-p+2}^{p-2} \lambda_i^{-k} \right],$$

whence

$$S_i S_j = \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{p-2} \lambda_j^{-\ell} J_\ell \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \lambda_i^{-k} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-3} \lambda_j^{-\ell} J_\ell \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{p-2} \lambda_i^{-k} \right];$$

hence

$$S_i S_j = \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-2} \lambda_j^{-\ell} J_{\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \lambda_{i-j}^{-k}.$$

Let us examine this equality. Employing the identity $\lambda_{i-j} = \lambda_i / \lambda_j$, where $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ (for $i \neq j$), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \lambda_{i-j}^{-k} = (\lambda_{i-j}^{1-p} - 1) / (\lambda_{i-j}^{-1} - 1) = 0.$$

Hence (10) holds for $i \neq j$. Further, at $i = j$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \lambda_{i-j}^{-k} = p-1; \tag{11}$$

consequently, $S_i^2 = S_i$, and the proof is complete.

The matrices S_i are Hermitian, i.e., they possess the property $S_i = S_i^*$. In fact, for $i = \overline{1, p-1}$, we have

$$S_i^* = \frac{1}{(p-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i^k J_k^* = \frac{1}{(p-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i^{k-p+1} J_{p-k-1} = S_i.$$

Let us denote the transposed matrix $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j=\overline{1, p-1}}$ by $A' = (a_{j,i})_{i,j=\overline{1, p-1}}$. Then we have $S_i' = S_{p-i-1}$ for $i = \overline{1, p-2}$. This can be proved in the same way as the previous result, but we need to keep in mind that $J_k^* = J_k'$ and $\bar{\lambda}_i = \lambda_{p-i-1}$.

Theorem 6: The equalities

$$J_k = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i^k S_i, \quad k = \overline{1, p-1}, \tag{12}$$

which are converse to (9), are true.

Proof: Employing (9)-(11) and making some transformations, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i S_i = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left[\frac{1}{(p-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i^{1-k} \right] J_k = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \delta_{k,1} J_k.$$

Therefore, (12) is true for $k = 1$. For the completion of the proof, it suffices to note that $J_k = J_1^k$ and to make use of (10).

Now we must note that the matrix S_{p-1} consists only of 1's in each place; hence $S_{p-1}' = S_{p-1}$. This is clear from the following equalities,

$$S_{p-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} J_k = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} I_k = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \delta_{ki,j} J_k \right)_{i,j=\overline{1, p-1}},$$

if we bear in mind that, for $i, j = \overline{1, p-1}$, $\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \delta_{ki,j} = 1$.

Corollary 5: Let $B \in \mathbb{N}_p$, then

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \mu_i S_i, \quad \text{where } \mu_i \text{ are the eigenvalues of } B. \tag{13}$$

The proof of this Corollary can be obtained without difficulty from (6) by using Theorem 6 and equality (7).

Using the basis S_1, \dots, S_{p-1} , we can easily find the product of matrices from \mathbb{N}_p . To illustrate this statement we prove

Theorem 7: Let $\mu_1^{(i)}, \dots, \mu_{p-1}^{(i)}$ be the eigenvalues of the matrices B_i from Theorem 3. If we set

$$\sigma_j = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (\mu_j^{(i)})^{n_i}, \tag{14}$$

then the equality

$$g_s^{(k)}(n, p) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sigma_i S_i \right)_{k,s} \tag{15}$$

is true.

Proof: It is readily seen that, making use of (13) and Theorem 5, we can obtain

$$B_i^{n_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} (\mu_j^{(i)})^{n_i} S_j.$$

Therefore, equality (4) transforms to (15), and the proof is complete.

Note that we can also write σ_j in the form $\sigma_j = \mu_j^{(a_r)} \dots \mu_j^{(a_0)}$.

Corollary 6: Any eigenvector b_i of the matrix B corresponding to the eigenvalue μ_i can be written in the form

$$b_i = \sum_{(j)} S_j c_j, \tag{16}$$

where $c_j \in \mathbb{C}^{p-1}$ and the summation is taken over j satisfying the condition $\mu_j = \mu_i$.

