

p-ADIC STIRLING NUMBERS OF THE SECOND KIND

DONALD M. DAVIS

ABSTRACT. Let $S(n, k)$ denote the Stirling numbers of the second kind. We prove that the p -adic limit of $S(p^e a + c, p^e b + d)$ as $e \rightarrow \infty$ exists for any integers a, b, c , and d with $0 < b \leq a$. We call the limiting p -adic integer $S(p^\infty a + c, p^\infty b + d)$. When $a \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$ or $d \leq 0$, we express them in terms of p -adic binomial coefficients $\binom{p^\infty \alpha - 1}{p^\infty \beta}$ introduced in a recent paper.

1. MAIN THEOREMS

In [4], the author defined, for integers a, b, c , and d , with $0 < b \leq a$, $\binom{p^\infty a + c}{p^\infty b + d}$ to be the p -adic integer which is the p -adic limit of $\binom{p^e a + c}{p^e b + d}$, and gave explicit formulas for these in terms of rational numbers and p -adic integers which, if p or n is even, could be considered to be $U_p((p^\infty n)!) := \lim_e U_p((p^e n)!)$. Here and throughout, $\nu_p(-)$ denotes the exponent of p in an integer or rational number and $U_p(n) = n/p^{\nu_p(n)}$ denotes the unit factor in n . Here we do the same for Stirling numbers $S(n, k)$ of the second kind; i.e., we prove that the p -adic limit of $S(p^e a + c, p^e b + d)$ exists if $0 < b \leq a$, and call it $S(p^\infty a + c, p^\infty b + d)$. If $a \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$ or $d \leq 0$, we express these explicitly in terms of certain $\binom{p^\infty \alpha - 1}{p^\infty \beta}$ together with certain Stirling-like rational numbers.

For nonnegative integers n and k , the Stirling number $S(n, k)$ of the second kind is the number of ways to partition a set of n objects into k nonempty subsets. (See, e.g., [2, p. 204].) The formula which is most useful to us is

$$S(n, k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} i^n.$$

Our interest in them was initially due to an occurrence in algebraic topology, related to homotopy groups of the special unitary groups [5].

We now list our four main theorems, which will be proved in Sections 2 and 4. Let \mathbb{Z}_p denote the p -adic integers with the usual metric.

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be a prime, and a, b, c , and d integers with $0 < a \leq b$. Then the p -adic limit of $S(p^e a + c, p^e b + d)$ exists in \mathbb{Z}_p . We denote the limit as $S(p^\infty a + c, p^\infty b + d)$.*

It will be assumed throughout that $0 < b \leq a$. Note that if c and/or d are negative, then for some small values of e , $S(p^e a + c, p^e b + d)$ might have a negative argument, and hence not be defined. However, the p -adic limit only cares about large values of e , and for sufficiently large e , both arguments of $S(p^e a + c, p^e b + d)$ will be positive.

Theorem 1.2. *If p is any prime and $0 < b \leq a$, then $S(p^\infty a, p^\infty b) = 0$ if $a \not\equiv b \pmod{p-1}$, while*

$$S(p^\infty a, p^\infty b) = \binom{p^\infty \frac{pa-b}{p-1} - 1}{p^\infty \frac{p(a-b)}{p-1}} \text{ if } a \equiv b \pmod{p-1}.$$

These p -adic binomial coefficients are as introduced in [4].

Let $|s(n, k)|$ denote the unsigned Stirling numbers of the first kind.

Theorem 1.3. *If $0 < b \leq a$, then*

$$S(p^\infty a + c, p^\infty b + d) = \begin{cases} 0, & d = 0, c \neq 0; \\ 0, & d < 0, c \geq 0; \\ |s(|d|, |c|)|S(p^\infty a, p^\infty b), & c < 0, d < 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $a \not\equiv b \pmod{p-1}$, then $S(p^\infty a + c, p^\infty b + d) = 0$ whenever $d \leq 0$.

