

SUMS OF RECIPROCAL OF RECURRENCE RELATIONS

HAO CUI, XIAOYU CUI, SOPHIA C. DAVIS, IRFAN DURMIĆ, QINGCHENG HU, LISA LIU,
STEVEN J. MILLER, FENGPING REN, ALICIA SMITH REINA, AND ELIEL SOSIS

ABSTRACT. There is a growing literature on sums of reciprocals of polynomial functions of recurrence relations with constant coefficients and fixed depth, such as Fibonacci and Tribonacci numbers, products of such numbers, and balancing numbers (numbers n such that the sum of the integers less than n equals the sum of the r integers immediately after, for some r which is called the balancer of n ; If n is included in the summation, we have the cobalancing numbers, and r is called the cobalancer of n). We generalize previous work to reciprocal sums of depth two recurrence sequences with arbitrary coefficients and the Tribonacci numbers, and show our method provides an alternative proof of some existing results.

We define (a, b) balancing and cobalancing numbers, where a and b are constants that multiply the left-hand side and right-hand side respectively, and derive recurrence relations describing these sequences. We show that for balancing numbers, the coefficients $(3, 1)$ is unique such that every integer is a $(3, 1)$ balancing number, and proved there does not exist an analogous set of coefficients for cobalancing numbers. We also found patterns for certain coefficients that have no balancing or cobalancing numbers.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Fibonacci numbers have numerous interesting properties and applications; see for example [6]. We take as their definition $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$ and $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$. In addition to studying these, we examine several generalizations, including the Tribonacci numbers, defined as $T_0 = 0$, $T_1 = T_2 = 1$ and $T_n = T_{n-1} + T_{n-2} + T_{n-3}$.

Ohtsuka and Nakamura [7] derived the following formula for infinite reciprocal sums of consecutive Fibonacci numbers, where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the greatest integer at most x :

$$\left\lfloor \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_k} \right)^{-1} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} F_{n-2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \geq 2 \\ F_{n-2} - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Anantakitpaisal and Kuhapatanakul [1] extended this result to the Tribonacci numbers,

$$\left\lfloor \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_k} \right)^{-1} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} T_n - T_{n-1} & \text{if } T_{-(n+1)} < 0 \\ T_n - T_{n-1} - 1 & \text{if } T_{-(n+1)} > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

while Komatsu [5] proved a formula for the nearest integer of such sums,

$$\left\lfloor \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_k} \right)^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor = T_n - T_{n-1}. \quad (1.3)$$

Given the results above, it is natural to ask if they hold for other recurrence relations. Balancing numbers are numbers n such that the sum of the integers less than n equal the sum of the r integers immediately after, for some r which is called the balancer of n . For example, 6

is a balancing number with balancer 2 because

$$1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 = 7 + 8.$$

Behera and Panda [2] showed that balancing numbers follow the recurrence relation

$$B_{n+1} = 6B_n - B_{n-1}, \tag{1.4}$$

with initial terms $B_1 = 6$ and $B_2 = 35$, where B_n is the n^{th} balancing number. The reciprocal sum of balancing numbers has been proven by [10]:

$$\left[\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B_k} \right)^{-1} \right] = B_n - B_{n-1} - 1. \tag{1.5}$$

If n is included in the summation on the left-hand side we have the cobalancing numbers, first introduced by Panda in [9], and r is called the cobalancer of n . For the cobalancing numbers, Panda and Ray [9, 12] showed that

$$b_{n+1} = 6b_n - b_{n-1} + 2, \tag{1.6}$$

with initial terms $b_1 = 2$ and $b_2 = 14$, where b_n is the n^{th} cobalancing number.

Remark 1.1. *While the cobalancing numbers do not come from a depth two recurrence with constant coefficients, a trivial modification does. Consider the shifted sequence $c_n = b_n - d$, with d to be determined. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n+1} - d &= 6(b_n - d) - (b_{n-1} - d) + 2 \\ b_{n+1} - d &= 6b_n - b_{n-1} + 2 - 5d \\ b_{n+1} &= 6b_n - b_{n-1} + 2 - 4d, \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where b_n is the n^{th} cobalancing number. Thus if we take $d = 1/2$ then $b_n = c_n + d$ satisfies a depth two constant coefficient recurrence relation.

We generalize these definitions to define the (a, b) balancing and cobalancing numbers, where a, b are constants that multiply the left and right-hand sides.

Definition 1.2. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be coprime, the (a, b) balancing numbers are positive integers n such that the equality*

$$a(1 + 2 + \dots + (n - 1)) = b((n + 1) + (n + 2) + \dots + (n + r)) \tag{1.8}$$

is satisfied for some positive integer r , and r is called the balancer of n .

Definition 1.3. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be coprime, the (a, b) cobalancing numbers are positive integers n such that the equality*

$$a(1 + 2 + \dots + (n - 1) + n) = b((n + 1) + (n + 2) + \dots + (n + r)) \tag{1.9}$$

is satisfied for some positive integer r , and r is called the cobalancer of n .

We find recurrences describing (a, b) balancing and cobalancing numbers, including numerical solutions for cases where $a \leq 7$, $b \leq 5$, and we derive analytically depth-two recurrence relations for all (a, b) cobalancing numbers and their corresponding cobalancers with $a \in \{1, 2\}$.

Theorem 1.4. *All (a, b) cobalancing numbers such that $a \in \{1, 2\}$ can be described by a depth two recurrence plus a constant term of the form*

$$c_n = (2m + 2)c_{n-1} - c_{n-2} + m, \tag{1.10}$$

where $m = 2b/a$. For any of the depth two recurrences of this form, the sequence of (a, b) cobalancing numbers starts with $c_1 = m, c_2 = 2m^2 + 3m$.

Theorem 1.5. *The cobalancers of (a, b) cobalancing numbers such that $a \in \{1, 2\}$ can be described by a depth two recurrence of the form*

$$r_n = (2m + 2)r_{n-1} - r_{n-2}, \quad (1.11)$$

where r_n is the cobalancer of the n^{th} cobalancing number and $m = 2b/a$. For any of the depth two recurrences of this form, the sequence of (a, b) cobalancers starts with $r_1 = 1, r_2 = 2m + 2$.

We then explore the infinite reciprocal sums of depth two recurrence sequences. Our two main results on these recurrences that apply to sequences describing cobalancing numbers are shown below. The proofs are similar to Theorem 2.1 in [10] which proves the result for reciprocal sums of balancing numbers. In Theorem 1.6 we generalize the result to arbitrary coefficients. Completing the proof required certain restrictions on the coefficients, along with Lemma 3.1 to find the square of the n^{th} term in the sequence. In the proof of Theorem 1.7 we also consider arbitrary coefficients, and we see a new feature that the square of the n^{th} term in the sequence depends on the parity of n . We handle it by separating the proof into two cases; one when n is even, and another when n is odd.

Theorem 1.6. *For all recurrences of the form*

$$c_{n+1} = qc_n - c_{n-1} + s, \quad (1.12)$$

where $q, s \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}, q \geq 2, c_0 = 0, c_1 = s$, if $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$0 \leq (q - s)c_n - 2c_{n-1} + s - 1, \quad (1.13)$$

then for any positive integer n , we have

$$\left[\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \right)^{-1} \right] = c_n - c_{n-1} - 1, \quad (1.14)$$

where c_n is the n^{th} term in the sequence.

Theorem 1.7. *For all recurrences of the form*

$$c_{n+1} = qc_n + rc_{n-1},$$

where $q, r \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}, c_0 = 0, c_1 = t$, and $t > 0$, we have the following cases.

Case 1: when $q \geq 3$ and $-1 \leq r < 0$, if

$$t^2(-r)^{n-1} \leq c_{n+1} - c_{n-1} - 1$$

then for any positive integer n ,

$$\left[\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \right)^{-1} \right] = c_n - c_{n-1} - 1.$$

Case 2: when $q \geq 2$ and $r \geq 0$, if

$$\begin{cases} t^2(-r)^{n-1} \leq c_{n+1} - c_{n-1} - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ t^2(-r)^{n-1} > -c_{n+1} + c_{n-1} - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

then for any positive integer n , we have

$$\left[\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \right)^{-1} \right] = \begin{cases} c_n - c_{n-1} - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n \geq 1 \\ c_n - c_{n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

where c_n is the n^{th} term in the sequence.

We also investigate further the floor and nearest integer of infinite reciprocal sums of every other Tribonacci number, and then generalize the results to the reciprocal sums of every n^{th} Tribonacci number. In addition, we explore the alternating sum of Tribonacci numbers and sum of generalized Tribonacci numbers. The main result we obtained on Tribonacci numbers is as follows.

Theorem 1.8. *Let m be a positive integer. For large enough n , we have that*

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_{n+mk}} \right)^{-1} \right\} = T_n - T_{n-m}, \tag{1.15}$$

where $\{j\}$ denotes the closest integer to j .

We then prove several interesting results for specific cases of (a, b) balancing and cobalancing numbers.

Theorem 1.9. *If a and b are relatively prime integers, then $(3, 1)$ is the only choice of (a, b) for which every positive integer n is an (a, b) balancing number.*

Theorem 1.10. *Coefficients (a, b) do not exist such that every positive integer n is an (a, b) cobalancing number.*

Theorem 1.11. *For all coefficients (a, b) such that $a = 16y^2 + 16y + 3$ and $b = 1$, where y is a positive integer, the only cobalancing number will be $n = y$ with corresponding cobalancer $r = 4y^2 + 3y$.*

Finally, we define square balancing numbers as positive integers n such that the sum of the squares of integers less than n equal the sum of the squares of r integers immediately after, for some positive integer r which is called the square balancer of n . If n is included in the summation, we have the square cobalancing numbers, and r is called the square cobalancer of n . We then explore interesting patterns for (a, b) square balancing and cobalancing numbers.

