# THE COEFFICIENTS OF $\cosh x / \cos x$ 

J. M. GANDHI and V. S. TANEJA

Department of Mathematics, Western Illinois University, Macomb, Illinois

1. Gandhi [3] defined a set of rational integral coefficients $S_{2 n}$ by the generating function
(1)

$$
\frac{\cosh x}{\cos x}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_{2 n^{2}} x^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}
$$

The coefficients $S_{2 n}$ were the subject of much investigation by Carlitz [1], [2], Gandhi [4], [5], Gandhi and Ajaib Singh [6], Krick [7], Raab [8] and Salie [9]. In the present note we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{4 n+2} \equiv 52 \quad(\bmod 100) \quad \text { for } \quad n>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of (2) involves some elementary but interesting results.
2. Gandhi and Ajaib Singh [6] proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{4 \mathrm{n}+2}=\sum_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\binom{4 \mathrm{n}+2}{4 \mathrm{r}}(-1)^{\mathrm{r}+1} 2^{2 \mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~S}_{4 \mathrm{n}+2-4 \mathrm{r}}+2^{4 \mathrm{n}+1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that (2) is true for any $\mathrm{n}>0$ and we shall prove that it is true for $\mathrm{n}+5$. Since $\mathrm{S}_{6}$, $\mathrm{S}_{10}, \cdots, \mathrm{~S}_{4 \mathrm{n}-2} \equiv 52(\bmod 100)$, and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=2$, Eq. (3) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}_{4 \mathrm{n}+2} & \equiv 52 \sum_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\binom{4 \mathrm{n}+2}{4 \mathrm{r}}(-1)^{\mathrm{r}+1} 2^{2 \mathrm{r}}+\binom{4 \mathrm{n}+2}{4 \mathrm{n}}(-1)^{\mathrm{n}+1} 2^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}+2^{4 \mathrm{n}+1} \quad(\bmod 100) \\
& \equiv 52 \sum_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\binom{4 \mathrm{n}+2}{4 \mathrm{r}}(-1)^{\mathrm{r}+1} 2^{2 \mathrm{r}}+\binom{4 \mathrm{n}+2}{4 \mathrm{n}}(-1)^{\mathrm{n}+1} 2^{2 \mathrm{n}}[2-52]+2^{4 \mathrm{n}+1}(\bmod 100) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $n>0$, the second term on the right is divisible by 100 and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{S}_{4 \mathrm{n}+2} & \equiv 52 \sum_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\binom{4 \mathrm{n}+2}{4 \mathrm{r}}(-1)^{\mathrm{r}+1} 2^{2 \mathrm{r}}+2^{4 \mathrm{n}+1} \\
& \equiv 104 \sum_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\binom{4 \mathrm{n}+2}{4 \mathrm{r}}(-1)^{\mathrm{r}+1} 2^{2 \mathrm{r}-1}+2^{4 \mathrm{n}+1}  \tag{4}\\
& \equiv 2 \sum_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\binom{4 \mathrm{n}+2}{4 \mathrm{r}}(-1)^{\mathrm{r}+1} 2^{2 \mathrm{r}}+2^{4 \mathrm{n}+1}(\bmod 100) \\
& \equiv 2 \mathrm{~A}+2^{4 \mathrm{n}+1}(\bmod 100)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
A=\sum_{r=1}^{n}\binom{4 n+2}{4 r}(-1)^{r+1} 2^{2 r}
$$

We now evaluate the sum for A. Let $\omega=(1+i) / \sqrt{2}$, then it can be verified that $\omega^{4}=-1$ and $\omega^{8}=+1$, where $i=\sqrt{-1}$. Now

$$
(1+\omega x)^{4 n+2}=\sum_{r=0}^{4 n+2}\binom{4 n+2}{r} \omega{ }^{r} x^{r}
$$

and

$$
(1-\omega x)^{4 n+2}=\sum_{r=0}^{4 n+2}\binom{4 n+2}{r}(-1)^{r} \omega^{r} x^{r}
$$

