

EVEN DUCCI-SEQUENCES

Anne L. Ludington-Young

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loyola College in Maryland, Baltimore, MD 21210

(Submitted June 1997-Final Revision July 1997)

Ducci-sequences are successive iterations of the function

$$D(X) = D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (|x_1 - x_2|, |x_2 - x_3|, \dots, |x_n - x_1|).$$

Note that $D: Z^n \rightarrow Z^n$, where Z^n is the set of n -tuples with integer entries. Since the entries of $D(X)$ are less than or equal to those of X , eventually every Ducci-sequence $\{X, D(X), D^2(X), \dots, D^j(X), \dots\}$ gives rise to a cycle. That is, there exist integers i and j for which $0 \leq i < j$ and $D^j(X) = D^i(X)$. When i and j are as small as possible, we say that the resulting cycle, $\{D^i(X), \dots, D^{j-1}(X), \dots\}$, is generated by X and has period $j-i$. If Y is contained in a cycle of period k , then $D^j(Y) = Y$ if and only if $k \mid j$.

Introduced in 1937, Ducci-sequences and their resulting cycles have been studied extensively (see [1]-[7]). It is well known that for a given cycle all the entries in all the tuples are equal to either 0 or a constant C (see [2] and [4]). Since for every λ , $D(\lambda X) = \lambda D(X)$, we can assume without loss of generality that $C = 1$. Thus, when studying cycles of Ducci-sequences, we can restrict our attention to Z_2^n , the set of n -tuples with entries from $\{0, 1\}$. In addition, we can view the operation associated with D as addition modulo 2 since, for $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$, $|x - y| \equiv (x + y) \pmod{2}$.

Most of the work on Ducci-sequences has focused on the case when n is odd or a power of 2. Here we consider the case when $n = 2^s \cdot q$, where $s \geq 1$ and q is odd with $q > 1$. We will show that associated with an n -tuple X are 2^s different q -tuples that completely determine the behavior of X . In particular, we will show that an n -tuple X is contained in a cycle if and only if each of the 2^s associated q -tuples is in a cycle. Further, the period of the cycle generated by X is determined by the periods of the cycles generated by the 2^s associated q -tuples.

To motivate the notation that will be introduced shortly, consider the following representations of a 12-tuple X :

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}) \\ &= (x_1, 0, 0, 0, x_5, 0, 0, 0, x_9, 0, 0, 0) + (0, x_2, 0, 0, 0, x_6, 0, 0, 0, x_{10}, 0, 0) \\ &\quad + (0, 0, x_3, 0, 0, 0, x_7, 0, 0, 0, x_{11}, 0) + (0, 0, 0, x_4, 0, 0, 0, x_8, 0, 0, 0, x_{12}). \end{aligned}$$

We see that associated with X are the following four 3-tuples:

$$(x_1, x_5, x_9), (x_2, x_6, x_{10}), (x_3, x_7, x_{11}), \text{ and } (x_4, x_8, x_{12}).$$

When we form these smaller tuples, we will say that we compress the original tuple. Conversely, we can begin with these four 3-tuples and expand them to a 12-tuple by inserting zeros and adding.

Since we are interested in even tuples, we will often need to work with powers of 2. To simplify notation, we will write 2^s as $2^{\wedge s}$ whenever this expression appears as a superscript or subscript.

Let X be an n -tuple where $n = 2^s \cdot q$ with $s \geq 1$. For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^s\}$, the compression functions $C_{i, 2^s}: Z_2^n \rightarrow Z_2^q$ are defined by $C_{i, 2^s}(X) = (c_j)$, where

$$c_j = x_{i+(j-1) \cdot 2^s}.$$

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^s\}$, the expansion functions $E_{i, 2^s}: Z_2^q \rightarrow Z_2^n$ are defined by $E_{i, 2^s}(Y) = (e_j)$, where

$$\begin{cases} e_j = y_{\lambda+1} & \text{when } j = i + \lambda \cdot 2^s \text{ for } \lambda = 0, 1, \dots, q-1, \\ e_j = 0 & \text{when } j \not\equiv i \pmod{2^s}. \end{cases}$$

