COMPLETION OF NUMERICAL VALUES OF GENERALIZED MORGAN-VOYCE AND RELATED POLYNOMIALS

A. F. Horadam

The University of New England, Armidale, Australia 2351 (Submitted August 1998-Final Revision February 1999)

1. MOTIVATION

Two recent publications [2], [3] examined some of the properties of the related polynomial sequences $\{R_n^{(r,u)}(x)\}$ and $\{S_n^{(r,u)}(x)\}$ defined recursively by

$$R_n^{(r,u)}(x) = (x+2)R_{n-1}^{(r,u)}(x) - R_{n-2}^{(r,u)}(x) \quad (n \ge 2),$$
(1.1)

$$S_n^{(r,u)}(x) = (x+2)S_{n-1}^{(r,u)}(x) + S_{n-2}^{(r,u)}(x) \quad (n \ge 2).$$
(1.2)

with identical initial conditions

$$R_0(x) = u, \quad R_1(x) = x + r + u,$$
 (1.3)

$$S_0(x) = u, \quad S_1(x) = x + r + u.$$
 (1.4)

Papers [2] and [3] dealt only with the five values of the subscript pairs, and the notation, indicated immediately below:

where $B_n(x)$, $b_n(x)$, $C_n(x)$, and $c_n(x)$ in the *R*-column are the Morgan-Voyce polynomials specified by the following tabulation (*a*, *b* being initial conditions for n = 0, 1, respectively)

$R_n^{(r,u)}(x)$	a	b			
$B_n(x)$	0	1			
$b_n(x)$	1	1			(1.6)
$C_n(\mathbf{x})$	2	2+x			
$ \frac{B_n(x)}{b_n(x)} \\ C_n(x) \\ C_n(x) $	-1	1			

and $\mathcal{B}_n(x)$, $\mathbf{b}_n(x)$, $\mathscr{C}_n(x)$, and $\mathbf{c}_n(x)$ in the S-column are the corresponding polynomials (the *quasi-Morgan-Voyce polynomials*) relating to $S_n^{(r,u)}(x)$.

Let us now examine the consequence of considering the remaining $3^2 - 5 = 4$ superscript pairs

$$(r, u) = (1, 0), (2, 0), (1, 2), (2, 2).$$
 (1.7)

Readers are encouraged to construct sets of polynomial expressions for $R_n^{(r,u)}(x)$ and $S_n^{(r,u)}(x)$ for the cases listed in (1.7). Particular usage is made of these polynomials when x = 1.

Conventions: Write
(*i*)
$$R_n^{(r,u)}(1) \equiv R_n^{(r,u)}$$
, so $B_n(1) \equiv B_n, ...,$
(*ii*) $S_n^{(r,u)}(1) \equiv S_n^{(r,u)}$, so $\mathcal{B}_n(1) \equiv \mathcal{B}_n,$. (1.8)

JUNE-JULY

260

COMPLETION OF NUMERICAL VALUES OF GENERALIZED MORGAN-VOYCE AND RELATED POLYNOMIALS

Observe that by (1.2), (1.5), and (1.8),

$$\mathfrak{B}_n = 3\mathfrak{B}_{n-1} + \mathfrak{B}_n. \tag{1.9}$$

2. REFERENCE DATA

It is known from [1] that

$$b_n(x) = B_n(x) - B_{n-1}(x), \tag{2.1}$$

$$c_n(x) = B_n(x) + B_{n-1}(x),$$
 (2.2)

$$C_n(x) = B_{n+1}(x) - B_{n-1}(x), \qquad (2.3)$$

while (see [3])

$$\mathbf{b}_n(x) = \mathfrak{B}_n(x) + \mathfrak{B}_{n+1}(x), \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathbf{c}_n(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{B}_n(\mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mathscr{C}_{n}(x) = \mathscr{B}_{n+1}(x) + \mathscr{B}_{n-1}(x).$$
 (2.6)

Moreover (see [1]),

$$B_n = F_{2n}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$b_n = F_{2n-1}, (2.8)$$

$$C_n = L_{2n}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$c_n = L_{2n-1},$$
 (2.10)

where F_n and L_n are the n^{th} Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, respectively. For basic information on F_n and L_n , one might consult [4].

Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials are defined recursively by

$$F_n(x) = xF_{n-1}(x) + F_{n-2}(x), \quad F_0(x) = 0, \quad F_1(x) = 1;$$
 (2.11)

$$L_n(x) = xL_{n-1}(x) + L_{n-2}(x), \quad L_0(x) = 2, \quad L_1(x) = x.$$
 (2.12)

$$x = 1$$
: $F_n(1) = F_n, \ L_n(1) = L_n;$ (2.13)

$$x = 2$$
: $F_n(2) = P_n$, $L_n(2) = Q_n$ (2.14)

(the nth Pell and Pell-Lucas numbers, respectively);

$$x = 3: \ \{F_n(3)\} \equiv \{0, 1, 3, 10, 33, 109, \dots\} = \{\mathcal{B}_n\},$$
(2.15)

$$\{L_n(3)\} = \{2, 3, 11, 36, 119, 393, \dots\} = \{\mathscr{C}_n\},$$
(2.16)

as one may readily verify.

Keep in mind the recurrence (x = 3 in (2.11))

$$F_n(3) = 3F_{n-1}(3) + F_{n-2}(3).$$
(2.17)

Knowledge of the facts from [1]

$$b_n = B_n - B_{n-1}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$c_n = B_n + B_{n-1}, (2.19)$$

and from [3]

261

2000]

$$\mathbf{b}_n = \mathfrak{B}_n + \mathfrak{B}_{n-1},\tag{2.20}$$

$$\mathbf{c}_n = \mathfrak{B}_n - \mathfrak{B}_{n-1},\tag{2.21}$$

is applicable to the "crossing" correspondence vis-à-vis b_n and c_n , and c_n and b_n in relation to + and - in (2.18)-(2.21), which appears schematically in [3, (4.33)].

Two Useful Theorems:

$$R_n^{(r,u)}(x) = P_n^{(r)}(x) + (u-1)b_n(x) \quad [2, \text{ Theorem 1}], \tag{2.22}$$

in which

I.

$$P_n^{(0)}(x) = b_{n+1}(x), \qquad (2.23)$$

$$P_n^{(1)}(x) = B_{n+1}(x), \qquad (2.24)$$

$$P_n^{(2)}(x) = c_{n+1}(x).$$
(2.25)

From (2.23)-(2.25) were derived the results for $R_n^{(r,u)}(x)$ in (1.5).

II. $S_n^{(r,u)}(x) = (x+r+u)\mathfrak{B}_n(x) + u\mathfrak{B}_{n-1}(x)$ [3, (4.14)]. (2.26)

3. NUMERICAL COMPLETION

A critical elementary question to ask is: Considering the basic property $B_n = R_n^{(0,0)} = F_{2n}$, derived from (1.5), (1.8), and (2.7), what number plays the corresponding role in $\mathcal{B}_n = S_n^{(0,0)}$? $S_n^{(0,0)}$

Comparison of (1.9) and (2.17) quickly reveals that

$$S_n^{(0,0)} = F_n(3) (= \mathcal{B}_n) \tag{3.1}$$

since both relevant sequences have initial conditions 0, 1 at n = 0, 1. Therefore, we would expect $F_n(3) = \mathcal{B}_n$ could effect a role for $S_n^{(r,u)}(x)$ analogous to $F_{2n} = \mathcal{B}_n = R_n^{(0,0)}$ for $R_n^{(r,u)}(x)$. Then it remains for us to discover whether our expectations are fully realized.

$R_n^{(r,u)}$

Values of $R_n^{(r,u)}$ in (1.5) and (1.8) are known (see [2]), so we need only to enquire into the corresponding situation appropriate to (1.7).

