

# ON LUCAS $v$ -TRIANGLES

Hong Hu

Department of Mathematics, Huaiyin Teachers College, Huaiyin 223001, Jiangsu Province, P.R. China

(Submitted March 1999-Final Revision March 2002)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be fixed nonzero integers with  $(A, B) = 1$ , and write  $\Delta = A^2 - 4B$ . We will assume  $\Delta \neq 0$ , which excludes degenerate cases including  $|A| = 2$  and  $B = 1$ . Define  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as follows:

$$u_0 = 0, u_1 = 1 \text{ and } u_{n+1} = Au_n - Bu_{n-1} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+; \quad (1.1)$$

$$v_0 = 2, v_1 = A \text{ and } v_{n+1} = Av_n - Bv_{n-1} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (1.2)$$

They are called Lucas sequences. The addition formulas

$$u_{m+n} = \frac{u_m v_n + u_n v_m}{2} \text{ and } v_{m+n} = \frac{v_m v_n + \Delta u_m u_n}{2} \text{ for } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1.3)$$

are well known. A list of such basic identities can be found in [3].

If  $A \neq \pm 1$  or  $B \neq 1$ , then  $u_1, u_2, \dots$  are nonzero by [1], and so are  $v_1 = u_2 / u_1, v_2 = u_4 / u_2, \dots$ . In the case  $A^2 = B = 1$ , we noted in [1] that  $u_n = 0 \Leftrightarrow 3 | n$ . If  $v_n = 0$ , then  $u_{2n} = u_n v_n = 0$ ; hence,  $3 | n$  and  $u_n = 0$ , which is impossible since  $v_n^2 - \Delta u_n^2 = 4B^n$  (cf. [3]). Thus,  $v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots$  are all nonzero.

We set  $v_n! = \prod_{0 < k \leq n} v_k$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and regard an empty product as value 1. For  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq k$ , we define the *Lucas  $v$ -triangle*  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$  as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = \frac{v_n!}{v_k! v_{n-k}!}. \quad (1.4)$$

(This definition is not new in the case  $A = 1$  and  $B = -1$ ; the reader may consult Wells [5].) Similarly, in the case  $A \neq \pm 1$  or  $B \neq 1$ , Lucas  $u$ -triangles can be defined in terms of the sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  (cf. [1]).

Let  $q$  be a positive integer. Clearly,  $v_q \equiv A^q \pmod{B}$  and hence  $(B, v_q) = 1$ . Let  $v_q^*$  denote the largest divisor of  $v_q$  prime to  $v_0, \dots, v_{q-1}$ . Then  $v_q^*$  is odd since  $v_0 = 2$ . It is known that  $(v_m, v_n) \in \{1, 2, |v_{(m,n)}|\}$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  (cf. [3] or (2.21) of [4]). If  $q \nmid n$ , then  $(v_{(q,n)}, v_q^*) = 1$  and so  $(v_q^*, v_n) = (v_q^*, (v_q, v_n)) = 1$ .

For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we let  $D(m)$  denote the ring of rationals in the form  $a/b$  with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and  $(b, m) = 1$ . When  $r \in D(m)$ , by  $x \equiv r \pmod{m}$  we mean that  $x$  can be written as  $r + my$  with  $y \in D(m)$ . For a positive integer  $q$ , if  $0 \leq k \leq n < q$  then  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$  lies in  $D(v_q^*)$ .

Let  $p$  be a prime. A famous theorem of Lucas concerning Pascal's triangles (i.e., binomial coefficients) states that

$$\binom{mp+s}{np+t} \equiv \binom{m}{n} \binom{s}{t} \pmod{p}$$

if  $m, n, s, t$  are nonnegative integers with  $s, t < p$ . An analogy to Lucas  $u$ -triangles was obtained by Kimball and Webb [2], by Wilson [6] in some special cases, and by Hu and Sun [1] for the general case. In this paper we aim to establish a similar result for Lucas  $v$ -triangles. Recall that the sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is strong divisible, i.e.,  $(u_m, u_n) = |u_{(m,n)}|$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , while  $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not in general. This makes our goal more challenging.

Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem:** Let  $q$  be a positive integer. For  $m, n \in 2\mathbb{N} = \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}$  with  $m \geq n$ , and  $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $q > s \geq t$ , we have

$$\binom{m/2}{n/2} \binom{mq+s}{nq+t} \equiv \binom{m}{n} \binom{s}{t} (-B^q)^{\frac{m-n}{2}(nq+t) + \frac{n}{2}(s-t)} \pmod{v_q^*}. \tag{1.5}$$

A proof of the theorem will be presented in Section 3; it depends on several lemmas given in the next section. Our method is different from that of [5] and [6].

### 2. THREE LEMMAS

As usual, for a real number  $x$ , we use  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  to denote the greatest integer not exceeding  $x$ .

