

REDUCTION FORMULAS FOR THE SUMMATION OF RECIPROCAL IN CERTAIN SECOND-ORDER RECURRING SEQUENCES

R. S. Melham

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Technology, Sydney
PO Box 123, Broadway, NSW 2007, Australia

(Submitted November 1999)

1. INTRODUCTION

In [2], Brousseau considered sums of the form

$$S(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_n F_{n+k_1} F_{n+k_2} \dots F_{n+k_m}} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$T(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{F_n F_{n+k_1} F_{n+k_2} \dots F_{n+k_m}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where the k_i are positive integers with $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_m$. He stated that the sums in (1.1) and (1.2) could be written as

$$S(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) = r_1 + r_2 S(1, 2, \dots, m) \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$T(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) = r_3 + r_4 T(1, 2, \dots, m), \quad (1.4)$$

where r_1, r_2, r_3 , and r_4 are rational numbers that depend upon k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m . He arrived at this conclusion after treating several cases involving small values of m .

Our aim in this paper is to prove Brousseau's claim by providing reduction formulas that accomplish this task. Recently, André-Jeannin [1] treated the case $m = 1$ by giving explicit expressions for the coefficients r_1, r_2, r_3 , and r_4 . Indeed, he worked with a generalization of the Fibonacci sequence, and we will do the same. In light of André-Jeannin's results, we consider only $m \geq 2$. We have found, for each of the sums (1.3) and (1.4), that two reduction formulas are needed for the case $m = 2$, and three are needed for $m \geq 3$. Consequently, we treat those cases separately.

Define the sequences $\{U_n\}$ and $\{W_n\}$ for all integers n by

$$\begin{cases} U_n = pU_{n-1} - qU_{n-2}, & U_0 = 0, U_1 = 1, \\ W_n = pW_{n-1} - qW_{n-2}, & W_0 = a, W_1 = b. \end{cases}$$

Here a, b, p , and q are assumed to be integers with $pq \neq 0$ and $\Delta = p^2 - 4q > 0$. Consequently, we can write down closed expressions for U_n and W_n (see [3]):

$$U_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} \quad \text{and} \quad W_n = \frac{A\alpha^n - B\beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\alpha = (p + \sqrt{\Delta})/2$, $\beta = (p - \sqrt{\Delta})/2$, $A = b - a\beta$, and $B = b - a\alpha$. Thus, $\{W_n\}$ generalizes $\{U_n\}$ which, in turn, generalizes $\{F_n\}$.

We note that

$$\alpha > 1 \text{ and } \alpha > |\beta| \text{ if } p > 0, \text{ while } \beta < -1 \text{ and } |\beta| > |\alpha| \text{ if } p < 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Consequently,

$$W_n \approx \frac{A}{\alpha - \beta} \alpha^n \text{ if } p > 0, \text{ and } W_n \approx \frac{-B}{\alpha - \beta} \beta^n \text{ if } p < 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Throughout the remainder of the paper, we take

$$S(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{W_n W_{n+k_1} W_{n+k_2} \dots W_{n+k_m}} \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$T(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{W_n W_{n+k_1} W_{n+k_2} \dots W_{n+k_m}}, \quad (1.9)$$

where the k_i are positive integers as described earlier. From (1.6) it follows that $U_n \neq 0$ for $n \geq 1$. We shall suppose that $W_n \neq 0$ for $n \geq 1$. Then, by (1.6) and (1.7), use of the ratio test shows that the series in (1.8) and (1.9) are absolutely convergent.

We require the following identities:

$$U_m W_{n+1} - W_{n+m} = q U_{m-1} W_n, \quad (1.10)$$

$$U_{m-k+1} W_{n+k} - W_{n+m} = q U_{m-k} W_{n+k-1}, \quad (1.11)$$

$$U_m W_n + q^m U_d W_{n-m-d} = U_{m+d} W_{n-d}, \quad (1.12)$$

$$p W_{n+m} + q^2 U_{m-2} W_n = U_m W_{n+2}, \quad (1.13)$$

$$U_{m-l+1} W_{n+k} - U_{k-l+1} W_{n+m} = q^{k-l+1} U_{m-k} W_{n+l-1}. \quad (1.14)$$

Identity (1.11) follows from (1.10), which is essentially (3.14) in [3], where the initial values of $\{U_n\}$ are shifted. Identities (1.13) and (1.14) follow from (1.12), which occurs as (5.7) in [4].

