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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Fibonacci sequence is defined by the recurrence relation
(1)

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+2}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

together with the particular values
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{F}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~F}_{1}=1, \\ \text { whence } \\ \mathrm{F}_{2}=1, \mathrm{~F}_{3}=2, \mathrm{~F}_{4}=3, \mathrm{~F}_{5}=5, \mathrm{~F}_{6}=8=2^{3}, \mathrm{~F}_{7}=13 \\ \mathrm{~F}_{8}=21=3 \cdot 7, \mathrm{~F}_{9}=34=2 \cdot 17, \mathrm{~F}_{10}=55=5 \cdot 11, \cdots, \\ \text { and, in particular, } \\ \mathrm{F}_{12}=144=2^{4} \cdot 3^{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{14}=377=13 \cdot 29, \mathrm{~F}_{15}=610=2 \cdot 5 \cdot 61, \\ \mathrm{~F}_{18}=2584=2^{3} \cdot 17 \cdot 19, \mathrm{~F}_{20}=6765=3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 41, \\ \mathrm{~F}_{21}=10946=2 \cdot 13 \cdot 421, \mathrm{~F}_{24}=46368=2^{5 \cdot} 3^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 23, \\ \mathrm{~F}_{25}=75025=5^{2} \cdot 3001, \mathrm{~F}_{28}=317811=3 \cdot 13 \cdot 29 \cdot 281, \\ \mathrm{~F}_{30}=832040=2^{3} \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 31 \cdot 61, \mathrm{~F}_{35}=9227465=5 \cdot 13 \cdot 141961, \\ \mathrm{~F}_{36}=14930352=24 \cdot 3^{3} \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 107, \mathrm{~F}_{42}=267914296=2^{3} \cdot 13 \cdot 29 \cdot 211 \cdot 421 \\ \mathrm{~F}_{70}=190392490709135=5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 29 \cdot 71 \cdot 911 \cdot 141961\end{array}\right.$

In this paper, we shall be concerned with the sub-sequence of Fibonacci numbers which are divisible by powers of a given integer. We shall also be interested in the associated problem of the periodic nature of the sequence of remainders, when the Fibonacci numbers are divided by a given integer.

The Fibonacci sequence is defined for all integer values of the index $n$. However, the well-known identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{-\mathrm{n}}=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

shows that negative indices add nothing to the divisibility properties of the Fibonacci numbers. We shall consequently simplify our discussion, without loss of generality, by imposing the restriction that $n \geq 0$.

Of the many papers dealing with our problem, perhaps the most useful are those of Carmichael [1], Robinson [5], Vinson [6], and Wall [7]; and the reader can find many additional references in these. Most of the other papers in the field give either less complete results, or give them for more general sequences.

We shall make use, in what follows, of the well-known identities:*

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left\{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}\right\} ;  \tag{4}\\
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}-1} \sum_{\mathrm{S}=0}^{\left[\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{n}-1)\right]}\binom{\mathrm{n}}{2 \mathrm{~s}+1} 5^{\mathrm{s}}, \text { if } \mathrm{n} \geq 1 \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
F_{k n+r}=\sum_{h=0}^{k}\binom{k}{n} F_{n}^{h} F_{n-1}^{k-h} F_{r+h}, \quad \text { if } k \geq 0
$$

and since $F_{0}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{kn}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mathrm{h}=1}^{\mathrm{k}}\binom{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{h}-1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{h}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

*See, for example, equations (6), (3), (5), (67), and (34), in my earlier + paper [3]. Equation (5) above follows from (4) by the binomial theorem.

Also
(9)

$$
\binom{k+1}{h}=\binom{k}{h}+\binom{k}{h-1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \text { divides }\binom{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{~s}} \text { if } \mathrm{p} \text { is prime and } 0<\mathrm{s}<\mathrm{p} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Fermat's theorem, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{p}-1} \equiv 1(\bmod \mathrm{p}) \text { if } \mathrm{p} \text { is prime and }(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p})=1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As is customary, we use ( $A, B, C, \cdots$ ) to represent the greatest common factor of integers $A, B, C, \cdots$, and $[A, B, C, \cdots]$ to represent their least common multiple. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}^{\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{p}-1)} \equiv(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{p})(\bmod \mathrm{p}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is an odd prime and ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{p}$ ) denotes the Legendre index, which is $\pm 1$ if $(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p})=1$, and 0 otherwise.

Each writer seems to have invented his own notation. I shall adopt the following, which comes closest to that of Robinson in [5].

Definition 1. The least positive index $a$ such that $F_{\alpha}$ is divisible by $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}$ (that is, $\mathrm{F}_{\alpha} \equiv 0\left(\bmod \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ ) will be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})=\alpha\left(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}, 1\right)=\alpha\left(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is variously called the "rank of apparition" (why not "appearance"?) of $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}$, or the "restricted period" of the Fibonacci sequence modulo $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

Definition 2. The least positive index $\mu$ such that both $\mathrm{F}_{\mu} \equiv 0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mu+1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ will be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})=\mu\left(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}, 1\right)=\mu\left(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This notation follows Carmichael［2］，who named $\mu$ the＂characteristic number＂ of the Fibonacci sequence modulo $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}$ 。 It is also called the＂period＂of the se－ quence modulo $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ．

Definition 3．I shall write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}) / \alpha(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})=\beta(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})=\beta\left(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}, 1\right)=\beta\left(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 4。 The greatest integer $\nu$ such that $\mathrm{F}_{\alpha(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})}$ is divisible by $\mathrm{m}^{\nu}$ will be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})=\nu\left(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}, 1\right)=\nu\left(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is then clear that
（17）$\alpha(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})=\alpha(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}+1)=\ldots=\alpha(\mathrm{m}, \nu(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}))<\alpha(\mathrm{m}, \nu(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})+1)$
or，equivalently，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(\mathrm{m}, \nu(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}))=\nu(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 5．I shall call the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha(m, 1)}, F_{\alpha(m, 2)}, \cdots, F_{\alpha(m, n)}, \cdots \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

the divisibility sequence of m 。

## 2．PRELIMINARIES

We shall need a number of preliminary results，whose proofs will be out－ lined for completeness．

Lemma 1．$F_{n}, F_{n+1}$ ，and $F_{n+2}$ are always pairwise prime．
［If $f$ divides two of the numbers，it must divide the third，by（1）．Thus，，by
induction along the sequence，using（1），we see that $f$ must divide every $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ 。 Thus，since $F_{1}=1, f=1$ ．］

Lemma 2．If $\mathrm{n} \geq 2, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is a strictly increasing positive function of $\mathrm{n}_{\text {。 }}$ ［By（1），if $F_{n-2} \geq 0$ and $F_{n-1} \geq 1, F_{n+1}>F_{n} \geq 1$ 。By（2），$F_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=1$ ，whence the lemma follows by induction．］

Lemma 3．If $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{n} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

［By Lemma 2，if $n \geq 3$ ，the least index $m$ such that $F_{m} \geq F_{n}$ is $n_{0}$ ］
Lemma 4。

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{m}, F_{n}\right)=F_{(m, n)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