**Lemma 2.1:** Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$u_{kq} = u_q \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{k-i-1}{i} v_q^{k-1-2i} (-B^q)^i \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$v_{kq} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{k}{k-i} \binom{k-i}{i} v_q^{k-2i} (-B^q)^i, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\frac{k}{k-i} \binom{k-i}{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor.$$

This known result was included in [3].

From Lemma 2.1, we can deduce

**Lemma 2.2:** Let  $k, q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$2v_{kq+r} \equiv \begin{cases} 2v_r (-B^q)^{k/2} + \frac{k}{2} (-B^q)^{k/2-1} \Delta u_q u_r v_q \pmod{v_q^2} & \text{if } 2|k, \\ \Delta u_q u_r (-B^q)^{(k-1)/2} + k (-B^q)^{(k-1)/2} v_r v_q \pmod{v_q^2} & \text{if } 2 \nmid k. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, providing  $2 \nmid k$ , we have

$$\frac{v_{kq}}{k} \equiv (-B^q)^{(k-1)/2} v_q \pmod{v_q^2}. \tag{2.4}$$

**Proof:** The case  $k = 0$  is trivial. Below we let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Obviously,

$$\binom{k-1 - \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} v_q^{k-1-2\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} (-B^q)^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{2} (-B^q)^{k/2-1} v_q & \text{if } 2|k, \\ (-B^q)^{(k-1)/2} & \text{if } 2 \nmid k. \end{cases}$$

So, by (2.1), we have

$$u_{kq} \equiv u_q \times \begin{cases} \frac{k}{2}(-B^q)^{k/2-1}v_q \pmod{v_q^2} & \text{if } 2|k, \\ (-B^q)^{(k-1)/2} \pmod{v_q^2} & \text{if } 2 \nmid k. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, (2.2) implies that

$$v_{kq} \equiv \frac{k}{k - \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \binom{k - \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} v_q^{k-2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (-B^q)^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \\ \equiv \begin{cases} 2(-B^q)^{k/2} \pmod{v_q^2} & \text{if } 2|k, \\ k(-B^q)^{(k-1)/2}v_q \pmod{v_q^2} & \text{if } 2 \nmid k. \end{cases}$$

As  $2v_{kq+r} = v_{kq}v_r + \Delta u_{kq}u_r$ , (2.3) follows from the above.

Now suppose that  $k$  is odd. By Lemma 2.1,

$$\frac{v_{kq}}{k} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \frac{1}{k-i} \binom{k-i}{k-2i} v_q^{k-2i} (-B^q)^i \\ = v_q (-B^q)^{(k-1)/2} + v_q^2 \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-3}{2}} \frac{v_q^{k-2i-2}}{k-2i} \binom{k-i-1}{k-2i-1} (-B^q)^i.$$

For any prime  $p$ , clearly  $p^{3-2}/3 \in D(p)$ , and for  $n = 4, 5, \dots$  we also have  $p^{n-2}/n \in D(p)$  because

$$(1+p-1)^{n-2} \geq 1 + \binom{n-2}{1}(p-1) + (p-1)^{n-2} \geq 2 + (n-2)(p-1) \geq n.$$

When  $0 \leq i \leq (k-3)/2$ , by the above,  $v_q^{k-2i-2}/(k-2i) \in D(p)$  for any prime  $p$  dividing  $v_q$ , so  $v_q^{k-2i-2}/(k-2i) \in D(v_q)$ . Thus, we have the desired (2.4).

**Lemma 2.3:** Let  $q$  be any positive integer, and let  $m, n$  be even integers with  $m \geq n \geq 0$ . Then

$$\binom{m/2}{n/2} \left\{ \begin{matrix} mq \\ nq \end{matrix} \right\} \equiv \binom{m}{n} (-B^q)^{\frac{m-n}{2}nq} \pmod{v_q^*}. \tag{2.5}$$

**Proof:** Recall that  $(v_q^*, 2B) = 1$ . In view of (2.4), for  $i = 1, 3, 5, \dots$  we have

$$\frac{v_{iq}}{i} \equiv (-B^q)^{\frac{i-1}{2}} v_q \pmod{v_q^2}.$$

Observe that

$$\binom{m/2}{n/2} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq k < n \\ 2|k}} \frac{v_{(m-k)q}}{v_{(n-k)q}} = \prod_{0 \leq j < n/2} \frac{m/2-j}{n/2-j} \cdot \prod_{\substack{0 \leq k < n \\ 2|k}} \frac{m-k}{n-k} \cdot \prod_{\substack{0 \leq k < n \\ 2|k}} \frac{v_{(m-k)q}/(m-k)}{v_{(n-k)q}/(n-k)} \\ = \prod_{0 \leq k < n} \frac{m-k}{n-k} \cdot \prod_{\substack{0 \leq k < n \\ 2|k}} \frac{v_{(m-k)q}/((m-k)v_q)}{v_{(n-k)q}/((n-k)v_q)} \\ \equiv \binom{m}{n} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq k < n \\ 2|k}} \frac{(-B^q)^{(m-k-1)/2}}{(-B^q)^{(n-k-1)/2}} = \binom{m}{n} (-B^q)^{\frac{m-n}{2}n} \pmod{v_q^*}.$$

By (2.2), for  $i = 2, 4, 6, \dots$  we have  $v_{iq} \equiv 2(-B^q)^{i/2} \pmod{v_q^2}$ , and hence  $(v_{iq}, v_q^*) = 1$ .

