Now if $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $F_x(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for all *n*, by the definition of $F_x(n)$.

If $x \neq 0 \pmod{p}$, from Lemma 5 there exists a number a such that $F_x(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we assume that a is the least such number, and a > 1 since $F_x(1) = 1$ for all x. It can be shown inductively that $F_x(n + a) \equiv sF_x(n) \pmod{p}$ for all n, where $s \equiv F_x(a+1) \pmod{p}$, and $s \neq 0$ since $s \equiv 0$ would imply $F_x(a-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then if $F_x(r) \equiv 0$ (mod p), there exists r' such that

$$r' \equiv r \pmod{a}, \quad 0 < r' \leq a, \quad \text{and} \quad F_{x}(r') \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

By the definition of a, r' < a is absurd, therefore r' = a.

Let P be prime and p a prime factor of $F_{\chi}(P)$. Then

$$F_{v}(P) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
 and $x \neq 0 \pmod{p}$

$$n = 1 \pmod{n}$$
 for all n .

since, if $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $F_x(n) \equiv \hat{1} \pmod{p}$ for all *n*. Thus $P \equiv 0 \pmod{a}$ and since *P* is prime, P = a. Let *p'* be either *p*, *p* - 1, or *p* + 1, such that

$$F_{\star}(p') \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

(from Lemma 3). Then p' is an integral multiple of P and the theorem follows.

I mentioned this result to Dr. P.M. Lee of York University and he has pointed out to me that Lemma 3 can be derived from H. Siebeck's work on recurring series (L.E. Dickson, History of the Theory of Numbers, p. 394f). A colleague of his has also discovered a non-elementary proof of the above theorem.

I am myself only an amateur mathematician, so I would ask you to excuse any resulting awkwardnesses in my presentation of this theorem and proof.

> Yours faithfully, Alexander G. Abercrombie

[Continued from Page 146.]

There is room for considerable work regarding possible lengths of periods. For various values of p and q we found periods of lengths: 1, 2, 8, 9, 17, 25, 33, 35, 42, 43, 61, 69,

GENERALIZED PERIODS

For various sequence types, it is possible to arrive at generalized periods. Some examples are the following. (p, p-1): 2p - 2, 2p - 3, 2p - 3, 2p - 2, 2p, 2p + 2, 2p + 3, 2p + 2, 2p, where p is large enough to make all guantities positive.

 $(p,p): 2p, 2p + 2, 2p, 2p + 1, 2p - 1, 2p, 2p - 1, 2p + 1, where <math>p \ge 2$.

2p - 1, 2p + 1, 2p - 1, 2p + 2, 2p, 2p + 3, 2p, 2p + 2, where $p \ge 2$, and many others.

(p + 1, p): 2p - 1, 2p, 2p + 2, 2p + 4, 2p + 5, 2p + 4, 2p + 2, 2p, 2p - 1 fpr $p \ge 3$. (Period of length 9)

2p, 2p + 1, 2p + 5, 2p + 5, 2p + 5, 2p + 1, 2p, 2p - 3, 2p - 1, 2p - 1, 2p + 4, 2p + 4, 2p + 7, 2p + 3,

2p + 2, 2p - 3, 2p - 2, 2p - 3, 2p + 2, 2p + 3, 2p + 8, 2p + 7, 2p + 4, 2p + 4, 2p - 1, 2p - 1, 2p - 3,

for $p \ge 24$ (Feriod of length 26), and many others.

A schematic method was used which made the work of arriving at these results somewhat less laborious.

NON-PERIODIC SEQUENCES

In studying the sequences (3,4), non-periodic sequences of a quasi-periodic type were found. They have the peculiar property that alternate terms form a regular pattern in groups of four, while the intermediate terms between these pattern terms become unbounded. This situation arises in sequences (p,q) for which q is greater than p.

As an example of such a non-periodic sequence in the case (4,7) the sequence beginning with 1,3,4, follows: 1, 3, 4, 37, 59, 124, 25, 17, 2, 6, 3, 27, 22, 93, 20, 34, 3, 13, 3, 35, 13, 99, 14, 58, 4, 31, 3, 58, 9, 148, 12, 121, 4, 72, 3, 129, 8, 312, 11, 279, 4, 179, 3, 317, 8, 751, 10, 663, 4, 466, 3, 819, 8, 1922, 10, 1687, 4, 1183, 3, 2074, 8, 4850, 10, 4249, 4, 2976, 3, 5211, 8, 12170, 10,

Note the regular periodicidity of 3,8,10,4 with the sets of intermediate terms increasing as the sequence progresses. The various types of non-periodic sequence for (4,7) are:

[Continued on Page 184.]