CONGRUENCES FOR EULER NUMBERS

Kwang-Wu Chen

Department of International Business Management, Ching-Yun University No. 229, Jianshing Road, Jungli City, Taoyuan, Taiwan 320, Republic of China (Submitted August 2001-Final Revision April 2002)

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATIONS

The Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(X)$ are defined by

$$\frac{te^{Xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n(X)t^n}{n!}, \quad |t| < 2\pi.$$

And the Bernoulli numbers B_n can be defined by $B_n = B_n(0)$. The Euler polynomials $E_n(X)$ are defined by

$$\frac{2e^{Xt}}{e^t + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_n(X)t^n}{n!}, \quad |t| < \pi.$$

And the Euler numbers E_n are defined by $E_n = 2^n E_n(1/2)$. These numbers and polynomials arise in some combinatorial contexts, and have been investigated by many authors. For example, see Powell [6], Young [7], and Zhang [8]. The well-known congruences among these numbers or polynomials are the classical Kummer's congruences:

Theorem 1.1: (ref. page 239 in [4]). Suppose p is prime, and m, n and e are positive integers such that m and n are even, and $p-1 \not\mid m, n$. Then one has

$$\frac{1}{m}(1-p^{m-1})B_m \equiv \frac{1}{n}(1-p^{n-1})B_n \pmod{p^e},$$

if $m \equiv n \pmod{\varphi(p^e)}$.

Kummer's congruences play important roles in the p-adic interpolation of the Riemann zeta function [5]. In 1997, Eie and Ong [3] generalized Kummer's congruences to Bernoulli polynomials via p-adic interpolation on p-adic spaces.

Theorem 1.2: (ref. [3]). Suppose that p is an odd prime, and m, n, and e are positive integers such that $p-1 \not\mid m, n$. Then for any positive integer k relatively prime to p and positive integers $0 \le \alpha, \beta \le k-1$ such that $\alpha + jk = p\beta$ for some j with $0 \le j \le p-1$, one has

$$\frac{1}{m} \left\{ B_m \left(\frac{\alpha}{k} \right) - p^{m-1} B_m \left(\frac{\beta}{k} \right) \right\} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \left\{ B_n \left(\frac{\alpha}{k} \right) - p^{n-1} B_n \left(\frac{\beta}{k} \right) \right\} \pmod{p^e},$$

if $m \equiv n \pmod{\varphi(p^e)}$.

In this paper, we prove the following theorem which is a generalization of Kummer's congruences on Euler polynomials.

Theorem 1.3: Suppose that p is an odd prime, and m, n, and e are positive integers such that $p-1 \not\mid m, n$. Then for any positive integer k relatively prime to p and positive integers α, β such that $\alpha + 2jk = p\beta$ with $0 \le j \le (p-1)/2$, one has

$$E_{m-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{k}\right) - p^{m-1}E_{m-1}\left(\frac{\beta}{k}\right) \equiv E_{m-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{k}\right) - p^{m-1}E_{m-1}\left(\frac{\beta}{k}\right) \pmod{p^e},$$

if $m \equiv n \pmod{\varphi(p^e)}$.

The classical congruences on Euler numbers (ref. page 124 of [2])

$$E_{4n} \equiv 5 \pmod{60}$$
 and $E_{4n-2} \equiv -1 \pmod{60}$, (1)

are normally attributed to Stern. In 1998, Zhang [8] deduced some other congruences on Euler numbers:

Proposition 1.4: (ref. Corollary 1 in [8]). For any odd prime p, we have the congruence

$$E_{p-1} \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } 4|p-1, \\ 2 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } 4|p-3. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 1.5: (ref. Corollary 2 in [8]). For any integer n > 0, we have the congruences

- (1) $E_{2n+2} E_{2n} \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$,
- (2) $E_{2n+4} 10E_{2n+2} + 9E_{2n} \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$,
- (3) $E_{2n+6} E_{2n} \equiv 0 \pmod{42}$.

Here we derive some new congruences on Euler numbers:

Theorem 1.6: Assume $\delta = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_r^{n_r}$, where p_i are odd primes, and n_i are positive integers for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let $N = \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i$ and $M = l.c.m.\{2^k, \varphi(p_1^{n_1}), \dots, \varphi(p_r^{n_r})\}$, where k is a non-negative integer. Then for any positive integers m, n with $\min\{2m, 2n\} \geq N$, we have

$$E_{2m} \equiv E_{2n} \pmod{2^k \delta}$$

 $if 2m \equiv 2n \pmod{M}$.