［Let $(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{g}$ and $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{G}$ 。 There are integers x and y （not both negative）such that $x m+y n=g$ ．Suppose $x \geq 0$ ；then，by（7），

$$
F_{g}=\sum_{h=0}^{x}\binom{x}{h} F_{m}^{h} F_{m-1}^{x-h} F_{y n+h} \equiv 0(\bmod G)
$$

since $G$ divides $F_{m}$ and $F_{n}$ ，and by（8），$F_{n}$ divides $F_{y n}$ ．Thus $F_{g}$ is divisible by $G$ ．Again，by（8）， $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{kg}} \equiv 0\left(\bmod \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ 。 Thus，since g divides both $m$ and $n, F_{g}$ divides both $F_{m}$ and $F_{n}$ ，and so $G$ is divisible by $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\text {．}}$ ］

Lemma 5．$\quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is divisible by $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ，if and only if either m is divisible by $n$ ，or $n=2$ 。
［By Lemma 4，$\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ if and only if $\mathrm{F}_{(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ；that is，$(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})=$ n or $\mathrm{n}=2$ 。］

Definition 6．The remainder when $F_{n}$ is divided by $m$ will be written $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{m})}$ and will be called the residue of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ modulo $\mathrm{m}_{\text {。 }}$ ．Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{m})}(\bmod \mathrm{m}), \quad 0 \leq \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{m})}<\mathrm{m} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6．The sequence of residues $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{m})}$ ，modulo any integer $\mathrm{m} \geq$ 2 ，is periodic with period $\mu(\mathrm{m})$ ．That is

$$
\begin{cases} & F_{n+k \mu(m)}^{(m)}=F_{n}^{(m)}  \tag{23}\\ \text { or } & F_{n+k \mu(m)} \equiv F_{n}(\bmod m)\end{cases}
$$

［ The ordered pair of integers $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{m})}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{(\mathrm{m})}$ can take at most $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ distinct val－ ues．Thus the $m^{2}+1$ such consecutive pairs in $F_{0}^{(m)}, F_{i}^{(m)}, \cdots, F_{m^{2}+1}^{(m)}$ must have a duplication．By backward induction on the indices of two equal pairs，using（1），we see that there must be a pair $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(\mathrm{m})}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}+1}^{(\mathrm{m})}$ equal to $\mathrm{F}_{0}^{(\mathrm{m})}$ $=0, \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{(\mathrm{m})}=1$ ，with $2 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{m}^{2}$ 。By definition，the least such k is $\mu(\mathrm{m})$ ． The periodicity now follows from（1）．］

Lemma 7．For any integer $m$ ，we can find an $F_{n}$ divisible by $m$ ． ［For example， $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{k} \mu(\mathrm{m})$ ，for any integer k ，by Lemma 6．］

Lemma 8． $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is divisible by m if and only if n is divisible by $\alpha(\mathrm{m})$ ． ［Since m is a factor of $\mathrm{F}_{\alpha(\mathrm{m})}$ ；if n is divisible by $\alpha(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is divisible by $m$ ，by Lemma 5．Let $n=k \alpha(m)+r, 0 \leq r<\alpha(m)$ ，and let $m$ divide $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ．Then，by（7）， $\mathrm{F}_{\alpha(\mathrm{m})-1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}} \equiv \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv 0(\bmod \mathrm{~m})$ ．Thus，since by Lemma 1， $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\alpha(\mathrm{m})}, \mathrm{F}_{\alpha(\mathrm{m})-1}\right)=1 ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}} \equiv 0(\bmod \mathrm{~m})$ 。Since $\mathrm{r}<\alpha(\mathrm{m})$ ，which is minimal， $\mathrm{F}_{\mathbf{r}}=0$ ；whence $\mathrm{r}=0$ and n is divisible by $\alpha(\mathrm{m})$ ．］

Lemma 9．For all integers m and $\mathrm{r} \geq \mathrm{s}>0, \alpha(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~s})$ divides $\alpha(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{r})$ ． $\left[\mathrm{F}_{\alpha(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{r})}\right.$ is divisible by $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{r}}$ and soby $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{S}}$ ．The result follows from Lemma 8．］

Lemma 10．$\mu(\mathrm{m})$ is divisible by $\alpha(\mathrm{m})$ ．That is，$\beta(\mathrm{m})$ is an integer． ［Since $\mathrm{F}_{\mu(\mathrm{m})}^{(\mathrm{m})}=\mathrm{F}_{0}^{(\mathrm{m})}=0, \mathrm{~F}_{\mu(\mathrm{m})}$ is divisible by m 。 The lemma follows from Lemma 8．］

Lemma 11．If $p$ is an odd prime，then $p$ divides only one of $F_{p-1}$ ， $F_{p}$ ，and $F_{p+1}$ ；namely，$F_{m}$ ，where $m=p-(5 / p)$ ． $[(p, 2)=1$ 。 Using（5），（10），and（11），we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{p} \equiv 2^{p-1} F_{p}=\sum_{S=0}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)}\binom{p}{2 s+1} 5^{s} \equiv 5^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)}(\bmod p) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $p$ divides $F_{p}$ if and only if $(5 / p)=0$ ，by（12）；that is，when $p=5$ ． By（5），（9），（10），and（11），

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}+1} \equiv 2^{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{F}}}{ }_{\mathrm{p}+1}=\sum_{\mathrm{s}=0}^{\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{p}-1)}\left\{\binom{\mathrm{p}}{2 \mathrm{~s}+1}+\binom{\mathrm{p}}{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right\}_{5^{s} \equiv 1+5^{\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{p}-1)}(\bmod \mathrm{p})} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and，by（1），（24），and（25），

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}-1} \equiv 1-5^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{p}-1)}(\bmod \mathrm{p}) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lemma now follows．We may note that all but the dependence on（5／p） follows directly from（6），which yields that，if $p \neq 5$ ，by（11）and（24），

$$
F_{p-1} F_{p+1}=F_{p}^{2}-1 \equiv 0(\bmod p)
$$

and from（1）．］
Lemma 12。 $\alpha(\mathrm{p})$ divides $\mathrm{p}-(5 / \mathrm{p})$ ，if p is an odd prime；and if $\alpha(\mathrm{p})$ is itself prime and $\mathrm{p} \neq 5, \alpha(\mathrm{p})<\mathrm{p}$ 。
［ The first part follows from Lemmas 8 and 11．Thus $\alpha(\mathrm{p})<\mathrm{p}+1$ ．By Lem－ ma 11，if $p \neq 5$ and $\alpha(p)$ is prime，since $p \pm 1$ is not prime，$\alpha(p) \leq p-2$ ．］ Lemma 13。 If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{p}_{1}{ }_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2} \lambda_{2} \cdots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $p_{i}$ are distinct primes and the $\lambda_{i}$ are positive integers，then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})=\left[\alpha\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{n} \lambda_{1}\right), \alpha\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{n} \lambda_{2}\right), \cdots, \alpha\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{n} \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})=\left[\mu\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{n} \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \mu\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{n} \lambda_{2}\right), \cdots, \mu\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{n} \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right] \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