2. RECURRENCES FOR (A, B) BALANCING AND COBALANCING NUMBERS

Using the code attached in Appendix B, we found recurrence relations for many (a, b) balancing and cobalancing numbers and their corresponding balancers and cobalancers. Interestingly, the $(1, 1)$ balancers are equivalent to the $(1, 1)$ cobalancing numbers, and the $(1, 1)$ cobalancers are equivalent to the $(1, 1)$ balancing numbers, except for the inclusion of 1 as the first cobalancer, but not as the first balancing number.

The tables below contain recurrences for (a, b) balancing and cobalancing numbers and their corresponding balancers and cobalancers. We write a generalized depth d recurrence with constant coefficients $x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \dots, x_{n-d}$ plus a constant term x_0 as

$$c_n = x_{n-1}c_{n-1} + x_{n-2}c_{n-2} + \dots + x_{n-d}c_{n-d} + x_0 \longrightarrow (x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \dots, x_{n-d}, \underline{x_0}) \tag{2.1}$$

or $(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \dots, x_{n-d})$ if $x_0 = 0$.

Note that the constant term x_0 will appear underlined if it is nonzero.

Although $(1, 1)$ balancing and cobalancing numbers can both be described by depth two recurrences, we found that (a, b) balancing and cobalancing numbers can be described more generally by depth five recurrences that follow interesting patterns. Similarly, the corresponding balancers and cobalancers can also be generally described by depth five recurrences.

SUMS OF RECIPROCAL OF RECURRENCE RELATIONS

		<i>b</i>				
		1	2	3	4	5
<i>a</i>	1	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)	(1, 98, -98, -1, 1)	(1, 194, -194, -1, 1)	(1, 322, -322, -1, 1)	(1, 482, -482, -1, 1)
	2	(1, 194, -194, -1, 1)	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)	(1, 62, -62, -1, 1)	(1, 98, -98, -1, 1)	(1, 142, -142, -1, 1)
	3	(1, 2, -2, -1, 1)	Undetermined	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)	(1, 254, -254, -1, 1)	Undetermined
	4	(1, 322, -322, -1, 1)	(1, 194, -194, -1, 1)	Undetermined	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)	Undetermined
	5	(1, 98, -98, -1, 1)	(1, 898, -898, -1, 1)	Undetermined	Undetermined	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)
	6	(1, 254, -254, -1, 1)	(1, 2, -2, -1, 1)	(1, 194, -194, -1, 1)	Undetermined	Undetermined
	7	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)	(1, 1154, -1154, -1, 1)	Undetermined	Undetermined	Undetermined

TABLE 1. Depth Five Recurrences for (*a, b*) Balancing Numbers and Balancers.

		<i>b</i>				
		1	2	3	4	5
<i>a</i>	1	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)	(1, 98, -98, -1, 1)	(1, 194, -194, -1, 1)	(1, 322, -322, -1, 1)	(1, 482, -482, -1, 1)
	2	(1, 14, -14, -1, 1)	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)	(1, 62, -62, -1, 1)	(1, 98, -98, -1, 1)	(1, 142, -142, -1, 1)
	3	None	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)	(1, 254, -254, -1, 1)	Undetermined
	4	(1, 18, -18, -1, 1)	(1, 14, -14, -1, 1)	Undetermined	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)	(1, 42, -42, -1, 1)
	5	(1, 10, -10, -1, 1)	(1, 30, -30, -1, 1)	(1, 98, -98, -1, 1)	Undetermined	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)
	6	(1, 16, -16, -1, 1)	None	(1, 14, -14, -1, 1)	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)	(1, 178, -178, -1, 1)
	7	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)	(1, 34, -34, -1, 1)	Undetermined	Undetermined	Undetermined

TABLE 2. Depth Five Recurrences for (*a, b*) Cobalancing Numbers and Cobalancers.

From Table 1, we see that most (*a, b*) balancing and cobalancing numbers in this range can be described by depth five recurrence relations of the form $(1, K, -K, -1, 1)$, where K is a positive integer. The (*a, b*) balancers and cobalancers can be described by the exact same recurrences as the corresponding balancing and cobalancing numbers, although the initial values in the sequences are different. One notable exception in Table 2 is for $(3, 1)$, for which there are no cobalancing numbers and is therefore marked “None.” For several other sets of coefficients we were unable to find enough terms to determine a recurrence relation for the sequence, and these were marked “Undetermined.” This became a more significant issue for larger values of *a* and *b* for which the balancing and cobalancing numbers are often more spread out.

Some recurrence relations are the same for equivalent coefficients (*a, b*) between balancing and cobalancing numbers. Interestingly, when the recurrence relations differed for a given set of coefficients, we found that the recurrences for balancing numbers and their balancers were of the form $(1, K^2 - 2, -(K^2 - 2), -1, 1)$, while the recurrences for cobalancing numbers and their cobalancers were of the form $(1, K, -K, -1, 1)$, for some positive integer value of K . However, the set of coefficients $(1, 1)$ was unique because the terms in the balancing and cobalancing sequences, or the sequences for the balancers and cobalancers, were equivalent.

The first two rows of Table 2 can also be written as equivalent depth two recurrences plus a constant term for (*a, b*) cobalancing numbers.

From Table 3 there is a more noticeable pattern in the recurrence relations. Following the proof technique used in [2] and [11] to derive the recurrence formulas for $(1, 1)$ balancing and cobalancing numbers, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4 *All (*a, b*) cobalancing numbers such that $a \in \{1, 2\}$ can be described by a depth two recurrence plus a constant term of the form*

$$c_n = (2m + 2)c_{n-1} - c_{n-2} + m, \tag{2.2}$$

		b				
		1	2	3	4	5
a	1	$(6, -1, \underline{2})$	$(10, -1, \underline{4})$	$(14, -1, \underline{6})$	$(18, -1, \underline{8})$	$(22, -1, \underline{10})$
	2	$(4, -1, \underline{1})$	$(6, -1, \underline{2})$	$(8, -1, \underline{3})$	$(10, -1, \underline{4})$	$(12, -1, \underline{5})$

TABLE 3. Depth Two Recurrences for (a, b) Cobalancing Numbers

where $m = 2b/a$. For any of the depth two recurrences of this form, the sequence of (a, b) cobalancing numbers starts with $c_1 = m, c_2 = 2m^2 + 3m$.

Proof. By the definition for cobalancing numbers with coefficients (a, b) , for a cobalancing number n we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \left(\frac{(n+1)n}{2} \right) &= b \left(\frac{(n+r)(n+r+1)}{2} - \frac{(n+1)n}{2} \right) & (2.3) \\
 \frac{a}{b}(n+1)n &= (n+r)(n+r+1) - (n+1)n \\
 \frac{a}{b}n^2 + \frac{a}{b}n &= n^2 + r^2 + 2nr + n + r - n^2 - n \\
 0 &= r^2 + (2n+1)r - \frac{a}{b}n^2 - \frac{a}{b}n \\
 r &= \frac{-(2n+1) + \sqrt{(4 + 4\frac{a}{b})n^2 + (4 + 4\frac{a}{b})n + 1}}{2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the negative solution of r is omitted due to the range of r . We will use the following two lemmas to complete our proof of Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 2.1. *The smallest (a, b) cobalancing number for $a \in \{1, 2\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is $2b/a$ with cobalancer 1.*

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \left(1 + \dots + \frac{2b}{a} \right) &= a \left(\frac{\frac{2b}{a} \left(\frac{2b}{a} + 1 \right)}{2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{2b^2}{a} + b \\
 &= b \left(\frac{2b}{a} + 1 \right), & (2.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

we have that $2b/a$ is a cobalancing number with cobalancer 1. Since by Equation (2.3), the value of r is uniquely determined by n for fixed a and b , and r strictly increases as n increases, if there exists a cobalancing number $c < 2b/a$, its cobalancer must be less than 1 which contradicts the possible range of the cobalancer. Hence $2b/a$ must be the smallest cobalancing number. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let*

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{2b}{a} + 1 \right) x + \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{\left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b} \right) x^2 + \left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b} \right) x + 1} + \frac{b}{a}. \quad (2.5)$$

If x is an (a, b) cobalancing number with $a \in \{1, 2\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then there is no cobalancing number y such that $x < y < f(x)$.

Proof. Since the derivative of f ,

$$f'(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{b}(2x+1)(b+a) + 2b\sqrt{4bx^2 + 4ax^2 + 4ax + 4bx + b}}{a\sqrt{4bx^2 + 4ax^2 + 4ax + 4bx + b}} + 1 > 0 \quad (2.6)$$

for non-negative x , f strictly increases for x of that range. Hence the range of $f(x)$ over non-negative x is $[f(0), \infty) = [2b/a, \infty)$. Also, since f is bijective and $x < f(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$, f^{-1} , which is defined over $[2b/a, \infty)$, exists and is strictly increasing with $f^{-1}(x) < x$.