In the last section, we give an algorithm to treat the congruences of Euler numbers for any modulus. Using this algorithm we can easily derive all the above congruences.

2. CONGRUENCES WITH EULER POLYNOMIALS

Lemma 2.1: Suppose that p is an odd prime, and m, k are positive integers. Then for any positive integers α, β , one has

$$E_{m-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{k}\right) - p^{m-1}E_{m-1}\left(\frac{\beta}{k}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2^m}{m} \left[B_m\left(\frac{\alpha+k}{2k}\right) - p^{m-1}B_m\left(\frac{\beta+k}{2k}\right) \right] - \frac{2^m}{m} \left[B_m\left(\frac{\alpha}{2k}\right) - p^{m-1}B_m\left(\frac{\beta}{2k}\right) \right].$$

Proof: By formula 23.1.27 of [1]

$$E_{m-1}(x) = \frac{2^m}{m} \left[B_m \left(\frac{x+1}{2} \right) - B_m \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right].$$

Utilizing this to find the following difference in values of $E_{m-1}(x)$ for $x = \alpha/k$ and $x = \beta/k$ yields

$$E_{m-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{k}\right) - p^{m-1}E_{m-1}\left(\frac{\beta}{k}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2^m}{m} \left[B_m\left(\frac{\alpha+k}{2k}\right) - B_m\left(\frac{\alpha}{2k}\right) \right] - p^{m-1}\frac{2^m}{m} \left[B_m\left(\frac{\beta+k}{2k}\right) - B_m\left(\frac{\beta}{2k}\right) \right].$$

Now we prove the generalization of Kummer's congruences on Euler polynomials in the following theorem which is stated as the same with Theorem 1.3 in Section 1.

Theorem 2.2: Suppose that p is an odd prime, and m, n, and e are positive integers such that $p-1 \not\mid m,n$. Then for any positive integer k relatively prime to p and positive integers α,β such that $\alpha + 2jk = p\beta$ with $0 \le j \le (p-1)/2$, one has

$$E_{m-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{k}\right) - p^{m-1}E_{m-1}\left(\frac{\beta}{k}\right) \equiv E_{n-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{k}\right) - p^{n-1}E_{n-1}\left(\frac{\beta}{k}\right) \pmod{p^e},$$

if $m \equiv n \pmod{\varphi(p^e)}$.

Proof: Suppose p is an odd prime, and k is relatively prime to p. This implies that (2k,p)=1. Applying Theorem 1.2 (ref. [3]), and then for any positive integers α,β such that $\alpha + j \cdot (2k) = p\beta$ with $0 \le j \le \frac{p-1}{2}$, one has

$$\frac{1}{m} \left\{ B_m \left(\frac{\alpha}{2k} \right) - p^{m-1} B_m \left(\frac{\beta}{2k} \right) \right\} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \left\{ B_n \left(\frac{\alpha}{2k} \right) - p^{n-1} B_n \left(\frac{\beta}{2k} \right) \right\} \pmod{p^e},$$

if $m \equiv n \pmod{\varphi(p^e)}$. Since $\frac{p-1}{2} \leq j + \frac{p-1}{2} \leq p-1$ and $(\alpha+k) + \left(j + \frac{p-1}{2}\right) \cdot (2k) = (k+\beta)p$. Applying Theorem 1.2 again, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{m}\left\{B_m\left(\frac{\alpha+k}{2k}\right) - p^{m-1}B_m\left(\frac{\beta+k}{2k}\right)\right\} \equiv \frac{1}{n}\left\{B_n\left(\frac{\alpha+k}{2k}\right) - p^{n-1}B_n\left(\frac{\beta+k}{2k}\right)\right\} \pmod{p^e}.$$

From Fermat's Little Theorem we have $2^m \equiv 2^n \pmod{p^e}$. Combining these three congruences together, we find that

$$\frac{2^m}{m} \left[B_m \left(\frac{\alpha + k}{2k} \right) - p^{m-1} B_m \left(\frac{\beta + k}{2k} \right) \right] - \frac{2^m}{m} \left[B_m \left(\frac{\alpha}{2k} \right) - p^{m-1} B_m \left(\frac{\beta}{2k} \right) \right] \\
\equiv \frac{2^n}{n} \left[B_n \left(\frac{\alpha + k}{2k} \right) - p^{n-1} B_n \left(\frac{\beta + k}{2k} \right) \right] - \frac{2^n}{n} \left[B_n \left(\frac{\alpha}{2k} \right) - p^{n-1} B_n \left(\frac{\beta}{2k} \right) \right] \pmod{p^e}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we conclude our assertion. \Box

