## NUMBERS COMMON TO TWO POLYGONAL SEQUENCES

## DIANNE SMITH LUCAS China Lake, California

The polygonal sequence (or sequences of polygonal numbers) of order r (where r is an integer,  $r \ge 3$ ) may be defined recursively by

(1) 
$$(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{i}) = 2(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{i}-1) - (\mathbf{r},\mathbf{i}-2) + \mathbf{r} - 2$$

with (r, 0) = 0, (r, 1) = 1.

It is possible to obtain a direct formula for (r,i) from (1). A particularly simple way of doing this is via the Gregory interpolation formula. (For an interesting discussion of this formula and its derivation, see [3].) The result is

(2) 
$$(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{i} + (\mathbf{r} - 2)\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{i} - 1)/2 = [(\mathbf{r} - 2)\mathbf{i}^2 - (\mathbf{r} - 4)\mathbf{i}]/2$$
.

It is comforting to note that the "square" numbers — the polygonal numbers of order 4 - ac-tually are the squares of the integers.

Using either (1) or (2), we can take a look at the first few, say, triangular numbers (r = 3)

$$0, 1, 3, 6, 10, 15, 21, 28, 36, 45, \cdots$$

One observation we can make is that three of these numbers are also squares — namely 0, 1, and 36. We can pose the following question: Are there any more of these "triangular-square" numbers? Are there indeed infinitely many of them? What can be said about the numbers common to any pair of polygonal sequences?

We shall begin by answering the last of these questions, and then return to the other two. Suppose that s is an integer common to the polygonal sequences of orders  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ (say  $r_1 \leq r_2$ ). Then there exist integers p and q such that

$$s = [(r_1 - 2)p^2 - (r_1 - 4)p]/2 = [(r_2 - 2)q^2 - (r_2 - 4)q]/2$$
,

so that

(3) 
$$(r_1 - 2)p^2 - (r_1 - 4)p = (r_2 - 2)q^2 - (r_2 - 4)q$$
,

This paper is based on work done when the author was an undergraduate research participant at Washington State University under NSF Grant GE-6463.

and in fact, since both sides of the equation (3) are always even, every pair of non-negative integers p,q which satisfy (3) determine such an integer s.

As a quadratic in p, this has integral solutions, so - since all coefficients are integers - the discriminant

$$(r_1 - 4)^2 + 4(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)q^2 - 4(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 4)q$$

must be a perfect square, say  $x^2$ , so that

$$x^{2} = 4(r_{1} - 2)(r_{2} - 2)q^{2} - 4(r_{1} - 2)(r_{2} - 4)q + (r_{1} - 4)^{2}$$

As a quadratic in q, this also has integral solutions, and the discriminant — and hence  $1/16^{th}$  of the discriminant — must again be a perfect squre, say  $y^2$ , so that

(4) 
$$y^2 - (r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)x^2 = (r_1 - 2)^2(r_2 - 4)^2 - (r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)(r_1 - 4)^2$$
,

where p and q are given by

(5) 
$$p = \frac{(r_1 - 4) + x}{2(r_1 - 2)}$$
  $q = \frac{(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 4) + y}{2(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)}$ 

Although it can be shown, by solving (5) for x and y and substituting into (4), that every solution of (4) gives a solution of (3), it should be noted that some of the integer solutions of (4) may not give integer values for p and q. Nevertheless, (4) and (5) give us all possible candidates for integer solutions of (3).

Now (4) is in the form of Pell's equation,  $y^2 - dx^2 = N$ , which has a finite number of integral solutions in x and y if d is a perfect square while N does not vanish. For then the left side can be factored into (y - ax)(y + ax), where a is an integer; and N has only finitely many integral divisors.

So we already have a partial answer to our question. If  $(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)$  is a perfect square and the quantity on the right side of (4) is non-zero, we have only finitely many candidates for integers common to the two sequences of orders  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ .

On the other hand, if  $(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)$  is a perfect square and the right side of (4) is zero, then (4) reduces to a linear equation in x and y:

$$y = \pm \sqrt{(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)} x$$

Since the coefficient of x is an integer, this has infinitely many integral solutions.

An analysis of the right side of (4) reveals that, with  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , this quantity vanishes only when one of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  is 3 and the other is 6. In that case, (4) becomes  $y^2 - 4x^2 = 0$ , or  $y = \pm 2x$ ; and equations (5), with y replaced by  $\pm 2x$ , become p = (x - 1)/2;  $q = (1 \pm x)/4$ .

At this point it is not too hard to see that for infinitely many integers x, the above equations yield non-negative integral values for both p and q. Therefore, there are infinitely many hexagonal-triangular numbers. In this case, however, we have taken the long way around; for it can be shown directly, using (3), that indeed <u>every</u> hexagonal number is also a triangular number.

