#### SPANNING PATHS IN FIBONACCI-SUM GRAPHS

#### KYLE FOX, WILLIAM B. KINNERSLEY, DANIEL MCDONALD, NATHAN ORLOW, AND GREGORY J. PULEO

ABSTRACT. Motivated by a problem posed by Barwell, we apply graph theory to determine all n for which the numbers  $1, \ldots, n$  can be ordered so that the sum of any two consecutive terms is a Fibonacci number. We prove that such an ordering exists if and only if n is 9, 11, a Fibonacci number, or one less than a Fibonacci number. For each such n, we also prove that at most two such orderings exist, up to symmetry.

In this paper, we consider a problem posed by Barwell [1]. Barwell asked for an ordering of the numbers  $1, \ldots, 34$  such that any two consecutive terms sum to a Fibonacci number. We attack this problem using graph theory, by defining a graph with vertices  $\{1, \ldots, 34\}$  and edges  $\{uv : u + v \text{ is a Fibonacci number}\}$ . An ordering of the desired form then corresponds to a spanning path in the graph, i.e. a path that visits each vertex. Using this approach, we solve a more general problem by determining all n such that the numbers  $1, \ldots, n$ , have such an ordering: this holds if and only if n is 9, 11, a Fibonacci number, or one less than a Fibonacci number. We also prove that for each such n there are at most two such orderings (up to symmetry).

We write [n] to denote  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . When discussing the Fibonacci numbers, we adopt the usual convention that  $F_0 = 0$ ,  $F_1 = 1$ , and  $F_k = F_{k-1} + F_{k-2}$  for  $k \ge 2$ . We will, at several points, use the well-known observations that  $F_k$  is even if and only if 3|k and that any two consecutive Fibonacci numbers are relatively prime.

We begin by formally defining the graph we will use to model Barwell's original problem.

**Definition 1.** For  $n \ge 1$ , the Fibonacci-sum graph on [n], denoted  $G_n$ , is the graph with vertex set [n] and edge set  $\{uv : u + v = F_i \text{ for some } i\}$ . We freely treat the elements of [n] either as vertices of  $G_n$  or as integers. The sum of an edge in  $G_n$  is the sum of its endpoints.

As suggested above, a spanning path in  $G_n$  corresponds to an ordering of [n] such that any two consecutive terms sum to a Fibonacci number. When  $n \leq 4$  the graph  $G_n$  is itself a path, so usually we restrict our attention to the case  $n \geq 5$ . To attack Barwell's problem, we will show that when  $k \geq 5$ , the graph  $G_{F_k}$  has a spanning path.

**Theorem 2.** If  $k \ge 5$ , then the graph  $G_{F_k}$  has a spanning path.

*Proof.* Let  $P_k$  be the spanning subgraph of  $G_{F_k}$  containing precisely those edges having sums in  $\{F_{k-1}, F_k, F_{k+1}\}$ . We claim that in fact  $P_k$  is a path. To prove this, it suffices to show that  $P_k$  contains no cycles and that two vertices of  $G_{F_k}$  have degree 1 in  $P_k$ , while the rest have degree 2.

To see that each vertex of  $G_{F_k}$  has degree at most 2 in  $P_k$ , it suffices to show that each vertex lies on at most two edges having sums in  $\{F_{k-1}, F_k, F_{k+1}\}$ . This follows from the observation

The authors acknowledge support from National Science Foundation grant DMS 0838434 "EMSW21MCTP: Research Experience for Graduate Students".