Proof: Let b_i be the eigenvector of the matrix B corresponding to the eigenvalue μ_i . Operating on the equality $Bb_i = \mu_i b_i$ by the matrix S_s , using (13) and Theorem 5, we obtain $\mu_s S_s b_i = \mu_i S_s b_i$. If $\mu_i \neq \mu_s$ here, then $S_s b_i = 0$. Now, if we make use of the identity $E = S_1 + \dots + S_{p-1}$, which easily follows from Corollary 5 for $B = E$, then we get $b_i = (\sum_{(j)} S_j) b_i$.

In addition, if $c \in \mathbb{C}^{p-1}$, then, using the equality $B S_i c = \mu_i S_i c$, we can say that the vectors of the form $S_i c$ are the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue μ_i . Thus (16) is true, and the proof is complete.

Conclusion of the Proof of Corollary 3: Let us take $c \in \mathbb{C}^{p-1}$ so that $\forall k, S_k c \neq 0$. This is possible, for example, with $c = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. We saw above that the vector $c_k = S_k c$ is the eigenvector of the matrix B corresponding to the eigenvalue μ_k determined from (7) at $\lambda = \lambda_k$. We claim that the vectors c_k ($k = \overline{1, p-1}$) are linearly independent. In fact, if there are $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{p-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ not all zero and such that $\delta_1 c_1 + \dots + \delta_{p-1} c_{p-1} = 0$, then operating on this equality by S_k , we obtain $\delta_k c_k = 0$ or $\delta_k = 0$ for $k = \overline{1, p-1}$, which is a contradiction. Thus, the vectors c_k for $k = \overline{1, p-1}$ are the basis in \mathbb{C}^{p-1} , and so there are no other eigenvalues of B . Thus, the proof is complete.

Corollary 7: If $\mu_i \neq 0$ for each $i = \overline{1, p-1}$, then the matrix B has an inverse defined by the equality

$$B^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \mu_i^{-1} S_i.$$

To prove this statement, it is sufficient to use the identity $E = S_1 + \dots + S_{p-1}$ again, and to employ Theorem 5.

Now we apply the properties obtained of the matrices from \mathbb{N}_p to counting $g_s^{(k)}(n, p)$ for $p = 7$. It should be pointed out that in [5] this problem was considered for $p = 3$ and $p = 5$.

COUNTING $g_s^{(k)}(n, 7)$

To count the value of $g_s^{(k)}(n, p)$ we need, according to Theorem 7, to examine the triangles $\Delta_7^{(k)}$ for $k = \overline{1, 6}$. The triangle $\Delta_7^{(1)}$ has the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & 1 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & \\ & 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 & \\ & 1 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 6 & 1 \end{array}$$

If we multiply each element of $\Delta_7^{(1)}$ by k in \mathbb{Z}_p , we will obtain the triangle $\Delta_7^{(k)}$. For example, $\Delta_7^{(3)}$ has the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 3 & & \\ & & & & 3 & 3 & \\ & & & 3 & 6 & 3 & \\ & & 3 & 2 & 2 & 3 & \\ & 3 & 5 & 4 & 5 & 3 & \\ & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 3 \end{array}$$

Now we need to find the matrices B_k for $k = \overline{1, 6}$. Let us take, for instance, the 4th rows of triangles $\Delta_7^{(k)}$, which give us the matrix B_4 . The 4th row of triangle $\Delta_7^{(1)}$ has the form (1, 4, 6, 4, 1). Since the numbers 1 and 4 occur twice and the number 6 occurs once there, the first row of B_4 has the form (2, 0, 0, 2, 0, 1). If we want to count the third row of B_4 now, we must take the 4th row of triangle $\Delta_7^{(3)}$, which gives us what we desire, i.e., (0, 0, 2, 1, 2, 0). Thus, we can count all the matrices B_k for $k = \overline{1, 6}$. To write our calculation, we make use of the matrices J_k ($k = \overline{1, 6}$). So let us find the matrix J_1 . In our case, we have $\nu = 3$ because, for each $k = \overline{1, 5}$, the inequality $3^k \neq 1 \pmod{7}$ is correct. Therefore,

