# THROUGH THE OTHER END OF THE TELESCOPE 

## BROTHER ALFRED BROUSSEAU

 St. Mary's College, CaliforniaBase two has this interesting property that all integers may be represented uniquely by a sequence of zeros and ones. If instead of starting with base two, we had started with the sequence of ones and zeros and correlated the integers with them, then we would have seen that it is powers of two that correspond to a representation one followed by a number of zeros. This is what is meant in the title by looking through the other end of the telescope.

Table 1
CORRELATION OF INTEGERS WITH 1-0 REPRESENTATIONS

| Representations | Integers | Representations | Integers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1001 | 9 |
| 10 | 2 | 1010 | 10 |
| 11 | 3 | 1011 | 11 |
| 100 | 4 | 1100 | 12 |
| 101 | 5 | 1101 | 13 |
| 110 | 6 | 1110 | 14 |
| 111 | 7 | 1111 | 15 |
| 1000 | 8 | 10000 | 16 |

If we continue this sequence of ones and zeros, will a one followed by zeros always be a power of two? Yes it will. For example, the four zeros in the representation of 16 will take on all the changes from 0001 to 1111 and bring us to 31 so that 100000 will be 32 . In general, if there is a one followed by $r$ zeros representing $2^{r}$ the last number that can be represented before increasing the number of digits will be:

$$
2^{\mathrm{r}}+\left(2^{\mathrm{r}}-1\right)=2^{\mathrm{r}+1}-1 .
$$

Thus, the next representation which is a 1 followed by $r+1$ zeros will represent $2^{r+1}$.
But is there anything particularly sacred about the way our sequence of ones and zeros has been chosen? Must it even be that the ones in various positions must represent the power of a number?

Suppose we change the rules for creating our succession of representations by insisting that no two ones be adjacent to each other.

Table 2

| CORRELATION OF INTEGERS WITH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO TWO ONES ADJACENT TO EACH OTHER | REPRESENTATIONS, |  |  |  |  |
| Representations | Integers | Representations | Integers | Representations | Integers |
| 1 | 1 | 101010 | 20 | 10001000 | 39 |
| 10 | 2 | 1000000 | 21 | 10001001 | 40 |
| 100 | 3 | 1000001 | 22 | 10001010 | 41 |
| 101 | 4 | 1000010 | 23 | 10010000 | 42 |
| 1000 | 5 | 1000100 | 24 | 10010001 | 43 |
| 1001 | 6 | 1000101 | 25 | 10010010 | 44 |
| 1010 | 7 | 1001000 | 26 | 10010100 | 45 |
| 10000 | 8 | 1001001 | 27 | 10010101 | 46 |
| 10001 | 9 | 1001010 | 28 | 1010000 | 47 |
| 10010 | 10 | 1010000 | 29 | 10100001 | 48 |
| 10100 | 11 | 1010001 | 30 | 10100010 | 49 |
| 10101 | 12 | 1010010 | 31 | 10100100 | 50 |
| 100000 | 13 | 1010100 | 32 | 10100101 | 51 |
| 100001 | 14 | 1010101 | 33 | 10101000 | 52 |
| 100010 | 15 | 10000000 | 34 | 10101001 | 53 |
| 100100 | 16 | 10000001 | 35 | 10101010 | 54 |
| 100101 | 17 | 10000010 | 36 | 10000000 | 55 |
| 101000 | 18 | 10000100 | 37 |  |  |
| 101001 | 19 | 10000101 | 38 |  | 4 |

It is a matter of observation from this table that one followed by zeros is a Fibonacci number. If we take the series as $\mathrm{F}_{1}=1, \mathrm{~F}_{2}=1, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{3}=2, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{4}=3, \mathrm{~F}_{5}=5, \mathrm{~F}_{6}=8, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{7}=13, \mathrm{~F}_{8}$ $=21, \mathrm{~F}_{9}=34, \mathrm{~F}_{10}=55, \cdots$ then the one in the $\mathrm{r}^{\text {th }}$ place from the right represents $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}+1}$. Will this continue? Consider one followed by nine zeros or $F_{10}$. Since there may not be a one next to the first one, the numbers added to $F_{10}$ in the succeeding representations are all the numbers up to and including 33, so that the final sum can be represented with ten digits is $55+34-1=89-1$. Thus one followed by ten zeros is 89 or $F_{11}$. A similar argument can be applied in general.