The observations below follow immediately from the definitions of the compression and expansion functions:

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{2^s} E_{i, 2^s}(C_{i, 2^s}(X)) \text{ for } X \in Z_2^n, \text{ where } 2^s | n; \quad (1)$$

$$C_{i, 2}(E_{i, 2}(Y)) = Y \text{ for } Y \in Z_2^q, \text{ where } n = 2 \cdot q; \quad (2)$$

$$C_{i, 2}(E_{j, 2}(Y)) = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ for } Y \in Z_2^q, \text{ where } n = 2 \cdot q \text{ and } i \neq j; \quad (3)$$

$$C_{j, 2}(C_{i, 2^s}(X)) = C_{i+(j-1) \cdot 2^s, 2^{s+1}}(X) \text{ for } X \in Z_2^n, \text{ where } 2^{s+1} | n; \quad (4)$$

$$E_{i, 2^s}(E_{j, 2}(Y)) = E_{i+(j-1) \cdot 2^s, 2^{s+1}}(Y) \text{ for } Y \in Z_2^q, \text{ where } n = 2^{s+1} \cdot q. \quad (5)$$

We use these observations to express $D^{2^s \cdot m}(X)$ in terms of $D^m(C_{i, 2^s}(X))$.

Theorem 1: Let X be an n -tuple, where $2 | n$. Then

$$D^2(X) = \sum_{i=1}^2 E_{i, 2}(D(C_{i, 2}(X))).$$

Proof: Let $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Then

$$D(X) = (x_1 + x_2, x_2 + x_3, x_3 + x_4, x_4 + x_5, \dots, x_{n-1} + x_n, x_n + x_1),$$

$$D^2(X) = (x_1 + x_3, x_2 + x_4, x_3 + x_5, x_4 + x_6, \dots, x_{n-1} + x_1, x_n + x_2).$$

On the other hand,

$$C_{1, 2}(X) = (x_1, x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{n-1}),$$

$$D(C_{1, 2}(X)) = (x_1 + x_3, x_3 + x_5, \dots, x_{n-1} + x_1),$$

$$E_{1, 2}(D(C_{1, 2}(X))) = (x_1 + x_3, 0, x_3 + x_5, 0, \dots, x_{n-1} + x_1, 0).$$

Similarly,

$$E_{2, 2}(D(C_{2, 2}(X))) = (0, x_2 + x_4, 0, x_4 + x_6, \dots, 0, x_n + x_2).$$

Thus

$$D^2(X) = E_{1, 2}(D(C_{1, 2}(X))) + E_{2, 2}(D(C_{2, 2}(X))). \quad \square$$

Theorem 2: Let X be an n -tuple, where $2|n$. Then

$$D^{2m}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^2 E_{i,2}(D^m(C_{i,2}(X))).$$

Proof: By Theorem 1, the result holds for $m = 1$. Assume it holds for m and consider $m + 1$. Now $D^{2(m+1)}(X) = D^2(D^{2m}(X))$. Thus

$$D^{2(m+1)}(X) = D^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 E_{i,2}(D^m(C_{i,2}(X))) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^2 E_{j,2} \left(D \left(C_{j,2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 E_{i,2}(D^m(C_{i,2}(X))) \right) \right) \right). \quad (6)$$

Using observations (2) and (3), (6) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} D^{2(m+1)}(X) &= E_{1,2}(D(D^m(C_{1,2}(X)))) + E_{2,2}(D(D^m(C_{2,2}(X)))) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 E_{i,2}(D^{m+1}(C_{i,2}(X))). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3: Let X be an n -tuple, where $2^s|n$ with $s \geq 1$. Then

$$D^{2^s \cdot m}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^s} E_{i,2^s}(D^m(C_{i,2^s}(X))).$$

Proof: By Theorem 2, the result holds for $s = 1$. Assume it holds for s and consider $s + 1$. Using the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^{2^{s+1} \cdot m}(X) &= D^{(2^s) \cdot (2m)}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^s} E_{i,2^s}(D^{2m}(C_{i,2^s}(X))) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^s} E_{i,2^s} \left(\sum_{j=1}^2 E_{j,2}(D^m(C_{j,2}(C_{i,2^s}(X)))) \right). \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