［By Lemma 8，$F_{t}$ is divisible by $p_{i}^{n} \lambda_{i}$ if and only if $t$ is divisible by $\alpha\left(p_{i}\right.$ ， $\mathrm{n}_{\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}$ ）．Thus $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is divisible by $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}$ if and only if t is a multiple of all the $\alpha\left(p_{i}, n \lambda_{i}\right)$ 。 Since $\alpha(m, n)$ is minimal，（28）follows．By Lemma 6，$F_{s+t} \equiv$ $F_{s}\left(\bmod p_{i}^{n} \lambda_{i}\right)$ for every $s$ if and only if $t$ is a multiple of $\mu\left(p_{i}, n \lambda_{i}\right)$ ．Thus， by the Chinese remainder theorem， $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{t}} \equiv \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\bmod \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ for every s if and only if $t$ is a common multiple of the $\mu\left(p_{i}, n \lambda_{i}\right)$ ．Since $\mu(m, n)$ is the mini－ mal such $t$ ，（29）follows．］

Lemma 14．For any integers $m$ and $n$ ，

$$
\alpha([\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}])=[\alpha(\mathrm{m}), \alpha(\mathrm{n})]
$$

and

$$
\mu([\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}])=[\mu(\mathrm{m}), \mu(\mathrm{n})]
$$

［This follows from Lemma 13，by expanding $m$ and $n$ in prime factors．］
Definition 7．The greatest integer $n$ such that $N$ is divisible by $m^{n}$ will be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\operatorname{pot}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~N} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and called the＂potency＂of N to base m ，following H 。 Gupta．It is then clear that，in particular，

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})=\operatorname{pot}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~F}_{\alpha(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 15． $\operatorname{pot}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{n}$ if and only if N is divisible by $\alpha(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})$ but not by $\alpha(m, n+1)$ 。
［This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 8．］
Lemma 16．If $k$ and $n$ are positive integers，then（ $F_{k n} / F_{n}, F_{n}$ ）is a factor of $k$ 。
$\left[\operatorname{By}(8), \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{kn}} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv \mathrm{kF}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{\mathrm{k}-1}\left(\bmod \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right.$ 。Thus，if $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{kn}} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{g}$ divides $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{\mathrm{k}-1}$ ．By Lemma 1 ，$\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=1$ ；so g divides $\mathrm{k}_{\text {。 }}$ ］

Lemma 17．If k and n are both integers greater than one，then $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{kn}} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is a strictly increasing function of n and of $\mathrm{k}_{0}$
［By（8），$F_{k n} / F_{n}=\Sigma_{h=1}^{k}\binom{k}{h} F_{n}^{h-1} F_{n-1}^{k-h} F_{h^{*}}$ Every term in the sum is positive， and increases with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ ，and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {。 }}$ The result follows from Lemma 2。］

Of these results，those in Lemmas 1，2，4－7，11，and 16 have been known for a long time．Lemmas $8-10$ and $12-15$ appear，or are implicit，in the papers of Robinson［5］，Vinson［6］，and Wall［7］［ $\mathrm{My} \alpha(\mathrm{m}), \beta(\mathrm{m}), \quad \mu(\mathrm{m})$ are written $\alpha(\mathrm{m}), \beta(\mathrm{m}), \delta(\mathrm{m})$ by Robinson，and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{m})$ by Vinson， respectively；and Wall writes $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{m})$ for my $\alpha(\mathrm{m}), \mu(\mathrm{m})$ 。］

## 3．THE DIVISIBILITY SEQUENCE

Theorem 1。 If p is an odd prime and $\mathrm{n} \geq \nu(\mathrm{p})$ ，then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}-v(\mathrm{p})} \alpha(\mathrm{p}) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{n} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathrm{p} \neq 5, \quad(\mathrm{p}, \alpha(\mathrm{p}))=1$ ；while

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(5, n)=5^{n} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(2)=3, \alpha(4)=6=\alpha(8) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $n \geq 3$ ，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(2, \mathrm{n})=2^{\mathrm{n}-2} \alpha(2)=2^{\mathrm{n}-2} \cdot 3 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof．By Lemma 9，$\alpha(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{k} \alpha(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n}-1)$ ，for some integer k 。Write

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\alpha(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~F}_{\alpha(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n}-1)}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}_{\alpha(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n}-1)-1}=\mathrm{C}_{0}
$$

Then，by（8），

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pA}=\sum_{h=1}^{k}\binom{k}{h} p^{(n-1)(h-1)} B^{h} C^{k-h} F_{h} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus，if $n>\nu(p) \geq 1$ ，since $(p, C)=1$ ，$k B$ must be divisible by $p$ ．Hence， if $v(p, n-1)=n-1,(p, B)=1$ ，whence $p$ divides $k_{0}$ Since $\alpha(p, n)$ and so $k$ ，is minimal，$k=p$ ．Now，by（10），since $k>2$ ，（38）yields that $A \equiv$ $\mathrm{BC}^{\mathrm{p}-1}(\bmod \mathrm{p})$ ．Since the factors on the right are prime to p ，so is $A$ ， whence $v(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{n}$ 。 By（18），$v(\mathrm{p}, \nu(\mathrm{p}))=\nu(\mathrm{p})$ ，so that，by induction，if $\mathrm{n} \geq$ $\nu(\mathrm{p}), \quad(34)$ holds and $\alpha(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}-\nu(\mathrm{p})} \alpha(\mathrm{p}, \nu(\mathrm{p}))$ 。 By（17），$\alpha(\mathrm{p}, \nu(\mathrm{p}))=\alpha(\mathrm{p})$, yielding（33）。

By Lemma 12，$\alpha(p)$ divides $p-(5 / p)$ ．Thus，if $p \neq 5,(p, \alpha(p))=1$ 。 If $p=5$ ，then，by $(2), \alpha(5)=5, v(5)=1$ ，and，by（33），we get（35）．

Finally，if $p=2,(38)$ still holds，and we see，as before，that $k=p$ $=2$ if $v(2, \mathrm{n}-1)=\mathrm{n}-1$ ．Thus $2 \mathrm{~A}=2^{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{~B}^{2}+2 \mathrm{BC}$ ，whence $(2, \mathrm{~A})=1$ and $\nu(2, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{n}$ ，as before，if $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$ 。 By（2），we have（36），whence we obtain （37）like（33）．

Theorem 2．If pot $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{n} \geq 1$ ，where p is prime and $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}} \neq 2$ ，and if $r \geq 0$ and $(p, t)=1$ ；then pot ${ }_{p}{ }^{F}{ }_{p} r_{t m}=n+r$ ．If $p^{n}=2, \quad t m$ is an odd multiple of 3 and $F_{t m}$ is an odd multiple of 2，while，if $r \geq 1, \operatorname{pot}_{2} F{ }_{2} r_{t m}=$ $r+2$ 。

Proof．We repeatedly use Lemma 15 and Theorem 1．If $n \geq 1$ and $p^{n}$ $\neq 2$ ，either p is odd and $\mathrm{n} \geq v(\mathrm{p})$ ，or $\mathrm{p}=2$ and $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$ ；whence，by（33） or（37），

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}} \alpha(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n}) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus，$m=k \alpha(p, n)$ for some $k$ prime to $p$ ．Hence $p^{r_{t m}}=\operatorname{tk} \alpha(p, n+r)$ ，so that pot ${ }_{p}{ }_{p^{p} r_{t m}}=n+r$ ．By（36），if $p^{n}=2, m$ and $t m$ are divisible by 3 but not by 6 ，so that $\operatorname{pot}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{tm}}=1$ ，and similarly by（37）， $\operatorname{pot}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{tm}}=\mathrm{r}+2$ ， if $r \geq 1$ 。

Theorems 1 and 2 have a fairly long history．Lucas［4］（see pages 209－ 210）proved the simplest formula（39）with $r=1$ ，but failed to notice the anomaly
when $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}=2$ 。 Carmichael [1] (see pages $40-42$ ) proved Theorem 2 in full,* using the theory of cyclotomic polynomials。Both Lucas' and Carmichael's results apply to a more general sequence** than that defined by (1) and (2). Robinson [5] proves Theorem 1, for odd primes only, by a matrix method.