By Lemma 2.1, for a cobalancing number x , we have $x \geq 2b/a$. Thus let $u = f^{-1}(x)$, then $f(u) = x$ and

$$u = \left(\frac{2b}{a} + 1\right)x - \frac{b}{a}\sqrt{\left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)x^2 + \left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)x + 1} + \frac{b}{a}. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that u is an integer if $a \in \{1, 2\}$. We will show that u is also a cobalancing number by showing that its cobalancer, given by Equation (2.3),

$$r' = \frac{-(2u+1) + \sqrt{\left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)u^2 + \left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)u + 1}}{2}, \quad (2.8)$$

is an integer. Since $f(u) = x$ we have

$$x = \left(\frac{2b}{a} + 1\right)u + \frac{b}{a}\sqrt{\left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)u^2 + \left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)u + 1} + \frac{b}{a}, \quad (2.9)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b}{a}\sqrt{\left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)u^2 + \left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)u + 1} &= x - \frac{b}{a} - \left(\frac{2b}{a} + 1\right)u \\ \sqrt{\left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)u^2 + \left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)u + 1} &= (x-u)\frac{a}{b} - 2u - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Substituting Equation (2.7) for u , we get

$$\sqrt{\left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)u^2 + \left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)u + 1} = \left(\sqrt{\left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)x^2 + \left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)x + 1} - (2x+1)\right) - 2u - 1. \quad (2.11)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} r' &= \frac{-(2u+1) - 2u - 1 - (2x+1) + \sqrt{\left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)x^2 + \left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right)x + 1}}{2} \\ &= -(2u+1) + r, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where r is the cobalancer of x , so r' must be an integer.

We define the sequence (c_n) by $c_0 = 0$ and $c_n = f(c_{n-1})$. Suppose for contradiction there exists a cobalancing number c' between c_i and c_{i+1} . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_i &< c' < c_{i+1} \\ c_{i-1} &< f^{-1}(c') < c_i \\ c_{i-2} &< f^{-2}(c') < c_{i-1} \\ &\vdots \\ c_0 &< f^{-i}(c') < c_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

By our previous result, $f^{-i}(c')$ must also be a cobalancing number. However, Equation (2.13) contradicts Lemma 2.1, hence our assumption is false and the sequence starting with $c_1 = 2b/a$ determined by f are the only cobalancing numbers. \square

We now continue our proof of Theorem 1.4. From Equations (2.7) and (2.9) we have that

$$c_{n+1} = \left(\frac{2b}{a} + 1\right) c_n + \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{\left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right) c_n^2 + \left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right) c_n + 1} + \frac{b}{a}, \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$c_{n-1} = \left(\frac{2b}{a} + 1\right) c_n - \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{\left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right) c_n^2 + \left(4 + 4\frac{a}{b}\right) c_n + 1} + \frac{b}{a}, \tag{2.15}$$

where c_n is the n^{th} cobalancing number. Adding both equations gives

$$c_{n+1} + c_{n-1} = 2 \left(\frac{2b}{a} + 1\right) c_n + \frac{2b}{a}. \tag{2.16}$$

Let $m = 2b/a$. Then,

$$c_{n+1} = (2m + 2)c_n - c_{n-1} + m. \tag{2.17}$$

\square

The depth two recurrences are more useful than the depth five recurrences which do not describe how the sequences begin, and can only be used to determine the sequence after the initial terms are indicated. The corresponding cobalancers can also be expressed as depth two

		b				
		1	2	3	4	5
a	1	(6, -1)	(10, -1)	(14, -1)	(18, -1)	(22, -1)
	2	(4, -1)	(6, -1)	(8, -1)	(10, -1)	(12, -1)

TABLE 4. Depth Two Recurrences for (a, b) Cobalancers.

recurrences but without the constant term. Their recurrence relations are described by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5 *The cobalancers of (a, b) cobalancing numbers such that $a \in \{1, 2\}$ can be described by a depth two recurrence of the form*

$$r_n = (2m + 2)r_{n-1} - r_{n-2}, \tag{2.18}$$

where r_n is the cobalancer of the n^{th} cobalancing number and $m = 2b/a$. For any of the depth two recurrences of this form, the sequence of (a, b) cobalancers starts with $r_1 = 1, r_2 = 2m + 2$.

Proof. From Equation (2.12) we have $2c_n + 1 = r_{n+1} - r_n$ and hence $c_n = \frac{r_{n+1} - r_n - 1}{2}$. Substituting this result into Theorem 1.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_{n+2} - r_{n+1} - 1}{2} &= (m + 1)(r_{n+1} - r_n - 1) - \frac{r_n - r_{n-1} - 1}{2} + m \\ (r_{n+2} - r_{n+1}) &= (2m + 2)(r_{n+1} - r_n) - (r_n - r_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

We now use induction to prove that $r_n = (2m + 2)r_{n-1} - r_{n-2}$.

Base Case: When $n = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_3 &= 4m^2 + 8m + 3 \\ &= (2m + 2)^2 - 1 \\ &= (2m + 2)r_2 - r_1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Induction Step: Assume our result holds when $n = k$, hence

$$r_k = (2m + 2)r_{k-1} - r_{k-2}. \quad (2.21)$$

By Equation (2.19) we have

$$r_{k+1} - r_k = (2m + 2)(r_k - r_{k-1}) - (r_{k-1} - r_{k-2}), \quad (2.22)$$

and adding Equations (2.21) and (2.22) gives

$$r_{k+1} = (2m + 2)r_k - r_{k-1}, \quad (2.23)$$

which proves our result for $n = k + 1$. This only holds for $a \in \{1, 2\}$ since we used results from Theorem 1.4 which required this condition. \square

The (a, b) balancing numbers and balancers, however, could only be generally expressed by the depth five recurrences given above for a larger range of a .

3. RECIPROCAL SUM OF SEQUENCES

3.1. Reciprocal Sums of (a, b) Cobalancing Numbers. We now derive formulas for reciprocal sums of depth two recurrences, which we then apply to the recurrences for cobalancing numbers we found above.

Theorem 1.6. *For all recurrences of the form*

$$c_{n+1} = qc_n - c_{n-1} + s,$$

where $q, s \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}, q \geq 2, c_0 = 0, c_1 = s$, if $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$0 \leq (q - s)c_n - 2c_{n-1} + s - 1,$$

then for any positive integer n , we have

$$\left[\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \right)^{-1} \right] = c_n - c_{n-1} - 1,$$

where c_n is the n^{th} term in the sequence.

Proof. We begin by proving the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *For a recurrence relation in the form described in Theorem 1.6, for all $n \geq 1$,*

$$c_n^2 = c_{n+1}c_{n-1} + sc_n. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. We prove by induction that Equation (3.1) holds for all $n \geq 1$.

Base Case: When $n = 1$, both sides of Equation (3.1) gives s^2 , so (3.1) is true for $n = 1$.

Induction Step: Suppose Equation (3.1) is true for $n = k$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{k+2}c_k + sc_{k+1} &= (qc_{k+1} - c_k + s)c_k + sc_{k+1} \\
 &= (q^2c_k - qc_{k-1} + qs - c_k + s)c_k + sc_{k+1} \\
 &= q^2c_k^2 - qc_kc_{k-1} - c_k^2 + c_kqs + c_k s + s(qc_k - c_{k-1} + s) \\
 &= q^2c_k^2 - qc_kc_{k-1} - c_k^2 + 2sqc_k + sc_k - sc_{k-1} + s^2 \\
 &= q^2c_k^2 - qc_kc_{k-1} - (c_{k+1}c_{k-1} + sc_k) + 2sqc_k + sc_k - sc_{k-1} + s^2 \\
 &= q^2c_k^2 - qc_kc_{k-1} - (qc_k - c_{k-1} + s)c_{k-1} + 2sqc_k - sc_{k-1} + s^2 \\
 &= q^2c_k^2 - 2qc_kc_{k-1} + c_{k-1}^2 - 2sc_{k-1} + 2sqc_k + s^2 \\
 &= (qc_k - c_{k-1} + s)^2 \\
 &= c_{k+1}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Thus, Equation (3.1) holds for $n = k + 1$, completing the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. *For all recurrences of the form*

$$c_{n+1} = qc_n - c_{n-1} + s,$$

where $q, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $s > 0$, $q \geq 2$, and $c_0 = 0, c_1 = s$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} = 0.$$

Proof. The limit in question must be non-negative since $c_i > c_{i-1}$. This is proved inductively by noticing that $c_1 > c_0$ and if $c_n > c_{n-1}$, then $c_{n+1} \geq 2c_n - c_{n-1} + s > c_n + s > c_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(q-1)c_{n-1} - c_{n-2} + s} \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_{n-1} - c_{n-2} + s} \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(q-1)c_{n-2} - c_{n-3} + 2s} \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_1 - c_0 + (n-1)s} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{ns} \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

\square

We now use these results to prove Theorem 1.6. Let $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$0 \leq (q-s)c_n - 2c_{n-1} + s - 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{c_n} &= \frac{c_{n-1}}{c_n^2 - c_n c_{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{c_{n-1}}{c_{n-1}(c_{n+1} - c_n) + s c_n} \\ &< \frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore we have that

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} < \frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n} + \frac{1}{c_n} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n} < \frac{1}{c_{n+2} - c_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{c_{n+2} - c_{n+1}} < \frac{1}{c_{n+3} - c_{n+2}} + \frac{1}{c_{n+2}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Adding inequalities (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} < \frac{1}{c_n} + \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{c_{n+2}} + \frac{1}{c_{n+3} - c_{n+2}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Continuing the pattern and noting that the rightmost term converges to 0 as n approaches infinity by Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} < \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k}. \tag{3.9}$$

Next, suppose for contradiction that

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} - 1} < \frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n - 1} + \frac{1}{c_n}. \tag{3.10}$$