In particular, we let $\alpha = \beta = k = 1$ in Theorem 2.2. And we apply the fact that (see e.g. formula 23.1.20 of [1])

$$E_n(0) = -2(n+1)^{-1}(2^{n+1} - 1)B_{n+1}.$$

We obtain

$$(1 - p^{m-1})E_{m-1}(1) \equiv (1 - p^{n-1})E_{m-1}(1) \pmod{p^e}$$
$$(1 - p^{m-1})E_{m-1}(0) \equiv (1 - p^{n-1})E_{m-1}(0) \pmod{p^e}$$

$$(1 - p^{m-1}) \frac{(-2)(2^m - 1)B_m}{m} \equiv (1 - p^{n-1}) \frac{(-2)(2^n - 1)B_n}{n} \pmod{p^e}.$$

By Euler's generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem we can divide

$$-2(2^m - 1) \equiv -2(2^n - 1) \pmod{p^e}$$

from the above congruence and this gives the classical Kummer's congruences.

3. CONGRUENCES WITH EULER NUMBERS

Since $E_n(1/2) = 2^{-n}E_n$, if we let k = 2 in Theorem 2.2, then we could reformulate congruences in terms of Euler numbers.

Theorem 3.1: Suppose that p is an odd prime and m, n be non-negative integers. Then if $2m \equiv 2n \pmod{\varphi(p^e)}$, we have

$$\begin{cases} (1-p^{2m})E_{2m} \equiv (1-p^{2n})E_{2n} \pmod{p^e}, & \text{if } 4|p-1, \\ (1+p^{2m})E_{2m} \equiv (1+p^{2n})E_{2n} \pmod{p^e}, & \text{if } 4|p-3. \end{cases}$$

Proof: First, let p be an odd prime with 4|p-1, that is, p=4j+1 for some positive integer j. Clearly, it is the case that $\alpha=\beta=1$ in Theorem 2.2, therefore

$$E_{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - p^{m-1}E_{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \equiv E_{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - p^{n-1}E_{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \pmod{p^e}$$

$$2^{1-m}(1-p^{m-1})E_{m-1} \equiv 2^{1-n}(1-p^{n-1})E_{n-1} \pmod{p^e},$$

if $m \equiv n \pmod{\varphi(p^e)}$ and p-1 is not a divisor of m. Since Fermat's Little Theorem gives $2^{1-m} \equiv 2^{1-n} \pmod{p^e}$, we can divide it from the above congruence. And let m = 2m' + 1 and n = 2n' + 1 where m', n' are non-negative integers. It is clear that p-1 is not a divisor of 2m' + 1 for any odd prime p. Then we get our assertion for the case 4|p-1.

Second, let p be an odd prime number with 4|p-3, that is, p=4j+3 for some non-negative integer j. Clearly, it is the case that $\alpha=3,\beta=1$ in Theorem 2.2, therefore

$$E_{m-1}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) - p^{m-1}E_{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \equiv E_{n-1}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) - p^{n-1}E_{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \pmod{p^e}$$

if $m \equiv n \pmod{\varphi(p^e)}$ and p-1 is not a divisor of m. By formula 23.1.6 of [1]

$$E_n\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = 2^{-n+1} - E_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \text{ for } n \ge 0.$$

Substituting this in the above congruence, one has

$$2^{2-m}E_{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - p^{m-1}E_{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \equiv 2^{2-n} - E_{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - p^{n-1}E_{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \pmod{p^e}$$

$$2^{2-m} - 2^{1-m}(1+p^{m-1})E_{m-1} \equiv 2^{2-n} - 2^{1-n}(1+p^{n-1})E_{n-1} \pmod{p^e}.$$

Again using Fermat's Little Theorem, we can cancel

$$2^{2-m} \equiv 2^{2-n}, \quad 2^{1-m} \equiv 2^{1-n} \pmod{p^e},$$

from the above congruence. Similarly we let m = 2m' + 1 and n = 2n' + 1 where m', n' are non-negative integers. The condition p-1 is not a divisor of 2m' + 1 always holds for any odd prime p. Then the proof is complete. \square

Now we treat the situation when p=2.