Theorem 3. If pot ${ }_{p} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{n} \geq 1$, where p is prime and $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}} \neq 2$, and if $r \geq 0$; then there is a strictly increasing sequence of pairwise prime integers $\ell_{S}=\ell_{S}(m, p)(S=0,1,2,9 \circ \circ)$, all prime to $p$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~m}}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{r}}{\ell_{0} \ell_{1}}^{\cdots} \ell_{\mathrm{r}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. When $r=0$, we define $F_{m}=p^{n} \ell_{0}$, where $\left(p, \ell_{0}\right)=1$. By Theorem 2, if $r \geq 1$, there are integers $A, B$, and $C$, such that

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}^{r} m}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{r}} A, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}-1} \mathrm{~m}}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n+r-1}} B, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}^{r-1} m-1}=\mathrm{C}
$$

and $(p, A)=(p, B)=1$, while, by Lemma $1,(p B, C)=1$. Thus, by (8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=B \sum_{h=1}^{p}\binom{p}{h} p^{(n+r-1)(h-1)-1} B^{h-1} C^{p-h_{h}} F_{h} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as in the proof of Theorem 1, the sum on the right is an integer, since $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$. Thus $A$ is divisible by $B$. If we write $A=\ell_{r} B$, it is clear that $A=\ell_{0} l_{1} \cdots \ell_{r}$, yielding (40). Further (41) gives us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{r}=p B \sum_{h=2}^{p}\binom{p}{h} p^{(n+r-1)(h-1)-2} B^{h-2} C^{p-h} F_{h}+C^{p-1} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where the sum is again an integer, since either $p \geq 3$ and $n \geq 1$, or $p=2$ and $n \geq 3$ 。 Thus $\ell_{r} \equiv C^{p-1}(\bmod p B)$; so that, since $C$ is prime to $p$, $\ell_{0}, \ell_{1}, \cdots,{ }_{r-1}$, so is $\ell_{r}$. Again, since $\ell_{r}$ exceeds a positive integer multiple of pB , we have that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{r}>p l_{0} l_{1} \cdots l_{r-1}>l_{r-1} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Corollary 1. If pot ${ }_{p} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{n} \geq 1$ and $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}} \neq 2$, and if $\mathrm{r}>\mathrm{s} \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{r-s}\left(p^{s} m, p\right)=\ell_{r}(m, p) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{0}\left(p^{s} m, p\right)=\ell_{0}(m, p) \ell_{1}(m, p) \cdots \ell_{S}(m, p) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2. If pot $_{2} \mathrm{Fm}=1$ and $\mathrm{r} \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{2^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~m}}=2^{\mathrm{r}+2} \ell_{0}(2 \mathrm{~m}, 2) \ell_{1}(2 \mathrm{~m}, 2) \cdots^{\circ} \ell_{\mathrm{r}-1}(2 \mathrm{~m}, 2) \quad . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3, with its corollaries, contains a definition of $\ell_{S}(m, p)$ whenever $\operatorname{pot}_{p} F_{m}=n \geq 1$ and $p^{n} \neq 2$. By analogy with (40), (44), (45) and (46), we shall adopt the following definition for the remaining case。

Definition 8. If $\mathrm{m}=3 \mathrm{t}$ where t is odd (so that, by Theorem 2, $\operatorname{pot}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ $=1$ ), the sequence $\ell_{S}(m, 2)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{1}(\mathrm{~m}, 2)=2 \ell_{0}(2 \mathrm{~m}, 2) / \ell_{0}(\mathrm{~m}, 2), \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{~m}, 2)=\ell_{\mathrm{S}-1}(2 \mathrm{~m}, 2) \text { if } \mathrm{s} \geq 2 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3. Adopting Definition 8, we obtain equation (40) for every prime $p$, and every positive integer $m$ such that pot $_{p} F_{m}=n \geq 1$. In every case, the numbers $\ell_{s}=\ell_{s}(m, p)(s=0,1,2, \cdots)$ are integers, all pairwise prime, and all but $\ell_{1}(m, p)$ are always prime to $p$. If $m$ is an odd multiple of $3, \ell_{1}(\mathrm{~m}, 2)$ is an odd multiple of 2 ; in every other case, $\ell_{1}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{p})$ is prime to p 。

Proof. If $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}} \neq 2$, the corollary coincides with Theorem 3. If $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}=2$ (that is, $m$ is an odd multiple of 3 , by (36)) and $r \geq 1$, Corollary 2 and Definition 8 (equations (46), (48), and (49)) show that equation (40) holds, with $\frac{1}{2} \ell_{0}(\mathrm{~m}, 2) \ell_{1}(\mathrm{~m}, 2), \ell_{2}(\mathrm{~m}, 2), \ell_{3}(\mathrm{~m}, 2), \cdots \quad$ all pairwise prime odd integers, by Theorem 3. Finally, when $p^{n}=2$ and $r=0$, we get (40) from the definition (47), and, by Theorem 2, $\ell_{0}(\mathrm{~m}, 2)$ is an odd integer.

Further, by (8), $F_{2 m}=F_{m}\left(F_{m}+2 F_{m-1}\right)$, which yields through (40) that $\ell_{1}(m, 2)=\ell_{0}(m, 2)+F_{m-1}$. Since $\left(\ell_{0}, F_{m-1}\right)=\left(\ell_{1}, F_{m-1}\right)=1$ (by Lemma 4), and both $\ell_{0}$ and $F_{m-1}$ are odd, we see that $\ell_{1}(m, 2)$ is even and prime to $\ell_{0}(m, 2)$. Finally, since $\frac{1}{2} \ell_{0} \ell_{1}$ is odd, $\ell_{1}$ must be an odd multiple of 2.