The last equality in (7) follows from Theorem 2. Using observations (4) and (5), (7) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} D^{2^{s+1} \cdot m}(X) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^s} \sum_{j=1}^2 E_{i+(j-1) \cdot 2^s, 2^{s+1}}(D^m(C_{i+(j-1) \cdot 2^s, 2^{s+1}}(X))) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^{s+1}} E_{i,2^{s+1}}(D^m(C_{i,2^{s+1}}(X))). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1: Let X be an n -tuple, where $2^s|n$;with $s \geq 1$. X is contained in a cycle if and only if $C_{i,2^s}(X)$ is contained in a cycle for $i \in \{1, \dots, 2^s\}$.

Proof: Suppose X is contained in a cycle of period k ; that is, $D^k(X) = X$. Then

$$D^{2^s \cdot k}(X) = X.$$

Using (1) and Theorem 3, we see that

$$C_{i,2^s}(X) = C_{i,2^s}(D^{2^s \cdot k}(X)) = D^k(C_{i,2^s}(X))$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, 2^s\}$. Hence for each i , $C_{i,2^s}(X)$ is in a cycle.

Conversely, suppose that, for each i , $C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(X)$ is in a cycle of period k_i . Let $m = \text{lcm}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{2^{\wedge}s})$. Since $D^m(C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(X)) = C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(X)$, by Theorem 3 and (1), $D^{2^{\wedge}s \cdot m}(X) = X$. Hence, X is in a cycle. \square

For odd n , an n -tuple X is contained in a cycle if and only if the sum of the entries of X is congruent to 0 modulo 2 (see [4]). Thus by Corollary 1, for $n = 2^s \cdot q$, where $s \geq 1$ and q is odd with $q > 1$, an n -tuple X is contained in a cycle if and only if for each $i \in \{1, \dots, 2^s\}$ the sum of the entries of $C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(X)$ is congruent to 0 modulo 2. Although the terminology is different, this result appears in [4]. In a moment we will begin to consider how the period of the cycle containing X is related to the periods of the cycles containing $C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(X)$, $i = 1, \dots, 2^s$. First, we prove a rather technical corollary that we will need later.

Corollary 2: Let X be an n -tuple, where $2^s | n$ with $s \geq 1$. Then

$$C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(D^{2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(X)) = C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(X) + C_{i+2^{\wedge}(s-1), 2^{\wedge}s}(X)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{s-1}$.

Proof: Let $n = 2^s \cdot q = 2^{s-1} \cdot 2q$. By Theorem 3,

$$D^{2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{\wedge}(s-1)} E_{i, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(D(C_{i, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(X))).$$

For $Z \in Z_2^{2q}$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{s-1}$,

$$C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(E_{i, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(Z)) = C_{1, 2}(Z),$$

$$C_{j, 2^{\wedge}s}(E_{i, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(Z)) = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ when } j \neq i.$$

Hence $C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(D^{2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(X)) = C_{1, 2}(D(C_{i, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(X)))$. Now

$$C_{i, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(X) = (x_i, x_{i+2^{\wedge}(s-1)}, x_{i+2 \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}, x_{i+3 \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}, \dots, x_{i+(2q-1) \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}),$$

$$D(C_{i, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(X)) = (x_i + x_{i+2^{\wedge}(s-1)} + x_{i+2 \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}, x_{i+2 \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}, \dots, x_{i+(2q-1) \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)} + x_i),$$

$$C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(D^{2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(X)) = C_{1, 2}(D(C_{i, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(X)))$$

$$= (x_i + x_{i+2^{\wedge}(s-1)}, x_{i+2 \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)} + x_{i+3 \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}, \dots, x_{i+(2q-2) \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)} + x_{i+(2q-1) \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)})$$

$$= (x_i, x_{i+2 \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}, \dots, x_{i+(2q-2) \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}) + (x_{i+2^{\wedge}(s-1)}, x_{i+3 \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}, \dots, x_{i+(2q-1) \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)})$$

$$= C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(X) + C_{i+2^{\wedge}(s-1), 2^{\wedge}s}(X). \quad \square$$

We now begin considering how the period of the cycle containing X is related to the periods of the cycles containing $C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(X)$, $i = 1, \dots, 2^s$.

