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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $W_{0}, W_{1}, c \neq 0$, and $d \neq 0$ be arbitrary real numbers, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n+2}=d W_{n+1}-c W_{n}, \quad d^{2}-4 c \neq 0, \quad(n=0,1, \cdots), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) /(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}) \quad(\mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad(\mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \neq b$ are the roots of $y^{2}-d y+c=0$. We shall define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{-\mathrm{n}}=\left(\mathrm{W}_{0} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) / \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad(\mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathrm{W}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{W}_{1}=1$, then $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots$; and if $\mathrm{W}_{0}=2$ and $\mathrm{W}_{1}=\mathrm{d}$, then $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots$. The phrase, Lucas functions (of n ) is often applied to $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}$.

It should be noted that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{W}_{0} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\left(\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{dW}_{0}\right) \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad(\mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots) ; \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we shall refer to $Z_{n}, n=0,1, \cdots$, as the fundamental solution of (1.1). Let $W_{n}^{*}$ be a second, general solution of (1.1) with initial values $\mathrm{W}_{0}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{1}^{*}$. Since $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}$ also satisfies (1.5), we now see that the product sequence, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}$, can be represented as a linear combination of $Z_{n+1}^{2}, Z_{m} Z_{n+1}$, and $Z_{n}^{2}$. We observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{a}^{2 \mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~b}^{2 \mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad(\mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{i}, i=1,2,3$, are arbitrary constants, is the general solution of a third-order linear difference equation whose characteristic equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x-c)\left(x^{2}-V_{2} x+c^{2}\right)=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the initial conditions of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}$ are chosen such that $\mathrm{C}_{3} \equiv 0$, then $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}$ is also a solution of a second-order linear difference equation, and its representation is of interest.

## 2. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Theorem 1. Let $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}, \mathrm{n}=0,1, \ldots$, be solutions of (1.1). Then (see (1.6))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}^{*}-\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{1}^{*}+\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{~W}_{0} \mathrm{~W}_{0}^{*}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a necessary and sufficient condition that $\mathrm{C}_{3} \equiv 0$. If $\mathrm{C}_{3} \equiv 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}=\left(\left(\mathrm{W}_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{1}^{*}-\left(\mathrm{d}^{2}-\mathrm{c}\right) \mathrm{W}_{0} \mathrm{~W}_{0}^{*}\right) / \mathrm{d}\right) \mathrm{Z}_{2 \mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{W}_{0} \mathrm{~W}_{0}^{*} \mathrm{Z}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+2}-V_{2} P_{n+1}+c^{2} P_{n}=0 \quad(n=0,1, \cdots) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{0}+\left(P_{1}-V_{2} P_{0}\right) x}{1-V_{2} x+c^{2} x^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n} x^{n}, \quad\left(V_{2}=d^{2}-2 c\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 1. If $d=-c=1$, then $W_{n} \equiv H_{n}$, where $H_{n}$ is the generalized Fibonacci number. Since $V_{2}=3$ and $Z_{n} \equiv F_{n}$, the ordinary Fibonacci number, we obtain from (2.2)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*} & =\left(\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{1}^{*}-2 \mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}\right) \mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{H}_{0}^{*} \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \\
& =\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{H}_{0}^{*} \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n}-2} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

(since $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}=2 \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}-2}$ ), where (see (2.1))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}^{*}-3 \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{1}^{*}+\mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{H}_{0}^{*}=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $H_{n}^{*}=H_{n-1}+H_{n+1} \equiv G_{n}, n=0,1, \cdots$, then (2.6) is satisfied and thus (2.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n} G_{n}=H_{1} G_{1} F_{2 n}-H_{0} G_{0} F_{2 n-2} \quad(n=0,1, \cdots) ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{H_{0} G_{0}+\left(H_{1} G_{1}-3 H_{0} G_{0}\right) x}{1-3 x+x^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n} G_{n} x^{n} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarks. Our special result (2.7) solves completely the problem posed by Brother U. Alfred [1], where ( 2,9 ), for example, must stand for $\left(H_{0}, H_{1}\right)$, and not, as incorrectly
indicated $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. If $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$, then $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}$, and (2.7) reduces to the well-known identity, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$; and (2.8) gives

$$
\frac{x}{1-3 x+x^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{2 n} x^{n}
$$