Theorem 4o Let $P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{k}\right\}$ be a set of $k$ distinct primes. Then $P$ contains all the prime factors of $F_{p_{1}}, F_{p_{2}}, \cdots, F_{p k}$ only if

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{k}=1 \text { and } P=\{2\} \text { or }\{5\}  \tag{50}\\
& \mathrm{k}=2 \text { and } \mathrm{P}=\{2,3\} \text { or }\{2,5\} \\
& \text { or } \\
& \mathrm{k}=3 \text { and } P=\{2,3,5\}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Proof. Let $k=1$. Then $F_{p_{1}}$ can have no prime factor other than $p_{1}$. By (2), Lemma 2, and Lemma 11, the only possible values of $p_{1}$ are 2 and 5 。

Let $k \geq 2$, and first suppose that $2 \notin P$. By Lemma 4, if $i \neq j_{\text {. }}$, $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}}\right)=1$, so that no prime factor is common to two of the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}}$; and by Lemma 2, since every $p_{i} \geq 3$, every $F_{p_{i}}$ has at least one prime factor. Thus every $F_{p_{i}}$ has exactly one prime factor. Let us now renumber the $p_{i}$, if necessary, so that $p_{1}$ is the least prime in $P$ not equal to 5 , and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}=\mathrm{p}_{2}^{\mathrm{r}_{2}}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}=\mathrm{p}_{3}^{r_{3}}, \cdots, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}-1}}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}}, \cdots \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $r_{i} \geq 1$. This can always be done, and, since $p_{1} \neq 5$, inductively $\mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{p}_{3}, \cdots \neq 5$, and no $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}-1}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Finally, by Lemma 8, each $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}-1}=\alpha\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ and so, by Lemma 12, $\alpha\left(p_{i}\right)=p_{i-1}<p_{i}$. Thus the sequence defined by (51) cannot terminate, and this contradicts the finiteness of $P$. Therefore $2 \in P$ and we may write $p_{1}=2$. If the $F_{p_{i}}(i=2,3, \cdots, k)$ are all odd, the $p_{i}(i=$ $2,3, \cdots, k)$ from a set of $k-1$ distinct odd primes containing all the prime factors of the corresponding set of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}}$. We have just shown that this can only happen if $k-1=1$ and $p_{2}=5$. Suppose now that one of the $F_{p_{i}}$ is even. Then, by (2), we can write $p_{2}=3$, since $F_{3}=2$ 。 If $\mathrm{k}=2$, this completes the enumeration of possible cases. If $k \geq 3$, then $p_{3}, p_{4}, \cdots, p_{k}$ form a set of $\mathrm{k}-2$ distinct oddprimes containing all the primefactors of the corresponding set of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}}$, because $\alpha(3)=4$, which is not prime. Again, we know that this can only happen if $k-2=1$ and $p_{3}=5$. This completes the proof.

Definition 9. If $\operatorname{pot}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{n}$, and if either $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$ and $\mathrm{p}=5$, or $\mathrm{n}>$ $\nu(\mathrm{p})$, we shall call p a multiple prime factor (mpf) of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}^{\circ}}$ If, on the contrary, $\mathrm{p} \neq 5$ and $\mathrm{n}=\nu(\mathrm{p})$, then p is a simple prime factor ( spf ) of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$.

Lemma 18。 p is a multiple prime factor of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$ if and only if it is a prime factor of both $F_{N}$ and $N$. A prime factor of $F_{N}$ which is not multiple is a simple prime factor.
[This follows from Definition 9, Lemma 8, and Theorem 1.]
Lemma 19. If k and n are positive integers and p is a multiple prime factor of $F_{n}$, it is also a multiple prime factor of $F_{k n}$. Conversely, if $p$ is a simple prime factor of $F_{k n}$, it is also a simple prime factor of $F_{n}$. [This follows from Lemmas 5 and 18.]

Theorem 5. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$ has at least one simple prime factor, unless $\mathrm{N}=1,2$, 5,6 , or 12 .

Proof. $F_{1}=F_{2}=1$, so that these $F_{N}$ have no prime factors at all, and so no spf, as stated. Let $N \geq 3$, and let $F_{N}=m$ satisfy (27). By Lemma 2, the set $P$ of prime factors of $F_{N}$ is not empty. If $F_{N}$ has only mpfs, by Lemma 16, each $p_{i}$ divides $N$; whence by Lemma 5, each $F_{p_{i}}$ divides $m$. It follows that $P$ contains all the prime factors of every $F_{p_{i}}$. This is the situation dealt with in Theorem 4, and it can only occur in the five cases listed in (50).

By (2), (50), Lemma 8, and Theorem 1, if $F_{N}$ has only mpfs, we see that $F_{N}=2^{r} \cdot 3^{s} \cdot 5^{t}$. Further, $r \leq 4 ; s \leq 2 ; t \leq 1 ; r t=0 ; s t=0 ;$ if
$r=0$ then $s=0$ and $t=1$ ；if $s=t=0$ then $r=3$ ；if $r s>0$ then $r=$ 4 and $s=2$ 。Thus $F_{N}=5,8$ ，or 144 ；whence $N=5,6$ ，or 12 ；and all these cases are valid and stated in the theorem．

## 4．CARMICHAEL＇S THEOREM

By using the theory of cyclotomic polynomials，Carmichael proved，for the general sequence＊$D_{n}$ ，a theorem which，in our terminology，reads as follows［Compare［1］，Theorem XXIII，pages 61－62．］

Carmichael＇s Theorem。 If $\mathrm{N} \neq 1,2,6$ ，or 12 ，then there is a prime p ，such that $\mathrm{N}=\alpha(\mathrm{p})$ 。

We shall proceed to derive this theorem，for the Fibonacci sequence，by the elementary considerations we have used so far．Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=q_{1}^{n_{1}} q_{2}^{n_{2}} \ldots q_{k}^{n_{k}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $q_{i}$ are distinct primes and the $n_{i} \geq 1$ ．We shall write $N_{(1)}$ for any of the $k$ integers $N_{i}=N / q_{i}$ ，and more generally $N_{(h)}$ for any of the $\binom{k}{h}$ integers $N / q_{i_{1}} q_{i_{2}} \cdots q_{i_{h}}$ ，with $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{h}\right\}$ a subset（without repe－ tition）of $\{1,2, \cdots, k\}$ ．We shall also write $R_{h}$ for the product of the $\binom{k}{h}$ integers ${ }^{-}{ }_{N(h)}$ ．

Lemma 20．If N satisfies（52），then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[F_{N_{1}}, F_{N_{2}}, \cdots, F_{N_{k}}\right]=\frac{R_{1} R_{3} R_{5} \cdots}{R_{2} R_{4} R_{6} \cdots}=\prod_{h=1}^{h} R_{h}^{(-1)^{h-1}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

［By repeated application of Lemma 4，we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left(F_{N_{i_{1}}}, F_{N_{i_{2}}} \cdots, F_{N_{i_{h}}}\right)=F_{\left(N / q_{i_{1}}\right.}, N / q_{i_{2}}, \cdots, N / q_{i_{h}}\right)= \\
&  \tag{54}\\
& F_{N / q_{i_{1}} q_{i_{2}}} \cdots q_{i_{h}}=F_{N} \quad
\end{align*}
$$