Lemma 3.2: For any non-negative integer n, we have

$$E_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} {n+1 \choose k} 2^k (1-2^k) B_k.$$
 (2)

Proof: By formula 23.1.7 of [1]

$$E_n(x+h) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} E_k(x) h^{n-k}.$$

We substitute x = 0 and h = 1/2 in the above equation. Then

$$2^{-n}E_n = E_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} E_k(0) 2^{k-n}.$$

Now (see e.g. formula 23.1.20 of [1])

$$E_n(0) = -2(n+1)^{-1}(2^{n+1}-1)B_{n+1},$$

and the above equality becomes

$$E_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} \frac{2^{k+1}(1-2^{k+1})}{k+1} B_{k+1}$$

$$=1+\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} 2^k (1-2^k) B_k.$$

This gives our assertion. \Box

We set n = 2m - 1 in Eq. (2) and since $E_{2m-1} = 0$, we get an identity in Bernoulli numbers

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} {2m \choose 2k} 2^{2k} (2^{2k} - 1) B_{2k} = 2m,$$

for any positive integer m.

In the following we will discuss the congruences of Euler numbers modulo 2^r for some integer r. Here we introduce two notations. The notation $2^h \parallel t$ means 2^h divides t, but 2^{h+1} does not divide t. To simplify the writing we denote

$$C_k(n) = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} 2^k (1-2^k) B_k.$$

Proposition 3.3: For any non-negative integers m and r with $2m \ge r$, we have

$$E_{2m} \equiv 1 + \frac{1}{2m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{[r/2]} {2m+1 \choose 2k} 2^{2k} (1-2^{2k}) B_{2k} \pmod{2^r},$$

where [r/2] denotes the greatest integer not exceeding r/2.

Proof: We consider Eq. (2) in Lemma 3.2, i.e.

$$E_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} {n+1 \choose k} 2^k (1-2^k) B_k$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} C_k(n).$$

Let n=2m and from the Staudt-Clausen Theorem we know $2B_k$ is 2-integral, thus the number h with $2^h \parallel C_k(n)$ satisfies $h \geq k-1$. Thus when $2m \geq k-1 \geq r$,

$$C_k(2m) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^r}$$
.

Therefore

$$E_{2m} \equiv 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{r} C_k(2m) \pmod{2^r}$$

$$\equiv 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{r} \frac{1}{2m+1} {2m+1 \choose k} 2^k (1-2^k) B_k \pmod{2^r}$$

$$\equiv 1 + \frac{1}{2m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{[r/2]} {2m+1 \choose 2k} 2^{2k} (1-2^{2k}) B_{2k} \pmod{2^r},$$

for $2m \geq r$.

Lemma 3.4: *If* $2^h \parallel k!$, then $h \le k - 1$.

Proof: Clearly, the power of 2 that divides k! is given by

$$h = \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{k}{2^2} \right\rceil + \cdots.$$

Since k is finite, $[k/2^m] = 0$ for all m sufficiently large. Thus

$$h < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{2^2} + \dots = k.$$

Therefore $h \leq k - 1$.

Proposition 3.5: For any non-negative integers m, n, and r, we have

$$E_{2m} \equiv E_{2n} \pmod{2^r},$$

if $2m \equiv 2n \pmod{2^r}$.

Proof: From the Staudt-Clausen Theorem we know $2B_{2k}$ is 2-integral and the result of Lemma 3.4 gives $2^{2k-1}/(2k)$! is also 2-integral. Therefore $2^{2k-1}(1-2^{2k})2B_{2k}/(2k)$! is 2-integral. This gives for $2k \leq \min\{2m, 2n\}$,

$$C_{2k}(2m) = 2m \cdot (2m-1) \cdots (2m+2-2k) \cdot \frac{2^{2k-1}}{(2k)!} (1-2^{2k}) \cdot (2B_{2k})$$

$$\equiv 2n \cdot (2n-1) \cdots (2n+2-2k) \cdot \frac{2^{2k-1}}{(2k)!} (1-2^{2k}) \cdot (2B_{2k}) \pmod{2^r}$$

$$= C_{2k}(2n).$$

We assume 2m < r, so $2n = 2m + (a \cdot 2^r) \ge 2^r > r$ for some positive integer a. If $k \ge m+1$, then (2n-2m) divides $2n \cdot (2n-1) \cdots (2n-2k+2)$. This gives $C_{2k}(2n) \equiv 0$ modulo 2^r . Hence by Proposition 3.3