$$J_1 = I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we can write

$$B_0 = J_6, \quad B_1 = 2J_6, \quad B_2 = J_2 + 2J_6, \quad B_3 = 2J_1 + 2J_6, \\ B_4 = J_3 + 2J_4 + 2J_6, \quad B_5 = 2J_1 + 2J_5 + 2J_6, \quad B_6 = 3J_3 + 4J_6.$$

Let us assume that the number k is contained in the record of $(n)_7$ a total of n_k times. Using the notation of Theorem 7 and formulas (6) and (7), and keeping in mind that $\lambda_k = \exp(ik\pi/3)$ (here, $i^2 = -1$), we obtain, for each $k = \overline{1, 6}$,

$$\mu_k^{(1)} = 2, \quad \mu_k^{(2)} = \lambda_k^2 + 2, \quad \mu_k^{(3)} = 2\lambda_k + 2, \quad \mu_k^{(4)} = \lambda_k^3 + 2\lambda_k^4 + 2, \\ \mu_k^{(5)} = 2(\lambda_k + \lambda_k^5 + 1), \quad \mu_k^{(6)} = 3\lambda_k^3 + 4.$$

Whence, by (14),

$$\sigma_1 = 2^{n_1-n_2} (3+i\sqrt{3})^{n_2+n_3} (-i\sqrt{3})^{n_4} 4^{n_5}, \\ \sigma_2 = 2^{n_1-n_2} (3-i\sqrt{3})^{n_2} (1+i\sqrt{3})^{n_3} (2+i\sqrt{3})^{n_4} (2\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_4 + 2)^{n_5} 7^{n_6}, \\ \sigma_3 = (-1)^{n_5} 2^{n_1+n_3} 3^{n_2+n_4} (2\lambda_3 + 2)^{n_3}, \quad \sigma_6 = 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2} 4^{n_3} 5^{n_4} 6^{n_5} 7^{n_6}, \\ \sigma_4 = \overline{\sigma_2}, \quad \sigma_5 = \overline{\sigma_1}, \tag{17}$$

where the bar denotes the complex conjugate. To make use of (15), we need the matrices S_k ($k = \overline{1, 6}$). According to (9), the matrices S_1 and S_2 have the form

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \overline{\lambda_2} & \overline{\lambda_1} & \lambda_2 & \lambda_1 & -1 \\ \lambda_2 & 1 & \lambda_1 & \overline{\lambda_2} & -1 & \overline{\lambda_1} \\ \lambda_1 & \overline{\lambda_1} & 1 & -1 & \lambda_2 & \overline{\lambda_2} \\ \overline{\lambda_2} & \lambda_2 & -1 & 1 & \overline{\lambda_1} & \lambda_1 \\ \overline{\lambda_1} & -1 & \overline{\lambda_2} & \lambda_1 & 1 & \lambda_2 \\ -1 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \overline{\lambda_1} & \overline{\lambda_2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_2 = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_2 & \overline{\lambda_2} & \overline{\lambda_2} & \lambda_2 & 1 \\ \overline{\lambda_2} & 1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_2 & 1 & \overline{\lambda_2} \\ \lambda_2 & \overline{\lambda_2} & 1 & 1 & \overline{\lambda_2} & \lambda_2 \\ \overline{\lambda_2} & \overline{\lambda_2} & 1 & 1 & \overline{\lambda_2} & \lambda_2 \\ \overline{\lambda_2} & 1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_2 & 1 & \overline{\lambda_2} \\ 1 & \lambda_2 & \overline{\lambda_2} & \overline{\lambda_2} & \lambda_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we denote the k^{th} row of S_3 by $(S_3)_k$, then we have

$$(S_3)_1 = (S_3)_2 = -(S_3)_3 = (S_3)_4 = -(S_3)_5 = -(S_3)_6 \\ = 1/6(1, 1, -1, 1, -1, -1).$$

Also, from the general properties of S_j , we find $S_4 = S_2'$, $S_5 = S_1'$, $S_6 = (1)_{i,j=\overline{1,6}}$.