${ }^{*}$ See footnote on page 227 above．
so that $R_{h}$ is the product of the greatest common factors of all sets of $h$ numbers $F_{N_{(1)}}$ 。 Let a prime factor $p$ divide exactly $s_{1}$ of the $F_{N_{(1)}}$; and let $p^{2}, p^{3}, \cdots, p^{m}$ divide $s_{2}, s_{3}, \cdots, s_{m}$ of the $F_{N_{(1)}}$, respectively; but let no $F_{N_{(1)}}$ be divisible by $p^{m+1}$. Then $k \geq s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq \ldots \geq s_{m} \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{pot}_{p}\left[F_{N_{1}}, F_{N_{2}}, \cdots, F_{N_{k}}\right]=m$. Of the $\binom{k}{h}$ factors in $R_{h}$, (54) shows that $\binom{s_{1}}{h},\binom{s_{2}}{h}, \cdots,\binom{s_{m}}{h}$ are respectively divisible by $p, p^{2}, \cdots, p^{m}$ 。 (Note that $\binom{s}{h}=0$ if $s<h$, and that the set of factors divisible by $p$ includes those divisible by $\mathrm{p}^{2}$, which include those divisible by $\mathrm{p}^{3}$, and so on). Thus $\operatorname{pot}_{p} R_{h}=\binom{s_{1}}{h}+\binom{s_{2}}{h}+\cdots+\binom{s_{m}}{h}$, whence $\operatorname{pot}_{p}\left(\frac{R_{1} R_{3} R_{5} \cdots}{R_{2} R_{4} R_{6} \cdots o}\right)=\sum_{t=1}^{m} \sum_{h=1}^{k}(-1)^{h-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}s \\ t \\ h\end{array}\right)=\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left\{1-(1-1)^{s^{t}}\right\}=m$,
which implies (53).]
It follows from Lemmas 5 and 20 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{N}=\frac{F_{N} R_{2} R_{4} \cdots}{R_{1} R_{3} R_{5} \cdots}=\frac{F_{N}}{\left[F_{\left.N_{1}, F_{N_{2}}, \cdots, F_{N_{k}}\right]}\right. \text {, }} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a positive integer. [Carmichael [1] writes $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{N}}$ for my $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$, and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}(\alpha, \beta)$ $=\beta^{\phi(N)} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}(\alpha / \beta)$ for my $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(N)=q_{1}^{n_{1}-1}\left(q_{1}-1\right) q_{2}^{n_{2}-1}\left(q_{2}-1\right) \cdots q_{k}^{n_{k} k^{-1}}\left(q_{k}-1\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the Euler $\phi$-function.]

By (55) and Theorem 2, if a prime $p$ divides $Q_{N}$, it is either a factor of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$ which is prime to every $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}_{(1)}}$, or it also divides some $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}_{(1)}}$. In the former case, by Lemma 8, since $\alpha(\mathrm{p})$ divides N , but no $\mathrm{N}_{(1)}$, necessarily $\mathrm{N}=\alpha(\mathrm{p})$, and if $\mathrm{N} \neq 5, \mathrm{p} \neq 5$ and p is a spf of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}^{\circ}}$. In the latter case, by Theorem 2, p is a mpf of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$, and $\operatorname{pot}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}=1$, except if $\mathrm{N}=6$ (when $Q_{N}=Q_{6}=F_{6} F_{1} / F_{2} F_{3}=4_{\circ}$ )

Lemma 21. If N satisfies (52) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{N}>q_{1} q_{2} \cdots q_{k}, \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there is a prime p such that $\mathrm{N}=\alpha(\mathrm{p})$.
[As explained above, if $N=6, Q_{N}=4<2 \cdot 3$, so this case does not axise. Thus $\operatorname{pot}_{p} Q_{N}=0$ or 1 and $Q_{N} / q_{1} q_{2} \cdots q_{k}$ cannot be divisible by any $q_{i}$. Thus if this quotient exceeds one, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}$ must be divisible by some prime other than the $q_{i}$, and such a prime p has $\left.\mathrm{N}=\alpha(\mathrm{p})_{0}\right]$

Lemma 22. If N satisfies (52) and $\mathrm{k} \geq 4$, then (57) holds.
[Since $R_{h}$ has $\binom{k}{h}$ Fibonacci-number factors, and since
$\sum_{h=0}^{k}\binom{k}{h}=(1+1)^{k}=2^{k} \quad$ and $\quad \sum_{h=0}^{k}(-1)^{h}\binom{k}{h}=(1-1)^{k}=0 \quad$,
we see that the numerator and denominator of $Q_{N}$, by (55), each has $2^{k-1}$ Fibonacci-number factors. Also, by (4), if $\mathrm{a}=(1 / 2)(\sqrt{5}+1)$ and $\mathrm{b}=$ $(1 / 2)(\sqrt{5}-1)$ so that $\mathrm{a}>1>\mathrm{b}=1 / \mathrm{a} \quad$ [Carmichael writes $\alpha$ and $-\beta$ for my a and b],
(58) $a^{n}\left(1-b^{2}\right) \leq a^{n}\left(1-b^{2 n}\right) \leq \sqrt{5} F_{n} \leq a^{n}\left(1+b^{2 n}\right) \leq a^{n}\left(1+b^{2}\right)$.

Therefore, since $\left(1-b^{2}\right) /\left(1+b^{2}\right)=1 / \sqrt{5}$ and by (55) and (58), $Q_{N} \geq a^{f}(1 / \sqrt{5})^{2}{ }^{k-1}$, where, by (56),
$f=N-\Sigma N_{(1)}+\Sigma N_{(2)}-\cdots=N\left(1-\frac{1}{q_{1}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{q_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(1-\frac{1}{q_{k}}\right)=\phi(N) ;$
[Oct.
so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{N} \geq a^{\phi(N)}(1 / \sqrt{5})^{2} k-a^{\left(q_{1}-1\right)\left(q_{2}-1\right) \cdots\left(q_{k}-1\right)}(1 / \sqrt{5})^{2}{ }^{k-1} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\left(q_{1}-1\right)\left(q_{2}-1\right) \cdots\left(q_{k}-1\right)$ exceeds the value when we put $q_{1}=2$ and $q_{i}=2 i-1 \quad(i \geq 2)$, namely $q^{k-1}(k-1)!$. The function

$$
2^{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(q_{i}-1\right)
$$

increases more slowly with each $q_{i}$ than does the product, and its value at the minimal point is $2^{k}+k^{2}-k+1$. If $k \geq 4$, this i.s seen to be less than $2^{k-1}(k-1)!$ 。Thus, by (59),

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{N} \geq\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{k} a^{q_{i}-1}\right\}\left(a^{2} / \sqrt{5}\right)^{8} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $a^{n-1} / n$ has a minimum for integex values of $n$ when $n=2$, and it exceeds one when $n \geq 4$. Thus, by (60),
(61) $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}} / q_{1} q_{2} \cdots q_{k} \geq(a / 2)\left(a^{2} / 3\right)\left(a^{4} / 5\right)\left(a^{6} / 7\right)\left(a^{2} / N 5\right)^{8}=a^{29} / 131250>8$, and the lemma follows.]

Lemma 23. If N satisfies (52) and $\mathrm{k}=3$, then (57) holds if at least one $q_{i} \geq 11$, or if no $q_{i}=2$, or if any $n_{i} \geq 2$ 。
[As in the proof of Lemma 21, (59) still holds. Now, if we suppose that $q_{i}<$ $q_{2}<q_{3}$, we see that $q_{1} \geq 2, q_{2} \geq 3$, and, by the first supposition of the lemma, $q_{3} \geq 11$. Thus
$\left(q_{1}-1\right)\left(q_{2}-1\right)\left(q_{3}-1\right)=\left(q_{1}-1\right)\left(q_{2}-1\right)\left(q_{3}-2\right)+\left(q_{1}-1\right)\left(q_{2}-2\right)+\left(q_{1}-1\right)$

$$
\geq 2\left(q_{3}-2\right)+\left(q_{2}-2\right)+\left(q_{1}-1\right) \geq\left(q_{1}-1\right)+\left(q_{2}-1\right)+\left(q_{3}-1\right)+7
$$

