

ON ISOMORPHISMS BETWEEN THE NATURALS AND THE INTEGERS

SAMUEL T. STERN
State University College at Buffalo, New York 14222

The mapping

$$g(m) = \left[\frac{m}{2} \right] (-1)^m,$$

where $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer in x , from the set of naturals N onto the set of all integers I is one-to-one. This mapping fails to preserve natural order and the operations of ordinary addition and multiplication. For while $2 < 3$, $g(2) \nless g(3)$; also $g(2+3) \neq g(2)+g(3)$ and $g(2 \cdot 3) \neq g(2)g(3)$. However, it is possible to define an appropriate order relation $\{$ and binary operations $(+)$ and (\cdot) on I , while retaining natural order and ordinary addition and multiplication on N such that g will become an isomorphism of N to I , preserving order, addition, and multiplication as follows:

$$(1) \quad x \{ y \text{ means } \begin{cases} |x| > |y| & \text{if } |x| \neq |y| \\ x < 0 \text{ and } y > 0 & \text{if } |x| = |y| \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \quad x (+) y = \left[\frac{1 + |2x - \frac{1}{2}| + |2y - \frac{1}{2}|}{2} \right] (-1)^{1 + |2x - \frac{1}{2}| + |2y - \frac{1}{2}|}$$

$$(3) \quad x (\cdot) y = \left[\frac{1 + |4x - 1| + |4y - 1| + |4x - 1||4y - 1|}{8} \right] (-1)^{\frac{1 + |4x - 1| + |4y - 1| + |4x - 1||4y - 1|}{4}}$$

Noting that $[m/2]$ is equal to $m/2$ if m is even and $(m-1)/2$ if m is odd, it is easy to show that $m > n$ if and only if $g(m) \{ g(n)$. Furthermore,

$$g(m+n) = g(m) (+) g(n) \quad \text{and} \quad g(mn) = g(m) (\cdot) g(n).$$

An analogous treatment can be given the integers interpreted as equivalence classes of nonnegative integers. We let A be the set of all ordered pairs (a,b) of nonnegative integers and let $(a,b) \sim (c,d)$ if and only if $a+d = b+c$. This defines an equivalence relation \sim on A . Let B be the set of all equivalence classes of A with respect to this relation. Consider the mapping

$$(4) \quad f(m) = K \left(\frac{m}{4} (1 + (-1)^m), \frac{m-1}{4} (1 + (-1)^{m-1}) \right),$$

where $K(a,b)$ denotes the equivalence class of A which contains (a,b) . f is one-to-one from N onto B . For let $K(a,b)$ represent an arbitrary element of B . If $a = b$ then $f(1) = K(a,b)$. If $a = b + k$, k a positive integer, then, $f(2k) = K(a,b)$. If $b = a + k$, k a positive integer, then $f(2k+1) = K(a,b)$. Furthermore if

$$K \left(\frac{m}{4} (1 + (-1)^m), \frac{m-1}{4} (1 + (-1)^{m-1}) \right) = K \left(\frac{n}{4} (1 + (-1)^n), \frac{n-1}{4} (1 + (-1)^{n-1}) \right)$$

then $(-1)^m(2m-1) = (-1)^n(2n-1)$. Hence m and n must be either both even or both odd, and it follows that $m = n$.

The absolute value of an element $K(a,b)$ of B , denoted by $|a,b|$ is defined as follows:

$$(5) \quad |a,b| = \begin{cases} K(a,b) & \text{if } a > b \\ K(b,a) & \text{if } a \leq b \end{cases}$$

The order relation Δ is defined on B as follows:

$$(6) \quad K(a,b) \Delta K(c,d) \text{ if and only if } a+d > b+c.$$

The order relation ∇ is defined on B as follows:

$$(7) \quad K(a,b) \nabla K(c,d) \text{ means } \begin{cases} |a,b| \Delta |c,d| \text{ if } |a,b| \neq |c,d| \\ a < b \text{ and } c > d \text{ if } |a,b| = |c,d|. \end{cases}$$

We show that with the relation of (7) on B and natural order on N the mapping (4) is an order isomorphism. For suppose that

$$K\left(\frac{m}{4}(1+(-1)^m), \frac{m-1}{4}(1+(-1)^{m-1})\right) \nabla K\left(\frac{n}{4}(1+(-1)^n), \frac{n-1}{4}(1+(-1)^{n-1})\right)$$

If these have the same absolute value, then by (7),

$$(-1)^{m+1}(2m-1) > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (-1)^{n+1}(2n-1) < 1.$$

From the first of these inequalities we see that m is odd and since $2n-1$ is not zero $(-1)^{n+1}(2n-1)$ must be a negative integer, whence n is even. Thus

$$\left|0, \frac{m-1}{2}\right| = \left|\frac{n}{2}, 0\right|,$$

that is,

$$K\left(\frac{m-1}{2}, 0\right) = K\left(\frac{n}{2}, 0\right),$$

which implies $m > n$.