Note that $c_n - c_{n-1} - 1 > 0$. This can be proven inductively by using the recurrence relations and the fact that $q \geq 2$. For the base case we get $c_2 - c_1 - 1 \geq 2s - 1 > 0$ since $s > \frac{1}{2}$. Then, the inductive step gives us $c_n - c_{n-1} - 1 \geq c_{n-1} - c_{n-2} + s - 1 > s > 0$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} - 1} &< \frac{c_{n+1} - 1}{c_{n+1}c_n - c_n^2 - c_n} \\ c_n c_{n+1} - c_n^2 - c_n &< c_{n+1}c_n - c_n - c_{n+1}c_{n-1} + c_{n-1} - c_{n+1} + 1 \\ c_n^2 &> c_{n+1}c_{n-1} - c_{n-1} + c_{n+1} - 1 \\ c_{n+1}c_{n-1} + s c_n &> c_{n+1}c_{n-1} - c_{n-1} + c_{n+1} - 1 \\ 0 &> c_{n+1} - s c_n - c_{n-1} - 1 \\ 0 &> (q - s)c_n - 2c_{n-1} + s - 1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

which contradicts Equation (3.3). Thus

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} - 1} \geq \frac{1}{c_n} + \frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n - 1}. \tag{3.12}$$

Continuing the pattern, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} - 1} \geq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k}, \tag{3.13}$$

and we obtain the non-strict inequality because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} - 1} = 0$, which can be shown by following the proof of Lemma 3.2. Combining inequalities (3.9) and (3.13), we have

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} < \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \leq \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} - 1}. \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore

$$\left\lfloor \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \right)^{-1} \right\rfloor = c_n - c_{n-1} - 1, \tag{3.15}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.3. *For (a, b) cobalancing numbers with $a \in \{1, 2\}$, for any positive integer n we have*

$$\left\lfloor \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \right)^{-1} \right\rfloor = c_n - c_{n-1} - 1, \tag{3.16}$$

where c_n is the n^{th} cobalancing number.

Proof. By Theorem 1.4, (a, b) cobalancing numbers with $a \in \{1, 2\}$ satisfy recurrence relations of the form

$$c_{n+1} = (2m + 2)c_n - c_{n-1} + m, \tag{3.17}$$

where $m = 2b/a$, and the sequences start with $c_1 = m, c_2 = 2m^2 + 2m$. Note that although the initial conditions are slightly different from those described in Theorem 1.6, the sequences are simply shifted so we can extend them to include $c_0 = 0$. It is simple to check that these recurrences satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1.6, and the result directly follows. \square

Theorem 1.7 *For all recurrences of the form*

$$c_{n+1} = qc_n + rc_{n-1},$$

where $q, r \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}, c_0 = 0, c_1 = t$, and $t > 0$, we have the following cases.

Case 1: when $q \geq 3$ and $-1 \leq r < 0$, if

$$t^2(-r)^{n-1} \leq c_{n+1} - c_{n-1} - 1,$$

then for any positive integer n ,

$$\left\lfloor \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \right)^{-1} \right\rfloor = c_n - c_{n-1} - 1.$$

Case 2: when $q \geq 2$ and $r \geq 0$, if

$$\begin{cases} t^2(-r)^{n-1} \leq c_{n+1} - c_{n-1} - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ t^2(-r)^{n-1} > -c_{n+1} + c_{n-1} - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

then for any positive integer n , we have

$$\left\lfloor \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \right)^{-1} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} c_n - c_{n-1} - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n \geq 1 \\ c_n - c_{n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

where c_n is the n^{th} term in the sequence.

Proof. We begin by proving the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *For a recurrence relation in the form described in Theorem 1.7, for all $n \geq 1$,*

$$c_n^2 = c_{n+1}c_{n-1} + t^2(-r)^{n-1}. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. We prove by induction that Equation (3.18) holds for all $n \geq 1$.

Base Case: When $n = 1$, the left side of Equation (3.18) is t^2 , and the right side is $(0)(qt) + t^2 = t^2$. Both sides are equal so Equation (3.18) is true for $n = 1$.

Induction Step: Suppose Equation (3.18) is true for $n = k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{k+2}c_k + t^2(-r)^k &= (qc_{k+1} + rc_k)c_k + t^2(-r)^k \\ &= (q^2c_k + qrc_{k-1} + rc_k)c_k + t^2(-r)^k \\ &= q^2c_k^2 + qrc_kc_{k-1} - r(-c_k^2 + t^2(-r)^{k-1}) \\ &= q^2c_k^2 + qrc_kc_{k-1} + rc_{k+1}c_{k-1} \\ &= q^2c_k^2 + 2qrc_kc_{k-1} + r^2c_{k-1}^2 \\ &= (qc_k + rc_{k-1})^2 \\ &= c_{k+1}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Thus, Equation (3.18) holds for $n = k + 1$, completing the proof. \square

Lemma 3.5. *For a recurrence relation in the forms described in Theorem 1.7, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} = 0.$$

Proof. We use strong induction to show that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $c_n - c_{n-1} \geq nt$, first focusing on the case when $q \geq 3$ and $r \geq -1$.

Base Case: By definition, $c_1 - c_0 = t$.

Induction Step: Suppose for all $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$, that $c_{i-1} - c_{i-2} \geq (i-1)t$. Note from our inductive hypothesis it follows that the terms in the sequence c_n strictly increase until c_k , and $c_i \geq t$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{k+1} - c_k &= (q-1)c_k + rc_{k-1} \\ &\geq 2c_k - c_{k-1} \\ &\geq c_k + nt \\ &\geq (n+1)t, \end{aligned}$$

finishing our induction. The same result follows easily when $q \geq 2$ and $r \geq 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nt} \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

and the limit must be non-negative, so the result follows. \square

We now use these results to prove Theorem 1.7. We will first prove *Case 2*, and note that *Case 1* will follow when we consider the case when n is odd. Let

$$\begin{aligned} t^2(-r)^{n-1} &\leq c_{n+1} - c_{n-1} - 1 && \text{if } n \text{ is odd, and} \\ t^2(-r)^{n-1} &> -c_{n+1} + c_{n-1} - 1 && \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

First we consider the case when $n \geq 1$ and n is odd. Note that, since $t^2(-r)^{n-1}$ is positive when n is odd,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{c_n} &= \frac{c_{n-1}}{c_n^2 - c_n c_{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{c_{n-1}}{c_{n-1}(c_{n+1} - c_n) + t^2(-r)^{n-1}} \\ &< \frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Therefore we have that

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} < \frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n} + \frac{1}{c_n} \tag{3.23}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n} < \frac{1}{c_{n+2} - c_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \tag{3.24}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{c_{n+2} - c_{n+1}} < \frac{1}{c_{n+3} - c_{n+2}} + \frac{1}{c_{n+2}}. \tag{3.25}$$

Adding inequalities (3.23), (3.24) and (3.25), we have

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} < \frac{1}{c_n} + \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{c_{n+2}} + \frac{1}{c_{n+3} - c_{n+2}}. \tag{3.26}$$

Continuing the pattern and noting that the rightmost term converges to 0 as n approaches infinity by Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} < \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k}. \tag{3.27}$$

Next, suppose, for contradiction,

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} - 1} < \frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n - 1} + \frac{1}{c_n}. \tag{3.28}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} - 1} &< \frac{c_{n+1} - 1}{c_{n+1}c_n - c_n^2 - c_n} \\ c_n c_{n+1} - c_n^2 - c_n &< c_{n+1}c_n - c_n - c_{n+1}c_{n-1} - c_{n+1} + c_{n-1} + 1 \\ c_n^2 &> c_{n+1}c_{n-1} - c_{n-1} + c_{n+1} - 1 \\ c_{n+1}c_{n-1} + t^2(-r)^{n-1} &> c_{n+1}c_{n-1} - c_{n-1} + c_{n+1} - 1 \\ 0 &> c_{n+1} - c_{n-1} - t^2(-r)^{n-1} - 1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

which contradicts Equation (3.21). Thus

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} - 1} \geq \frac{1}{c_n} + \frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n - 1}. \tag{3.30}$$

Continuing the pattern, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} - 1} \geq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k}, \quad (3.31)$$

where we retain the non-strict inequality because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} - 1} = 0$ which can be shown by following the proof of Lemma 3.5. Combining the two inequalities, we have

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} < \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \leq \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} - 1}. \quad (3.32)$$

Therefore

$$\left[\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \right)^{-1} \right] = c_n - c_{n-1} - 1, \quad (3.33)$$

which completes the proof for odd value of n .