$$E_{2n} \equiv 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{[r/2]} C_{2k}(2n) \pmod{2^r}$$

$$\equiv 1 + \sum_{k=1}^m C_{2k}(2n) \pmod{2^r}$$

$$\equiv 1 + \sum_{k=1}^m C_{2k}(2m) \equiv E_{2m} \pmod{2^r}.$$

And the remaining case $\min\{2m, 2n\} \ge r$ is found by applying $C_{2k}(2m) \equiv C_{2k}(2n)$ for $k = 1, \ldots, \lceil r/2 \rceil$. The proof is complete. \square

Now we combine the above proposition and Theorem 3.1, we give a congruence relation between Euler numbers for any modulus which is stated as the same with Theorem 1.6 in Section 1.

Theorem 3.6: Assume $\delta = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$, where p_i are odd primes, and n_i are positive integers for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let $N = \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i$ and $M = l.c.m.\{2^k, \varphi(p_1^{n_1}), \dots, \varphi(p_r^{n_r})\}$, where k is a non-negative integer. Then for any positive integers m, n with $\min\{2m, 2n\} \geq N$, we have

$$E_{2m} \equiv E_{2n} \pmod{2^k \delta}$$

if $2m \equiv 2n \pmod{M}$.

Proof: Since $2m \equiv 2n \pmod{\varphi(p_i^{n_i})}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, we may apply Theorem 3.1 and obtain

$$\begin{cases} (1 - p_i^{2m}) E_{2m} \equiv (1 - p_i^{2n}) E_{2n} \pmod{p_i^{n_i}}, & \text{if } 4|p_i - 1; \\ (1 + p_i^{2m}) E_{2m} \equiv (1 + p_i^{2n}) E_{2n} \pmod{p_i^{n_i}}, & \text{if } 4|p_i - 3. \end{cases}$$

However, both 2m and 2n are not less than $N = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$, thus

$$E_{2m} \equiv E_{2n} \pmod{p_i^{n_i}}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Combining the congruences in Proposition 3.5, we complete our proof. \Box

Remark 3.7: In particular we let n = 0 in the congruences in Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.5. We obtain

$$\begin{cases} E_{2^k m} \equiv 1 & \pmod{2^k}; \\ (1 - p_i^{\varphi(p_i^{n_i})m}) E_{\varphi(p_i^{n_i})m} \equiv 0 & \pmod{p_i^{n_i}}, \text{ if } 4|p_i - 1; \\ (1 + p_i^{\varphi(p_i^{n_i})m}) E_{\varphi(p_i^{n_i})m} \equiv 2 & \pmod{p_i^{n_i}}, \text{ if } 4|p_i - 3, \end{cases}$$

for any non-negative integer m. Since $\varphi(p_i^{n_i}) > n_i$ for any odd prime p_i and non-negative integer n_i , we have

$$\begin{cases} E_{2^k m} \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } 2^k); \\ E_{\varphi(p_i^{n_i})m} \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } p_i^{n_i}), \text{ if } 4|p_i - 1; \\ E_{\varphi(p_i^{n_i})m} \equiv 2 & (\text{mod } p_i^{n_i}), \text{ if } 4|p_i - 3, \end{cases}$$

for any positive integer m.

Letting $n_i = 1$ in the above congruences, we have the following corollary which is a generalization of Corollary 1 in [8].

Corollary 3.8: For any odd prime p and any positive integer m, we have

$$E_{(p-1)m} \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } 4|p-1, \\ 2 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } 4|p-3. \end{cases}$$

It is clearly we have following corollary which can be stated in a similar manner as Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3.

Corollary 3.9: Let $\prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{n_i}$ be the prime-power factorization of an odd integer D. Let $N = \max_{1 \leq i \leq t} n_i$, and $M = \lim_{1 \leq i \leq t} \varphi(p_i^{n_i})$. Then $E_m \equiv E_n \pmod{D}$,

if $m \equiv n \pmod{M}$ and $\min\{m, n\} \ge N$. From Eq. (1) we know

$$E_{4n} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$
 and $E_{4n-2} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Therefore, the condition that D is an odd integer in the above corollary cannot be changed to that D is an integer.

4. ALGORITHM AND APPLICATIONS

Combining Theorem 3.6 and Remark 3.7, we can given an algorithm to list all the congruences of Euler numbers for any modulus.