It remains for us to investigate what happens when  $(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)$  is not a perfect square (and here the right side of (4) is necessarily non-zero). If this is the case, then there are infinitely many positive integral solutions to (4) if there is one such solution [2, p. 146], But in fact we can always exhibit at least one solution — namely  $x_1 = r_1$ ,  $y_1 = r_2(r_1 - 2)$  corresponding to p = q = 1. We still have the job, however, of showing that infinitely many of these solutions of (4) give us integer solutions of (3).

Consider the related equation

(6) 
$$u^2 - (r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)v^2 = 1$$

With  $(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)$  not a perfect square, this has infinitely many integral solutions, generated by

$$u_n + v_n \sqrt{(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)} = (u_1 + v_1 \sqrt{(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)})^n$$

where  $u_1$ ,  $v_1$  is the smallest positive solution [2, p. 142]. We obtain  $u_1$ ,  $v_1$  by inspection. In particular,  $u_2$ ,  $v_2$ , given by

$$u_2 + v_2 \sqrt{(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)} = (u_1 + v_1 \sqrt{(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)})^2$$

,

is a solution of (6), and by expanding the right side and comparing coefficients, we get

(7)  
$$u_{2} = u_{1}^{2} + (r_{1} - 2)(r_{2} - 2)v_{1}^{2}$$
$$v_{2} = 2u_{1}v_{1}$$

Now infinitely many (but not necessarily all) of the positive solutions of (4) are given by

(8) 
$$y_{n+1} + x_{n+1}\sqrt{(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)} = (u_1 + v_1\sqrt{(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)})(y_n + x_n\sqrt{(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)})$$

where  $u_1$ ,  $v_1$  is any positive solution of (6) [2, p. 146], say  $u_2$ ,  $v_2$ . Again comparing coefficients, we get

$$y_{n+1} = u_2 y_n + (r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2) v_2 x_n ,$$
  
$$x_{n+1} = v_2 y_n + u_2 x_n ,$$

with the side conditions  $x_1 = r_1$ ,  $y_1 = r_2(r_1 - 2)$ .

(9)

Consider the first of equations (9). This can, by adding a suitable quantity to each side,

and using (6) and (7), we get

be changed to

(10)  
$$y_{n+1} + (r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 4) = u_2(y_n + (r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 4)) + 2(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)u_1v_1x_n - 2(r_1 - 2)^2(r_2 - 2)(r_2 - 4)v_1^2 .$$

Recalling that  $y_1 = r_2(r_1 - 2)$ , clearly

$$y_1 \equiv -(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 4) \pmod{2(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)};$$

and letting n = k in (10), we see that if

$$y_k \equiv -(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 4) \pmod{2(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)}$$

for some integer k, then each term on the right of (10) is divisible by  $2(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)$ . Hence the left side of (10) is divisible by this same quantity, and

$$y_{k+1} \equiv -(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 4) \pmod{2(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)}$$

By mathematical induction, and with reference to the second of equations (5), all of the  $y_n$ 's given by (9) produce positive integral values for q.

Similarly, the second of equations (9) can be transformed into

$$x_{n+1} + (r_1 - 4) + (u_2 - 1)(r_1 - 4) + v_2(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 4) = v_2(y_n + (r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 4)) + u_2(x_n + (r_1 - 4)),$$

and again using (6) and (7), we get

(11) 
$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} + (r_1 - 4) &= v_2(y_n + (r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 4)) + u_2(x_n + r_1 - 4)) \\ &- 2v_1^2(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)(r_1 - 4) - 2u_1v_1(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 4) . \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$y_n \equiv -(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 4) \pmod{2(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)}$$

for all n, certainly

 $y_n \equiv -(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 4) \pmod{2(r_1 - 2)}$ .

We have that

$$x_1 \equiv -(r_1 - 4) \pmod{2(r_1 - 2)}$$

since  $x_1 = r_1$ ; and it can be seen from (11) that if

$$x_{1r} \equiv -(r_1 - 4) \pmod{2(r_1 - 2)}$$

for some integer k, then

$$x_{k+1} \equiv -(r_1 - 4) \pmod{2(r_1 - 2)}$$

That is,  $2(r_1 - 2)$  divides  $x_n + (r_1 - 4)$  for every positive integer n.

To summarize, for  $(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)$  not a perfect square, we have exhibited (in (9)) infinitely many — but not necessarily all — of the solutions to the Pell-type equation (4); and all of these give positive integral solutions p,q of (3). These, in turn, give integers s which are common to the two polygonal sequences of orders  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ .

In view of the above, we can now state the following theorem:

<u>Theorem</u>. Given two distinct integers  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , with  $3 \le r_1 \le r_2$ , each defining the order of a polygonal sequence, there are infinitely many integers common to both sequences if and only if one of the following is true:

i.  $r_1 = 3$  and  $r_2 = 6$ , or

ii.  $(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)$  is not a perfect squre.

In practice, given particular integers  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , we can get all of the solutions of (4) by using at most finitely many equations of the form (8), with a different  $x_1$ ,  $y_1$  for each one. Some of these equations can be eliminated or modified to leave out those solutions which give non-integer values for either p or q. We may then obtain equations generating all pairs p,q for which  $(r_1,p) = (r_2,q)$ ; and, if desired, finitely many equations generating the numbers s common to the two sequences. The procedure for finding all solutions of (4) is arduous and depends erratically on the actual values of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ . For the general machinery, see G. Chrystal [1, pp. 478-486].