Theorem 4: Let $n = 2^s \cdot q$, where $s \geq 1$. Suppose X is an n -tuple which is contained in a cycle of period k . Let k_i be the period of the cycle containing the q -tuple $C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(X)$, $i = 1, \dots, 2^s$. Then $k = 2^t \cdot \text{lcm}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{2^{\wedge}s})$ for some $0 \leq t \leq s$.

Proof: Let $m = \text{lcm}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{2^{\wedge}s})$. As noted in the proof of Corollary 1, $D^{2^{\wedge}s \cdot m}(X) = X$. Consequently, $k | 2^s \cdot m$.

We now show that $m|k$. Since $D^k(X) = X$, it follows that $D^{2^s \cdot k}(X) = X$. As we showed in the proof of Corollary 1, $D^k(C_{i, 2^s}(X)) = C_{i, 2^s}(X)$. Since k_i is the period of the cycle containing $C_{i, 2^s}(X)$, $k_i|k$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2^s$. Consequently, $m|k$. Since $m|k$ and $k|2^s \cdot m$, we conclude that $k = 2^t \cdot m$ for some $0 \leq t \leq s$. \square

Theorem 5: Let $n = 2^s \cdot q$, where $s \geq 1$. Suppose X is an n -tuple which is contained in a cycle of period $k = 2^t \cdot m$, where m is odd and $0 \leq t < s$. Then

$$C_{i+2^t, 2^{t+1}}(X) = C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X) + D^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X))$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 2^t$.

Proof: Since $0 \leq t < s$, $1 \leq t+1 \leq s$, and $2^{t+1}|n$. Thus by Theorem 3,

$$\begin{aligned} D^{2^t \cdot (m-1)}(X) &= D^{2^{t+1} \cdot \frac{m-1}{2}}(X) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^{t+1}} E_{i, 2^{t+1}} \left(D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By hypothesis, $D^{2^t \cdot m}(X) = X$. Since $X = D^{2^t \cdot m}(X) = D^{2^t}(D^{2^t \cdot (m-1)}(X))$,

$$C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X) = C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^t}(D^{2^t \cdot (m-1)}(X))).$$

By Corollary 2,

$$C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^t}(D^{2^t \cdot (m-1)}(X))) = C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^t \cdot (m-1)}(X)) + C_{i+2^t, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^t \cdot (m-1)}(X))$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 2^t$. Thus

$$C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X) = C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^t \cdot (m-1)}(X)) + C_{i+2^t, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^t \cdot (m-1)}(X)). \quad (9)$$

Using (8) to find the two terms on the right-hand side of (9), we can rewrite (9) as

$$C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X) = D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)) + D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{i+2^t, 2^{t+1}}(X)). \quad (10)$$

Applying $D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$ to (10) gives

$$D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)) = D^m(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)) + D^m(C_{i+2^t, 2^{t+1}}(X)). \quad (11)$$

By hypothesis, $D^{2^t \cdot m}(X) = X$. Hence $D^{2^{t+1} \cdot m}(X) = X$. Thus, using Theorem 3 and (1),

$$C_{j, 2^{t+1}}(X) = C_{j, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^{t+1} \cdot m}(X)) = D^m(C_{j, 2^{t+1}}(X))$$

for $j = 1, \dots, 2^{t+1}$. Using this to simplify (11) and rearranging terms gives the desired result. \square

We now prove the converse of Theorem 5. To do so, we will need the following well-known result: when n is odd, the period of a cycle of n -tuples divides $n \cdot (2^{\phi(n)} - 1)$, where $\phi(n)$ is Euler's phi function [3]. Actually, a great deal more is known about the period, but this is all we require. Specifically, when n is odd, the period of each cycle of n -tuples is odd.