What happens if we insist that no two ones have less than two zeros between them? Again we can form a table. (See Table 3.) The sequence of integers that correspond to one followed by zeros is: $1,2,3,4,6,9,13,19,28,41, \cdots$. Is there a law of formation of the sequence? It appears that

$$
\begin{aligned}
9 & =6+3 \\
13 & =9+4 \\
19 & =13+6 \\
28 & =19+9 \\
41 & =28+13
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 3
CORRELATION OF INT EGERS WITH $1-0$ REPRESENTATIONS, NO TWO ONES SEPARATED BY LESS THAN TWO ZEROS

| Representations | Integers | Representations | Integers | Representations | Integers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1000010 | 15 | 100000001 | 29 |
| 10 | 2 | 1000100 | 16 | 100000010 | 30 |
| 100 | 3 | 1001000 | 17 | 100000100 | 31 |
| 1000 | 4 | 1001001 | 18 | 100001000 | 32 |
| 1001 | 5 | 10000000 | 19 | 100001001 | 33 |
| 10000 | 6 | 10000001 | 20 | 100010000 | 34 |
| 10001 | 7 | 10000010 | 21 | 100010001 | 35 |
| 10010 | 8 | 10000100 | 22 | 100010010 | 36 |
| 100000 | 9 | 10001000 | 23 | 100100000 | 37 |
| 100001 | 10 | 10001001 | 24 | 100100001 | 38 |
| 100010 | 11 | 10010000 | 25 | 100100010 | 39 |
| 100100 | 12 | 10010001 | 26 | 100100100 | 40 |
| 1000000 | 13 | 10010010 | 27 | 1000000000 | 41 |
| 1000001 | 14 | 100000000 | 28 |  |  |

or if the terms of the sequence are denoted by $T_{n}$,

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}-2}
$$

Will this continue? If we go beyond 41 the largest number that can be represented before increasing the number of digits is 100000000 plus 1001001 . Since this puts a 1 threeplaces beyond the first 1 and is the largest number that can be represented of this type. Hence one followed by 10 zeros is $41+19$ or 60 . Evidently the argument can be applied in general.

Going one step further, we set the condition that two ones may not have less than three zeros between them.

Table 4
CORRELATION OF INTEGERS WITH 1-0 REPRESENTATIONS, NO TWO ONES SEPARATED BY LESS THAN THREE ZEROS

| Representations | Integers | Representations | Integers | Representations | Integers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 100001 | 8 | 10000001 | 15 |
| 10 | 2 | 100010 | 9 | 10000010 | 16 |
| 100 | 3 | 1000000 | 10 | 10000100 | 17 |
| 1000 | 4 | 1000001 | 11 | 10001000 | 18 |
| 10000 | 5 | 1000010 | 12 | 100000000 | 19 |
| 10001 | 6 | 1000100 | 13 | 100000001 | 20 |
| 100000 | 7 | 10000000 | 14 | 100000010 | 21 |

(Table continues on the following page.)

Table 4 (Continued)

| Representations | Integers | Representations | Integers | Representations | Integers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100000100 | 22 | 1000000001 | 27 | 1000010001 | 32 |
| 100001000 | 23 | 1000000010 | 28 | 1000100000 | 33 |
| 100010000 | 24 | 1000000100 | 29 | 1000100001 | 34 |
| 100010001 | 25 | 1000001000 | 30 | 1000100010 | 35 |
| 100000000 | 26 | 1000010000 | 31 | 1000000000 | 36 |

We note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 14=10+4 \\
& 19=14+5 \\
& 26=19+7 \\
& 36=26+10
\end{aligned}
$$

suggesting the relation

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}-3}
$$

The following table summarizes the situation out to the case in which two ones may not have less than six zeros between them (system denoted $S_{6}$ ).

Table 5
NUMBERS REPRESENTED BY A UNIT IN THE $n{ }^{\text {th }}$ PLACE FROM THE LEFT FOR VARIOUS ZERO SPACINGS

| n | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{6}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 16 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 32 | 13 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 64 | 21 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| 8 | 128 | 34 | 19 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 8 |
| 9 | 256 | 55 | 28 | 19 | 15 | 12 | 10 |
| 10 | 512 | 89 | 41 | 26 | 20 | 16 | 13 |
| 11 | 1024 | 144 | 60 | 36 | 26 | 21 | 17 |
| 12 | 2048 | 233 | 88 | 50 | 34 | 27 | 22 |
| 13 | 4096 | 377 | 129 | 69 | 45 | 34 | 28 |
| 14 | 8192 | 610 | 189 | 95 | 60 | 43 | 35 |
| 15 | 16384 | 987 | 277 | 131 | 80 | 55 | 43 |
| 16 | 32768 | 1597 | 406 | 181 | 106 | 71 | 53 |

(Table continues on following page.)