and so, by (59) and (62), as before,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}} / \mathrm{q}_{1} q_{2} q_{3} \geq(\mathrm{a} / 2)\left(\mathrm{a}^{2} / 3\right)\left(\mathrm{a}^{10} / 11\right)\left(\mathrm{a}^{7} / 5^{2}\right)=\mathrm{a}^{20} / 1650>9 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (57) follows.
Adopting the second supposition, we have $q_{1} \geq 3, q_{2} \geq 5$, and $q_{3} \geq 7$ Then (62) is replaced by
(64) $\quad\left(q_{1}-1\right)\left(q_{2}-1\right)\left(q_{3}-1\right) \geq 8\left(q_{3}-2\right)+2\left(q_{2}-2\right)+q_{1}-1 \geq\left(q_{1}-1\right)+\left(q_{2}-1\right)+$ $+\left(q_{3}-1\right)+36$, and (63) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}} / \mathrm{q}_{1} q_{2} q_{3} \geq\left(\mathrm{a}^{2} / 3\right)\left(\mathrm{a}^{4} / 5\right)\left(\mathrm{a}^{6} / 7\right)\left(\mathrm{a}^{36} / 5^{2}\right)=\mathrm{a}^{48} / 2625>10^{6} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (57) follows again.
Finally, if any $n_{i} \geq 2, \phi(n) \geq 2\left(q_{1}-1\right)\left(q_{2}-2\right)\left(q_{3}-1\right)$. Thus, as before, $q_{1} \geq 2, q_{2} \geq 3, q_{3} \geq 5$, and $2\left(q_{1}-1\right)\left(q_{2}-1\right)\left(q_{3}-1\right) \geq\left(q_{1}-1\right)+\left(q_{2}-1\right)+$ $\left(q_{3}-1\right)+9 ;$ whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{N} / q_{1} q_{2} q_{3} \geq(a / 2)\left(a^{2} / 3\right)\left(a^{4} / 5\right)\left(a^{9} / 5^{2}\right)=a^{16} / 750 \approx 2.9 \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we get (57).]
Lemma 24. If $N$ satisfies (52) and $k=2$, then (57) holds if $N / q_{1} q_{2} \geq$ 3 , or if at least one $q_{i} \geq 11$.
[Let $N / q_{1} q_{2}=r$. Then by (55), $Q_{N}=F_{q_{1} q_{2} r} F_{r} / F_{q_{2} r} F_{q_{1} r}$, and by (8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{N}=\sum_{h=1}^{q_{1}}\binom{q_{1}}{h} F_{q_{2} r}^{h-1} F_{q_{2} r-1}^{q_{1}-h} F_{h} / \sum_{h=1}^{q_{1}}\binom{q_{1}}{h} F_{r}^{h-1} F_{r-1}^{q_{1}-h} F_{h} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence, by Lemma 2, $Q_{N} \geq\left(F_{q_{2} r-1} / F_{r}\right)^{q_{1}-1}$. Thus, by (58)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{N} / q_{1} q_{2} \geq\left\{a^{\left(q_{2}-1\right) r-1}\left(1-b^{\frac{( }{2}\left(q_{2} r-1\right)}\right) /\left(1+b^{2 r}\right)\right\}^{q_{1}-1} / q_{1} q_{2} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Firsi we assume that $r \geq 3$ ．Then，by the kind of argument used above，if $q_{2} \geq 3$ and $q_{2} \geq 2$ ，and by（68），
（69）

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{N} / q_{1} q_{2} \geq\left(a^{q_{1}-3} / q_{1}\right)\left(a^{3 q_{2}-4} / q_{2}\right)\left\{a\left(1-b^{10}\right) /(1\right. & \left.\left.+b^{6}\right)\right\}^{q_{1}-1} \\
& >a^{4}(0.94)^{2} / 6>1
\end{aligned}
$$

Next，we assume that $q_{1} \geq 2, q_{2} \geq 11, r \geq 1$ ．Then，by（68），

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}} / q_{1} q_{2} \geq\left(\mathrm{a}^{8 q_{1}-17} / q_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{a}^{q_{2}-2} / q_{2}\right)\left\{a\left(1-b^{20}\right) /\left(1+b^{2}\right)\right\}^{q_{1}-1}>a^{9}(0.72) / 22>2 . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results（69）and（70）establish the lemma．］
Lemma 25．If $q$ is prime and $q \geq 3$ ，then there is a prime $p$ such that $\mathrm{q}=\alpha(\mathrm{p})$ 。
［If $q \geq 3, F_{q} \geq 2$ ，by Lemma 2，and so $F_{q}$ has a prime factor $p$ ．By Lem－ ma 8，$\alpha(\mathrm{p})$ divides q ，whence，since q is prime，$\alpha(\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{q}$. ］

Lemma 26．If $q$ is prime and $\lambda \geq 2$ ，then there is a prime $p \neq 5$ ， such that $\mathrm{q}^{\lambda}=\alpha(\mathrm{p})$ 。
［By Lemma 16 and Theorem 1，if $q^{\lambda-1}=m,\left(F_{q m} / F_{m}, F_{m}\right)=1$ if $q \neq 5$ ； and if $5^{\lambda-1}=m,\left(F_{5 m} / F_{m}, F_{m}\right)=5$ ．If $q \neq 5$ ，by Lemma $17, F_{q m} / F_{m} \geq$ $F_{4} / F_{2}=3$ ；so that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{qm}}$ must have a prime factor $\mathrm{p} \neq 5$ ，prime to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ 。 If $q=5$ ，since $F_{25} / 5 F_{5}=3001$ ，by（2），Lemma 17 shows that again $F_{q m}$ has a prime factor $p \neq 5$ ，prime to $F_{m}$ ．Thus，by Lemma 8，for any $q, \alpha(p)$ divides $q m=q^{\lambda}$ but not $m=q^{\lambda-1}$ ．Therefore $q^{\lambda}=\alpha(p)$ ．$]$

We now have sufficient information to prove Carmichael＇s theorem．
Theorem 6。 If $N \neq 1,2,6$ ，or 12 ，then there is a prime $p$ such that $\mathrm{N}=\alpha(\mathrm{p})$ 。

Proof．Let the（unique）prime－power expansion of N be given by（52）． By Lemma 21，Lemmas 22，23，and 24 show that the theorem holds in the fol－ lowing cases：（i）if $k \geq 4$ ，all $N$ ；（ii）if $k=3$ and either（a）one $q_{i} \geq 11$ ， （b）no $q_{i}=2$ ，or（c）one $n_{i} \geq 2$ ；and（iii）if $k=2$ and either（a）$N / q_{1} q_{2}$ $\geq 3$ or（b）one $q_{1} \geq 11$ ．In addition，Lemmas 25 and 26 show that the theorem holds（iv）if $k=1$ and $N \neq 2$ ．We see from（2）that，indeed，when $N=1,2$ ，

6 , or 12 , there is no prime $p$ such that $N=\alpha(p)$. It therefore remains to show that such a $p$ exists, (v) when $k=3$, no $q_{i} \geq 11$, one $q_{i}=2$, and no $n_{i} \geq 2$, and (vi) when $k=2, N \neq 6$ or $12, N / q_{1} q_{2}=1$ or 2 , and no $q_{i}$ $\geq 11$. We look for primes $p$ which divide $F_{N}$ but no corresponding $\dot{F}_{N_{(1)}}$, for then $\mathrm{N}=\alpha(\mathrm{p})$, as explained earlier.