Theorem 6: Let $n = 2^s \cdot q$, where $s \geq 1$ and q is odd with $q > 1$. Suppose X is an n -tuple that is contained in a cycle. Let $m = \text{lcm}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{2^s})$, where k_i is the period of the cycle containing $C_{i, 2^s}(X)$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2^s$. If there exists t , $0 \leq t < s$, such that

$$C_{i+2^t, 2^{t+1}}(X) = C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X) + D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)) \quad (12)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 2^t$, then $D^{2^t \cdot m}(X) = X$.

Proof: Since q is odd, each k_i is odd and hence m is odd. Further, since $D^{k_i}(C_{i, 2^s}(X)) = C_{i, 2^s}(X)$, $D^m(C_{i, 2^s}(X)) = C_{i, 2^s}(X)$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2^s$. Thus, if $t+1 = s$,

$$D^m(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)) = C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X).$$

On the other hand, if $r = t+1 < s$, then

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i, 2^r}(X) &= C_{i, 2^s}(X) + C_{i+2^r, 2^s}(X) + C_{i+2 \cdot 2^r, 2^s}(X) \\ &\quad + \dots + C_{i+[2^{s-r}-1] \cdot 2^r, 2^s}(X). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $D^m(C_{i, 2^r}(X)) = C_{i, 2^r}(X)$; i.e., $D^m(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)) = C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)$. Hence

$$C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^{t+1} \cdot m}(X)) = D^m(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)) = C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X),$$

so $D^{2^{t+1} \cdot m}(X) = X$. We now use this to show that, in fact, $D^{2^t \cdot m}(X) = X$.

As in the proof of Theorem 5, we consider $D^{2^t \cdot m}(X)$. Using (8), we have

$$C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^t \cdot (m-1)}(X)) = D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)). \quad (13)$$

Likewise, using (8) and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i+2^t, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^t \cdot (m-1)}(X)) &= D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{i+2^t, 2^{t+1}}(X)) \\ &= D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)) + D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(D^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X))) \\ &= D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)) + C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Note that (13) and (14) hold for $i = 1, \dots, 2^t$. Now, by Theorem 3, we have

$$C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^{t+1} \cdot (m-1)}(X)) = D^{m-1}(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)). \quad (15)$$

Likewise, using Theorem 3 and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i+2^t, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^{t+1} \cdot (m-1)}(X)) &= D^{m-1}(C_{i+2^t, 2^{t+1}}(X)) \\ &= D^{m-1}(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)) + D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Note that (15) and (16) hold for $i = 1, \dots, 2^t$. By Corollary 2,

$$C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^t}(Z)) = C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(Z) + C_{i+2^t, 2^{t+1}}(Z). \quad (17)$$

We let $Z = D^{2^{t+1} \cdot (m-1)}(X)$ in (17), note that $2^t + 2^{t+1} \cdot (m-1) = 2^{t+1} \cdot m - 2^t$, and use (15) and (16) to get

$$C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^{t+1} \cdot m - 2^t}(X)) = D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(X)). \quad (18)$$

Now we let $Z = D^{2^{t+1} \cdot m - 2^t}(X)$ in (17). This gives us

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^{t+1} \cdot m}(X)) &= C_{i, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^{t+1} \cdot m - 2^t}(X)) \\ &\quad + C_{i+2^t, 2^{t+1}}(D^{2^{t+1} \cdot m - 2^t}(X)). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

We rewrite (19) using (18) and the fact that $C_{i, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(D^{2^{\wedge(t+1)} \cdot m}(X)) = C_{i, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(X)$:

$$C_{i, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(X) = D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(X)) + C_{i, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(D^{2^{\wedge(t+1)} \cdot m - 2^{\wedge t}}(X))$$

or

$$C_{i+2^{\wedge t}, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(D^{2^{\wedge(t+1)} \cdot m - 2^{\wedge t}}(X)) = D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(X)) + C_{i, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(X). \quad (20)$$