Now, from (15), keeping in mind (17), we can obtain what we required, i.e.,

$$g_1^{(1)}(n, 7) = 1/6[2 \operatorname{Re}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) + \sigma_3 + \sigma_6], \\ g_2^{(1)}(n, 7) = 1/6[2 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_4\sigma_1 + \lambda_2\sigma_2) + \sigma_3 + \sigma_6], \\ g_3^{(1)}(n, 7) = 1/6[2 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_5\sigma_1 + \lambda_4\sigma_2) - \sigma_3 + \sigma_6], \\ g_4^{(1)}(n, 7) = 1/6[2 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_2\sigma_1 + \lambda_4\sigma_2) + \sigma_3 + \sigma_6], \\ g_5^{(1)}(n, 7) = 1/6[2 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_1\sigma_1 + \lambda_2\sigma_2) - \sigma_3 + \sigma_6], \\ g_6^{(1)}(n, 7) = 1/6[2 \operatorname{Re}(-\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) - \sigma_3 + \sigma_6]. \tag{18}$$

Since $2\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_4 + 2 = 0$ and $2\lambda_3 + 2 = 0$, we know the equalities obtained are true only if $n_3 = n_5 = 0$. When $n_3 \neq 0$ and $n_5 = 0$, we must assume that $\sigma_3 = 0$ in (18), but when $n_5 \neq 0$ and $n_3 = 0$, we must assume that $\sigma_2 = 0$. Finally, if $n_3 \neq 0$ and $n_5 \neq 0$, then $\sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = 0$. In all other cases except those indicated above, we must make use of (17).

CONCLUSION

We note here two simple properties of $g_s^{(k)}(n, p)$. Consider two rows of Pascal's triangle with numbers $(n)_p$ and $(m)_p$. First, if $(n)_p$ and $(m)_p$ contain the same figures excepting zero, then $g_s^{(k)}(n, p) = g_s^{(k)}(m, p)$ for each k and s . Second, if $(n)_p$ contains 1 ℓ more than $(m)_p$, then $g_s^{(k)}(n, p) = 2^\ell g_s^{(k)}(m, p)$ for each k and s . The latter follows from (4) because $B_1 = 2E$ for each $\Delta_p^{(1)}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to thank Professor Boris Bondarenko for his interest and helpful criticism and the anonymous referee for many valuable comments.

REFERENCES

1. B. A. Bondarenko. *Generalized Pascal Triangles and Pyramids: Their Fractals, Graphs and Applications* (trans. from Russian; ed. R. C. Bollinger). Santa Clara, Calif.: The Fibonacci Association, 1993.
2. B. A. Bondarenko. "Normalizovannye p -latinskie kvadraty i algorithmy ih primeneniya k zada-cham raspredeleniya elementov po modulu p v arifmeticheskikh strukturah." *Voprosy vichislitelnoj i prikladnoj matematiki* **91** (1991):61-80. (Tashkent, RISO AN RUz.)
3. J. Dénes & A. D. Keedwell. *Latin Squares and Their Applications*. Budapest: Akad. Kiadó, 1974.
4. V. V. Karachik. "O nekotoryh svojstvah p -latinskih matritz i ih primeneni." *Voprosy vichislitelnoj i prikladnoj matematiki* **95** (1993):49-60. (Tashkent, RISO AN RUz.)
5. E. Hexel & H. Sachs. "Counting Residues Modulo a Prime in Pascal's Triangle." *Indian J Math.* **20.2** (1978):91-105.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B65, 11B50, 11C20