Next we consider the case when $n \geq 2$ and n is even. Note that, since $t^2(-r)^{n-1}$ is negative when n is even,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{c_n} &= \frac{c_{n-1}}{c_n^2 - c_n c_{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{c_{n-1}}{c_{n-1}(c_{n+1} - c_n) + t^2(-r)^{n-1}} \\ &> \frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Therefore we have that

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} > \frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n} + \frac{1}{c_n} \quad (3.35)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n} > \frac{1}{c_{n+2} - c_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \quad (3.36)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{c_{n+2} - c_{n+1}} > \frac{1}{c_{n+3} - c_{n+2}} + \frac{1}{c_{n+2}}. \quad (3.37)$$

Adding inequalities (3.35), (3.36) and (3.37), we have

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} > \frac{1}{c_n} + \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{c_{n+2}} + \frac{1}{c_{n+3} - c_{n+2}}. \quad (3.38)$$

Continuing the pattern, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}} > \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k}. \quad (3.39)$$

Next, suppose, for contradiction,

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} + 1} \geq \frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n + 1} + \frac{1}{c_n}. \quad (3.40)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} + 1} &\geq \frac{c_{n+1} + 1}{c_{n+1}c_n - c_n^2 + c_n} \\
 c_n c_{n+1} - c_n^2 + c_n &\geq c_{n+1}c_n + c_n - c_{n+1}c_{n-1} + c_{n+1} - c_{n-1} + 1 \\
 c_n^2 &\leq c_{n+1}c_{n-1} - c_{n+1} + c_{n-1} - 1 \\
 c_{n+1}c_{n-1} + t^2(-r)^{n-1} &\leq c_{n+1}c_{n-1} - c_{n+1} + c_{n-1} - 1 \\
 0 &\leq -c_{n+1} + c_{n-1} - t^2(-r)^{n-1} - 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

which contradicts Equation (3.21). Thus

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} + 1} < \frac{1}{c_n} + \frac{1}{c_{n+1} - c_n + 1}. \tag{3.42}$$

Continuing the pattern, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} + 1} < \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \tag{3.43}$$

since by a similar proof to Lemma 3.5, $\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} + 1}$ converges to 0 as n approaches infinity. Combining the two inequalities, we have

$$\frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1} + 1} < \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} < \frac{1}{c_n - c_{n-1}}. \tag{3.44}$$

Therefore

$$\left[\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \right)^{-1} \right] = \begin{cases} c_n - c_{n-1} - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n \geq 1 \\ c_n - c_{n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \geq 2, \end{cases} \tag{3.45}$$

which completes the proof for *Case 2*.

For *Case 1*, note that since $t^2(-r)^{n-1} > 0$ when $r < 0$, we simply need to repeat the steps we made for the cases when n is odd in *Case 2* since it has been already covered. Thus, when $q \geq 3$ and $r \geq -1$, for all n we have

$$\left[\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \right)^{-1} \right] = c_n - c_{n-1} - 1.$$

□

Corollary 3.6. *For cobalancers corresponding to (a, b) cobalancing numbers with $a \in \{1, 2\}$, for any positive integer n , we have*

$$\left[\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_k} \right)^{-1} \right] = c_n - c_{n-1} - 1 \tag{3.46}$$

where c_n is the n^{th} cobalancer, $c_1 = 1$, and $c_2 = \left(\frac{4b}{a} + 2\right)$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.5, cobalancers corresponding to (a, b) cobalancing numbers with $a \in \{1, 2\}$ satisfy recurrence relations of the form

$$c_{n+1} = (2m + 2)c_n - c_{n-1}, \tag{3.47}$$

where $m = 2b/a$, which corresponds with *Case 1* of Theorem 1.7. We know from Theorem 1.5 that $c_1 = 1$, thus for all n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &\leq (c_{n+1} - c_n) + (c_n - c_{n-1}) \\ 1 &\leq c_{n+1} - c_{n-1} - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

It follows that $c_2 = 2m + 2$, and although the initial conditions are slightly different from those described in Theorem 1.6, the sequences are simply shifted so we can extend them to include $c_0 = 0$. Then these recurrences satisfy the conditions in *Case 1* of Theorem 1.7, and the result directly follows. \square

Remark 3.7. *Theorem 1.7 also covers several other interesting depth two recurrence sequences such as the Fibonacci sequence, which was proven using a different method by Ohtsuka and Nakamura [7], and the Pell sequence.*

3.2. Reciprocal Sums Related to Tribonacci Numbers.

3.2.1. Reciprocal Sums of Every n^{th} Tribonacci Numbers.

Lemma 3.8. *According to [3], the Binet formula for Tribonacci numbers is*

$$T_n = A\alpha^n + B\beta^n + C\gamma^n, \tag{3.49}$$

where α, β, γ are the three roots of the equation $x^3 - x^2 - x - 1 = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{-\alpha^2 + 4\alpha - 1} \\ B &= \frac{1}{-\beta^2 + 4\beta - 1} \\ C &= \frac{1}{-\gamma^2 + 4\gamma - 1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

Our starting point is the following result, proved by Komatsu in Section 2, Lemma 1 of [5].

Lemma 3.9. *For any positive integer n ,*

$$|T_n - c_4 \cdot \alpha^n| < a \cdot d^n, \tag{3.51}$$

where $c_4 = 0.33622811699$, $a = 0.51998$, $d = 0.7373527$ and

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt[3]{19 + 3\sqrt{33}} + \sqrt[3]{19 - 3\sqrt{33}} + 1}{3} \approx 1.839286755.$$

This result allows us to compute the closest integer to the reciprocal sum of every m^{th} Tribonacci number, assuming our initial index is sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.8 *Let m be a positive integer. For large enough n , we have that*

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_{n+mk}} \right)^{-1} \right\} = T_n - T_{n-m},$$

where $\{j\}$ denotes the closest integer to j .

Proof. We first show that

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{k \geq n} \frac{1}{T_{mk}} \right)^{-1} \right\} = T_{mn} - T_{mn-m} \tag{3.52}$$

for large enough n . Note that this identity can be applied to prove the identity 1.15.

From lemma 3.9, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T_{mk}} &= \frac{1}{c_4 \alpha^{mk} + O(d^{mk})} \\ &= \frac{1}{c_4 \alpha^{mk} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha}\right)^{mk}\right)\right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{c_4 \alpha^{mk}} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha}\right)^{mk}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{c_4 \alpha^{mk}} + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha^2}\right)^{mk}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq n} \frac{1}{T_{mk}} &= \frac{1}{c_4} \sum_{k \geq n} \frac{1}{\alpha^{mk}} + O\left(\sum_{k \geq n} \left(\frac{d}{\alpha^2}\right)^{mk}\right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha^m}{c_4 \alpha^{mn} (\alpha^m - 1)} + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha^2}\right)^{mn}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k \geq n} \frac{1}{T_{mk}} \right)^{-1} &= \left(\frac{\alpha^m}{c_4 \alpha^{mn} (\alpha^m - 1)} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha}\right)^{mn}\right)\right) \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{c_4 \alpha^{mn} (\alpha^m - 1)}{\alpha^m} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha}\right)^{mn}\right)\right) \\ &= c_4 \alpha^{mn} - c_4 \alpha^{mn-m} + O(d^{mn}) \\ &= T_{mn} - T_{mn-m} + O(d^{mn}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

Once n is sufficiently large, the error term $O(d^{mn})$ is less than $1/2$, leaving us the desired formula. Another proof that we will present in a moment will show that the formula also holds for smaller n .

Note that in the proof of Theorem 1.8, the starting index can be changed to any non-negative integer, and the proof is still valid. Thus, this concludes the first proof of the theorem. \square

We could also prove the formula without using big-O notation by working directly with complex numbers.

Alternate Proof of Theorem 1.8 We wish to show that

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_{n+mk}} \right)^{-1} \right\} = T_n - T_{n-m}, \tag{3.56}$$

in another way. Let p_n be

$$p_n := \frac{B}{A} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^n + \frac{C}{A} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)^n. \quad (3.57)$$

We can therefore express the reciprocals of the Tribonacci numbers as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T_n} &= \frac{1}{A\alpha^n + B\beta^n + C\gamma^n} \\ &= \frac{1}{A\alpha^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \frac{B}{A} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^n + \frac{C}{A} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)^n} \\ &= \frac{1}{A\alpha^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + p_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

We expand the above, using the infinite geometric series formula with ratio $-p_n$, and obtain

$$\frac{1}{T_n} = \frac{1}{A\alpha^n} \cdot (1 - p_n + p_n^2 - p_n^3 + p_n^4 + \dots). \quad (3.59)$$

Let $\ell_n = p_n - p_n^2 + p_n^3 - p_n^4 + \dots$, then, since $|p_n| < 2 \left| \frac{B}{A} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^n \right| = 2 \frac{|B| \cdot |\beta|^n}{A\alpha^n} < 0.16$,

$$|\ell_n| = |p_n| \left| \frac{1}{1 - p_n} \right| < 1.2|p_n| \leq 2.4 \frac{|B| \cdot |\beta|^n}{A\alpha^n} \quad (3.60)$$

for all $n \geq 3$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_{n+mk}} \right)^{-1} &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A\alpha^{n+mk}} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\ell_{n+mk}}{A\alpha^{n+mk}} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{A\alpha^n - A\alpha^{n-m}} - q_n \right)^{-1}, \text{ where } |q_n| \leq \frac{2.4|B||\beta|^n}{A^2\alpha^{2n}} \cdot \frac{\alpha^{2m}}{\alpha^{2m} - |\beta|^m} \\ &= \frac{A\alpha^n - A\alpha^{n-m}}{1 - (A\alpha^n - A\alpha^{n-m})q_n} \\ &= A\alpha^n - A\alpha^{n-m} + (A\alpha^n - A\alpha^{n-m})(r_n + r_n^2 + r_n^3 + r_n^4 + \dots), \end{aligned} \quad (3.61)$$

where $r_n = (A\alpha^n - A\alpha^{n-m})q_n$. Since

$$|r_n| = (A\alpha^n - A\alpha^{n-m})|q_n| \leq A\alpha^n|q_n| \leq \frac{2.4|B||\beta|^n}{A\alpha^n} \cdot \frac{\alpha^{2m}}{\alpha^{2m} - |\beta|^m} \leq 0.025, \quad (3.62)$$

for all $n \geq 5$, we have that

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_{n+mk}} \right)^{-1} = A\alpha^n - A\alpha^{n-m} + s_n, \quad (3.63)$$

where

$$|s_n| \leq A\alpha^n |r_n + r_n^2 + r_n^3 + r_n^4 + \dots| \leq 1.03A\alpha^n|r_n| \leq 2.5|B||\beta|^n \cdot \frac{\alpha^{2m}}{\alpha^{2m} - |\beta|^m}. \quad (3.64)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| T_n - T_{n-m} - \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_{n+mk}} \right)^{-1} \right| &= |B\beta^n - B\beta^{n-m} + C\gamma^n - C\gamma^{n-m} - s_n| \\
 &\leq |B\beta^{n-m} - C\gamma^{n-m}| + |B\beta^n - C\gamma^n| + |s_n| \\
 &\leq |B\beta^{n-m} - C\gamma^{n-m}| + |B\beta^n - C\gamma^n| \\
 &\quad + 2.5|B||\beta|^n \cdot \frac{\alpha^{2m}}{\alpha^{2m} - |\beta|^m}. \tag{3.65}
 \end{aligned}$$