#### SPANNING PATHS IN FIBONACCI-SUM GRAPHS

that no vertex in  $\{1, \ldots, F_{k-1} - 1\}$  lies on an edge having sum  $F_{k+1}$ , and no vertex in  $\{F_{k-1}, \ldots, F_k\}$  lies on an edge having sum  $F_{k-1}$ . Conversely, each vertex smaller than  $F_{k-1}$  does lie on an edge having sum  $F_{k-1}$ , except perhaps for the vertex  $F_{k-1}/2$  when  $F_{k-1}$  is even. Likewise, each vertex smaller than  $F_k$  lies on an edge having sum  $F_k$ , except for the vertex  $F_k/2$  when  $F_k$  is even. Finally, each vertex in  $\{F_{k-1}, \ldots, F_k\}$  lies on an edge having sum  $F_{k+1}$ , except for the vertex  $F_{k+1}/2$  when  $F_{k+1}/2$  when  $F_{k+1}/2$  when  $F_{k+1}/2$  when  $F_{k+1}$  is even. Thus each vertex of  $G_{F_k}$  has degree at least 2 in  $P_k$ , except possibly for  $F_k, F_{k-1}/2, F_k/2$ , and  $F_{k+1}/2$ . The vertex  $F_k$  must have degree 1, and the vertices  $F_{k-1}/2, F_k/2$ , and  $F_{k+1}/2$  have degree 1 precisely when  $F_{k-1}, F_k$ , and  $F_{k+1}$ , respectively, are even. Since exactly one of  $F_{k-1}, F_k$ , and  $F_{k+1}$  is even,  $P_k$  has exactly two vertices of degree 1.

We next claim that  $P_k$  contains no cycles. Suppose otherwise, and let C be a cycle in  $P_k$ . Each vertex of C lies on exactly two edges in  $P_k$ ; by the arguments in the preceding paragraph, exactly one of these edges must have sum  $F_k$ . Thus the edges in C alternate between those having sum  $F_k$  and those having sum in  $\{F_{k-1}, F_{k+1}\}$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  denote the numbers of edges in C having sums  $F_{k-1}$  and  $F_{k+1}$ , respectively; note that C has  $\alpha + \beta$  edges with sum  $F_k$ . Now let us sum the vertices in C. Since each vertex lies on exactly one edge having sum in  $\{F_{k-1}, F_{k+1}\}$ , the sum is  $(\alpha + \beta)F_k$ ; since each vertex lies on exactly one edge having sum in  $\{F_{k-1}, F_{k+1}\}$ , the sum is  $\alpha F_{k-1} + \beta F_{k+1}$ . Thus,  $(\alpha + \beta)F_k = \alpha F_{k-1} + \beta F_{k+1}$ , so  $\alpha (F_k - F_{k-1}) = \beta (F_{k+1} - F_k)$ ; applying the Fibonacci recurrence once on each side yields  $\alpha F_{k-2} = \beta F_{k-1}$ . Since  $F_{k-2}$  and  $F_{k-1}$  are relatively prime, it follows that  $F_{k-1}|\alpha$  and  $F_{k-2}|\beta$ ; consequently,  $\alpha \geq F_{k-1}$  and  $\beta \geq F_{k-2}$ . Thus,  $\alpha + \beta \geq F_k$ , which is impossible since  $P_k$  has only  $F_k - 1$  edges.

We will next show that the graph  $G_{F_k}$  always has at most two spanning paths: one is  $P_k$ , and the other (when it exists) differs from  $P_k$  in only two edges. Thus  $[F_k]$  has at most two orderings of the desired form (up to symmetry).

**Lemma 3.** Let k be an integer greater than 4, and choose m from  $\{k-1, k, k+1\}$  so that  $F_m$  is even. In the graph  $G_{F_k}$ , the path  $P_k$  is the only spanning path whose endpoints are  $F_m/2$  and  $F_k$ .

*Proof.* As argued in the proof of Theorem 2, no vertex in  $G_{F_k}$  lies on edges having sums both  $F_{k-1}$  and  $F_{k+1}$ . From this fact and the observation that  $F_m/2$  lies on no edge having sum  $F_m$ , it follows that  $F_m/2$  has degree 1 in  $P_k$ . Likewise,  $F_k$  also has degree 1 in  $P_k$ , since it is too large to lie on edges having sums in  $\{F_{k-1}, F_k\}$ . Thus  $F_m/2$  and  $F_k$  are the endpoints of  $P_k$ , as claimed.

