

GENERALIZED BASES FOR THE REAL NUMBERS

J. A. Fridy, Rutgers - The State University, New Brunswick, New Jersey

Throughout this paper $\{r_i\}_1^\infty$ will denote a non-increasing real number sequence with limit zero; each of $\{k_i\}_1^\infty$ and $\{m_i\}_1^\infty$ denotes a non-negative integer sequence

$$S = \sum_1^\infty k_i r_i \quad \text{and} \quad S^* = \sum_1^\infty m_i r_i$$

(finite or infinite). We shall consider the possibility of expressing each number x in the interval $(-S^*, S)$ in the form

$$x = \sum_1^\infty a_i r_i$$

where each a_i is an integer satisfying $-m_i \leq a_i \leq k_i$.

In the classical n -scale number representation, each x in $[0, 1]$ can be expressed in the above form, where $n > 1$, and $r_i = n^{-i}$, $k_i = n - 1$, and $m_i = 0$ for each i . Previous generalizations ([6] and [8]) have considered only the expansion of positive numbers with certain restrictions on the coefficient bounds $\{k_i\}_1^\infty$.

In this note we shall extend the previous work to include negative number representations as well as relaxing the restrictions on the coefficients $\{a_i\}_1^\infty$. We shall also consider the question of uniqueness of such representations and the expansion of real numbers using a base sequence $\{\pm r_i\}_1^\infty$ of both positive and negative terms.

DEFINITION. The sequence $\{r_i\}_1^\infty$ is a $\{k, m\}$ -base for the interval $(-S^*, S)$ if for each x in $(-S^*, S)$ there is an integer sequence $\{a_i\}_1^\infty$ such that

$$(1) \quad x = \sum_1^\infty a_i r_i, \quad \text{and} \quad -m_i \leq a_i \leq k_i \quad \text{for each } i.$$

Our main purpose is to develop an explicit characterization of a $\{k, m\}$ -base; to this end we first consider the case where $m_i = 0$ for each i ; i. e., a $\{k, 0\}$ -base.

LEMMA. The sequence $\{r_i\}_i^\infty$ is a $\{k, 0\}$ -base for the interval $(0, S)$ if and only if

$$(2) \quad r_n \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} k_i r_i \quad \text{for each } n.$$

Proof. If (2) does not hold and

$$r_n > x > \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} k_i r_i \quad ,$$

for some n , it is easily seen that x cannot be expressed in the form (1).

Assume that (2) holds and let x be in $(0, S)$, the conclusion being trivial for $x = 0$. Let $i(1)$ be the least positive integer such that $r_{i(1)} \leq x$, and choose $a_{i(1)}$ to be the greatest integer such that $a_{i(1)} \leq k_{i(1)}$ and $a_{i(1)} r_{i(1)} \leq x$.

If $a_{i(1)} r_{i(1)} < x$, we continue inductively:
Let $i(n)$ be the least positive integer such that

$$(3) \quad r_{i(n)} \leq x - \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} a_{i(p)} r_{i(p)} \quad \text{and} \quad i(n) > i(n-1) \quad ;$$

Choose $a_{i(n)}$ to be the greatest integer such that $a_{i(n)} \leq k_{i(n)}$ and

$$(4) \quad a_{i(n)} r_{i(n)} \leq x - \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} a_{i(p)} r_{i(p)} \quad .$$

In case equality does not hold in (4) for any n , we assert that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} a_{i(p)} r_{i(p)} = x .$$

Suppose, to the contrary, that for some positive ϵ

$$\sum_{p=1}^n a_{i(p)} r_{i(p)} \leq x - \epsilon , \text{ for each } n .$$

If $r_{i(n)} < \epsilon$ it follows that

$$(6) \quad (a_{i(n)} + 1)r_{i(n)} \leq x - \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} a_{i(p)} r_{i(p)} .$$

By the choice of $a_{i(n)}$ this implies that $a_{i(n)} = k_{i(n)}$; furthermore, (6) also yields

$$r_{i(n)+1} \leq r_{i(n)} \leq x - \sum_{p=1}^n a_{i(p)} r_{i(p)} ,$$

so that $i(n+1) = i(n) + 1$. Hence,

$$(7) \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} a_{i(p)} r_{i(p)} = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} a_{i(p)} r_{i(p)} + \sum_{p=i(n)}^{\infty} k_p r_p \leq x .$$

Applying (2) to (7) we see that

$$(8) \quad r_{i(n)-1} \leq x - \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} a_{i(p)} r_{i(p)} .$$

By the choice of $i(n)$, (8) implies that $i(n) - 1 = i(n - 1)$, so that (8) can be written as

$$(a_{i(n-1)} + 1)r_{i(n-1)} \leq x - \sum_{p=1}^{n-2} a_{i(p)}r_{i(p)} \quad ,$$

whence $a_{i(n-1)} = k_{i(n-1)}$. Thus it is readily seen that for every n , $i(n) = n$ and $a_{i(n)} = k_n$, which contradicts $x < S$; this establishes (5) and completes the proof.