Table 5 (Continued)

| n | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{6}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | 65536 | 2584 | 595 | 250 | 140 | 92 | 66 |
| 18 | 131072 | 4181 | 872 | 345 | 185 | 119 | 83 |
| 19 | 262144 | 6765 | 1278 | 476 | 245 | 153 | 105 |
| 20 | 524288 | 10946 | 1873 | 657 | 325 | 196 | 133 |

To represent a given number in any one of these systems it is simply necessary to keep subtracting out the largest number less than or equal to the remainder. Thus to represent 342 (base 10) in $\mathrm{S}_{4}$, we proceed as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
342-325=17 \\
17-15=2 .
\end{array}
$$

The representation is 10000000000100000010. Representations of 342 in all the systems are as follows.

| $S_{0}$ | 101010110 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $S_{1}$ | 101000101010 |
| $S_{2}$ | 100010000001001 |
| $S_{3}$ | 10001000100001000 |
| $S_{4}$ | 10000000000100000010 |
| $S_{5}$ | 1000000000001000001000 |
| $S_{6}$ | 100000000000000100000010 |

## GENERATING FUNCTIONS OF THESE SYSTEMS

The following are somewhat more advanced considerations for the benefit of those who can pursue them. A generating function as employed here is an algebraic expression which on being developed into an infinite power series has for coefficients the terms of a given sequence. Thus for $\mathrm{S}_{0}$, it can be found by a straight process of division that formally:

$$
\frac{1}{1-2 x}=1+2 x+2^{2} x^{2}+2^{3} x^{3}+2^{4} x^{4}+\cdots
$$

For $S_{1}$, the Fibonacci sequence, it is known that:

$$
\frac{1+x}{1-x-x^{2}}=F_{2}+F_{3} x+F_{4} x^{2}+F_{5} x^{3}+\cdots
$$

The process of determining such coefficients may be illustrated by this case. Set

$$
\frac{1+x}{1-x-x^{2}}=a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x^{3}+a_{4} x^{4}+\cdots,
$$

so that on multiplying through by $1-x-x^{2}$,

$$
1+x=\left(1-x-x^{2}\right)\left(a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x^{3}+a_{4} x^{4}+\cdots\right)
$$

This must be an identity so that the coefficients of the powers of x on the left-hand side must equal the coefficients of the corresponding powers of x on the right-hand side. Thus:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a_{0}=1 & & \\
a_{1}-a_{0}=1, & \text { so that } & a_{1}=2 \\
a_{2}-a_{1}-a_{0}=0, & \text { so that } & a_{2}=3 \\
a_{3}-a_{2}-a_{1}=0, & \text { so that } & a_{3}=5
\end{array}
$$

and since in general $a_{n}-a_{n-1}-a_{n-2}=0$, it is clear that the Fibonacci relation holds for successive sets of terms of the sequence, so that the Fibonacci numbers must continue to appear in order with $a_{n}=F_{n+2}$.

On the basis of the initial terms of the sequence and the type of recursion relation involved, the generating function for $S_{2}$ should be:

$$
\frac{1+x+x^{2}}{1-x-x^{3}}
$$

which can be verified in the same way as for $S_{1}$.
In general for $S_{k}$, the generating function would be:

$$
\frac{1+x+x^{2}+\cdots+x^{k}}{1-x-x^{k+1}}
$$

## CONCLUSION

There is an endless sequence of number representations involving only ones and zeros with the following properties:

1. In each system, every number has a unique representation.
2. In the system $S_{k}$ (two ones separated by not less than $k$ zeros), the recursion relation connecting the numbers represented by units in the various positions is:

$$
T_{n+1}=T_{n}+T_{n-k}
$$

3. The well known unique representations in base 2 and by means of non-adjacent Fibonacci numbers (Zeckendorf's Theorem) are the first two of these number representations, namely, $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$.