Now suppose that  $G_{F_k}$  has some other spanning path P with these same endpoints. By definition of  $P_k$ , every edge that belongs to  $P_k$  but not to P has sum at least  $F_{k-1}$ . Similarly, every edge that belongs to P but not to  $P_k$  has sum less than  $F_{k-1}$ ; otherwise, it would belong to  $P_k$ . Since at least one edge belongs to P but not to  $P_k$ , we have

$$\sum_{uv \text{ in } P_k} \left( u + v \right) > \sum_{uv \text{ in } P} \left( u + v \right).$$

However, both sums above include  $F_m/2$  and  $F_k$  once each and every other vertex in  $G_{F_k}$  twice. Thus the two sums must be equal, so we have a contradiction.

**Theorem 4.** Let k be an integer greater than 4. If  $k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $G_{F_k}$  has a unique spanning path. If  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $G_{F_k}$  has exactly two spanning paths: one has endpoints  $F_k$  and  $F_{k-1}/2$ , while the other has endpoints  $F_k$  and  $F_k - F_{k-4}/2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $F_k$  has degree 1 in  $G_{F_k}$ , every spanning path has  $F_k$  as one endpoint.

FEBRUARY 2014

### THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

If  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then  $F_{k+1}/2$  has degree 1, since it cannot lie on an edge having sum  $F_{k+1}$  and is too large to lie on an edge having sum  $F_{k-1}$ . Thus every spanning path has endpoints  $F_k$  and  $F_{k+1}/2$ ; by Lemma 3,  $P_k$  is the only such path. Similarly, if  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $F_k/2$  has degree 1, and again  $P_k$  is the only spanning path.

Finally, suppose  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Let  $S = \{F_{k-4}/2, F_{k-1}/2, F_{k-1} + F_{k-4}/2, F_k - F_{k-4}/2\}$ . Note that S induces a cycle in  $G_{F_k}$ . Moreover, of the vertices in S, only  $F_{k-4}/2$  has neighbors outside S, so the vertices of S must occur at one end of any spanning path. Every spanning path must enter S at  $F_{k-4}/2$  and hence must end either at  $F_{k-1}/2$  or at  $F_k - F_{k-4}/2$ . We can transform any spanning path ending at  $F_{k-1}/2$  into one ending at  $F_k - F_{k-4}/2$  (and vice-versa) by permuting the last three vertices; Lemma 2 shows that  $G_{F_k}$  has only one spanning path of the former type, so it also has only one of the latter type.

Note that when  $G_{F_k}$  has only one spanning path, that path is  $P_k$ ; when  $G_{F_k}$  has two spanning paths, one is  $P_k$ , and the other can be obtained from  $P_k$  by permuting the last three vertices. In this sense,  $P_k$  is "essentially" the only spanning path in  $G_{F_k}$ .

For our last result, we determine all n such that  $G_n$  has a spanning path; here we do not require that n be a Fibonacci number, although we do make use of our previous results.

**Theorem 5.** When  $n \ge 5$ , the graph  $G_n$  has a spanning path if and only if n = 9 or n = 11 or  $n \in \{F_i, F_i - 1\}$  for some *i*. This spanning path is unique unless  $n \in \{F_i, F_i - 1\}$  with  $i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , in which case  $G_n$  has two spanning paths.

*Proof.* Recall that  $F_i$  has degree 1 in  $G_{F_i}$ . Thus, given a spanning path in  $G_{F_i}$ , removing  $F_i$  leaves a spanning path in  $G_{F_i-1}$ . Likewise,  $F_{i-1}$  has degree 1 in  $G_{F_i-1}$ ; given a spanning path in  $G_{F_i-1}$ , adding the edge  $F_{i-1}F_i$  yields a spanning path in  $G_{F_i}$ . Thus,  $G_{F_i}$  and  $G_{F_i-1}$  have the same number of spanning paths; our claims for  $G_{F_i-1}$  now follow by Theorem 4. By inspection,  $G_9$  and  $G_{11}$  have unique spanning paths.