Denote $f(x) = |B\beta^x - C\gamma^x|$ ($x \in \mathbb{Z}$). It is clear that $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. We will separate the values of x into 2 cases. When x is 0,

$$f(0) \approx 0.3966482802. \tag{3.66}$$

When $n \geq 1$,

$$|f(x)| = 2|\Re(B\beta^x)| \leq 2|B||\beta|^x \leq 2|B||\beta|^2 \approx 0.3834086631. \tag{3.67}$$

Thus we have that

$$\max |f(x)| = |f(0)| \approx 0.3966482802. \tag{3.68}$$

and hence for $x \geq 0$,

$$|B\beta^x - C\gamma^x| \leq |B - C|. \tag{3.69}$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| T_n - T_{n-m} - \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_{n+mk}} \right)^{-1} \right| &\leq |B\beta^{n-m} - C\gamma^{n-m}| + |B\beta^n - C\gamma^n| \\
 &\quad + 2.5|B||\beta|^n \cdot \frac{\alpha^{2m}}{\alpha^{2m} - |\beta|^m} \\
 &\leq |B - C| + 2|B||\beta|^n + 2.5|B||\beta|^n \cdot \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 - |\beta|} \tag{3.70} \\
 &\leq |B - C| + 2|B||\beta|^9 \\
 &\quad + 2.5|B||\beta|^9 \cdot \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 - |\beta|} \text{ for all } n \geq 9 \\
 &\approx 0.4836979971 \\
 &< 0.5.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $n \geq 9$ we have that

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_{n+mk}} \right)^{-1} \right\} = T_n - T_{n-m} \quad (n \geq m). \tag{3.71}$$

The cases when $n < 9$ can be checked by brute force. There are 36 cases in total, and only the case $(n, m) = (1, 1)$ does not satisfy the result above. \square

Theorem 3.10. For $n \geq k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\left(\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_{n+kp}} \right)^{-1} \right] \\
 = & \begin{cases} T_n - T_{n-k} & \text{if } \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_{n+kp}^2 - T_{n+kp+k} T_{n+kp-k}}{T_{n+kp} (T_{n+kp} - T_{n+kp-k}) (T_{n+kp+k} - T_{n+kp})} < 0; \\ T_n - T_{n-k} - 1 & \text{if } \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_{n+kp}^2 - T_{n+kp+k} T_{n+kp-k}}{T_{n+kp} (T_{n+kp} - T_{n+kp-k}) (T_{n+kp+k} - T_{n+kp})} > 0. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.72}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{T_n} - \frac{1}{T_n - T_{n-k}} + \frac{1}{T_{n+k} - T_n} &= \frac{1}{T_{n+k} - T_n} - \frac{T_{n-k}}{T_n (T_n - T_{n-k})} \\
 &= \frac{T_n^2 - T_{n+k} T_{n-k}}{T_n (T_n - T_{n-k}) (T_{n+k} - T_n)}, \\
 \frac{1}{T_n - T_{n-k}} &= \frac{1}{T_n} + \frac{1}{T_{n+k} - T_n} - \frac{T_n^2 - T_{n+k} T_{n-k}}{T_n (T_n - T_{n-k}) (T_{n+k} - T_n)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.73}$$

Modifying (3.73) for $\frac{1}{T_{n+k} - T_n}$ and so on we find

$$\frac{1}{T_n - T_{n-k}} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_{n+kp}} - \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_{n+kp}^2 - T_{n+kp+k} T_{n+kp-k}}{T_{n+kp} (T_{n+kp} - T_{n+kp-k}) (T_{n+kp+k} - T_{n+kp})}. \tag{3.74}$$

Therefore, the relationship between the reciprocal sum and $T_n - T_{n-k}$ depends on whether the last term of (3.74) is positive or negative. Since Theorem 1.8 provides the nearest integer of the reciprocal sum, we can now determine its floor. \square

We can also prove a result for the alternating reciprocal sum.

Theorem 3.11. For very large n , we have that

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{T_{km-j}} \right)^{-1} \right\} = (-1)^n (T_{mn-j} + T_{mn-j-m}) \tag{3.75}$$

where $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq j < m$.

Proof. Again, it suffices to show that

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{k \geq n} \frac{(-1)^k}{T_{km}} \right)^{-1} \right\} = (-1)^n (T_{mn} + T_{mn-m}). \tag{3.76}$$

Using (3.53),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k \geq n} \frac{(-1)^k}{T_{km}} &= \frac{1}{c_4} \sum_{k \geq n} \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha^m} \right)^k + O \left(\sum_{k \geq n} \left(-\left(\frac{d}{\alpha^2} \right)^m \right)^k \right) \\
 &= \frac{\alpha^m}{c_4 (-\alpha)^{mn} (\alpha^m + 1)} + O \left(\left(-\left(\frac{d}{\alpha^2} \right)^m \right)^n \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.77}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k \geq n} \frac{(-1)^k}{T_{km}}\right)^{-1} &= \left(\frac{\alpha^m}{c_4(-\alpha)^{mn}(\alpha^m + 1)} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha}\right)^{mn}\right)\right)\right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{c_4(-\alpha)^{mn}(\alpha^m + 1)}{\alpha^m} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha}\right)^{mn}\right)\right) \\ &= (-1)^{mn}(c_4\alpha^{mn} + c_4\alpha^{mn-m}) + O(-d^{mn}) \\ &= (-1)^n(T_{mn} + T_{mn-m}) + O(d^{mn}). \end{aligned}$$

Again, once n is large enough, the $O(d^{mn})$ term is less than $1/2$, as desired. □

3.2.2. Reciprocal Sums of Sums of Tribonacci Numbers.

According to [AK, Lemma 1.(ii)],

$$\sum_{k=1}^n T_k = \frac{T_{n+2} + T_n - 1}{2}. \tag{3.78}$$

We can use their result to derive the following identity.

Theorem 3.12. *When n is sufficiently large, we have that*

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{mk} T_i} \right)^{-1} \right\} = \frac{T_{mn+2} + T_{mn} - T_{mn-m+2} - T_{mn-m}}{2}. \tag{3.79}$$

Proof. According to equation (3.78),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{mk} T_i} &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{2}{T_{mk+2} + T_{mk} - 1} \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{2}{c_4\alpha^{mk+2} + O(d^{mk+2}) + c_4\alpha^{mk} + O(d^{mk}) - 1} \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{2}{c_4\alpha^{mk}(1 + \alpha^2) + O(d^{mk})} \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{2}{c_4\alpha^{mk}(1 + \alpha^2) \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha}\right)^{mk}\right)\right)} \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{c_4}\right) \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{mk}(1 + \alpha^2) + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha^2}\right)^{mk}\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.80}$$

Next, note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{2}{c_4}\right) \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{mk}(1 + \alpha^2) + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha^2}\right)^{mk}\right)} \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{c_4}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha^m}{\alpha^{mn}(1 + \alpha^2)(\alpha^m - 1)} + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha^2}\right)^{mn}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.81}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{mk} T_i} \right)^{-1} &= \left(\left(\frac{2}{c_4} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha^m}{\alpha^{mn}(1+\alpha^2)(\alpha^m-1)} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha} \right)^{mn} \right) \right) \right) \right)^{-1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{c_4 \alpha^{mn}(1+\alpha^2)(\alpha^m-1)}{\alpha^m} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{\alpha} \right)^{mn} \right) \right) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (c_4(\alpha^{mn+2} + \alpha^{mn} - \alpha^{mn-m+2} + \alpha^{mn-m}) + O(d^{mn})) \\
 &= \frac{T_{mn+2} + T_{mn} - T_{mn-m+2} - T_{mn-m}}{2} + O(d^{mn})
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.82}$$

and the $O(d^{mn})$ term is less than $1/2$ when n is sufficiently large, completing the proof. \square

We immediately obtain the following.

Corollary 3.13. *For large enough n and any integer $j < mn$, we have that*

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{mk-j} T_i} \right)^{-1} \right\} = \frac{T_{mn-j+2} + T_{mn-j} - T_{mn-m-j+2} - T_{mn-j-m}}{2}. \tag{3.83}$$

3.2.3. Reciprocal Sums of Generalized Tribonacci Numbers.

In this section, we discuss sums of generalized Tribonacci numbers. However, due to convergence issues, we only discuss generalizations to some constant coefficient recurrences of depth three. If we were to generalize the result to all generalized Tribonacci numbers, the proof would be much more difficult.

Definition 3.14. *Given integers p, q, r, X, Y, Z , let $\{G_n\}$ be the sequence with initial terms*

$$G_0 = p, \quad G_1 = q, \quad G_2 = r \tag{3.84}$$

and satisfying the recurrence

$$G_n = XG_{n-1} + YG_{n-2} + ZG_{n-3} \tag{3.85}$$

for all $n \geq 3$.