REMARK. From this Lemma the following is clear:

If $\{r_i\}_1^\infty$ is a $\{k, 0\}$ -base for $[0, S)$ and N is a positive integer, then $\{r_i\}_N^\infty$ is a $\{k, 0\}_N^\infty$ -base for the interval

$$\left[0, \sum_N^\infty k_i r_i \right) \quad .$$

Theorem 1. The sequence $\{r_i\}_1^\infty$ is a $\{k, m\}$ -base for $(-S^*, S)$ if and only if

$$(9) \quad r_n \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty (k_i + m_i)r_i \quad \text{for each } n \quad .$$

Proof. If (9) does not hold and

$$r_n > x > \sum_{n+1}^\infty (k_i + m_i)r_i \quad ,$$

it follows easily from the Lemma that $x - S^*$ is in $(-S^*, S)$ but $x - S^*$ cannot be expressed as in (1).

To show the sufficiency of (9) we first consider the case where S^* is finite. Let x be in $(-S^*, S)$. By the Lemma, (9) guarantees a sequence $\{a_i\}_1^\infty$

such that

$$x + S^* = \sum_1^{\infty} a_i r_i, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq a_i \leq k_i + m_i \quad \text{for each } i.$$

Letting $b_i = a_i - m_i$, we have

$$x = \sum_1^{\infty} b_i r_i, \quad \text{and} \quad -m_i \leq b_i \leq k_i \quad \text{for each } i.$$

The case in which S is finite is proved similarly. If both S^* and S are infinite it follows immediately from the Lemma that every non-negative x can be expressed as

$$\sum_1^{\infty} a_i r_i,$$

where $0 \leq a_i \leq k_i$, and every negative x can be so expressed with $-m_i \leq a_i \leq 0$.

We now wish to establish conditions under which the representations in the form (1) are unique. Since the common decimal expansion is not unique, and this is the special case where $r_i = 10^{-i}$, $m_i = 0$, and $k_i = 9$, we cannot hope for total uniqueness in any non-trivial case. Therefore we adopt a convention similar to that used in identifying the decimal $.0999\cdots$ with $.1000\cdots$, viz., we disallow a representation in which $a_i = k_i$ for every i greater than some n . Note that in the proof of the Lemma such representations were not necessary. (This is also the reason that we did not consider the closed interval $[0, S]$ even when S was finite.

Theorem 2. The sequence $\{r_i\}_1^{\infty}$ yields exactly one $\{k, m\}$ -base representation of each x in $(-S^*, S)$ if and only if

$$(10) \quad r_n = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} (k_i + m_i) r_i \quad \text{for each } n.$$

Proof. The sufficiency of (10) is fairly straightforward. Conversely, it is easily seen that for unique representation it is necessary that S^* (and S) be finite. Suppose that S^* is finite and $\{r_i\}_1^\infty$ satisfies (9) but not (10). Then there exists an integer n and a number x such that

$$r_n < x < \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} (k_i + m_i)r_i .$$

Using the construction in the proof of the Lemma, we get a sequence $\{a_i\}_1^\infty$ satisfying

$$x = \sum_1^{\infty} a_i r_i ,$$

and $0 \leq a_i \leq k_i + m_i$; moreover, since $r_n < x$, at least one of a_1, \dots, a_n is non-zero. Taking $b_i = a_i - m_i$, we have

$$(11) \quad x - S^* = \sum_1^{\infty} b_i r_i , \quad \text{where } -m_i \leq b_i \leq k_i ,$$

and for some $i \leq n$, $b_i \neq -m_i$.

On the other hand $\{r_i\}_{n+1}^\infty$ is a $\{k+m, 0\}_{n+1}^\infty$ -base for the interval

$$\left[0, \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} (k_i + m_i)r_i \right) ,$$

by the Remark following the Lemma. This yields a second $\{k, m\}$ -base representation: $x - s = \sum_1 d_i r_i$, where $d_i = -m_i$ for all $i \leq n$.

COROLLARY. The sequence $\{r_i\}_1^\infty$ yields a unique $\{k, m\}$ -base representation of each x in $(-S^*, S)$ if and only if

$$r_n = (S + S^*) / \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + k_i + m_i) \quad \text{for each } n.$$

Proof. This is straightforward induction using Theorem 2.

The foregoing theory can be used to consider representations of real numbers in which the base sequence $\{r_i\}_1^\infty$ takes on both positive and negative values. Let A and B be disjoint sets whose union is the set of positive integers, and let C_A and C_B denote their respective characteristic functions. We shall use

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} C_B(i) \\ (-i) \quad r_i \end{array} \right\}_1^\infty$$

as the base sequence.

