

# MATRICES AND LINEAR RECURRENCES IN FINITE FIELDS

Owen J. Brison

Departamento de Matemática, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa,  
Bloco C6, Piso 2, Campo Grande, 1749-016 LISBOA, PORTUGAL  
e-mail: brison@ptmat.fc.ul.pt

J. Eurico Nogueira

Departamento de Matemática, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia,  
Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Quinta da Torre, 2825-114 MONTE DA CAPARICA, PORTUGAL  
e-mail: jen@fct.unl.pt

(Submitted August 2003-Final Revision February 2004)

## ABSTRACT

Linear recurring sequences of order  $k$  are investigated using matrix techniques and some finite group theory. An identity, well-known when  $k = 2$ , is extended to general  $k$  and is used to study the restricted period of a linear recurring sequence over a finite field.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Matrix techniques have been used by a number of authors to investigate linear recurring sequences; see for example [1], [3], [4], [5] and [10]. Here we use matrices and some finite group theory to study linear recurring sequences of order  $k \geq 2$ . An identity, well-known in the case  $k = 2$ , is proved for general  $k$  over an arbitrary field (Proposition 2.2) and is used to study the restricted period of a linear recurring sequence over a finite field.

In what follows,  $\mathbb{K}$  denotes a field,  $\mathbb{K}^* = \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$  its multiplicative group,  $k$  an integer with  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\mathbb{K}^k$  the space of row vectors of length  $k$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}[t]$  the ring of polynomials over  $\mathbb{K}$  and

$$\mathbb{K}_0[t] = \{f(t) \in \mathbb{K}[t] : f(0) \neq 0\}.$$

Suppose that  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $a_j, \dots, a_{j+k-1} \in \mathbb{K}$ , write

$$\mathbf{a}_{j,k} = (a_j, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{j+k-1}) \in \mathbb{K}^k.$$

Let  $f(t) = t^k - a_{k-1}t^{k-1} - \dots - a_1t - a_0 \in \mathbb{K}_0[t]$ . Then  $\mathcal{S} = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , with  $s_j \in \mathbb{K}$  for all  $j$ , is an  $f$ -sequence in  $\mathbb{K}$  if it satisfies the linear recurrence relation

$$s_{i+k} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{i+j} a_j = \mathbf{s}_{i,k} \mathbf{a}_{0,k}^T \quad (1)$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;  $f(t)$  is the *characteristic polynomial* of (1). The *minimal polynomial* of  $\mathcal{S}$  is the characteristic polynomial of the linear recurrence relation of least possible order satisfied by  $\mathcal{S}$ : see [3, 8.42]. We fix the notation  $\mathcal{U} = (u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for the *unit  $f$ -sequence*, which is the  $f$ -sequence determined by the vector

$$\mathbf{u}_{0,k} = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{K}^k.$$

Write  $A_f = (\alpha_{ij})$  for the  $k \times k$  matrix over  $\mathbb{K}$  in which  $\alpha_{ij} = 0$  if  $i + j \leq k$  and  $\alpha_{ij} = a_{i+j-k-1}$  if  $i + j \geq k + 1$ . Thus

$$A_f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_0 & a_1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & a_0 & \cdots & a_{k-3} & a_{k-2} \\ a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{k-2} & a_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Write  $C_f$  for the  $k \times k$  companion matrix over  $\mathbb{K}$

$$C_f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_1 \\ \cdots & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & a_{k-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & a_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because  $f(t) \in \mathbb{K}_0[t]$  then  $a_0 \neq 0$  and  $A_f, C_f \in GL(k, \mathbb{K})$ , the group of invertible  $k \times k$  matrices over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

If  $(s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an  $f$ -sequence and if  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  then [3, 8.12] implies that

$$\mathbf{s}_{n+m, k} = \mathbf{s}_{n, k} (C_f)^m \quad (2)$$

and because  $a_0 \neq 0$  an induction argument shows this to be valid for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## 2. AN IDENTITY

If  $f(t) = t^2 - \sigma t - \rho \in \mathbb{K}_0[t]$  and if  $(s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an  $f$ -sequence, then identities like

$$s_{n+m} = \rho s_n u_{m-1} + s_{n+1} u_m \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (3)$$

are well-known: see, for example, [2, Lemma 2] or [9, Formula 8]. Proposition 2.2 extends this to the case where  $f(t)$  has degree  $k \geq 2$ . Firstly a lemma.