Let α, β, γ be the three roots to the equation

$$s^3 - Xs^2 - Ys - Z = 0 \tag{3.86}$$

where $|\alpha| \geq |\beta| \geq |\gamma|$, the General Binet formula for G_n says that

$$G_n = A\alpha^n + B\beta^n + C\gamma^n \tag{3.87}$$

if there are three distinct roots to the corresponding characteristic polynomial associated to the recurrence relation.¹

¹If there are repeated roots there are trivial modifications, multiplying the exponential terms by a polynomial of degree one less than the multiplicity.

Remark 3.15. *If the first 3 numbers are the same as the standard Tribonacci sequence ($T_0 = 0, T_1 = 0, T_2 = 1$), using G_0, G_1, G_2 to solve for the coefficients, we get*

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{(\alpha - \gamma)(\alpha - \beta)} \\ B &= \frac{1 - \gamma - \alpha}{(\beta - \gamma)(\beta - \alpha)} \\ C &= \frac{1 - \beta - \alpha}{(\gamma - \beta)(\gamma - \alpha)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.88}$$

Theorem 3.16. *If*

- (1) α is a triple root (that is, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$),
- (2) $\alpha = \beta$ are two real roots and $|\gamma| < 1$, and
- (3) α is a real root and $|\beta|, |\gamma| < 1$,

then for $n \geq k$

$$\left(\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{G_{n+kp}} \right)^{-1} = G_n - G_{n-k}. \tag{3.89}$$

Proof. For case 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{G_{n+kp}} \right)^{-1} &= \left(\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(A + B + C)\alpha^{n+kp}} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{(A + B + C)(\alpha^n - \alpha^{n-k})} \right)^{-1} \\ &= G_n - G_{n-k}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.90}$$

For case 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{G_n} &= \frac{1}{(A + B)\alpha^n + C\gamma^n} \\ &= \frac{1}{(A + B)\alpha^n} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \frac{C}{A+B} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)^n} \\ &= \frac{1}{(A + B)\alpha^n} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\gamma^n}{\alpha^n}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(A + B)\alpha^n} + O\left(\frac{\gamma^n}{\alpha^{2n}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.91}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{G_{n+kp}} \right)^{-1} &= \left(\frac{1}{(A+B)(\alpha^n - \alpha^{n-k})} \right)^{-1} + O\left(\frac{\gamma^n}{\alpha^{2n}}\right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{(A+B)(\alpha^n - \alpha^{n-k})} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\gamma^n}{\alpha^n}\right) \right) \right)^{-1} \\
 &= (A+B)(\alpha^n - \alpha^{n-k}) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\gamma^n}{\alpha^n}\right) \right) \\
 &= A(\alpha^n - \alpha^{n-k}) + B(\alpha^n - \alpha^{n-k}) + O(\gamma^n) \\
 &= A(\alpha^n - \alpha^{n-k}) + B(\alpha^n - \alpha^{n-k}) + C(\gamma^n - \gamma^{n-k}) + O(\gamma^n) \\
 &= G_n - G_{n-k} + O(\gamma^n),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.92}$$

completing the proof.

For case 3, the proof is very similar to Theorem 1.8. □

4. COEFFICIENTS SUCH THAT EVERY INTEGER OR NO INTEGERS ARE BALANCING NUMBERS

Theorem 1.9 *If a and b are relatively prime integers, then the set of coefficients $(a, b) = (3, 1)$ are the only (a, b) coefficients for which every integer n is a balancing number.*

Proof. By the definition for balancing numbers with coefficients (a, b) , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \left(\frac{(n-1)n}{2} \right) &= b \left(\frac{(n+r)(n+r+1)}{2} - \frac{(n+1)n}{2} \right) \\
 \frac{a}{b}(n-1)n &= (n+r)(n+r+1) - (n+1)n \\
 r^2 + (2n+1)r + \left(-\frac{a}{b}n^2 + \frac{a}{b}n \right) &= 0 \\
 r &= \frac{-(2n+1) \pm \sqrt{(4+4\frac{a}{b})n^2 + (4-4\frac{a}{b})n+1}}{2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Since r must be an integer, let

$$\sqrt{\left(4+4\frac{a}{b}\right)n^2 + \left(4-4\frac{a}{b}\right)n+1} = m, \tag{4.2}$$

where m is an integer for all n . Then

$$\left(4+4\frac{a}{b}\right)n^2 + \left(4-4\frac{a}{b}\right)n+1 = m^2.$$

Let $m = xn + 1$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(4+4\frac{a}{b}\right)n^2 + \left(4-4\frac{a}{b}\right)n+1 &= (xn+1)^2 \\
 &= x^2n^2 + 2xn+1.
 \end{aligned}$$

By matching corresponding terms we get

$$x^2 = 4 + 4\frac{a}{b}$$

and

$$2x = 4 - 4\frac{a}{b}.$$

Adding both equations gives

$$x^2 + 2x - 8 = 0$$

so $x = -4$ and $x = 2$.

If $x = -4$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (-4)^2 &= 4 + 4\frac{a}{b} \\ 3 &= \frac{a}{b}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Since a and b must be relatively prime, $a = 3$ and $b = 1$.

If $x = 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (2)^2 &= 4 + 4\frac{a}{b} \\ 0 &= 4\frac{a}{b}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The values a and b must be greater than zero, so this does not give any additional solutions. Therefore, $(3, 1)$ is the only set of coefficients where a and b are relatively prime such that every integer n is a balancing number. Plugging in $a = 3$ and $b = 1$ into Equation (4.1) gives $r = n - 1$, so for coefficients $(3, 1)$ the balancer will always be one less than the corresponding balancing number. \square

Theorem 1.10 *Coefficients (a, b) do not exist such that every integer n is a cobalancing number.*

Proof. By the definition for cobalancing numbers with coefficients (a, b) and Equation (2.3), we have

$$r = \frac{-(2n + 1) + \sqrt{(4 + 4\frac{a}{b})n^2 + (4 + 4\frac{a}{b})n + 1}}{2}. \tag{4.5}$$

Since r must be an integer, let

$$\sqrt{(4 + 4\frac{a}{b})n^2 + (4 + 4\frac{a}{b})n + 1} = m, \tag{4.6}$$

where m is an integer for all n . Let $m = xn + 1$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (4 + 4\frac{a}{b})n^2 + (4 + 4\frac{a}{b})n + 1 &= (xn + 1)^2 \\ &= x^2n^2 + 2xn + 1. \end{aligned}$$

By matching corresponding terms we get

$$x^2 = 4 + 4\frac{a}{b}$$

and

$$2x = 4 + 4\frac{a}{b}.$$

We find that $x = 0$ or $x = 2$. If $x = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2)^2 &= 4 + 4\frac{a}{b} \\ 0 &= 4\frac{a}{b}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

As a and b are nonzero, this does not yield any solutions for a and b . If $x = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 4 + 4\frac{a}{b}. \\ \frac{a}{b} &= -1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Substituting this result into the last equation of (4.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \frac{-(2n+1) \pm \sqrt{0n^2 + 0n + 1}}{2} \\ r &= -n \text{ or } -n - 1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

which is in contradiction with the range of r . Therefore there are no sets of coefficients (a, b) such that every integer n is a cobalancing number. \square

Theorem 1.11 *For all coefficients (a, b) such that $a = 16y^2 + 16y + 3$ and $b = 1$, where y is a positive integer, the only cobalancing number is $n = y$ with corresponding cobalancer $r = 4y^2 + 3y$.*

Proof. Let $a = x^2 - 1$, where $x = 4y + 2$ and y is a positive integer. Then by Equation (4.5),

$$r = \frac{-(2n+1) + \sqrt{4x^2n^2 + 4x^2n + 1}}{2} \tag{4.10}$$

since $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have $\sqrt{4x^2n^2 + 4x^2n + 1} = m$, where m is a positive integer.

By definition, we have

$$x = 4y + 2, \tag{4.11}$$

where y is an integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (4y + 2)^2 - 1 \\ a &= 16y^2 + 16y + 3. \end{aligned}$$

We can also substitute into our equation for r .

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \frac{-(2n+1) + \sqrt{4x^2n^2 + 4x^2n + 1}}{2} \\ r &= \frac{-(2n+1) + \sqrt{4(4y+2)^2n^2 + 4(4y+2)^2n + 1}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, since r is a positive integer,

$$\sqrt{4(4y+2)^2n^2 + 4(4y+2)^2n + 1} = m, \tag{4.12}$$

where m is an integer.

Suppose $y = n$. Then Equation (4.12) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{64n^4 + 128n^3 - 80n^2 + 16n + 1} &= m \\ \sqrt{(8n^2 + 8n + 1)^2} &= m \\ 8n^2 + 8n + 1 &= m. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

The above equation shows that all values of n , where $n \geq 1$, provide a solution for r when $y = n$. The value of n uniquely determines r , so for each (n, r) there can only be one possible set of corresponding coefficients (a, b) , where a and b are relatively prime. As y determines a and $b = 1$, we have found all the sets of coefficients. Since we have found solutions for all n , this is an exhaustive list; note $y = 0$ cannot yield any additional solutions.

Since this is an exhaustive list for all n values, we can set $y = n$ and find

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \frac{-(2n + 1) + \sqrt{(8n^2 + 8n + 1)^2}}{2} \\ &= \frac{-(2n + 1) + (8n^2 + 8n + 1)}{2} \\ &= 4n^2 + 3n. \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Since for each value of y (which uniquely determines the coefficients), there is only one solution $n = y$ (other than the case $y = 0$), then for each set of coefficients under these conditions, there will only be one cobalancing number.