For any prime number p , integer n , and nonnegative integer k , define the partial Stirling numbers $T_p(n, k)$ [3] by

$$T_p(n, k) = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \sum_{i \neq 0 \pmod{p}} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} i^n. \tag{1.1}$$

Theorem 1.4. *If $a \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$ and $d \geq 1$, then*

$$S(p^\infty a + d - 1, p^\infty b + d) = T_p(d - 1, d) \binom{p^\infty \frac{pa-b}{p-1} - 1}{p^\infty b}.$$

When $a \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$, results for all $S(p^\infty a + c, p^\infty b + d)$ with $d > 0$ follow from these results and the standard formula

$$S(n, k) = kS(n - 1, k) + S(n - 1, k - 1). \tag{1.2}$$

Explicit formulas are somewhat complicated and are relegated to Section 3.

We thank the referee for pointing out an oversight in an earlier version of the paper.

2. PROOFS WHEN $a \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$ OR $d \leq 0$

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4. If $a \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$ or $d \leq 0$, Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from Theorems 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4 and their proofs. These give explicit values for the limits when $d \leq 0$ and for at least one value of c when $d > 0$. The existence of the limit for other values of c follows from (1.2) and induction. Examples are given in Section 3. We will prove Theorem 1.1 when $a \not\equiv b \pmod{p-1}$ and $d > 0$ in Section 4.

We rely heavily on the following two results of Chan and Manna.

Theorem 2.1. ([1, 4.2, 5.2]) *Suppose $n > p^m b$ with $m \geq 3$ if $p = 2$. Then, $\text{mod } p^{m-1}$ if $p = 2$, and $\text{mod } p^m$ if p is odd,*

$$S(n, p^m b) \equiv \begin{cases} \binom{n/2-2^{m-2}b-1}{n/2-2^{m-1}b}, & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \\ \binom{(n-p^{m-1}b)/(p-1)-1}{(n-p^m b)/(p-1)}, & \text{if } p \text{ is odd and } n \equiv b \pmod{p-1}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.2. ([1, 4.3, 5.3]) *Let p be any prime, and suppose $n \geq p^e b + d$. Then*

$$S(n, p^e b + d) \equiv \sum_{j \geq 0} S(p^e b + (p-1)j, p^e b) S(n - p^e b - (p-1)j, d) \pmod{p^e}.$$

THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The result follows from Theorem 2.1. If p is odd and $a \not\equiv b \pmod{p-1}$, then $\nu_p(S(p^e a, p^e b)) \geq e$, while if $a \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$, then

$$S(p^e a, p^e b) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} p^{e-1} \frac{pa-b}{p-1} - 1 \\ p^{e-1} \frac{p(a-b)}{p-1} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^e}.$$

If $p = 2$, then

$$S(2^e a, 2^e b) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 2^{e-2}(2a-b) - 1 \\ 2^{e-2}(2a-2b) \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2^{e-1}}.$$

□

Let $d_p(n)$ denote the sum of the digits in the p -ary expansion of a positive integer n .

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The first case follows readily from Theorem 2.1. If $p = 2$, this says that $\nu(S(2^e a + c, 2^e b)) \geq e - 1$ if c is odd, while if $c = 2k$ is even, then, $\pmod{2^{e-1}}$,

$$S(2^e a + 2k, 2^e b) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 2^{e-1}a + k - 2^{e-2}b - 1 \\ 2^{e-1}a + k - 2^{e-1}b \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $0 < k < 2^{e-1}$, this has 2-exponent

$$\nu_2 = d_2(a-b) + d_2(k) - (d_2(2a-b) + d_2(k-1)) + d_2(2^{e-2}b-1) \rightarrow \infty$$

as $e \rightarrow \infty$, while if $k = -\ell < 0$, then

$$\nu_2 = e - 1 + d_2(a-b-1) - d_2(\ell-1) - (e-2 + d_2(2a-b-1) - d_2(\ell)) + d_2(2^{e-2}b-1) \rightarrow \infty.$$

The odd-primary case follows similarly.