Adding these two expansions we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(1+\omega x)^{4 n+2}+(1-\omega x)^{4 n+2}}{2}=\sum_{r=0}^{2 n+1}\binom{4 n+2}{2 r} \omega^{2 r} x^{2 r} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (5) replace $x$ by $\sqrt{-1 x}$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(1+\sqrt{-1} \omega x)^{4 n+2}+(1-\sqrt{-1} \omega x)^{4 n+2}}{2}=\sum_{r=0}^{2 n+1}\binom{4 n+2}{2 r}(-1)^{r} \omega^{2 r} x^{2 r} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (5) and (6) and letting $\mathrm{x}=\sqrt{2}$ it is easy to see that
(7) $\quad \mathrm{A}=1-\frac{1}{4}\left[(1+\omega \sqrt{2})^{4 \mathrm{n}+2}+(1-\omega \sqrt{2})^{4 \mathrm{n}+2}+(1+\sqrt{-1} \omega \sqrt{2})^{4 \mathrm{n}+2}\right.$

$$
\left.+(1-\sqrt{-1} \omega \sqrt{2})^{4 \mathrm{n}+2}\right]
$$

Since $\omega \sqrt{2}=1+\mathrm{i}$, Eq. (7) becomes
$A=1-\frac{1}{4}\left[(2+i)^{4 n+2}+(-1)^{4 n+2}+(i)^{4 n+2}+(2-i)^{4 n+2}\right]=1-\frac{1}{4}\left[(3+4 i)^{2 n+1}+(3-4 i)^{2 n+1}-2\right]$.

Using this expression for A, Eq. (4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{4 \mathrm{n}+2} \equiv 3-\frac{1}{2}\left[(3+4 \mathrm{i})^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}+(3-4 \mathrm{i})^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right]+2^{4 \mathrm{n}+1} \quad(\bmod 100) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are integers, $\alpha \not \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$ and if $\alpha^{\mathrm{K}} \equiv \beta(\bmod 100)$, then $\alpha^{\mathrm{K}+20} \equiv \beta(\bmod 100)$. However, if $\alpha=2$, then K must be greater than 1 .

Proof. Trivial.
In view of Lemma 1 , for $n>0$, we have
(9)

$$
2^{4 \mathrm{n}+1} \equiv 2^{4(\mathrm{n}+5)+1} \quad(\bmod 100)
$$

Then we prove that
(10) $\frac{1}{2}\left\{(3+4 \mathrm{i})^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}+(3-4 \mathrm{i})^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right\} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left\{(3+4 \mathrm{i})^{2 \mathrm{n}+11}+(3-4 \mathrm{i})^{2 \mathrm{n}+11}\right\} \quad(\bmod 100)$.

It is easy to see that the above congruence holds for modulus 4 hence we need to prove that

$$
(3+4 i)^{2 n+1}+(3-4 i)^{2 n+1} \equiv(3+4 i)^{2 n+11}+(3-4 i)^{2 n+11}(\bmod 25)
$$

or
(11)

$$
(3+4 i)^{2 n+1}\left\{(3+4 i)^{10}-1\right\}+(3-4 i)^{2 n+1}\left\{(3-4 i)^{10}-1\right\} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 25)
$$

By actual expansion we find that
(12)

$$
(3+4 i)^{10}-1 \equiv 4(3-4 \mathrm{i}) \quad(\bmod 25)
$$

and

$$
(3-4 i)^{10}-1 \equiv 4(3+4 i) \quad(\bmod 25)
$$

Let
(13) $\quad(3+4 \mathrm{i})^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{id}, \quad(3-4 \mathrm{i})^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{id}$.