**Lemma 2.1:** *Let  $f(t) = t^k - a_{k-1}t^{k-1} - \cdots - a_1t - a_0 \in \mathbb{K}_0[t]$ . Then*

$$C_f A_f = A_f (C_f)^T.$$

**Proof:** Write  $C_f = K + L$  where

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$KA_f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \cdots \\ 0 & a_0 & \cdots & a_{k-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $LA_f = (a_{i-1}a_{j-1})_{i,j}$  are both symmetric. Thus  $C_f A_f = KA_f + LA_f$  is symmetric, and so  $C_f A_f = (C_f A_f)^\top = A_f (C_f)^\top$  because  $A_f$  is symmetric.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2:** *Let  $f(t) = t^k - a_{k-1}t^{k-1} - \cdots - a_1t - a_0 \in \mathbb{K}_0[t]$ . Let  $(s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be an  $f$ -sequence and let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$s_{n+m} = \mathbf{s}_{n,k} A_f \mathbf{u}_{m-k,k}^\top.$$

**Proof:** We have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n+m} &= \mathbf{s}_{n+m-k,k} \mathbf{a}_{0,k}^\top \\ &= \mathbf{s}_{n+m-k,k} A_f \mathbf{u}_{0,k}^\top \\ &= \mathbf{s}_{n,k} (C_f)^{m-k} A_f \mathbf{u}_{0,k}^\top \\ &= \mathbf{s}_{n,k} A_f (C_f^\top)^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{0,k}^\top \\ &= \mathbf{s}_{n,k} A_f \mathbf{u}_{m-k,k}^\top. \end{aligned}$$

The third and fifth equalities follow from Equation (2), the fourth from repeated application of Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**Examples 2.3:** (a) Proposition 2.2 gives Formula (3) when  $f(t)$  has degree 2.

(b) Let  $f(t) = t^3 - \tau t^2 - \sigma t - \rho \in \mathbb{K}_0[t]$ . Take  $s_i = u_i$  in Proposition 2.2; then

$$u_{n+m} = u_{n+2}u_m + (\sigma u_{n+1} + \rho u_n)u_{m-1} + \rho u_{n+1}u_{m-2}.$$

(c) Let  $f(t) = t^k - a_{k-1}t^{k-1} - \cdots - a_1t - a_0 \in \mathbb{K}_0[t]$ . Let  $(s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be an  $f$ -sequence in  $\mathbb{K}$ ; Proposition 2.2 gives

$$s_{n+m} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^i a_{i-j} s_{n+k-i-j} \right) u_{m+i-k}.$$

### 3. THE RESTRICTED PERIOD

From now on, let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a fixed but arbitrary finite field. If  $f(t) \in \mathbb{F}_0[t]$  has degree  $k \geq 2$  then  $\text{ord}(f)$  is the least  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(t)$  divides  $t^e - 1$  (see [3, 3.2]), while if  $\mathcal{S} = (s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$

is an  $f$ -sequence in  $\mathbb{F}$  then  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a *zero index* of  $\mathcal{S}$  if there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\mathbf{s}_{z,k} = (0, \dots, 0, \lambda)$ .

Write  $G = GL(k, \mathbb{F})$ ; then  $G$  acts (on the right) on  $\mathbb{F}^k$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq k$  let  $e_i$  be the  $k$ -vector whose  $i^{\text{th}}$  entry is 1 and the others 0. Let  $E_k = \langle e_k \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$ , the subspace generated by  $e_k$ . Write

$$G_k = \{B \in G : E_k B = E_k\},$$

the stabilizer in  $G$  of  $E_k$ ; then  $G_k \leq G$  ( $G_k$  is a subgroup of  $G$ ).

The following result is classical, see for example Somer, [6]; Proposition 2.2 is used to give what we believe to be a new proof.

**Proposition 3.1:** *Let  $f(t) \in \mathbb{F}_0[t]$  be of degree  $k \geq 2$  and let  $\mathcal{S} = (s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be an  $f$ -sequence in  $\mathbb{F}$ .*

- (a) *There exists  $\alpha(f) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a zero index of the unit  $f$ -sequence  $\mathcal{U}$  if and only if  $\alpha(f) \mid d$ .*
- (b) *We have  $s_{n+\alpha(f)} = \mu s_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\mu = u_{\alpha(f)+k-1}$ .*
- (c) *We have  $\text{ord}(f) = \alpha(f)\text{ord}(\mu)$ .*
- (d) *Let  $d$  be the least positive integer such that  $C_f^d$  is a scalar matrix. Then  $d = \alpha(f)$  and  $C_f^d = \mu I$ .*
- (e) *Suppose  $f(t)$  is the minimum polynomial of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\delta$  be the least positive integer such that there exists  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $s_{n+\delta} = \gamma s_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\delta = \alpha(f)$ .*

The integer  $\alpha(f)$  above is known as the *restricted period* of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Proof:** Write  $H = \langle C_f \rangle \leq G$  and  $H_k = H \cap G_k$ . Write  $\alpha(f)$  for the index  $|H : H_k|$ ; then  $H_k = \langle C_f^{\alpha(f)} \rangle$ . If  $\boldsymbol{\kappa} = (0, \dots, 0, \kappa) \in \mathbb{F}^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  then  $\boldsymbol{\kappa} C_f^j$  has the form  $(0, \dots, 0, \lambda)$  if and only if  $C_f^j \in H_k$ , which holds if and only if  $\alpha(f) \mid j$ .