The second case of the theorem follows from the result for $c = 0$ just established and (1.2) by induction. For the third case, write $c = -k$ and $d = -\ell$ and argue by induction on k and ℓ , starting with the fact that the result is true if $k = 0$ or $\ell = 0$. Then, $\pmod{p^e}$,

$$\begin{aligned} S(p^e a - k - 1, p^e b - \ell - 1) &= S(p^e a - k, p^e b - \ell) - (p^e b - \ell)S(p^e a - k - 1, p^e b - \ell) \\ &\equiv S(p^e a, p^e b)(|s(\ell, k)| + \ell|s(\ell, k+1)|) \\ &= S(p^e a, p^e b)|s(\ell+1, k+1)|, \end{aligned}$$

implying the result. □

The proof of Theorem 1.4 will utilize the following two lemmas. We let $\lg_p(x) = \lceil \log_p(x) \rceil$.

Lemma 2.3. *If p is any prime and k and d are positive integers, then*

$$\nu_p(T_p((p-1)k + d - 1, d) - T_p(d - 1, d)) \geq \nu_p(k) - \lg_p(d).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &|T_p((p-1)k + d - 1, d) - T_p(d - 1, d)| \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} (-1)^r \frac{1}{d!} \sum_j (-1)^j \binom{d}{pj+r} (pj+r)^{d-1} ((pj+r)^{(p-1)k} - 1) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} (-1)^r \sum_{i>0, t \geq 0} r^{(p-1)k+d-1-i-t} \binom{(p-1)k}{i} \binom{d-1}{t} \frac{1}{d!} \sum_j (-1)^j \binom{d}{pj+r} (pj)^{i+t}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\binom{(p-1)k}{i} = \frac{(p-1)k}{i} \binom{(p-1)k-1}{i-1}$, we have $\nu_p(\binom{(p-1)k}{i}) \geq \nu_p(k) - \nu_p(i)$ for $i > 0$. Also

$$\nu_p\left(\frac{1}{d!} \sum_j (-1)^j \binom{d}{pj+r} (pj)^{i+t}\right) \geq \max(0, i+t - \nu_p(d!)),$$

with the first part following from [8, Theorem 1.1]. Thus it will suffice to show

$$\lg_p(d) - \nu_p(i) + \max(0, i+t - \nu_p(d!)) \geq 0.$$

This is clearly true if $\nu_p(i) \leq \lg_p(d)$, while if $\nu_p(i) > \lg_p(d) = \ell$, then $\nu_p(d!) \leq \nu_p((p^{\ell+1} - 1)!) = \frac{p^{\ell+1}-1}{p-1} - \ell - 1$ and $i - \nu_p(i) \geq p^{\ell+1} - \ell - 1$, implying the lemma. \square

The following lemma is easily proved by induction on A .

Lemma 2.4. *If A and B are positive integers, then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{A-1} \binom{i+B-1}{i} = \binom{A+B-1}{B}.$$

Now we can prove Theorem 1.4. We first prove it when $p = 2$, and then indicate the minor changes required when p is odd. Using Theorem 2.2 at the first step and Theorem 2.1 at the second, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S(2^e a + d - 1, 2^e b + d) \\ & \equiv \sum_{i=2^e b}^{2^e a-1} S(i, 2^e b) S(2^e a + d - 1 - i, d) \pmod{2^e} \\ & \equiv \sum_{j=2^{e-1} b}^{2^{e-1} a-1} \binom{j - 2^{e-2} b - 1}{j - 2^{e-1} b} S(2^e a + d - 1 - 2j, d) \pmod{2^{e-1}} \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{e-1}(a-b)-1} \binom{k + 2^{e-2} b - 1}{k} S(2^e(a-b) + d - 1 - 2k, d) \\ & = \sum_{\ell=1}^{2^{e-1}(a-b)} \binom{2^{e-2}(2a-b) - 1 - \ell}{2^{e-2} b - 1} S(2\ell + d - 1, d) \\ & = \sum_{\ell=1}^{2^{e-1}(a-b)} \binom{2^{e-2}(2a-b) - 1 - \ell}{2^{e-2} b - 1} (T_2(2\ell + d - 1, d) \pm \frac{1}{d!} \sum_j \binom{d}{2j} (2j)^{2\ell+d-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We have $\nu_2\left(\binom{2^{e-2}(2a-b)-1-\ell}{2^{e-2}b-1}\right) = f(a, b) + e - \nu_2(\ell)$, where $f(a, b) = \nu_2\left(\binom{2a-b-1}{2a-2b}\right) + \nu_2(a-b) - 1$. By [5, Theorem 1.5],