Comparing (13) to (18), we see that

$$C_{i, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(D^{2^{\wedge t} \cdot m - 2^{\wedge t}}(X)) = C_{i, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(D^{2^{\wedge(t+1)} \cdot m - 2^{\wedge t}}(X))$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 2^t$, and comparing (14) to (20), we see that

$$C_{i+2^{\wedge t}, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(D^{2^{\wedge t} \cdot m - 2^{\wedge t}}(X)) = C_{i+2^{\wedge t}, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(D^{2^{\wedge(t+1)} \cdot m - 2^{\wedge t}}(X))$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 2^t$. Hence $D^{2^{\wedge t} \cdot m - 2^{\wedge t}}(X) = D^{2^{\wedge(t+1)} \cdot m - 2^{\wedge t}}(X)$. This, in turn, implies that $D^{2^{\wedge t} \cdot m}(X) = D^{2^{\wedge(t+1)} \cdot m}(X) = X$. \square

Thus we have completely characterized the period of a cycle of n -tuples. We summarize the results of the last three theorems in the following corollary.

Corollary 3: Let $n = 2^s \cdot q$, where $s \geq 1$ and q is odd with $q > 1$. Suppose X is an n -tuple which is contained in a cycle of period k . Let $m = \text{lcm}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{2^s})$, where k_i is the period of the cycle containing $C_{i, 2^{\wedge s}}(X)$. Then $k = 2^t \cdot \text{lcm}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{2^{\wedge s}})$ for some $0 \leq t < s$ if and only if

$$C_{i+2^{\wedge t}, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(X) = C_{i, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(X) + D^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(C_{i, 2^{\wedge(t+1)}}(X))$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 2^t$, where t is as small as possible. If no such t exists, then $k = 2^s \cdot m$. \square

We now show that there is a cycle for each possible period. Although there are many ways to do this, we will continue to use the compression functions.

Theorem 7: Let $n = 2^s \cdot q$, where $s \geq 1$ and q is odd with $q > 1$. Suppose there is a cycle of q -tuples of period m . Then, for $0 \leq t \leq s$, there exists a cycle of n -tuples of period $2^t \cdot m$.

Proof: For $0 \leq r \leq s-1$, suppose there is a $(2^{s-1} \cdot q)$ -tuple A that is contained in a cycle of period $2^r \cdot m$. By hypothesis, this holds for $s=1$. Consider the $(2^s \cdot q)$ -tuple $X = E_{1,2}(A)$. Now $C_{1,2}(X) = A$ and $C_{2,2}(X) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$. By Corollary 1, X is in a cycle. By Theorem 4, the period of the cycle containing X is either $2^r \cdot m$ or $2 \cdot (2^r \cdot m)$. Assume the period is $2^r \cdot m$. For $r > 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 E_{i,2}(C_{i,2}(X)) = X = D^{2^{\wedge r} \cdot m}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^2 E_{i,2}(D^{2^{\wedge(r-1)} \cdot m}(C_{i,2}(X))).$$

Thus, $D^{2^{\wedge(r-1)} \cdot m}(C_{i,2}(X)) = C_{i,2}(X)$; i.e., $D^{2^{\wedge(r-1)} \cdot m}(A) = A$. This implies that A is in a cycle with period less than or equal to $2^{r-1} \cdot m$. This contradiction shows that the period of the cycle containing X is $2 \cdot (2^r \cdot m) = 2^{r+1} \cdot m$ when $r > 0$. On the other hand, if $r = 0$, then

$$C_{1,2}(D^{m-1}(X)) = D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{1,2}(X)) = D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(A)$$

and

$$C_{2,2}(D^{m-1}(X)) = D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(C_{2,2}(X)) = (0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Since

$$C_{1,2}(D^m(X)) = C_{1,2}(D^{m-1}(X)) + C_{2,2}(D^{m-1}(X)) = D^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(A) \neq A = C_{1,2}(X),$$

we see that $D^m(X) \neq X$. Hence the period of the cycle containing X is $2 \cdot m$ when $r = 0$. Therefore there are cycles of $(2^s \cdot q)$ -tuples with period $2^t \cdot m$ for $1 \leq t \leq s$.