- (a) If  $d, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  then Equation (2) gives

$$\mathbf{u}_{d,k} = \mathbf{u}_{n,k} (C_f)^{d-n}.$$

Because  $n = 0$  is a zero index of  $\mathcal{U}$  then  $d$  is a zero index if and only if  $(C_f)^d \in H_k$ , which holds if and only if  $\alpha(f) \mid d$ .

- (b) By Proposition 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n+\alpha(f)} &= s_{n-k+\alpha(f)+k} \\ &= \mathbf{s}_{n-k,k} A_f \mathbf{u}_{\alpha(f),k}^T \\ &= \mathbf{s}_{n-k,k} A_f (0, \dots, 0, \mu)^T \\ &= \mathbf{s}_{n-k,k} \mu (a_0, \dots, a_{k-1})^T \\ &= \mu s_n. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) By (b),  $u_{n+\text{ord}(\mu)\alpha(f)} = \mu^{\text{ord}(\mu)} u_n = u_n$ , and so  $\text{ord}(f) \mid \text{ord}(\mu)\alpha(f)$  because  $\mathcal{U}$  has least period  $\text{ord}(f)$  by [3, 8.27]. By (a),  $\text{ord}(f) = r\alpha(f)$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . But  $u_{k-1+r\alpha(f)} = \mu^r u_{k-1} = \mu^r$ , and  $\mu^r \neq 1$  unless  $\text{ord}(\mu) \mid r$ . The assertion follows.

- (d) If  $B = (b_{ij}) \in GL(k, \mathbb{F})$  then  $(0, \dots, 0, \lambda)B = \lambda(b_{k1}, \dots, b_{kk})$  and so  $B \in G_k$  if and only if  $b_{k1} = \dots = b_{k,k-1} = 0, b_{kk} \neq 0$ . Thus  $C_f^d \in H_k$ , whence  $\alpha(f) \mid d$ . By Equation (2) and (b),  $\mathbf{s}_{n,k} (C_f)^{\alpha(f)} = \mathbf{s}_{n+\alpha(f),k} = \mu \mathbf{s}_{n,k}$  for all choices of  $f$ -sequence  $(s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Take  $\mathbf{s}_{n,k}$  successively as  $e_1, \dots, e_k$ . Then for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $C_f^{\alpha(f)}$  must be  $\mu e_i$ . Thus  $C_f^{\alpha(f)} = \mu I$  and so  $d \leq \alpha(f)$ .

(e) By (b),  $\delta \leq \alpha(f)$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $\mathbf{s}_{n+\delta, k} = \mathbf{s}_{n, k}(C_f)^\delta$  by Equation (2), while  $\mathbf{s}_{n+\delta, k} = \gamma \mathbf{s}_{n, k}$  by hypothesis, and so

$$(C_f^\delta - \gamma I_k) \mathbf{s}_{n, k} = \mathbf{0}.$$

By [3, 8.51],  $\mathbf{s}_{0, k}, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{k-1, k}$  are linearly independent because  $f(t)$  is the minimum polynomial of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Thus the  $k \times k$  matrix  $(C_f^\delta - \gamma I_k)$  has nullity  $k$  and so  $C_f^\delta = \gamma I_k$ . Now  $\delta = \alpha(f)$  by (d).  $\square$

The next result is related to results in Somer [7, 8]. We thank Professor Lawrence Somer for greatly improving our proof, and for permission to include his proof here.

**Proposition 3.2:** *Let  $f(t) \in \mathbb{F}_0[t]$  be of degree  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} = (s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be an  $f$ -sequence in  $\mathbb{F}^*$ , and suppose that  $f$  is the minimum polynomial of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}'$  be the sequence  $(s_{i+1}/s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}'$  has least period  $\alpha(f)$ .*

**Proof: (Somer)** By Proposition 3.1(b),

$$s_{n+1}/s_n = s_{n+\alpha(f)+1}/s_{n+\alpha(f)} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and so  $\mathcal{S}'$  is periodic with least period at most  $\alpha(f)$ .