$$\nu_2\left(\frac{1}{d!} \sum_j \binom{d}{2j} (2j)^{2\ell+d-1}\right) \geq 2\ell + \frac{d}{2} - 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Thus, using Lemma 2.3 at the first step and Lemma 2.4 at the second, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & S(2^e a + d - 1, 2^e b + d) \\ & \equiv T_2(d - 1, d) \sum_{k=0}^{2^{e-1}(a-b)-1} \binom{k + 2^{e-2}b - 1}{k} \pmod{2^{\min(e-1, e+f(a,b)-\lg(d))}} \\ & = T_2(d - 1, d) \binom{2^{e-1}(a - b) + 2^{e-2}b - 1}{2^{e-2}b}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $e \rightarrow \infty$ yields the claim of Theorem 1.4. In the congruence, we have also used that $\nu_2(T_2(d - 1, d)) \geq 0$. In fact, by (2.1) and $S(d - 1, d) = 0$, we have $\nu_2(T_2(d - 1, d)) \geq \frac{d}{2} - 1$. See Table 2 for some explicit values of $T_2(d - 1, d)$.

We now present the minor modifications required when p is odd and $a \equiv b \pmod{p - 1}$. Let $a = b + (p - 1)t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & S(p^e a + d - 1, p^e b + d) \\ & \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{p^e t - 1} S(p^e b + (p - 1)j, p^e b) S(p^e(a - b) - (p - 1)j + d - 1, d) \\ & \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{p^e t - 1} \binom{p^{e-1}b + j - 1}{j} S(p^e(p - 1)t - (p - 1)j + d - 1, d) \\ & = \sum_{\ell=1}^{p^e t} \binom{p^e t + p^{e-1}b - \ell - 1}{p^{e-1}b - 1} S((p - 1)\ell + d - 1, d) \\ & \equiv T_p(d - 1, d) \sum_{j=0}^{p^e t - 1} \binom{p^{e-1}b + j - 1}{j} \\ & = T_p(d - 1, d) \binom{p^e t + p^{e-1}b - 1}{p^{e-1}b}. \end{aligned}$$

3. MORE FORMULAS AND NUMERICAL VALUES

In Theorem 1.3, we gave a simple formula for $S(p^\infty a + c, p^\infty b + d)$ when $d \leq 0$. For $d > 0$, all values can be written explicitly using (1.2) and the initial values given in Theorem 1.4, provided $a \equiv b \pmod{p - 1}$.

First assume $c \geq d - 1$. For $i \geq 1$, define Stirling-like numbers $S_i(c, d)$ satisfying that for $d < i$ or $c \leq d - 1$ the only nonzero value is $S_i(i - 1, i) = 1$ and satisfying the analogue of (1.2) when $c \geq d$. Note that $S_1(c, d) = S(c, d)$ if $(c, d) \notin \{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}$. The following result is easily obtained. Here we use that the binomial coefficient in Theorem 1.4 equals $\frac{p}{p-1} \frac{a-b}{b} S(p^\infty a, p^\infty b)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume $a \equiv b \pmod{p - 1}$. For $d \geq 1, c \geq d - 1$, we have*

$$S(p^\infty a + c, p^\infty b + d) = S(p^\infty a, p^\infty b) \left(S(c, d) + \sum_{i=1}^d S_i(c, d) T_p(i - 1, i) \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{a-b}{b} \right).$$

The reader may obtain a better feeling for these numbers from the table of values of $S(p^\infty a + c, p^\infty b + d) / S(p^\infty a, p^\infty b)$ in Table 1, in which T_i denotes $T_p(i - 1, i) \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{a-b}{b}$.

