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The rectangle in the figure is composed of unit squares: $N P=F_{2 n}, \quad N Q=F_{2 n+1}$, $N R=F_{2 n+2}$ and $M N=1$. It follows that $M P=\left(F_{2 n}^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad P Q=F_{2 n+1}-F_{2 n}$, and $P R=F_{2 n+2}-F_{2 n}$.


Starting with the well-known identity,

$$
\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}-\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+3}=1
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{2 n+1} F_{2 n+2}-F_{2 n}\left(F_{2 n+1}+F_{2 n+2}\right)+F_{2 n}^{2}=F_{2 n}^{2}+1 \\
\left(F_{2 n+1}-F_{2 n}\right)\left(F_{2 n+2}-F_{2 n}\right)=F_{2 n}^{2}+1 \\
\left(F_{2 n+1}-F_{2 n}\right):\left(F_{2 n}^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(F_{2 n}^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}:\left(F_{2 n+2}-F_{2 n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, triangles QPM and MPR are similar, since the sides including their common angle are proportional. Therefore $\angle M R P=\angle Q M P$. It follows that $\angle M P N=$ $\angle \mathrm{QMP}+\angle \mathrm{MQP}=\angle \mathrm{MRP}+\angle \mathrm{MQP}$. That is, $\operatorname{arccot} \mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=\operatorname{arccot} \mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}+\operatorname{arccot} \mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}$. Thus we write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{arccot} 1 & =\operatorname{arccot} 2+\operatorname{arccot} 3 \\
& =\operatorname{arccot} 2+\operatorname{arccot} 5+\operatorname{arccot} 8 \\
& =\operatorname{arccot} 2+\operatorname{arccot} 5+\operatorname{arccot} 13+\operatorname{arccot} 21 \\
& =\cdots \\
& =\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} \operatorname{arccot} \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{i}+1}+\operatorname{arccot} \mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2} \\
& =\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{arccot} \mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{i}+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This result was announced by D. H. Lehmer [1] in 1936, and proved in different ways by M. A. Heaslet [2] and V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. [3,4]. The first value of arccot 1 above applies
to Gardner's three-square problem [5] which has been proven synthetically in 54 ways [6]. Proof of the second value of arccot 1 is asked for in [7].
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$$
\lambda=\mathrm{k} \frac{\mathrm{n}^{2}}{\mathrm{n}^{2}-2^{2}} \quad(\mathrm{n}=3,4,5,6)
$$

or in the better known form:

$$
\nu=\mathrm{R}\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}^{2}}\right)
$$

where $\nu$ is the frequency and R the "Rydberg's constant."
It may be of interest to note that all denominators of the simple fractions used by Balmer for deriving his formula, i.e., 3, 5, 8 and 21, are Fibonacci numbers.