Therefore, for coefficients $a = x^2 - 1$ and $b = 1$, when $x = 4y + 2$ and $y = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the only cobalancing number will be $n = y$ with a corresponding cobalancer $r = 4y^2 + 3y$. \square

Conjecture 4.1. *For coefficients $a = x^2 - 1$, where x is congruent to 0, 1, or 3 mod 4, and $b = 1$, the results from the code in Appendix B suggests that*

$$\sqrt{4x^2n^2 + 4x^2n - 1} = m \tag{4.15}$$

has no integer solutions (x, n, m) where $x > 1$ and $n \geq 1$, so the Diophantine equations corresponding with these cases have no solutions within the specified bounds. Note that the proof of Theorem 1.11 covers the case for x congruent to 2 mod 4.

Case 1: $x \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$:

The Diophantine equation $4(4y)^2n^2 + 4(4y)^2n + 1 = m^2$ has no solutions where $n \geq 1$.

Case 2: $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$:

The Diophantine equation $4(4y + 1)^2n^2 + 4(4y + 1)^2n + 1 = m^2$ has no solutions where $n \geq 1$.

Case 4: $x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$:

The Diophantine equation $4(4y + 3)^2n^2 + 4(4y + 3)^2n + 1 = m^2$ has no solutions where $n \geq 1$.

5. (A,B) SQUARE BALANCING AND COBALANCING NUMBERS

Definition 5.1. *The (a, b) square balancing numbers are positive integers n such that the equality*

$$a(1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + (n - 1)^2) = b((n + 1)^2 + (n + 2)^2 + \dots + (n + r)^2) \tag{5.1}$$

is satisfied for some non-negative integer r , and r is called the square balancer of n .

Note that our definition of square balancing numbers differs from Panda's definition of higher-order balancing numbers in [8], since we chose to include the trivial solution $(n, r) = (1, 0)$.

Panda conjectured in [8] that there does not exist any $(1, 1)$ square balancing numbers other than the trivial solution $(n, r) = (1, 0)$. The results of our code in Appendix B support this conjecture, and suggest that for each (a, b) , there exists no more than three (a, b) square balancing numbers (this includes the trivial solution). Based on Figure 1, however, we did not find any correlation between coefficients (a, b) and the existence of solutions (n, r) .

Definition 5.2. *The (a, b) square cobalancing numbers are positive integers n such that the equality*

$$a(1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2) = b((n + 1)^2 + (n + 2)^2 + \dots + (n + r)^2) \tag{5.2}$$

is satisfied for some non-negative integer r , and r is called the square cobalancer of n .




FIGURE 1. This 120×120 grid shows the frequency of square balancing numbers for given coefficients, starting with $(a, b) = (1, 1)$ in the top left corner. Values of a increase downwards and values of b increase rightward. Coefficients that are not relatively prime are skipped, and are marked in white. Light gray indicates only one square balancing number, which must be the trivial solution $(n, r) = (1, 0)$. Red indicates that there exists a second solution, and black indicates that a third solution exists. We did not find any instances with greater than three balancing numbers.




FIGURE 2. This 120×120 grid shows the frequency of square cobalancing numbers for given coefficients, starting with $(a, b) = (1, 1)$ in the top left corner. Values of a increase downwards and values of b increase rightward. Coefficients that are not relatively prime are skipped so that the pattern is more noticeable. White indicates that no square cobalancing numbers were found, and black indicates that one solution exists. We did not find any instances with greater than one square cobalancing number.

The results of our code in Appendix B suggest that for each (a, b) , there is no more than one (a, b) square cobalancing number. Based on Figure 2, certain values of (a, b) containing a solution follow a distinct pattern, while the remaining values seem to have no correlation with the existence of solutions. The pattern is periodic and symmetric, repeating itself after every 42 values for a and 6 values for b . For each set of coefficients (a, b) with a corresponding solution (n, r) contained in the pattern, $\frac{a-b}{r} = m$, where m is an integer, and either $n = r$ or $n = r - 1$.

6. FUTURE WORK

- We found that many (a, b) balancing and cobalancing numbers and their balancers and cobalancers can be expressed as depth five recurrences of the form $(1, K, -K, -1, 1)$. Is it possible to express all (a, b) balancing and cobalancing numbers in this form, and is there a generalized formula for the recurrence for any coefficients (a, b) ?
- We proved Theorem 1.6 for $q \geq 2$, but we suspect that the Theorem should hold for any nonzero real number q . In particular, we would need to prove that Lemma 3.2 holds for all $q \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$.
- Can we find a generalized formula for A_n^2 for a recurrence of the form $A_{n+1} = qA_n + rA_{n-1} + s$? This would help further generalize the formulas for reciprocal sums.

- When do coefficients (a, b) have no balancing or cobalancing numbers? We found cases for both, but they are likely not exhaustive.
- Finally, there is much to explore regarding square (a, b) balancing and cobalancing numbers. Do coefficients (a, b) exist with greater than three square balancing numbers or greater than one square cobalancing number? Can we prove that the pattern we found for square cobalancing numbers continues for all (a, b) ? Does a similar pattern exist for square balancing numbers?

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the referee, the participants of the 20th International Fibonacci Conference, Joyce Qu and Professor Evan O’Dorney for many helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper.

APPENDIX A. (A, B) BALANCING NUMBERS INTERESTING CASE

Theorem A.1. *There do not exist any $(8, 1)$ balancing numbers.*

Proof. Plugging $a = 8$ and $b = 1$ into Equation (4.1) gives

$$r = \frac{-2n - 1 \pm \sqrt{36n^2 - 28n + 1}}{2}. \tag{A.1}$$

For r to be an integer, the equation $36n^2 - 28n + 1 = y^2$ (where y is also an integer) must have an integer solution for n . Solving the Diophantine equation yields the following four solutions in the form of (n, y) : $(0, 1), (1, -3), (0, -1), (1, 3)$. Since $n \neq 0$, the only solution for n is $n = 1$. Evaluating Equation (A.1) with $n = 1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \frac{-3 \pm \sqrt{9}}{2} \\ r &= -3, 0. \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

However, since $r > 0$, there do not exist any balancing numbers with coefficients $(8, 1)$. \square

APPENDIX B. MATHEMATICA CODE

Throughout this paper, we use results from code written in Mathematica, available at

- <https://bit.ly/3JvaocG>
- <https://bit.ly/3LONIWu>.

The program contains code for finding recurrences describing sequences of (a, b) balancing and cobalancing numbers. There is also code to find (a, b) square balancing and cobalancing numbers which we used to create Figures 1 and 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Anantakitpaisal and K. Kuhapatanakul, *Reciprocal sums of the tribonacci numbers*, Journal of Integer Sequences **19** (2016), Article 16.2.1.
- [2] A. Behera and G. K. Panda, *On the square roots of triangular numbers*, Fibonacci Quart. **37**(2) (1999), 98–105.
- [3] J. Cereceda, *Binet’s formula for generalized tribonacci numbers*, International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology **46** (2015), 1-9.
- [4] E. Kilic, *Tribonacci sequences with certain indices and their sums*, Ars Comb. **86** (2008), 13–22.
- [5] T. Komatsu, *On the sum of reciprocal tribonacci numbers*, Ars Comb. **98** (2011), 447–459.

THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

- [6] T. Koshy, *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers with Applications*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2001.
- [7] H. Ohtsuka and S. Nakamura, *On the sum of reciprocal sums of Fibonacci numbers*, *Fibonacci Quart.* **46/47** (2009), 153–159.
- [8] G. K. Panda, *Sequence Balancing and Cobalancing Numbers*, *Fibonacci Quart.* **45** (2007), 265–271.
- [9] G. K. Panda, *Some Fascinating Properties of Balancing Numbers*, *Congressus Numerantium* **194** (2009)
- [10] G. K. Panda, T. Komatsu, and R. K. Davala, *Reciprocal sums of sequences involving balancing and lucas-balancing numbers*, *Mathematical Reports* **20** (2018), 201-214.
- [11] G. K. Panda and P. K. Ray, *Cobalancing Numbers and Cobalancers*, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* **8** (2005), 1189-1200.
- [12] P. K. Ray, *On the properties of k -balancing numbers*, *Ain Shams Engineering J.* **9** (2018), 395–402.

MSC2020: 11B39, 33C05

MARC GARNEAU COLLEGIATE INSTITUTE, TORONTO, ONTARIO, M3C 1B3, CANADA
Email address: 3031556720qq@gmail.com

PRINCETON HIGH SCHOOL, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY, 08540, USA
Email address: raincui1020@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF ASTRONOMY, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN, 48109, USA
Email address: sophiacd@umich.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WILLIAMS COLLEGE, WILLIAMSTOWN, MASSACHUSETTS, 01267, USA
Email address: Irfan.Durmic@williams.edu

SHANGHAI STARRIVER BILINGUAL SCHOOL, SHANGHAI, 201108, CHINA
Email address: jackqchu@outlook.com

CONCORD ACADEMY, CONCORD, MASSACHUSETTS, 01742, USA
Email address: lisachangliu@outlook.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WILLIAMS COLLEGE, WILLIAMSTOWN, MASSACHUSETTS, 01267, USA
Email address: sjm1@williams.edu
Email address: Steven.Miller.MC.96@aya.yale.edu

TAFT SCHOOL, WATERTOWN, CONNECTICUT, 06795, USA
Email address: fengpingren@gmail.com

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, OXFORD, UNITED KINGDOM
Email address: alicita.smith01@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN, 48109, USA
Email address: esosis@umich.edu