p -ADIC STIRLING NUMBERS OF THE SECOND KIND

TABLE 1. $S(p^\infty a + c, p^\infty b + d)/S(p^\infty a, p^\infty b)$ when $a \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$

	d				
	1	2	3	4	5
0	T_1				
1	$1 + T_1$	T_2			
c 2	$1 + T_1$	$1 + T_1 + 2T_2$	T_3		
3	$1 + T_1$	$3 + 3T_1 + 4T_2$	$1 + T_1 + 2T_2$	T_4	
4	$1 + T_1$	$7 + 7T_1 + 8T_2$	$6 + 6T_1$ $+10T_2 + 9T_3$	$1 + T_1 + 2T_2$ $+3T_3 + 4T_4$	T_5
5	$1 + T_1$	$15 + 15T_1$ $+16T_2$	$25 + 25T_1$ $+38T_2 + 27T_3$	$10 + 10T_1 + 18T_2$ $+21T_3 + 16T_4$	$1 + T_1 + 2T_2$ $+3T_3 + 4T_4$ $+5T_5$

The first few values of $T_2(d-1, d)$ and $T_3(d-1, d)$ are given in Table 2.

TABLE 2. Some values of $T_2(d-1, d)$ and $T_3(d-1, d)$

d	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$T_2(d-1, d)$	1	-1	2	$-\frac{14}{3}$	12	$-\frac{164}{5}$	$\frac{4208}{5}$	$-\frac{86608}{315}$
$T_3(d-1, d)$	1	0	$-\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{9}{2}$	$-\frac{27}{4}$	$-\frac{81}{20}$	$\frac{4779}{80}$	$-\frac{15309}{80}$

For $c < d-1$, we use (1.2) to work backwards from $S(p^\infty a + d-1, p^\infty b + d)$, obtaining the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$. For $k \geq 1, d \geq 0$, let $Y(k, d) = S(p^\infty a + d - k, p^\infty b + d)$. Then $Y(1, d)$ is as in Theorem 1.4 for $d \geq 1$, $Y(k, 0) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$, and, for $k \geq 2, d \geq 1$,*

$$Y(k, d) = (Y(k-1, d) - Y(k-1, d-1))/d.$$

We illustrate these values in Table 3, where again T_i denotes $T_p(i-1, i) \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{a-b}{b}$.

Note that since $S(d-1, d) = 0$ and $T_p(n, k) - S(n, k)$ is a sum like that in (1.1) taken over $i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we deduce that $T_p(d-1, d) = 0$ if $1 < d < p$, which simplifies these results slightly.

TABLE 3. $S(p^\infty a + c, p^\infty b + d)/S(p^\infty a, p^\infty b)$ when $a \equiv b \pmod{p-1}$

		d			
		1	2	3	4
-2	T_1	$\frac{1}{8}T_2 - \frac{7}{8}T_1$	$\frac{1}{81}T_3 - \frac{65}{648}T_2 + \frac{85}{216}T_1$	$\frac{1}{1024}T_4 - \frac{781}{82944}T_3 + \frac{865}{20736}T_2 - \frac{415}{3456}T_1$	
-1	T_1	$\frac{1}{4}T_2 - \frac{3}{4}T_1$	$\frac{1}{27}T_3 - \frac{19}{108}T_2 + \frac{11}{36}T_1$	$\frac{1}{256}T_4 - \frac{175}{6912}T_3 + \frac{115}{1728}T_2 - \frac{25}{288}T_1$	
c	0	T_1	$\frac{1}{2}T_2 - \frac{1}{2}T_1$	$\frac{1}{9}T_3 - \frac{5}{18}T_2 + \frac{1}{6}T_1$	$\frac{1}{64}T_4 - \frac{37}{576}T_3 + \frac{13}{144}T_2 - \frac{1}{24}T_1$
	1	T_2		$\frac{1}{3}T_3 - \frac{1}{3}T_2$	$\frac{1}{16}T_4 - \frac{7}{48}T_3 + \frac{1}{12}T_2$
	2	T_3			$\frac{1}{4}T_4 - \frac{1}{4}T_3$

4. THE CASE $a \not\equiv b \pmod{p-1}$

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 when $a \not\equiv b \pmod{p-1}$ by proving the following case.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $0 < b \leq a$ and $d \geq 1$. Then the p -adic limit of $S(p^{e+1}a - (a - b), p^{e+1}b + d)$ exists as $e \rightarrow \infty$.*

Then $\lim_e S(p^{e+1}a + c, p^{e+1}b + d)$ exists for all integers c by induction using (1.2).