2. THREE TERMS IN THE DENOMINATOR

Our results for the case in which the denominator consists of a product of three terms are contained in the following theorem.

Theorem 1: Let k_1 and k_2 be positive integers with $k_1 < k_2$. Then

$$S(k_1, k_2) = \frac{1}{q U_{k_2-k_1}} [U_{k_2-k_1+1} S(k_1-1, k_2) - S(k_1-1, k_1)] \quad \text{if } 1 < k_1, \quad (2.1)$$

$$S(1, k_2) = \frac{p}{U_{k_2}} S(1, 2) + \frac{q^2 U_{k_2-2}}{U_{k_2}} \left[S(1, k_2-1) - \frac{1}{W_1 W_2 W_{k_2}} \right] \quad \text{if } 2 < k_2, \quad (2.2)$$

$$T(k_1, k_2) = \frac{1}{q U_{k_2-k_1}} [U_{k_2-k_1+1} T(k_1-1, k_2) - T(k_1-1, k_1)] \quad \text{if } 1 < k_1, \quad (2.3)$$

$$T(1, k_2) = \frac{p}{U_{k_2}} T(1, 2) + \frac{q^2 U_{k_2-2}}{U_{k_2}} \left[\frac{1}{W_1 W_2 W_{k_2}} - T(1, k_2-1) \right] \quad \text{if } 2 < k_2. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof: With the use of (1.11), it follows that

$$\frac{qU_{k_2-k_1}}{W_n W_{n+k_1} W_{n+k_2}} = \frac{U_{k_2-k_1+1}}{W_n W_{n+k_1-1} W_{n+k_2}} - \frac{1}{W_n W_{n+k_1-1} W_{n+k_1}}, \tag{2.5}$$

and summing both sides we obtain (2.1). Likewise, to obtain (2.3), we first multiply (2.5) by $(-1)^{n-1}$ and sum both sides.

Next we have

$$\frac{U_{k_2}}{W_n W_{n+1} W_{n+k_2}} = \frac{p}{W_n W_{n+1} W_{n+2}} + \frac{q^2 U_{k_2-2}}{W_{n+1} W_{n+2} W_{n+k_2}}, \tag{2.6}$$

which follows from (1.13). Now, if we sum both sides of (2.6) and note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{W_{n+1} W_{n+2} W_{n+k_2}} = S(1, k_2 - 1) - \frac{1}{W_1 W_2 W_{k_2}},$$

we obtain (2.2). Finally, to establish (2.4), we multiply (2.6) by $(-1)^{n-1}$, sum both sides, and note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{W_{n+1} W_{n+2} W_{n+k_2}} = \frac{1}{W_1 W_2 W_{k_2}} - T(1, k_2 - 1).$$

This proves Theorem 1. \square

It is instructive to work through some examples. Taking $W_n = F_n$ and using (2.1) and (2.2) repeatedly, we find that $S(3, 6) = -\frac{269}{1920} + \frac{1}{4} S(1, 2)$, and this agrees with the corresponding entry in Table III of [2]. Again, with $W_n = F_n$, we have $T(3, 6) = -\frac{139}{1920} + \frac{1}{4} T(1, 2)$.

3. MORE THAN THREE TERMS IN THE DENOMINATOR

Let k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m be positive integers and put $P(k_1, \dots, k_m) = W_n W_{n+k_1} \dots W_{n+k_m}$. With this notation, the work that follows will be more succinct. The main result of this section is contained in the theorem that follows, where we give only the reduction formulas for $S(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$. After the proof, we will indicate how the corresponding reduction formulas for $T(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$ can be obtained.

Theorem 2: For $m \geq 3$, let $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_m$ be positive integers and set $k_0 = 0$. Then

$$S(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) = \frac{U_{k_m-k_j+1}}{q^{k_{m-1}-k_j+1} U_{k_m-k_{m-1}}} S(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_j - 1, k_j, \dots, k_{m-2}, k_m) - \frac{U_{k_{m-1}-k_j+1}}{q^{k_{m-1}-k_j+1} U_{k_m-k_{m-1}}} S(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_j - 1, k_j, \dots, k_{m-1})$$

if $1 \leq j \leq m-2$ and $k_{j-1} < k_j - 1$;

$$S(k_1, \dots, k_m) = \frac{U_{k_m-k_{m-1}+1}}{q U_{k_m-k_{m-1}}} S(k_1, \dots, k_{m-2}, k_{m-1} - 1, k_m) - \frac{1}{q U_{k_m-k_{m-1}}} S(k_1, \dots, k_{m-2}, k_{m-1} - 1, k_{m-1})$$

if $k_{m-2} < k_{m-1} - 1$;

