

TRIANGULAR ARRAYS SUBJECT TO MAC MAHON'S CONDITIONS

L. CARLITZ¹

Duke University, Durham, North Carolina
and

D. P. ROSELLE²

Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider triangular arrays (n_{ij}) ($j = i(1)k$, $i = 1(1)k$) and (a_{rs}) ($s = 1(1)k + 1 - r$, $r = 1(1)k$) and let $T(n,k)$ and $C(n,k)$, respectively, denote the number of these arrays in which the entries are non-negative integers subject to the conditions

$$(1.1) \quad n_{ij} \geq n_{i,j+1}, \quad n_{ij} \geq n_{i+1,j}, \quad n_{11} \leq n$$

$$(1.2) \quad a_{rs} \geq a_{r,s+1}, \quad a_{rs} \geq a_{r+1,s}, \quad a_{11} \leq n.$$

The conditions (1.1) and (1.2) are the same as MacMahon [3] imposed on multi-rowed partitions. Rectangular arrays subject to these conditions have been considered by Carlitz and Riordan [1].

It is easy to evaluate $T(1,k)$ and $C(1,k)$. Indeed, taking row sums, we find that $T(1,k)$ is the number of sequences j_1, \dots, j_k with $j_i > j_{i+1}$ and $j_1 \leq k$. It follows that $T(1,k) = 2^k$. In the same way, we find that $C(1,k)$ is the number of sequences j_1, \dots, j_k with $k + 1 - i \geq j_i \geq j_{i+1}$. Hence $C(1,k)$ is the familiar Catalan number (c. f. [2])

$$(1.3) \quad C(1,k) = \frac{1}{k+2} \binom{2k+2}{k+1}.$$

It will be convenient to have an alternative description of $C(n,k)$ and $T(n,k)$. With each array counted by $T(n,k)$ we associate the $n \times k$ array $M = (m_{ij})$, where m_{ij} is the number of elements in the j^{th} row which are greater than or equal to i . Similarly, with each array counted by $C(n,k)$, associate the $n \times k$ array $B = (b_{ij})$, where b_{ij} is the number of elements in the j^{th} column which are greater than or equal to i . That is, $m_{ij} = \text{card}\{n_{jt} \mid n_{jt} \geq i\}$ and $b_{ij} = \text{card}\{a_{tj} \mid a_{tj} \geq i\}$. It then follows that the entries of the associated array are subject to the conditions

$$(1.4) \quad m_{ij} \geq m_{i,j+1}, \quad m_{ij} \geq m_{i+1,j}, \quad m_{11} \leq k,$$

¹Supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant GP17071.

²Supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant GP11397.

$$(1.5) \quad b_{ij} \geq b_{i,j+1}, \quad b_{ij} \geq b_{i+1,j}, \quad b_{ij} \leq k + 1 - j.$$

It also is not difficult to verify that the $n \times k$ arrays subject to (1.4) and (1.5) are equinumerous with those counted by $T(n,k)$ and $C(n,k)$.

Here we prove that

$$(1.6) \quad T(2,k) = \binom{2k+1}{k},$$

$$(1.7) \quad T(3,k) = 2^k \binom{2k+2}{k+1} - 2^k \binom{2k+2}{k}$$

as well as

$$(1.8) \quad C(n,k) = \det \left[\binom{n+k+1-r}{n+r-s} \right] \quad (r, s = 1, \dots, k).$$

It is also shown that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C(n,k)x^n = A_k(x) \cdot (1-x)^{\frac{-k(k+1)}{2} - 1}$$

where $A_k(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)$ with integral coefficients and which satisfies the symmetry condition

$$(1.9) \quad x^{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)} A_k\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = A_k(x).$$

2. TRIANGULAR ARRAYS

We consider triangular arrays

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{cccc} n_{11} & n_{12} & \cdots & n_{1k} \\ & n_{22} & \cdots & n_{2k} \\ & & \cdots & \\ & & & n_{kk} \end{array}$$

and let $T^*(n,k)$ denote the number of these arrays with non-negative integral coefficients satisfying

$$(2.2) \quad n_{11} = n, \quad n_{ij} \geq n_{i,j+1}, \quad n_{ij} \geq n_{i+1,j},$$

We also put

$$T(n, k) = \sum_{j=0}^n T^*(j, k).$$

It is immediate that $T(0, k) = T^*(0, k) = 1$ and as observed in Section 1, it is easy to see that $T^*(1, k) = 2^k - 1$. This can also be seen by classifying the arrays according as $n_{11} = 0$ or 1 and noting that this implies the recurrence

$$T^*(1, k) = T^*(1, k - 1) + T(1, k - 1).$$

A simple verification of the boundary conditions is then all that is necessary to anchor the induction.