Let $R_p(e) = (p^{e+1} - 1)/(p - 1)$. The proof of Theorem 4.1 begins with, $\text{mod } p^e$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & S(p^{e+1}a - (a - b), p^{e+1}b + d) \\
 & \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{R_p(e)(a-b)} S(p^{e+1}b + (p - 1)j, p^{e+1}b) S((p^{e+1} - 1)(a - b) - (p - 1)j, d) \\
 & \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{R_p(e)(a-b)} \binom{p^e b + j - 1}{j} \frac{(-1)^d}{d!} \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i \binom{d}{i} i^{(p^{e+1}-1)(a-b)-(p-1)j} \\
 & = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^{i+d} \frac{1}{d!} \binom{d}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{R_p(e)(a-b)} \binom{p^e b + j - 1}{j} i^{(p^{e+1}-1)(a-b)-(p-1)j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We show that for each i , the limit as $e \rightarrow \infty$ of

$$\sum_{j=0}^{R_p(e)(a-b)} \binom{p^e b + j - 1}{j} i^{(p^{e+1}-1)(a-b)-(p-1)j} \tag{4.1}$$

exists in \mathbb{Z}_p . This will complete the proof of the theorem.

If $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, write $i^{p-1} = Ap + 1$, using Fermat's Little Theorem. Then (4.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\ell=0}^{R_p(e)(a-b)} (Ap)^\ell \sum_{j=0}^{R_p(e)(a-b)} \binom{p^e b + j - 1}{j} \binom{R_p(e)(a-b) - j}{\ell} \\
 & = \sum_{\ell=0}^{R_p(e)(a-b)} (Ap)^\ell \binom{p^e b + R_p(e)(a-b)}{p^e b + \ell}
 \end{aligned}$$

by [7, p.9(3c)]. Lemma 4.3 says that for each ℓ , there exists a p -adic integer

$$z_\ell := \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \binom{p^e b + R_p(e)(a-b)}{p^e b + \ell}.$$

Then $\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (Ap)^\ell z_\ell$ is a p -adic integer, which is the limit of (4.1) as $e \rightarrow \infty$.

If $i = 0$, since $0^0 = 1$ in (4.1) and the equations preceding it, (4.1) becomes

$$\binom{p^e b + R_p(e)(a-b) - 1}{p^e b - 1} = \frac{p^e b}{p^e b + R_p(e)(a-b)} \binom{p^e b + R_p(e)(a-b)}{p^e b}.$$

Since by the proof of Lemma 4.3 $\nu_p \left(\frac{p^{e+b+R_p(e)(a-b)}}{p^e b} \right)$ is eventually constant, $\left(\frac{p^{e+b+R_p(e)(a-b)-1}}{p^e b - 1} \right) \rightarrow 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_p , due to the $p^e b$ factor.

We complete the proof of Theorem 4.1 in the following lemma, which shows that the p -adic limit of (4.1) is 0 when $i \equiv 0 \pmod p$ and $i > 0$.

Lemma 4.2. *If $0 \leq j \leq R_p(e)(a-b)$, then*

$$\nu_p \binom{p^e b + j - 1}{j} + (p^{e+1} - 1)(a-b) - (p-1)j \geq e - \log_p(a-b+p)$$

for e sufficiently large.