Theorem 3. If $\{q_i\}_1^\infty$ is a positive integer sequence, then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} C_B(i) \\ (-1) \quad r_i \end{array} \right\}_1^\infty$$

is a $\{q, 0\}$ -base for the interval

$$\left(-\sum_{i \in B} q_i r_i, \sum_{i \in A} q_i r_i \right)$$

if and only if

$$(12) \quad r_n \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} q_i r_i \quad \text{for each } n.$$

Proof. Let $k_i = C_A(i)q_i$ and $m_i = C_B(i)q_i$, so that $k_i + m_i = q_i$, $\sum_{i \in A} q_i r_i = S$, and $\sum_{i \in B} q_i r_i = S^*$. Thus by Theorem 1, (12) is equivalent to $\{r_i\}_1^\infty$ being a $\{k, m\}$ -base for $(-S^*, S)$. If (12) holds and x is in $(-S^*, S)$, then

$$x = \sum_1^{\infty} b_i r_i, \quad \text{where } -C_B(i)q_i \leq b_i \leq C_A(i)q_i.$$

Taking $a_i = (-1)^{C_B(i)} b_i$, we have

$$(13) \quad \sum_1^{\infty} a_i \left[(-1)^{C_B(i)} r_i \right] \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq a_i \leq q_i .$$

The converse is proved similarly.

REMARK. It is clear that the representations in (13) are unique if and only if equality holds in (12) for each n .

A related problem is that of expressing a given number x in the form

$$(14) \quad x = \sum_1^{\infty} \epsilon_i r_i, \quad \text{where} \quad \epsilon_i = 1 \text{ or } -1 .$$

The following solution is proved using Theorem 1.

PROPOSITION. If

$$r_n \leq \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} r_i \quad \text{for each } n, \quad \text{and} \quad |x| \leq \sum_1^{\infty} r_i,$$

then x can be expressed in the form (14).

The special case of Theorem 1 in which $k_i = 1$ and $m_i = 0$, for all i , is apparently an old result first proved by Takeya [7] (cf. [2]). Generalizations of the n -scale (radix n) representation of positive integers which are analogous to the theory presented here have been developed by Alder [1] and Brown [3-5].

REFERENCES

1. H. L. Alder, "The Number System in More General Scales," Math. Mag., 35 (1962) 145-151.
2. C. R. Banerjee and B. K. Lahiri, "On Subseries of Divergent Series," Amer. Math. Monthly, 71 (1964) 767-8.

3. J. L. Brown, Jr., "Note on Complete Sequences of Integers," Amer. Math. Monthly, 68 (1961) 557 - 560.
4. _____, "A Generalization of Semi-Completeness for Integer Sequences," Fibonacci Quarterly, 1 (1963) 3 - 15.
5. _____, "Generalized Bases for the Integers," Amer. Math. Monthly, 71 (1964) 973 - 980.
6. J. A. Fridy, "A Generalization of N-Scale Number Representation," Amer. Math. Monthly, to appear.
7. S. Kakeya, "On the Partial Sums of an Infinite Series," Sci. Reports Tohoku Imp. U. (1), 3 (1914) 159 - 163.
8. N. S. Mendelsohn, "Representations of Positive Real Numbers by Infinite Sequences of Integers," Trans. Royal Soc. Canada (3 Ser.) Sec. III, 47 (1952) 45 - 55.

* * * * *

ANNOUNCEMENT

The Journal of Combinatorial Theory will be devoted to the publication of original mathematical articles dealing with theoretical and physical aspects of the study of finite and discrete structures in all branches of science. It will fully explore the long-neglected field of combinatorial analysis—a field which has only now begun to realize its potential. The Journal will include the efforts of scientists, working in many independent disciplines, who have discovered a common link through combinatorics. Mathematicians, biologists, physicists, and engineers will find this Journal a central reference for the combinatorial methods which are increasingly important to many of their main problems. For further information, write Gian-Carlo Rota, The Rockefeller University, N. Y., N. Y., 10021.

VOLUME 1, 1966 (Issued quarterly beginning Spring 1966), \$15.00
Add \$1.00 for postage outside U. S. A.

Personal Subscription \$9.00 (Not for institutional use)

Add \$1.00 for postage outside U. S. A.

Sample copies of the Journal are available upon request.

CONTENTS OF VOLUME 1, NUMBER 1:

George Polya, A Note of Welcome

Hans Rademacher, Robert Dickson, and Morris Plotkin, A Packing Problem for Parallelepipeds

W. T. Tutte, On the Algebraic Theory of Graph Colourings

Cedric A. B. Smith, Graphs and Composite Games

John Riordan, The Number of Faces of Simplicial Polytopes

R. C. Bose and R. C. Burton, A Characterization of Flat Spaces in a Finite Geometry and the Uniqueness of the Hamming and the MacDonal Codes

Michael E. Fischer, On Hearing the Shape of a Drum

Henry H. Crapo, The Mobius Function of a Lattice

Jane DiPaola, Block Designs and Graph Theory

SHORT NOTES:

P. Erdos, On the Construction of Certain Graphs

Daniel J. Kleitman, Families of Non-Disjoint Subsets

RESEARCH PROBLEMS

Published by the Academic Press.

* * * * *