Algorithm 4.1: Given an arbitrary positive integer m.

Step 1: Write down the prime factorization of m as

$$m = 2^k p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_r^{a_r} q_1^{b_1} q_2^{b_2} \dots q_s^{b_s},$$

where 4 divides both $(p_i - 1)$ and $(q_j - 3)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r; j = 1, \ldots, s$.

Step 2: Compute

$$M = l.c.m.\{2^k, \varphi(p_1^{a_1}), \dots, \varphi(p_r^{a_r}), \varphi(q_1^{b_1}), \dots, \varphi(q_s^{b_s})\}.$$

and let

$$N = \max\{a_i, b_i | i = 1, \dots, r, j = 1, \dots, s\}.$$

Step 3: Use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to solve

$$\begin{cases} E_{M(n+1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^k}; \\ E_{M(n+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{a_i}}, \ for \ i = 1, \dots, r; \\ E_{M(n+1)} \equiv 2 \pmod{q_j^{b_j}}, \ for \ j = 1, \dots, s, \end{cases}$$

for any non-negative integer n, and denote the solution by x_0 modulo m.

Step 4: For any non-negative integer n, we can list all the congruences of Euler numbers modulo m as

$$\begin{cases} E_{M(n+1)} \equiv x_0 \pmod{m}, \\ E_{Mn+2i} \equiv E_{2i} \pmod{m}, \text{ for } N \leq 2i \leq N+M-2 \text{ and } 2i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{M}. \end{cases}$$

Using Algorithm 4.1 we can easily derive the classical congruences in Euler numbers which is attributed to Stern (ref. page 124 of [2]).

Proposition 4.2: For any positive integer n, we have

$$\begin{cases} E_{4n} \equiv 5 & \pmod{60} \\ E_{4n-2} \equiv -1 & \pmod{60}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Since $60 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$, $M = l.c.m.\{2^2\varphi(3), \varphi(5)\} = 4$, and N = 1. We apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem to solve

$$\begin{cases} E_{4(n+1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^2}; \\ E_{4(n+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{5}; \\ E_{4(n+1)} \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

and we obtain

$$E_{4(n+1)} \equiv 5 \pmod{60}$$
.

Since $1 = N \le 2i \le N + M - 2 = 3$ and $2i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$, this forces i = 1. Therefore we have

$$\begin{cases}
E_{4n+4} \equiv 5 & \text{(mod 60)} \\
E_{4n+2} \equiv E_2 \equiv -1 & \text{(mod 60)},
\end{cases}$$

for any non-negative integer n.

In fact, the above congruences give

$$E_{2n} \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$$
,

for any positive integer n. This is exactly the congruences in Corollary 2(a) in [8].

Proposition 4.3: For any positive integer n,

$$\begin{cases} E_{6n} \equiv 65 & \pmod{126}, \\ E_{6n-2} \equiv 5 & \pmod{126}, \\ E_{6n-4} \equiv -1 & \pmod{126}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Since $126 = 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$, $M = l.c.m.\{2, \varphi(3^2), \varphi(7)\} = 6$, and N = 2. We apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem to solve

$$\begin{cases} E_{6(n+1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}; \\ E_{6(n+1)} \equiv 2 \pmod{7}; \\ E_{6(n+1)} \equiv 2 \pmod{3^2}, \end{cases}$$

and we obtain

$$E_{6(n+1)} \equiv 65 \pmod{126}$$
.

Since $2 = N \le 2i \le N + M - 2 = 6$ and $2i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, this forces i = 1 and 2. Therefore

$$\begin{cases} E_{6n+6} \equiv 65 & \pmod{126} \\ E_{6n+2} \equiv E_2 \equiv -1 & \pmod{126} \\ E_{6n+4} \equiv E_4 \equiv 5 & \pmod{126}, \end{cases}$$

for any non-negative integer n. \square

The above congruences give us

$$E_{2n+6} \equiv E_{2n} \pmod{126},$$

for any positive integer n. This is clearly a generalization of Corollary 2(c) in [8].

Proposition 4.4: For any positive integer n,

$$\begin{cases} E_{8n} \equiv 65 & \pmod{120}, \\ E_{8n-2} \equiv -61 & \pmod{120}, \\ E_{8n-4} \equiv 5 & \pmod{120}, \\ E_{8n-6} \equiv -1 & \pmod{120}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Since $120 = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$, $M = l.c.m.\{2^3, \varphi(3), \varphi(5)\} = 8$, and N = 1. We apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem to solve

$$\begin{cases} E_{8(n+1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}; \\ E_{8(n+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{5}; \\ E_{8(n+1)} \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

and we obtain

$$E_{8(n+1)} \equiv 65 \pmod{120}$$
.