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

For $\mathrm{n}=0,1$, and 2, Eq. (1.6) gives a linear system of three equations for the three unknowns $C_{1}, C_{2}$, and $C_{3}$. We readily find that $C_{3}=N / D$, where $D=c d(a-b)^{3} \neq 0$ is the determinant of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{0} \mathrm{~W}_{0}^{*}=\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{3} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{1}^{*}=\dot{\mathrm{a}}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{b}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{cC}_{3} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}^{*}=\mathrm{a}^{4} \mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{b}^{4} \mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{N}=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 1 & \mathrm{~W}_{0} \mathrm{~W}_{0}^{*} \\
\mathrm{a}^{2} & \mathrm{~b}^{2} & \mathrm{~W}_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{1}^{*} \\
\mathrm{a}^{4} & \mathrm{~b}^{4} & \mathrm{~W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}^{*}
\end{array}\right|
$$

If we set $N=0$, we obtain the necessary condition (2.1) for $C_{3}=0$.
For the sufficiency proof, we assume that (2.1) is true. If we multiply both sides of (3.1) by $\mathrm{c}^{2}$ and both sides of (3.2) by $-\mathrm{V}_{2}$, then the addition of the resulting equations to (3.3) gives, using (2.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(c^{2}-a^{2} V_{2}+a^{4}\right) C_{1}+\left(c^{2}-b^{2} V_{2}+b^{4}\right) C_{2}+\left(c^{2}-c V_{2}+c^{2}\right) C_{3} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c=a b$ and $V_{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}$, we obtain from (3.4)

$$
0=-\mathrm{ab}(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})^{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}
$$

Since $\mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{b} \neq 0$, we must have $\mathrm{C}_{3}=0$.
If $\mathrm{C}_{3} \equiv 0$, then (see (1.6))

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}=\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{a}^{2 \mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~b}^{2 \mathrm{n}}, \quad \mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots
$$

Since $P_{0}=C_{1}+C_{2}$, we obtain, respectively, noting (1.2),

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{n}=C_{2}(b-a) Z_{2 n}+P_{0} a^{2 n} & (n=0,1, \cdots),  \tag{3.5}\\
P_{n}=C_{1}(a-b) Z_{2 n}+P_{0} b^{2 n} & (n=0,1, \cdots) .
\end{array}
$$

Evaluating $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ in (3.5) (for $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) and $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ in (3.6) (for $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), we obtain, respectively, after simplification,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{n}=\left[\left(P_{1}-a^{2} P_{0}\right) / d\right] Z_{2 n}+P_{0} a^{2 n} \quad(n=0,1, \cdots),  \tag{3.7}\\
& P_{n}=\left[\left(P_{1}-b^{2} P_{0}\right) / d\right] Z_{2 n}+P_{0} b^{2 n} \quad(n=0,1, \cdots) .
\end{align*}
$$

Addition of (3.7) and (3.8) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left[\left(2 \mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right) / \mathrm{d}\right] \mathrm{Z}_{2 \mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{P}_{0} \mathrm{~V}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \quad(\mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (see (1.5)) $V_{2 n}=2 Z_{2 n+1}-\mathrm{dZ}_{2 n}$, we obtain from (3.9)
(3.10)

$$
2 \mathrm{dP} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(2 \mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2}+\mathrm{d}^{2}\right)\right) \mathrm{Z}_{2 \mathrm{n}}+2 \mathrm{dP}_{0} \mathrm{Z}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}
$$