Next let $Q(m_{11}, m_{21}, \dots, m_{n1})$ denote the number of $n \times k$ arrays $M = (m_{ij})$, where the m_{ij} are subject to the conditions (1.4). It is clear from the remarks of Section 1 that

$$T^*(n, k) = \sum Q(s_1, \dots, s_n),$$

where the summation extends over all n -tuples (s_1, \dots, s_n) for which $k \geq s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_n \geq 1$. A more useful reformulation of these remarks is the observation that

$$(2.3) \quad T(n, k) = Q(k + 1, k + 1, \dots, k + 1).$$

For the case $n = 2$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} Q(m, r) &= 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} Q(s) + \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} \sum_{s=t}^{m-1} Q(s, t) \\ &= 2^{m-1} + \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} \sum_{s=t}^{m-1} Q(s, t), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.3) for the case $n = 1$. A more convenient form of this last equation is

$$Q(m, r + 1) = \sum_{s=r}^m Q(s, r).$$

It is now a simple induction to show that

$$Q(m, r + 1) = 2^{m+r-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (2^{r-j} - 1) \binom{m+j-1}{j} \quad (m \geq r + 1)$$

which should be compared with [1, Eq. (1.9)]. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(m + 1, m + 1) &= 2^{2m} - \sum_{j=0}^m (2^{m-j} - 1) \binom{m+j}{j} \\ &= \binom{2m+1}{m} . \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from (2.3) that

$$(2.4) \quad T^*(2, k) = \binom{2k+1}{k} .$$

3. THE CASE $n = 3$

The evaluation of $T(3, k)$ is more complicated but leads to a simple result. Let $Q_c(m_{11}, m_{21}, m_{31})$ denote the number of $3 \times c$ arrays (m_{ij}) whose entries are non-increasing down each column and whose positive entries are strictly decreasing along each row. Then, according to the remarks of Section 1, we have

$$(3.1) \quad T(3, k) = Q_{k+2}(k+1, k+1, k+1) .$$

It is not difficult to show (by induction on c) that

$$(3.2) \quad Q_{c+1}(r, s, t) = \sum_{i \leq j \leq k} D_{c-2i, c-2j-1, c-2k-2} ,$$

where we put

$$D_{i,j,k} = \begin{vmatrix} \binom{r}{i} & \binom{s}{i+1} & \binom{t}{i+2} \\ \binom{r}{j} & \binom{s}{j+1} & \binom{t}{j+2} \\ \binom{r}{k} & \binom{s}{k+1} & \binom{t}{k+2} \end{vmatrix} .$$

In particular, for $c = m = r = s = t$, it follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(3, m - 1) &= \sum_{i \leq j \leq k} \begin{vmatrix} \binom{m}{2i} & \binom{m}{2i - 1} & \binom{m}{2i - 2} \\ \binom{m}{2j + 1} & \binom{m}{2j} & \binom{m}{2j + 1} \\ \binom{m}{2k + 2} & \binom{m}{2k + 1} & \binom{m}{2k} \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \sum_j \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i \leq j} \binom{m}{2i} & \sum_{i \leq j} \binom{m}{2i - 1} & \sum_{i \leq j} \binom{m}{2i - 2} \\ \binom{m}{2j + 1} & \binom{m}{2j} & \binom{m}{2j + 1} \\ \sum_{k \geq j} \binom{m}{2k + 2} & \sum_{k \geq j} \binom{m}{2k + 1} & \sum_{k \geq j} \binom{m}{2k} \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \sum_j \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i \leq j} \binom{m}{2i} & \sum_{i \leq j} \binom{m}{2i - 1} & \sum_{i \leq j} \binom{m}{2i - 2} \\ \binom{m}{2j + 1} & \binom{m}{2j} & \binom{m}{2j + 1} \\ \sum_k \binom{m}{2k} & \sum_k \binom{m}{2k + 1} & \sum_k \binom{m}{2k} \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= 2^{m-1} \sum_{i \leq j} \begin{vmatrix} \binom{m}{2i} & \binom{m}{2i - 1} & \binom{m}{2i - 2} \\ \binom{m}{2j + 1} & \binom{m}{2j} & \binom{m}{2j + 1} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= 2^{m-1} \sum_{i < j} \left\{ \binom{m}{2i} \binom{m}{2j} + \binom{m}{2j + 1} \binom{m}{2i - 2} + \binom{m}{2i - 1} \binom{m}{2j - 1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \binom{m}{2i - 1} \binom{m}{2j + 1} - \binom{m}{2i} \binom{m}{2j - 1} - \binom{m}{2j} \binom{m}{2i - 2} \right\} \\
 &= 2^{m-1} \left\{ \sum_j \binom{m}{j}^2 - \sum_j \binom{m}{j} \binom{m}{j + 1} \right\} .
 \end{aligned}$$