Now suppose that n is not 9 or 11 or of the form  $F_i$  or  $F_i - 1$ . Choose k such that  $F_{k-1} + 1 \le n \le F_k - 2$ . Since  $n < F_k - 1$ , the vertices  $F_{k-1}$  and  $F_{k-1} + 1$  can lie only on edges having sum  $F_k$ , so each has degree 1 in  $G_n$ . If  $F_{k-1} + 1 < n < F_k - 2$ , then  $F_{k-1} + 2$  is present and likewise has degree 1, which precludes the existence of a spanning path. Hence we may suppose that  $n \in \{F_{k-1} + 1, F_k - 2\}$ .

Suppose first that  $n = F_{k-1} + 1$ , and let P be a spanning path in  $G_n$ . The vertex n has degree 1 in  $G_n$ , so it must be an endpoint of P; removing it yields a spanning path P' in  $G_{F_{k-1}}$ . Note that n is adjacent in  $G_n$  to  $F_{k-2} - 1$ , so P' has  $F_{k-2} - 1$  as an endpoint. We established in the proof of Theorem 4 that the endpoints of every spanning path in  $G_{F_{k-1}}$  lie in  $\{F_{k-1}, F_{k-2}/2, F_{k-1}/2, F_k/2, F_{k-1} - F_{k-5}/2\}$ . Thus  $F_{k-2} - 1$  lies in this set; checking each possibility yields  $n \in \{4, 9\}$ , contradicting the choice of n.

Suppose instead that  $n = F_k - 2$ , and let P be a spanning path in  $G_n$ . Both  $F_{k-1}$  and  $F_{k-1} + 1$  have degree 1 in  $G_n$ , so they must be the endpoints of any spanning path. Moreover, in  $G_{F_k}$ , we have  $F_{k-1}$  adjacent to  $F_k$  and  $F_{k-1} + 1$  adjacent to  $F_k - 1$ . Thus we may extend P to a spanning path P' in  $G_{F_k}$  having endpoints  $F_k$  and  $F_k - 1$ . As in the prior case, this implies that  $F_k - 1$  lies in  $\{F_k, F_{k-1}/2, F_k/2, F_{k+1}/2, F_k - F_{k-4}/2\}$ . Checking each possibility yields  $n \in \{0, 3, 11\}$ , again contradicting the choice of n.

What if we consider the analogous graphs corresponding to the numbers  $A_i$ , where  $A_1 = a$ ,  $A_2 = b$ , and  $A_k = A_{k-1} + A_{k-2}$  for  $k \ge 3$ ? We call such graphs the generalized Fibonacci-sum graphs. In the proofs of Theorem 2 and Lemma 3, we only used the Fibonacci recurrence, the fact that every third Fibonacci number is even, and the fact that consecutive Fibonacci

# SPANNING PATHS IN FIBONACCI-SUM GRAPHS

numbers are relatively prime; these two facts follow easily for the numbers  $A_i$  if we require that a and b be relatively prime. In fact, this requirement is also needed for the existence of a spanning path: if a and b have a nontrivial common divisor d, then the endpoints of every edge in the graph belong to the same congruence class modulo d, so no path contains both 1 and 2. Thus as long as a and b are relatively prime, Theorem 2 and Lemma 3 also hold for the corresponding generalized Fibonacci-sum graphs; Theorems 4 and 5 hold with a few minor, straightforward alterations.

## References

 B. Barwell, Problem 2732, problems and conjectures, Journal of Recreational Mathematics, 34.3 (2006), 220–223.

MSC2010: 11B39, 33C05

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801 *E-mail address:* kylefox2@illinois.edu

Department of Mathematics, Ryerson University, Toronto, ON, Canada M5B 2K3  $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ wkinners@gmail.com$ 

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801 *E-mail address*: dmcdona4@illinois.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801 *E-mail address:* nathan.orlow@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{puleo@illinois.edu}$