Proof. Let $\ell = R_p(e)(a-b) - j$ and $a-b = (p-1)t + \Delta$, $1 \leq \Delta \leq p-1$. The p -exponent of the binomial coefficient becomes

$$d_p(b-1) + e + d_p((p^{e+1} - 1)t + R_p(e)\Delta - \ell) - d_p((p^{e+1} - 1)t + R_p(e)\Delta + p^e b - \ell - 1). \quad (4.2)$$

Choose s minimal so that $\frac{\Delta}{p-1}(p^s - 1) - \ell - 1 - t \geq 0$. Then, if $e > s$, the p -ary expansion of $(p^{e+1} - 1)t + R_p(e)\Delta - \ell$ splits as

$$p^e(pt + \Delta) + p^s \frac{p^{e-s} - 1}{p-1} \Delta + \frac{p^s - 1}{p-1} \Delta - \ell - t,$$

and there is a similar splitting for the expression at the end of (4.2). We obtain that (4.2) equals

$$e + \nu_p(b) + \nu_p \binom{pt+b+\Delta}{b} - \nu_p \left(\frac{\Delta}{p-1}(p^s - 1) - \ell - t \right).$$

The expression in the lemma equals this plus $(p-1)\ell$. Since s was minimal, we have $\frac{\Delta}{p-1}(p^s - 1) - \ell - t \leq (p-1)(\ell+t) + p + \Delta$, and hence, $\nu_p \left(\frac{\Delta}{p-1}(p^s - 1) - \ell - t \right) \leq \log_p((p-1)(\ell+t) + p + \Delta)$. The smallest value of $(p-1)\ell - \log_p((p-1)(\ell+t) + p + \Delta)$ occurs when $\ell = 0$. We obtain that the expression in the lemma is $\geq e - \log_p(a-b+p)$. \square

The following lemma was referred to above.

Lemma 4.3. *If α and b are positive integers and $\ell \geq 0$, then*

$$\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \binom{p^e b + R_p(e)\alpha}{p^e b + \ell}$$

exists in \mathbb{Z}_p .

This is another p -adic binomial coefficient, slightly different than those of [4], which we would call $\binom{p^\infty b + R_p(\infty)\alpha}{p^\infty b + \ell}$. The proof of the lemma breaks into two parts: showing that the p -exponents are eventually constant, and showing that the unit parts approach a limit.

The proof that the p -exponent is eventually constant is very similar to the proof of Lemma 4.2. Let $\alpha = (p-1)t + \Delta$ with $1 \leq \Delta \leq p-1$, and choose s minimal such that $\frac{\Delta}{p-1}(p^s-1) - t - \ell \geq 0$. Then the p -ary expansions split again into three parts and we obtain that for $e > s$, the desired p -exponent equals $\nu_p\binom{pt+b+\Delta}{b} + \nu_p\binom{\Delta(p^s-1)/(p-1)-t}{\ell}$, independent of e .

We complete the proof of Lemma 4.3 by showing that, if $\ell < \min(R_p(e-1)\alpha, p^e b)$ and $p^e > \alpha$, then

$$U_p\left(\binom{p^{e-1}b + R_p(e-1)\alpha}{p^{e-1}b + \ell}\right) \equiv U_p\left(\binom{p^e b + R_p(e)\alpha}{p^e b + \ell}\right) \pmod{p^{e+f(\alpha,b,\ell)-1}}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $f(\alpha, b, \ell) = \min(\nu_p(b) - \lg_p(\alpha), \nu_p(\alpha) - \lg_p(\ell), \nu_p(b) - \lg_p(\ell), 1)$. We write the second binomial coefficient in (4.3) as

$$(-1)^{eb} \frac{(p^e b + R_p(e)\alpha)!}{(R_p(e)\alpha)!} \cdot \frac{(R_p(e)\alpha)!}{(R_p(e)\alpha - \ell)!} \cdot \frac{(p^e b)!}{(p^e b + \ell)!} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{eb}}{(p^e b)!}. \tag{4.4}$$

We show that the unit parts of these four factors are congruent to their $(e-1)$ -analogue mod $p^{e+\nu_p(b)-\lg(\alpha)-1}$, $p^{e+\nu_p(\alpha)-\lg_p(\ell)-1}$, $p^{e+\nu_p(b)-\lg_p(\ell)-1}$, and p^e , respectively, which will imply the result. For the fourth factor, this was shown in [4]. For the second and third, the claim is clear, since each of the ℓ unit factors being multiplied will be congruent to their $(e-1)$ -analogue modulo the specified amount.