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(1, 2, \dots, m-1, k_m) &= \frac{U_m}{U_{k_m}} S(1, 2, \dots, m) \\
 &+ \frac{q^m U_{k_m-m}}{U_{k_m}} \left[S(1, 2, \dots, m-1, k_m-1) - \frac{1}{W_1 W_2 \dots W_m W_{k_m}} \right] \text{ if } m < k_m.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Proof: With the use of (1.14), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{q^{k_{m-1}-k_j+1} U_{k_m-k_{m-1}}}{P(k_1, \dots, k_m)} &= \frac{U_{k_m-k_j+1}}{P(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_j-1, k_j, \dots, k_{m-2}, k_m)} \\
 &- \frac{U_{k_{m-1}-k_j+1}}{P(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_j-1, k_j, \dots, k_{m-1})},
 \end{aligned}$$

and summing both sides we obtain (3.1).

Next we have

$$\frac{q U_{k_m-k_{m-1}}}{P(k_1, \dots, k_m)} = \frac{U_{k_m-k_{m-1}+1}}{P(k_1, \dots, k_{m-2}, k_{m-1}-1, k_m)} - \frac{1}{P(k_1, \dots, k_{m-2}, k_{m-1}-1, k_{m-1})},$$

which can be proved with the use of (1.11). Summing both sides, we obtain (3.2).

Finally, with the aid of (1.12) we see that

$$\frac{U_{k_m}}{P(1, 2, \dots, m-1, k_m)} = \frac{U_m}{P(1, 2, \dots, m)} + \frac{q^m U_{k_m-m}}{W_{n+1} W_{n+2} \dots W_{n+m} W_{n+k_m}}.$$

The reduction formula (3.3) follows if we sum both sides and observe that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{W_{n+1} W_{n+2} \dots W_{n+m} W_{n+k_m}} = S(1, 2, \dots, m-1, k_m-1) - \frac{1}{W_1 W_2 \dots W_m W_{k_m}}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

As was the case in Theorem 1, the reduction formulas for T can be obtained from those for S . In (3.1) and (3.2), we simply replace S by T . In (3.3), we *first* replace the term in square brackets by

$$\frac{1}{W_1 W_2 \dots W_m W_{k_m}} - S(1, 2, \dots, m-1, k_m-1)$$

and *then* replace S by T .

As an application of Theorem 2 we have, with $W_n = F_n$,

$$S(1, 2, 4, 6, 7) = -3S(1, 2, 3, 4, 7) + 2S(1, 2, 3, 4, 6) \text{ by (3.1);} \tag{3.4}$$

$$S(1, 2, 3, 4, 7) = \frac{1}{5070} + \frac{5}{13} S(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) - \frac{1}{13} S(1, 2, 3, 4, 6) \text{ by (3.3);} \tag{3.5}$$

$$S(1, 2, 3, 4, 6) = \frac{1}{1920} + \frac{1}{2} S(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \text{ by (3.3).} \tag{3.6}$$

Together (3.4)-(3.6) imply that

$$S(1, 2, 4, 6, 7) = \frac{37}{64896} - \frac{1}{26} S(1, 2, 3, 4, 5).$$

4. CONCLUDING COMMENTS

Recently, Rabinowitz [5] considered the finite sums associated with (1.1) and (1.2). That is, he took the upper limit of summation to be N , and gave an algorithm for expressing the resulting sums in terms of

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{F_n}, \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{(-1)^n}{F_n}, \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{F_n F_{n+1}}.$$

In addition, he posed a number of interesting open questions.

REFERENCES

1. Richard André-Jeannin. "Summation of Reciprocals in Certain Second-Order Recurring Sequences." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 35.1 (1997):68-74.
2. Brother Alfred Brousseau. "Summation of Infinite Fibonacci Series." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 7.2 (1969):143-68.
3. A. F. Horadam. "Basic Properties of a Certain Generalized Sequence of Numbers." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 3.3 (1965):161-76.
4. Ray Melham. "Generalizations of Some Identities of Long." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 37.2 (1999):106-10.
5. Stanley Rabinowitz. "Algorithmic Summation of Reciprocals of Products of Fibonacci Numbers." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 37.2 (1999):122-27.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B39, 11B37, 40A99