On the other hand if the two equivalence classes have different absolute values then

$$K\left(\frac{m}{2}, 0\right) \Delta K\left(\frac{n}{2}, 0\right)$$

if m and n are both even,

$$K\left(\frac{m-1}{2}, 0\right) \Delta K\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, 0\right)$$

if m and n are both odd, and

$$K\left(\frac{m-1}{2}, 0\right) \Delta K\left(\frac{n}{2}, 0\right)$$

if m is odd and n even. In each case we have $m > n$. If m is even and n odd then

$$K\left(\frac{m}{2}, 0\right) \Delta K\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, 0\right)$$

which implies $m \geq n$. But $m \neq n$. Hence $m > n$. Conversely, let $m > n$. Then if m and n are even,

$$\left|\frac{m}{2}, 0\right| \Delta \left|\frac{n}{2}, 0\right| \quad \text{and} \quad K\left(\frac{m}{2}, 0\right) \nabla K\left(\frac{n}{2}, 0\right).$$

If m and n are odd, then

$$\left|0, \frac{m-1}{2}\right| \Delta \left|0, \frac{n-1}{2}\right| \quad \text{and} \quad K\left(0, \frac{m-1}{2}\right) \nabla K\left(0, \frac{n-1}{2}\right).$$

If m is odd and n even and if also $m = n+1$, then

$$\left|0, \frac{m-1}{2}\right| = \left|\frac{n}{2}, 0\right|.$$

But if $m > n+1$, then

$$\left|0, \frac{m-1}{2}\right| \Delta \left|\frac{n}{2}, 0\right|.$$

Either way

$$K\left(0, \frac{m-1}{2}\right) \nabla K\left(\frac{n}{2}, 0\right).$$

If m is even and n odd, then

$$\left| \frac{m}{2}, 0 \right| \Delta \left| 0, \frac{n-1}{2} \right| \quad \text{and} \quad K \left(\frac{m}{2}, 0 \right) \nabla K \left(0, \frac{n-1}{2} \right).$$

Thus we have shown that $m > n$ if and only if $f(m) \nabla f(n)$.

The operations \oplus , of addition and \otimes , of multiplication are defined on B as follows:

$$(8) \quad K(a,b) \oplus K(c,d) = \begin{cases} K(a+c, b+d) & \text{if } m,n \text{ are even} \\ K(b+d+1, a+c) & \text{if } m,n \text{ are odd} \\ K(b+c, a+d) & \text{if } m \text{ is even, } n \text{ odd} \\ K(a+d, b+c) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd, } n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$K(a,b) \otimes K(c,d) = \begin{cases} K(2(a-b)(c-d), 0) & \text{if } m,n \text{ are even} \\ K(c,d+2(a-b)(c-d)+b-a) & \text{if } m,n \text{ odd} \\ K(a+2(a-b)(d-c), b) & \text{if } m \text{ is even, } n \text{ odd} \\ K(c+2(a-b)(d-c), d) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd, } n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

where m, n are the positive integers corresponding to (a, b) and (c, d) , respectively in (4).

It is easy to show that

$$f(m+n) = f(m) \oplus f(n) \quad \text{and} \quad f(mn) = f(m) \otimes f(n).$$

A treatment similar to that above for arithmetic and geometric progressions can be found in [1].

REFERENCE

1. M. D. Darkow, "Interpretations of the Peano Postulates," *Amer. Math. Monthly*, Vol. 64, 1957, pp. 270-271.

A FIBONACCI CURIOSITY

LEON BANKOFF

Los Angeles, California 90048

In the Fibonacci sequence $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1, \dots, F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$,

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{the sum of the digits of } F_0 = 0 \\ \text{" " " " " " } F_1 = 1 \\ \text{" " " " " " } F_5 = 5 \\ \text{" " " " " " } F_{10} = 10 \\ \text{" " " " " " } F_{31} = 31 \\ \text{" " " " " " } F_{35} = 35 \\ \text{" " " " " " } F_{62} = 62 \\ \text{" " " " " " } F_{72} = 72 \end{array}$$