Expanding we find that

$$
c=\sum_{r=0}^{n}\binom{2 n+1}{2 r} 3^{2 n+1-2 r}(-1)^{r}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{d}=\sum_{\mathrm{r}=0}^{\mathrm{n}}\binom{2 \mathrm{n}+1}{2 \mathrm{r}+1} 3^{2 \mathrm{n}+1-(2 \mathrm{r}+1)}(-1)^{\mathrm{r}}
$$

Lemma 2. $c \neq 0(\bmod 5)$ and $d \not \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$. Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{c} & \equiv \sum_{\mathrm{r}=0}^{\mathrm{n}}\binom{2 \mathrm{n}+1}{2 \mathrm{r}+1}(-2)^{2 \mathrm{n}+1-2 \mathrm{r}_{(-1)^{\mathrm{r}}} \quad(\bmod 5)} \\
& \equiv-\sum_{\mathrm{r}=0}^{\mathrm{n}}\binom{2 \mathrm{n}+1}{2 \mathrm{r}} 2^{2 \mathrm{n}+1-2 \mathrm{r}}(-1)^{\mathrm{r}} \quad(\bmod 5) \\
& \equiv-\frac{(1-2 \mathrm{i})^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}+(1+2 \mathrm{i})^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}}{2 \mathrm{i}} \quad(\bmod 5) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\mathrm{c} \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$ then since $5=(1+2 \mathrm{i})(1-2 \mathrm{i})$ and hence $\mathrm{c} \equiv 0(\bmod 1+2 \mathrm{i})$, which is not true and hence $c \not \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$. Similarly it can be proved that $d \not \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$. Moreover from (13) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}^{2}+\mathrm{d}^{2}=(25)^{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \equiv 0(\bmod 25) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c \neq 0, d \neq 0(\bmod 5)$ it is easy to see that $(c, d)=1$ and hence there exist a number a such that
$\mathrm{c} \equiv \mathrm{ad}(\bmod 25)$.

Using (11) and (12), Eq. (10) simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \mathrm{c}+4 \mathrm{~d} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 25) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore to prove (10), we need to prove (16). Substitute (15) into (14) to get $1+\mathrm{a}^{2} \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 25)$ which yields that either $(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{a} \equiv 7(\bmod 25)$ or $(\mathrm{b}) \mathrm{a} \equiv 18(\bmod 25)$. We then prove that condition (a) can only be satisfied and thus will reject condition (b). Assume that (b) is satisfied, i.e., $c \equiv 18 \mathrm{~d}(\bmod 25)$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c} \equiv 3 \mathrm{~d} \quad(\bmod 5) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that (17) is impossible. We have proved that

$$
c \equiv-\frac{(1-2 i)^{2 n+1}+(1+2 i)^{2 n+1}}{2 \mathrm{i}} \quad(\bmod 5)
$$

Similarly it can be proved that

$$
\mathrm{D} \equiv \frac{(1+2 \mathrm{i})^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}+(1-2 \mathrm{i})^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}}{2} \quad(\bmod 5)
$$

Substituting these expressions in (17) it can be easily proved that (17) will not even hold for modulus ( $1+2 \mathrm{i}$ ) or ( $1-2 \mathrm{i}$ ). Hence ( 17 ) is impossible and condition (b) cannot be satisfied. Therefore condition (a) has to be satisfied and hence $c \equiv 7 d$ ( $\bmod 25$ ). Using this congruence we find that (16) is satisfied and hence we have proved the truth of (10). Using these results and (9), from (8) we get $S_{4 n+2} \equiv S_{4 n+22}(\bmod 100)$. But $S_{4 n+2} \equiv 52(\bmod 100)$ and therefore $\mathrm{S}_{4 \mathrm{n}+22} \equiv 52(\bmod 100)$ and hence if (2) is true for $\mathrm{n}>0$ it is also true for $\mathrm{n}+5$. From Krick's [7] table for $\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ up to $\mathrm{S}_{20}$ we find that (2) is true for $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3$, 4. Also using (3) we verify that $\mathrm{S}_{22} \equiv 52(\bmod 100)$. Thus by the usual method of induction (2) has been established.
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