Now we can easily answer our questions about triangular squares. Letting  $r_1 = 3$  and  $r_2 = 4$ ,  $(r_1 - 2)(r_2 - 2)$  becomes 2, which is not a perfect square. There are, then, infinitely many triangular squares. As a matter of fact, this result has been known for some time. To exhibit these numbers, we note that since the coefficient of q in (3) becomes 0, we can get a formula like (4) by applying the quadratic formula only once. The result is

$$x^2 - 8q^2 = 1$$

 $\mathbf{or}$ 

[Feb.

(12) 
$$x^2 - 2y^2 = 1$$
,

where p = (x - 1)/2 and q = y/2. Conveniently enough, (12) is already in the form of (6); and since  $x_1 = 3$ ,  $y_1 = 2$  is the smallest positive solution, all non-negative solutions of (12) are given by

(13) 
$$x_n + y_n \sqrt{2} = (3 + 2\sqrt{2})^n$$
 (n = 0, 1, 2, ...).

Certainly the "next" solution is given by

1973

$$x_{n+1} + y_{n+1}\sqrt{2} = (x_n + y_n\sqrt{2})(3 + 2\sqrt{2}),$$

and by comparing coefficients we get

(14) 
$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= 3x_n + 4y_n, \\ y_{n+1} &= 2x_n + 3y_n, \end{aligned}$$

with (from (13))  $x_0 = 1$ ,  $y_0 = 0$ .

It follows by induction from (14) that all values of  $y_n$  are even non-negative integers, and all  $x_n$ 's are odd positive integers. Therefore, for any solution p,q of (3) — in non-negative integers and with  $r_1 = 3$ ,  $r_2 = 4$  — there exists an n (n = 0, 1, 2, ...) such that

(15) 
$$p = p_n = (x_n - 1)/2$$
  
 $q = q_n = y_n/2$ 

where  $x_n$ ,  $y_n$  are given by (14). Furthermore,  $p_n$ ,  $q_n$  given by (15) forms a non-negative integral solution for any n, since the  $x_n$ 's are always odd and all of the  $y_n$ 's are even.

All triangular square numbers, then, are given by

(16) 
$$s_n = (p_n^2 + p_n) / 2 = q_n^2$$
.

Solving (14) with  $x_0 = 1$ ,  $y_0 = 0$ , we get

$$x_{n} = [(3 + 2\sqrt{2})^{n} + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})^{n}]/2$$
$$y_{n} = [(3 + 2\sqrt{2})^{n} - (3 - 2\sqrt{2})^{n}]/2\sqrt{2}$$

and combining these with (15) and (16), we obtain

$$s_n = \frac{(17 + 12\sqrt{2})^n + (17 - 12\sqrt{2})^n - 2}{32}$$

where s<sub>n</sub> is the n<sup>th</sup> triangular-square number. Likewise, we can compute a formula for the n<sup>th</sup> triangular-pentagonal number. The result is

$$s_{n} = \frac{(2 - \sqrt{3})(97 + 56\sqrt{3})^{n} + (2 + \sqrt{3})(97 - 56\sqrt{3})^{n} - 4}{48}$$

This agrees with a result recently published by W. Sierpiński [4].

I am thankful to Dr. D. W. Bushaw, whose suggestions and encouragement made the writing of this paper possible.

## REFERENCES

- 1. G. Chrystal, Algebra: An Elementary Text-Book, Vol. 2, Adam and Charles Black, London, 1900.
- 2. William J. LeVeque, Topics in Number Theory, Vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1956.
- 3. C. T. Long, "On the Gregory Interpolation Formula," Amer. Math. Monthly, 66 (1959), pp. 801-808.
- 4. W. Sierpiński, "Sur les Nombres Pentagonaux," Bull. Soc. Royale Sciences Liege, 33 33 (1964), pp. 513-517.

[Continued from page 71.]

$$M^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{m_k^*}{k!} D^k$$

is given by

(VII)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{m_k^*}{k!} t^k = 1/(Ae^{c_1 t} + Be^{c_2 t}) .$$

We now note that for Case 2, where A + B = 0, Eq. (VII) does not exist for t = 0, and hence there is no inverse operator  $M^{-1}$ . Thus, a sufficient condition for  $M^{-1}$  (see (I)) to exist is that  $A + B \neq 0$ , i.e., Case 1. For  $A + B \neq 0$ , one readily finds that

(VIII) 
$$(A + B)m_k^* = (c_2 - c_1)^k H_k \left(\frac{c_1}{c_1 - c_2} \middle| -A/B\right)$$
,

where  $H_{l_{k}}(x|\lambda)$  is the Eulerian polynomial cited in (\*).

Many more identities can be quoted. Indeed, for  $m, n = 0, 1, \dots$ , one has [Continued on page 112.]

84