Case (v). We have $\mathrm{N}=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5=30,2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7=42$, and $2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7=$ 70. We see from (2) that $30=\alpha(31), 42=\alpha(211)$, and $70=\alpha(71)=\alpha(911)$; so that the theorem holds.

Case (vi). We have $N=2 \cdot 5=10,2^{2} \cdot 5=20,2^{\circ} 7=14,2^{2} \circ 7=28$, $3 \cdot 5=15,3 \cdot 7=21$, and $5 \cdot 7=35$. We see from (2) that $10=\alpha(11)$, $20=\alpha(41), 14=\alpha(29), 28=\alpha(281), \quad 15=\alpha(61), \quad 21=\alpha(421)$, and $35=$ $\alpha(141961)$. This completes the theorem.

Lemma 27。 If $\mathrm{N}=\alpha(\mathrm{p})$ and $\mathrm{N} \neq 5$ whence $\mathrm{p} \neq 5), \mathrm{p}$ is a simple prime factor of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$.
[ By Lemma 18, if $p$ is a mpf of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$, p divides both N and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}^{\circ}}$ Thus, since, by Theorem 1, if $p \neq 5,(p, \alpha(p))=1$; $N$ must be divisible by $p \alpha(p)$, so that $\mathrm{N} \neq \alpha(\mathrm{p})$. The lemma follows.]

By Lemma 27, Theorem 5 is seen to follow from Theorem 6. We also see that Theorem 3 and its corollaries follow from Theorems 1, 2, and 6 (with the exception of the fact that the $\ell_{S}(m, p)$ increase with $\left.s\right)$.

For completeness, we also state the following result.
Lemma 28。 If $f_{1}=1, f_{2}, f_{3}, \cdots, f_{m}=N$ are all the divisors of $N$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}=\prod_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}}} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

[If $N$ satisfies (52), its divisors are the $\left(n_{1}+1\right)\left(n_{2}+1\right) \cdots\left(n_{k}+1\right)=m$ integers

$$
\mathrm{f}=q_{1}^{s_{1}} q_{2}^{\mathrm{s}_{2}} \ldots q_{k}^{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}}
$$

where $0 \leq s_{i} \leq n_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, k_{0}$ By (55), a particular factor $F_{g}$ can appear only once in $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{f}}$; and this, when

$$
g=q_{1}^{t_{1}} q_{2}^{t_{2}} \cdots q_{k}^{t_{k}}
$$

and $t_{i}=n_{i}$ except when $i=i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{h}$ (when $t_{i}<n_{i}$ ), only if $f=g$ or $g q_{i_{1}}$ or $g q_{i_{1}} q_{i_{2}}$ or $\cdots$ or $g q_{i_{1}} q_{i_{2}} \cdots q_{i_{h}}$. It follows by (55) that $F_{g}$ appears in

to the total power

$$
1-\binom{h}{i}+\binom{h}{2}-\cdots+(-1)^{h}\binom{h}{h}=(1-1)^{h}=0 \text { if } h \geq 1
$$

and 1 if $h=0$ 。 This proves that the product is simply $\left.F_{N}{ }^{\circ}\right]$

## 5. PERIODICITY OF RESIDUES

We shall complete this discussion of divisibility properties with a survey of results pertaining to the characteristic number $\mu(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})$ defined inSection 1.

Lemmas 13 and 14 show that we may limit the study of the functions $\alpha(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})$ and $\mu(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})$ to that of $\alpha(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})$ and $\mu(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})$, where p is prime. We have established the essential properties of $\alpha(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})$ in Theorem 1. Thus, by (15), the corresponding behaviour of $\mu(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})$ is known if we know that of $\beta(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})$. So far, we have only stated, in Lemma 10, that $\beta(\mathrm{m})$ (and, in particular, $\beta(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})$ ) is always an integer. The papers of Robinson [5], Vinson [6], and Wall [7] have answered almost every question that may be asked about $\beta(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})$, andit is their work which will be outlined here. Proofs of all the results quoted below may be found in Vinson's paper [6], and so will be omitted here.

Theorem 7. If $p$ is an odd prime and $n$ a positive integer, then

$$
\beta(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 \text { if } \operatorname{pot}_{2} \alpha(\mathrm{p})=0  \tag{72}\\
1 \text { if } \operatorname{pot}_{2} \alpha(\mathrm{p})=1 \\
2 \text { if } \operatorname{pot}_{2} \alpha(\mathrm{p}) \geq 2
\end{array}\right\}
$$

but

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(2,1)=\beta(2,2)=1, \quad \text { and } \quad \beta(2, \mathrm{n})=2 \text { if } \mathrm{n} \geq 3 \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that，with the two exceptions given in（75），$\beta(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})$ is independ－ ent of $n$ ．Also，$\beta(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})$ always takes one of the three values 1,2 ，or 4 － a remarkably simple result．

Theorem 8．If $m$ is a positive integer satisfying（27），then（i）$\beta(\mathrm{m})=$ 4，if $m \geq 3$ and $\alpha(\mathrm{m})$ is odd；（ii）$\beta(\mathrm{m})=1$ ，if $\operatorname{pot}_{2} \alpha\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=1$ for every $p_{i} \neq 2(i=1,2, \cdots, k)$ and if $\operatorname{pot}_{2} m \leq 2$ ；and（iii）$\beta(m)=2$ for all other m。

We note that Theorem 8 contains Theorem 7，as a special case，when $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ，where p is prime。（The connection is through Lemma 13。）

Theorem 9。 If $p$ is an odd prime，not equal to 5 ，and $n$ a positive integer，then

$$
\beta(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})=\left\{\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & \text { if } \mathrm{p} \equiv 11 & \text { or } & 19 & (\bmod 20)  \tag{74}\\
2 & \text { if } \mathrm{p} \equiv 3 & \text { or } & 7 & (\bmod 20) \\
4 & \text { if } \mathrm{p} \equiv 13 & \text { or } & 17 & (\bmod 20)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and（of the remaining values of $\mathrm{p} \equiv 1$ or $9(\bmod 20)) \beta(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n}) \neq 2$ if $\mathrm{p} \equiv 21$ or $29(\bmod 40)$ 。

These results are connected with the foregoing by way of Lemma 12 。 Vinson points out that the theorem is＂complete＂in the sense that every re－ maining possibility occurs；he lists the examples：
（75）

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\beta(521)=1, \beta(41)=2, \beta(761)=4, & {[p \equiv 1(\bmod 40)] } \\
\beta(809)=1, \beta(409)=2, \beta(89)=4, & {[p \equiv 9(\bmod 40)] } \\
\beta(101)=1, \beta(61)=4, & {[p \equiv 21(\bmod 40)] } \\
\beta(29)=1, \beta(109)=4, & {[p \equiv 29(\bmod 40)] }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$
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[^0]:    * He has a misprint, making the greatest power of 2 too small by one.
    **The sequence is $D_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\alpha^{\mathrm{n}}-\beta^{\mathrm{n}}\right) /(\alpha-\beta)$, where $\alpha+\beta$ and $\alpha \beta$ are mutually prime integers. For $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$, by (4); $\alpha=(1 / 2)(1+\sqrt{5})$ and $\beta=(1 / 2)(1-\sqrt{5})$.