Pairs of values of (r, u) in (1.7) with x = 1 now lead by (2.22), (2.24), (2.25), and (2.7)-(2.10), to

$$R_n^{(1,0)} = P_n^{(1)} - b_n = B_{n+1} - b_n = 2F_{2n},$$
(3.2)

$$R_n^{(2,0)} = P_n^{(2)} - b_n = c_{n+1} - b_n = 3F_{2n},$$
(3.3)

$$R_n^{(1,2)} = P_n^{(1)} + b_n = B_{n+1} + b_n = 2F_{2n+1},$$
(3.4)

$$R_n^{(2,2)} = P_n^{(2)} + b_n = c_{n+1} + c_n = F_{2n+3}.$$
(3.5)

 $S_{m}^{(r,u)}$

Pairs of values of (r, u) in (1.5) with x = 1 disclose that by (2.26), (3.1), (2.17), and (1.5),

$$S_n^{(0,1)} = 2\mathfrak{B}_n + \mathfrak{B}_{n-1} = F_{n+1}(3) - F_n(3) (= \mathbf{c}_{n+1}),$$
(3.6)

$$S_n^{(0,2)} = 3\mathfrak{B}_n + 2\mathfrak{B}_{n-1} = F_{n+1}(3) + F_{n-1}(3) = L_n(3) = \mathcal{C}_n, \tag{3.7}$$

JUNE-JULY

$$S_n^{(1,1)} = 3\mathcal{B}_n + \mathcal{B}_{n-1} = \mathcal{B}_{n+1} = F_{n+1}(3), \tag{3.8}$$

$$S_n^{(2,1)} = 4\mathfrak{B}_n + \mathfrak{B}_{n-1} = F_{n+1}(3) + F_n(3) = \mathbf{b}_{n+1}.$$
(3.9)

Turning next to (1.7), we determine by (2.26), (3.1), and (2.17) that

$$S_n^{(1,0)} = 2\mathfrak{B}_n = 2F_n(3), \tag{3.10}$$

$$S_n^{(2,0)} = 3\mathfrak{B}_n = 3F_n(3), \tag{3.11}$$

$$S_n^{(1,2)} = 2(2\mathfrak{B}_n + \mathfrak{B}_{n-1}) = 2(F_{n+1}(3) - F_n(3)), \qquad (3.12)$$

$$S_n^{(2,2)} = 5\mathcal{B}_n + 2\mathcal{B}_{n-1} = 2F_{n+1}(3) - F_n(3).$$
(3.13)

Proofs of all the numerical properties stated above are quite straightforward, as the reader may readily verify.

4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Assembling together all the $2 \times 3^2 = 18$ exhibited superscript values of r, u in $R_n^{(r,u)}$ and $S_n^{(r,u)}$ for convenience and visual comparison, we have the following attractive compact correlation pattern, which thus completes our objective.

TABLE 1. $R_n^{(r,u)}$ and $S_n^{(r,u)}$ for r, u = 0, 1, 2

r, u	$R_n^{(r,u)}$	$S_n^{(r,u)}$
00	$F_{2n} (= B_n)$	$F_n(3) (= \mathfrak{B}_n)$
01	<i>F</i> _{2<i>n</i>+1}	$F_{n+1}(3) - F_n(3)$
02	L_{2n}	$L_n(3)$
11	F_{2n+2}	$F_{n+1}(3)$
21	L_{2n+1}	$F_{n+1}(3) + F_n(3)$
10	2F _{2n}	$2F_n(3)$
20	$3F_{2n}$	$3F_n(3)$
12	$2F_{2n+1}$	$2F_{n+1}(3) - 2F_n(3)$
22	<i>F</i> _{2<i>n</i>+3}	$2F_{n+1}(3) - F_n(3)$

Thus, for example,

$$\frac{R_n^{(2,0)}}{R_n^{(1,0)}} = \frac{S_n^{(2,0)}}{S_n^{(1,0)}} = \frac{3}{2}$$

REFERENCES

- 1. A. F. Horadam. "New Aspects of Morgan-Voyce Polynomials." In Applications of Fibonacci Numbers 7:161-76. Ed. G. E. Bergum et al. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1998.
- 2. A. F. Horadam. "A Composite of Morgan-Voyce Generalizations." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **35.3** (1997):233-39.
- 3. A. F. Horadam. "Quasi Morgan-Voyce Polynomials and Pell Convolutions." In Applications of Fibonacci Numbers 8:179-93. Ed. G. E. Bergum et al. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1999.
- 4. S. Vajda. Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers and the Golden Section: Theory and Applications. Chichester: Horwood, 1989.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B39

000 000 000

263