Since $1 = N \le 2i \le N + M - 2 = 7$ and $2i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 8$, this forces i = 1, 2, and 3. Therefore

$$\begin{cases} E_{8n+8} \equiv 65 & \pmod{120} \\ E_{8n+2} \equiv E_2 \equiv -1 & \pmod{120} \\ E_{8n+4} \equiv E_4 \equiv 5 & \pmod{120} \\ E_{8n+6} \equiv E_6 \equiv -61 & \pmod{120}, \end{cases}$$

for any non-negative integer n.

Corollary 4.5: For any integer n > 0,

$$E_{2n} - 9E_{2n-2} \equiv 14 \pmod{120}$$
.

Proof: We just need to substitute n = 4k, 4k + 1, 4k + 2, and 4k + 3 in the above congruences. Applying the results in Proposition 4.4, the assertion is proved. \square

Also the above result is a generalization of Corollary 2(b) in [8].

Proposition 4.6: For any non-negative integer n,

$$\begin{cases} E_{144n+2i} \equiv E_{2i} \pmod{323}, & \text{for } 1 \le i \le 71, \\ E_{144n+144} \equiv 306 \pmod{323}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Since $323 = 17 \cdot 19$, $M = l.c.m.\{\varphi(17), \varphi(19)\} = 144$, and N = 1. We apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem to solve

$$\begin{cases} E_{144(n+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{17}; \\ E_{144(n+1)} \equiv 2 \pmod{19}, \end{cases}$$

and we obtain

$$E_{144(n+1)} \equiv 306 \pmod{323}$$
.

Since $1 = N \le 2i \le N + M - 2 = 143$ and $2i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{144}$, this gives $E_{144n+2i} \equiv E_{2i} \pmod{323}$, for $1 \le i \le 71$. Therefore we complete the proof. \square

Proposition 4.7: For any non-negative integer n,

$$\begin{cases} E_{16n+2} \equiv -1 \pmod{68}, \\ E_{16n+4} \equiv 5 \pmod{68}, \\ E_{16n+6} \equiv 7 \pmod{68}, \\ E_{16n+8} \equiv 25 \pmod{68}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} E_{16n+10} \equiv 3 \pmod{68}, \\ E_{16n+12} \equiv -31 \pmod{68}, \\ E_{16n+14} \equiv -9 \pmod{68}, \\ E_{16n+16} \equiv 17 \pmod{68}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Since $68 = 2^2 \cdot 17$, $M = l.c.m.\{2^2, \varphi(17)\} = 16$, and N = 1. We apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem to solve

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} E_{16(n+1)} \equiv 1 \pmod 4; \\ E_{16(n+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod {17}, \end{array} \right.$$

and we obtain

$$E_{16(n+1)} \equiv 17 \pmod{68}$$
.

Since $1 = N \le 2i \le N + M - 2 = 15$ and $2i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{16}$, this gives $E_{16n+2i} \equiv E_{2i} \pmod{68}$, for i = 1, 2, ..., 7. This completes our proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the referee for some useful comments and suggestions. And this work was supported by the National Science Foundation of Taiwan, Republic of China. Grant under NSC 90-2115-M-264-001.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables.* Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1972.
- [2] B.C. Berndt. Ramanujan's notebooks Part I. Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [3] M. Eie and Y. L. Ong. "A Generalization of Kummer's Congruences." Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 67 (1997): 149-157.
- [4] K. Ireland and M. Rosen. A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory. Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [5] N. Koblitz. p-adic Numbers, p-adic Analysis, and Zeta-functions. 2nd Edition. Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [6] B. J. Powell. "Advanced Problem 6325." Amer. Math. Monthly 87 (1980): 826.

CONGRUENCES FOR EULER NUMBERS

- [7] P. T. Young. "Congruences for Bernoulli, Euler, and Stirling Numbers." *J. Number Theory* **78** (1999): 204-227.
- [8] W. Zhang. "Some Identities Involving the Euler and the Central Factorial Numbers." The Fibonacci Quarterly 36 (1998): 154-157.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B68

 $X \times X$