## FIBONACCI ONCE AGAIN

## J. A. H. HUNTER 88 Bernard Avenue, Apt. 1004, Toronto 180, Canada

Many popular-type math teasers are based on the concept that may be expressed symbolically as:

$$(\underline{\mathbf{X}})(\underline{\mathbf{Y}}) = \underline{\mathbf{Y}}^2 - \underline{\mathbf{X}}^2 .$$

Examples are:

$$34\ 68\ =\ 68^2\ -\ 34^2$$
$$216\ 513\ =\ 513^2\ -\ 216^2\ .$$

The true algebraical representation, of course, is:

$$10\underline{\mathbf{n}}\underline{\mathbf{X}} + \underline{\mathbf{Y}} = \underline{\mathbf{Y}}^2 - \underline{\mathbf{X}}^2$$

<u>Y</u> having <u>n</u> digits including any initial zero. For example, with n = 6, we have:

$$2230 \ 047276 = 47276^2 - 2230^2$$

Working recently on such examples, it seemed interesting to determine the limiting minimal value of the ratio Y:X, that is of Y/X. This proved quite simple, the derivation being as follows:

For very large values of <u>n</u> we may take the maximum value of <u>Y</u> as being  $10^{\underline{n}}$ .

Hence we have

$$10^{\underline{n}} \underline{X} + 10^{\underline{n}} = 10^{\underline{2n}} - \underline{X}^2$$

Solving for X,

FIBONACCI ONCE AGAIN

$$2X = -10^{n} + \sqrt{10^{2n} + 4 \cdot 10^{2n}} - 4 \cdot 10^{n}$$
$$= -10^{n} + \sqrt{5 \cdot 10^{2n}} - 4 \cdot 10^{n}$$

Again for very large values we may ignore  $4 \cdot 10^n$  in the expression under the square-root sign, so having, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$2X \rightarrow -10^n + 10^n \sqrt{5}$$
,

i.e.,

$$X \to \frac{10^n(\sqrt{5} - 1)}{2} \quad .$$

Hence

$$X/Y \to (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2, \quad Y/X \to (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$$
.

Fibonacci again!

It may be noted that with n = 6, the greatest value of Y (giving the minimal X:Y ratio) gives

$$569466 \ 945388 = 945388^2 - 569466^2$$
.

And for this we have  $Y/X = 1.6601 \cdots$ .

[Continued from page 196.]

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{j,k} x^{j} y^{k} F(x,y) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} x^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{j,k} y^{k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m-j,n} y^{n}.$$

 $\sim \bullet \sim \bullet$ 

It follows that F(x,y) is rational in x, again contradicting (2).

<u>Remark.</u> We note that  $a_{m,n}$  does satisfy recurrences of the type

[Continued on page 217.]

 $\mathbf{202}$