We now show that there is a cycle of $(2^s \cdot q)$ -tuples with period m . Suppose there is a $(2^{s-1} \cdot q)$ -tuple B that is contained in a cycle of period m and for which each $C_{i, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(B)$, $i = 1, \dots, 2^{s-1}$, is also contained in a cycle of period m . By hypothesis, this holds for $s = 1$. Consider the $(2^s \cdot q)$ -tuple

$$Y = E_{1,2}(B) + E_{2,2}(B + D^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(B)). \quad (21)$$

Now $C_{1,2}(Y) = B$ and $C_{2,2}(Y) = B + D^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(B)$; $C_{2,2}(Y)$ is also in a cycle of period m . Thus Y is in a cycle. We want to use Corollary 3 to show that the period of the cycle containing Y is m . Note that

$$\begin{cases} C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(Y) = C_{\frac{i+1}{2}, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(B) & \text{when } i \text{ is odd,} \\ C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(Y) = C_{\frac{i}{2}, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(B + D^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(B)) & \text{when } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

By assumption, when i is odd, the period of the cycle containing $C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(Y)$ is m . To show that this is also the case when i is even, it suffices to show that the period of the cycle containing $C_{j, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(B + D^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(B))$ is m for $j = 1, \dots, 2^{s-1}$. Since $\gcd(m, 2^{s-1}) = 1$, there exist integers g and h for which

$$g \cdot m + h \cdot 2^{s-1} = \frac{m+1}{2}.$$

Either g or h is positive, but not both. Suppose $g > 0$ and $h < 0$. Then

$$B = D^{g \cdot m}(B) = D^{-h \cdot 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(D^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(B)),$$

which implies

$$C_{j, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(B) = D^{-h}(C_{j, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(D^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(B))).$$

Hence, $C_{j, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(D^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(B))$ is in the same cycle as $C_{j, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(B)$. Since this cycle has period m , the cycle containing $C_{j, 2^{\wedge}(s-1)}(D^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(B))$ also has period m . In a similar manner, it can be shown that this is also the case when $g < 0$ and $h > 0$. Since the cycle containing $C_{i, 2^{\wedge}s}(Y)$, $i = 1, \dots, 2^s$, has period m and since (21) holds, Corollary 3 implies that the cycle containing Y has period m . \square

For a given n , the maximal period of cycles of Ducci-sequences is denoted by $P(n)$. By Corollary 3, if $n = 2^s \cdot q$, where $s \geq 1$ and q is odd with $q > 1$, then $P(n)$ divides $2^s \cdot P(q)$. We now show that, in fact, $P(n) = 2^s \cdot P(q)$. This result appears in [2]; the proof there uses matrices and the fact that the cycle which has maximum period is generated by the n -tuple $(1, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$. We offer a new proof here based on the compression functions. The result follows immediately from the proof of Theorem 7.

Theorem 8: Let $n = 2^s \cdot q$, where $s \geq 1$ and q is odd with $q > 1$. Then $P(n) = 2^s \cdot P(q)$.

Proof: Let A be a q -tuple that is contained in a cycle of period $P(q)$. Then the proof of Theorem 7 shows that the $(2^s \cdot q)$ -tuple $X = E_{1,2^s}(A) = E_{1,2}(E_{1,2}(\dots E_{1,2}(X)))$ is in a cycle of period $2^s \cdot P(q)$. \square

REFERENCES

1. C. Ciamberlini & A. Marengoni. "Su una interessante curiosità numerica." *Periodiche di Matematiche* **17** (1937):25-30.
2. A. Ehrlich. "Periods in Ducci's N -Number Game of Differences." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **28.4** (1990):302-05.
3. H. Glaser & G. Schöfl. "Ducci-Sequences and Pascal's Triangle." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **33.4** (1995):313-24.
4. A. L. Ludington-Young. "Cycles of Differences of Integers." *J. Number Theory* **13** (1981): 255-61.
5. A. L. Ludington-Young. "Length of the n -Number Game." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **28.3** (1990):259-65.
6. A. L. Ludington-Young. "Length of the 7-Number Game." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **26.3** (1988):195-204.
7. L. Meyers. "Ducci's Four-Number Problem: A Short Bibliography." *Crux Mathematicorum* **8** (1982):262-66.

AMS Classification Number: 11B65