To prove the first, we will prove

$$U_p\left(\frac{(R_p(e)\alpha + 1) \cdots (R_p(e)\alpha + p^e b)}{(R_p(e-1)\alpha + 1) \cdots (R_p(e-1)\alpha + p^{e-1}b)}\right) \equiv (-1)^b \pmod{p^{e+\nu_p(b)-\lg_p(\alpha)-1}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $U_p(j) = U_p(pj)$, we may cancel most multiples of p in the numerator with factors in the denominator. Using that $p \cdot R_p(e-1) = R_p(e) - 1$, we obtain that the LHS of (4.5) equals $P U_p(A) / U_p(B)$, where P is the product of the units in the numerator, A is the product of all $j \equiv 0 \pmod p$ which satisfy

$$(R_p(e) - 1)\alpha + p^e b < j \leq R_p(e)\alpha + p^e b,$$

and B is the product of all integers k such that

$$R_p(e-1)\alpha + 1 \leq k \leq R_p(e-1)\alpha + \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha}{p} \right\rfloor. \tag{4.6}$$

Since the mod p^e values of the p -adic units in any interval of p^e consecutive integers are just a permutation of the set of positive p -adic units less than p^e , and by [6, Lemma 1] the product of these is $-1 \pmod{p^e}$, we obtain $P \equiv (-1)^b \pmod{p^e}$. Thus (4.5) reduces to showing $U_p(A) / U_p(B) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{e+\nu_p(b)-\lg_p(\alpha)-1}}$.

We have

$$\frac{U_p(A)}{U_p(B)} = \prod \frac{U_p(k + p^{e-1}b)}{U_p(k)},$$

taken over all k satisfying (4.6). We show that if k satisfies (4.6), then

$$\nu_p(k) \leq \lg_p(\alpha). \tag{4.7}$$

Then $U_p(k) \equiv U_p(k + p^{e-1}b) \pmod{p^{e+\nu_p(b)-\lg_p(\alpha)-1}}$, establishing the result.

p -ADIC STIRLING NUMBERS OF THE SECOND KIND

We prove (4.7) by showing that it is impossible to have $1 \leq \alpha < p^t$, $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\alpha}{p} \rfloor$, $t < e$, and

$$R_p(e-1)\alpha + i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^t}. \quad (4.8)$$

From (4.8) we deduce $\alpha \equiv i(p-1) \pmod{p^t}$. But $i(p-1) < \alpha$, so the only way to satisfy (4.8) would be with $\alpha = p^t$ and $i = 0$, but $\alpha < p^t$.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Y. Chan and D. V. Manna, *Congruences for Stirling numbers of the second kind*, Contemp Math, **517** (2010), 97–111.
- [2] L. Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics*, Reidel, 1974.
- [3] D. M. Davis, *Divisibility by 2 of partial Stirling numbers*, Functiones et Approx Comm Math, **49** (2013), 29–56.
- [4] D. M. Davis, *Binomial coefficients involving infinite powers of primes*, (to appear in Amer Math Monthly). <http://www.lehigh.edu/~dmd1/bin13.pdf>.
- [5] D. M. Davis and Z.-W. Sun, *A number theoretic approach to homotopy exponents of $SU(n)$* , Jour Pure Appl Alg, **209** (2007), 57–69.
- [6] A. Granville, *Binomial coefficients modulo prime powers*, Can Math Soc Conf Proc, **20** (1997), 253–275.
- [7] J. Riordan, *Combinatorial Identities*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [8] Z.-W. Sun and D. M. Davis, *Combinatorial congruences modulo prime powers*, Trans Amer Math Soc, **359** (2007), 5525–5553.

MSC2010: 11B73, 11A07.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEHIGH UNIVERSITY, BETHLEHEM, PA 18015
E-mail address: dmd1@lehigh.edu