Whenever  $0 \leq j < nq$  and  $j \not\equiv q \pmod{2q}$ , we have  $(v_{nq-j}, v_q^*) = 1$ . Also,

$$2v_{mq-j} = 2v_{(m-n)q+(nq-j)} \equiv 2v_{nq-j}(-B^q)^{(m-n)/2} \pmod{v_q^*}$$

by (2.3). Thus,

$$\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j < nq \\ 2q \nmid j-q}} \frac{v_{mq-j}}{v_{nq-j}} \equiv \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j < nq \\ 2q \nmid j-q}} (-B^q)^{\frac{m-n}{2}} = (-B^q)^{\frac{m-n}{2}(nq-\frac{n}{2})} \pmod{v_q^*}.$$

Combining the above, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{m/2}{n/2} \left\{ \begin{matrix} mq \\ nq \end{matrix} \right\} &= \binom{m/2}{n/2} \prod_{0 \leq j < nq} \frac{v_{mq-j}}{v_{nq-j}} \\ &= \binom{m/2}{n/2} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq k < n \\ 2 \nmid k}} \frac{v_{(m-k)q}}{v_{(n-k)q}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j < nq \\ 2q \nmid j-q}} \frac{v_{mq-j}}{v_{nq-j}} \\ &\equiv \binom{m}{n} (-B^q)^{\frac{m-n}{2} \cdot \frac{n}{2}} (-B^q)^{\frac{m-n}{2}(nq-\frac{n}{2})} \\ &= \binom{m}{n} (-B^q)^{\frac{m-n}{2}nq} \pmod{v_q^*}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Recall that

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} s \\ t \end{matrix} \right\} \in D(v_q^*)$$

since  $s < q$ . Clearly,

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} mq+s \\ nq+t \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{\prod_{(m-n)q < j \leq mq} v_j}{\prod_{0 < j \leq nq} v_j} \cdot \frac{\prod_{0 < r \leq s} (2v_{mq+r})}{\prod_{0 < r \leq t} (2v_{nq+r}) \cdot \prod_{0 < r \leq s-t} (2v_{(m-n)q+r})}.$$

Applying Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we then get that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{m/2}{n/2} \left\{ \begin{matrix} mq+s \\ nq+t \end{matrix} \right\} &\equiv \binom{m/2}{n/2} \left\{ \begin{matrix} mq \\ nq \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{\prod_{0 < r \leq s} (2v_r (-B^q)^{m/2})}{\prod_{0 < r \leq t} (2v_r (-B^q)^{n/2}) \cdot \prod_{0 < r \leq s-t} (2v_r (-B^q)^{(m-n)/2})} \\ &\equiv \binom{m}{n} (-B^q)^{\frac{m-n}{2}nq} \frac{v_s!}{v_t! v_{s-t}!} (-B^q)^{\frac{m}{2}s - \frac{n}{2}t - \frac{m-n}{2}(s-t)} \\ &\equiv \binom{m}{n} \left\{ \begin{matrix} s \\ t \end{matrix} \right\} (-B^q)^{\frac{m-n}{2}(nq+t) + \frac{n}{2}(s-t)} \pmod{v_q^*}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I am grateful to Professor Zhi-Wei Sun for his great help, and to the anonymous referees for many helpful suggestions.

**REFERENCES**

1. H. Hu & Z.-W. Sun. "An Extension of Lucas' Theorem." *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129.12** (2001):3471-78.
2. W. A. Kimball & W. A. Webb. "Some Congruences for Generalized Binomial Coefficients." *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **25** (1995):1079-85.
3. S. Rabinowitz. "Generalized Lucas Sequences Identities." Presented at the 8th International Conference on Fibonacci Numbers and Their Applications. New York, 1998, preprint.
4. P. Ribenboim. "Binary Recurring Sequences and Powers, II." *Publ. math. Debrecen* **54** (1999):349-75.
5. D. L. Wells. "The Fibonacci and Lucas Triangles Modulo 2." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **32.2** (1994):111-23.
6. B. Wilson. "Fibonacci Triangles Modulo  $p$ ." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **36.3** (1998):194-203.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B39, 11B37, 11B65, 11A07