This reduces to

$$(3.3) \quad T(3, k) = 2^k \binom{2k+2}{k+1} - 2^k \binom{2k+2}{k},$$

$$(3.4) \quad T^*(3, k) = 2^k \binom{2k+2}{k+1} - 2^k \binom{2k+2}{k} - \binom{2k+1}{k}.$$

It appears unlikely that this method would lead to a simple result for $T(n, k)$ even though (3.2) can be generalized in an obvious manner.

4. CATALAN DETERMINANTS

We consider triangular arrays

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{cccc} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1, k-1} & a_{1k} \\ & & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{2, k-1} \\ & & & & a_{k1} \end{array}$$

and let $C(n, k)$ denote the number of these arrays with

$$(4.2) \quad a_{11} \leq n, \quad a_{ij} \geq a_{i, j+1}, \quad a_{ij} \geq a_{i+1, j}.$$

Then, as observed in Section 1, we have that $C(n, k)$ is also the number of $n \times k$ arrays $B = (b_{ij})$ subject to the conditions (1.5). Also, if we put $C(j_1, \dots, j_k)$ equal to the number of arrays (4.1) with $a_{1s} = j_s$, then we find that

$$(4.3) \quad C(j_1, \dots, j_k) = \sum_{r_{k-1}} \cdots \sum_{r_1} C(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}),$$

where the i^{th} summand extends over the range $r_{k+1-i} \leq r_{k-i} \leq j_{k-i}$ and, for convenience, we put $r_k = 0$.

It is an easy induction to show that (4.3) is the same as

$$C(j_1, \dots, j_k) = \det \left[\binom{j_s + k - r}{k + s - 2r} \right] \quad (r, s = 1, 2, \dots, k-1).$$

In particular, we find that

$$(4.4) \quad C(n, k) = \det \left[\binom{n + k + 1 - r}{n + r - s} \right] \quad (r, s = 1, \dots, k).$$

Notice that the special case (1.3) follows from (4.4) and the identity

$$\frac{1}{k+2} \binom{2k+2}{k+1} = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k+1-j}{j+1} \frac{1}{k+1-j} \binom{2k-2j}{k-j} .$$

In the next place if we write (4.4) in the form

$$(4.5) \quad C(n, k) = \det \left[\binom{n+k+1-r}{k+1-2r+s} \right] ,$$

then we can use this determinant to define $C(n, k)$ for all real numbers n . According to this definition, we find that $C(n, k)$ is a polynomial of degree $\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)$ in n and satisfies the equation

$$(4.6) \quad C(n, k) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)} C(-k-n-1, k) .$$

Hence if we put

$$(4.7) \quad C(n, k) = \sum_{j=k}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)} a_{kj} \binom{n+j}{j} ,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(-k-n-1, k) &= \sum_{j=k}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)} a_{kj} \binom{-k-n+j-1}{j} \\ &= \sum_{j=k}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)} (-1)^j a_{kj} \binom{k+n}{j} . \end{aligned}$$

In order to summarize these results in terms of generating functions, we first put $C_k(x) = \sum C(n, k)x^n$ and note that

$$C_k(x) = \sum_{j=k}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)} a_{kj} (1-x)^{-j-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)} C_k(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C(-k-n-1, k)x^n \\ &= \sum_{j=k}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)} (-1)^j a_{kj} x^{j-k} (1-x)^{-j-1} . \end{aligned}$$

[Continued on page 658.]