Noting that $V_{2}+d^{2}=2 d^{2}-2 c$, we obtain from (3.10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}=\left[\left(P_{1}-P_{0}\left(d^{2}-c\right)\right) / d\right] Z_{2 n}+P_{0} Z_{2 n+1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P_{n} \equiv W_{n} W_{n}^{*}$, Eq. (3.11) reduces to (2.2).
If we set $\left(E^{2}-V_{2} E+c^{2}\right) W_{n} W_{n}^{*}=Q_{n}$, where $E^{m} A_{n}=A_{n+m}$, then (1.7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{c}) \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}}=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to (3.12) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Kc} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad(\mathrm{~K}, \text { a constant }) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $K=Q_{0}$, and so (3.13) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}+2} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}+2}^{*}-\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{*}+\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}=\mathrm{Q}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{0}=\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}^{*}-\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{1}^{*}+\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{~W}_{0} \mathrm{~W}_{0}^{*}
$$

If (2.1) is true, then $Q_{0}=0$, and $P_{n} \equiv W_{n} W_{n}^{*}$ satisfies (2.3); and (2.4) follows readily from (2.3).

## 4. COMMENTS

If $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}-1}-(1 / \mathrm{c}) \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ in Theorem 1, then (2.1) is satisfied. For example, if $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}+2}=2 \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$, then $\left\{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}_{0}^{\infty}=\{0,1,2,5,12, \ldots\}$, where $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is Pell's sequence. If we choose

$$
\left\{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}_{0}^{\infty}=\{2,3,8,19, \cdots\}
$$

and set

$$
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}+1}
$$

then

$$
\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}_{0}^{\infty}=\{2,10,22, \cdots\}
$$

and since $d=2$ and $c=-1$, we obtain from (2.2) in Theorem 1
(4.1)

$$
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}=5 \mathrm{Z}_{2 \mathrm{n}}+4 \mathrm{Z}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \quad(\mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots),
$$

where $Z_{n}$ is Pell's sequence.
Using results of the author [2, p. 242], it seems reasonable that the conclusions of Theorem 1 may be extended (properly interpreted) to $p$ products of solutions of (1.1), where $\mathrm{p}=2,4,6, \cdots$. For example, if $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{* *} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{* * *}$, where $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}, \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{* *}$, and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{* * *}$ are independent solutions of (1.1), then $P_{n}$ satisfies a fifth-order linear difference equation (see [2, (2.2), p. 242] whose characteristic equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x-c^{2}\right) \prod_{j=0}^{1}\left(x^{2}-c^{j} V_{4-2 j} x+c^{4}\right)=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
P_{n}=C_{1} a^{4 n}+C_{2}\left(a^{3} b\right)^{n}+C_{3} c^{2 n}+C_{4}\left(a b^{3}\right)^{n}+C_{5} b^{4 n}
$$

we believe that $\mathrm{C}_{3} \equiv 0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\prod_{j=0}^{1}\left(E^{2}-c^{j} V_{4-2 j}^{E}+c^{4}\right)\right] P_{0}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the representation of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ under (4.3) is another matter.
For the case $d^{2}=4 c, d \neq 0$, it appears that (2.2) of Theorem 1 holds under (2.1). Moreover, if $2 W_{1}=\mathrm{dW}_{0}$, then (2.1) holds for any arbitrary sequence $W_{n^{\circ}}^{*}$ Since $a=b$, we have $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{na}^{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots$, in (2.2).
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$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { [Continued from page 396.] } \\
B(t, t)=\sum_{k=0}^{t-1}\binom{t-1}{k} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{t+k}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $y\left(\bmod 10^{\mathrm{tn}}\right)$, defined by (8), with coefficients given by (10) and (12), is an automorphic number of tn places. By replacing $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{t}$ by k , we get the representation (1). Further, by using identity (5),

$$
y=t\binom{2 t-1}{t} x^{t} \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \frac{(-x)^{k}}{t+k}\binom{t-1}{k}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} u^{t-1}(1-u)^{t-1} d u & =\int_{0}^{1} v^{t-1}(1-x v)^{t-1} d v \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{t-1}\binom{t-1}{k} \frac{(-x)^{k}}{t+k}
\end{aligned}
$$

by expanding $(1-\mathrm{xv})^{\mathrm{t}-1}$ and integrating term-by-term. This result yields the representation (2).
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