On the other hand, let  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that

$$s_{n+1}/s_n = s_{n+b+1}/s_{n+b} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4)$$

Because  $s_i \in \mathbb{F}^*$  for all  $i$  then  $s_b = \gamma s_0$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}^*$ . Then  $s_{b+1} = \gamma s_1$  by (4) and by induction  $s_{b+n} = \gamma s_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But now  $\alpha(f) \leq b$  by Proposition 3.1(e). The result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3:** *Let  $f(t) \in \mathbb{F}_0[t]$  be irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}$  of degree  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathbb{L}$  be a splitting field of  $f$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $\omega \in \mathbb{L}$  be a root of  $f$ . Then  $\alpha(f)$  coincides with the order of  $\omega \mathbb{F}^*$  considered as an element of the quotient group  $\mathbb{L}^*/\mathbb{F}^*$ .*

**Proof:** Write  $\bar{\omega} = \omega \mathbb{F}^* \in \mathbb{L}^*/\mathbb{F}^*$ . By [3, 3.3],  $\text{ord}(f) = \text{ord}(\omega)$  while  $\text{ord}(\bar{\omega}) = \alpha(f) \text{ord}(\mu)$  by Proposition 3.1(c). Thus  $\text{ord}(\mu) \mid \text{ord}(\omega)$ . Now  $\omega \in \mathbb{L}^*$  while  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}^* \leq \mathbb{L}^*$ . The finite cyclic group  $\mathbb{L}^*$  has a unique subgroup of each possible order, so  $\langle \mu \rangle \leq \langle \omega \rangle \cap \mathbb{F}^*$ . But

$$\langle \bar{\omega} \rangle = \langle \omega \rangle \mathbb{F}^* / \mathbb{F}^* \simeq \langle \omega \rangle / \langle \omega \rangle \cap \mathbb{F}^*,$$

and so  $\text{ord}(\bar{\omega}) \mid (\text{ord}(f)/\text{ord}(\mu))$ . Thus  $\text{ord}(\bar{\omega}) \mid \alpha(f)$ .

Suppose that  $\mathbb{F}$  has order  $q$ . Now  $\omega^{\text{ord}(\bar{\omega})} = a \in \mathbb{F}^*$  while  $a^q = a$  by [3, 2.3]. By [3, 2.14], the roots of  $f$  are  $\omega, \omega^q, \dots, \omega^{q^{k-1}}$ , while by [3, 8.21] there exist  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{k-1} \in \mathbb{L}$  such that

$$u_i = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_j (\omega^{q^j})^i, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

But then

$$u_{i+\text{ord}(\bar{\omega})} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_j (\omega^{q^j})^{(i+\text{ord}(\bar{\omega}))} = a u_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and so  $u_{\text{ord}(\bar{\omega})} = u_0 = 0, \dots, u_{\text{ord}(\bar{\omega})+k-2} = u_{k-2} = 0$ . Thus  $\text{ord}(\bar{\omega})$  is a zero index of  $\mathcal{U}$  and so  $\alpha(f) \mid \text{ord}(\bar{\omega})$  by Proposition 3.1(a).  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the referee for helpful comments. The authors are partially supported by the “Centro de Estruturas Lineares e Combinatórias, Universidade de Lisboa”.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Marjorie Bicknell-Johnson and Colin Paul Spears. “Classes of Identities for the Generalized Fibonacci Numbers  $G_n = G_{n-1} + G_{n-c}$  from Matrices with Constant Valued Determinants.” *Fibonacci Quarterly* **34** (1996): 121-128.
- [2] Peter Bundschuh and Peter Jau-Shyong Shiue. “A Generalization of a Paper by D.D. Wall.” *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, Rendiconti - Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali* **56** (1974): 135-144.
- [3] Rudolf Lidl and Harald Niederreiter. *Finite fields*. Addison-Wesley, 1983; second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [4] Harald Niederreiter. “On the Cycle Structure of Linear Recurring Sequences.” *Math. Scand.* **38** (1976): 53-77.
- [5] E.S. Selmer. *Linear Recurrence Relations over Finite Fields*, mimeographed notes, University of Bergen, 1966.
- [6] Lawrence Somer. “Periodicity Properties of  $k$ th order Linear Recurrences with Irreducible Characteristic Polynomial over a Finite Field.” *Finite Fields, Coding Theory and Advances in Communications and Computing*. Edited by Gary Mullen and Peter Jau-Shyong Shiue, Marcell Dekker Inc., 1993, pp. 195 - 207.
- [7] Lawrence Somer. “The Divisibility and Modular Properties of  $k$ th-order Linear Recurrences over the Ring of Integers of an Algebraic Number Field with respect to Prime Ideals.” Ph.D. thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1985.
- [8] Lawrence Somer. “The Fibonacci Ratios  $F_{k+1}/F_k$  Modulo  $p$ .” *Fibonacci Quarterly* **13** (1975): 322-324.
- [9] S. Vajda. *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers, and the Golden Section*. Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester, 1989.
- [10] Marcellus E. Waddill. “Using Matrix Techniques to Establish Properties of  $k$ th-order Linear Recursive Sequences.” *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Fibonacci Numbers and their Applications*, Volume 5. Edited by Bergum, G.E. et al. University of St. Andrews, Scotland, July 20-24, 1992. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993, 601-615.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B37, 11B39

