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H-267 Proposed by V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., San Jose State University, San Jose, California.

Show that

\[ S(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kn+1} (k nx)^n n! \]

satisfies \( S(x) = e^{x S_k(x)} \).


Put

\[ S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} S(n,k)x^k, \]

where \( S(n,k) \) denotes the Stirling number of the second kind defined by

\[ x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} S(n,k)x(x-1)\ldots(x-k+1). \]

Show that

\[
\begin{aligned}
    x S_n(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} S_{j+1}(x) \\
    S_{n+1}(x) &= x \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} S_j(x).
\end{aligned}
\]

More generally evaluate the coefficients \( c(n,k,j) \) in the expansion

\[ x^k S_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+k} c(n,k,j) S_j(x) \quad (k, n > 0). \]

**SOLUTIONS**

**SYSTEMATIC WORK**


Solve the system of equations

466
(*) \[ x_j = a_j + \mu a_j \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} x_t + a_j \sum_{t=j+1}^{n} x_t \quad (j = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \]

for \( x = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n \), where \( a_k \neq 0 \; (k = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \) and \( \lambda \neq \mu \).

Solution by the Proposer.

For \( j = 1 \), (*) reduces to

\[ x_1 = a_1 + a_1 x_1 + \lambda a_1 (x - x_1) , \]

so that

\[ (1 - (1 - \lambda) a_1) x_1 = a_1 (\lambda x + 1) . \]

For \( j = 2 \), (*) becomes

\[ x_2 = a_2 + \mu a_2 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \lambda a_2 (x - x_1 - x_2) , \]

so that

\[ (1 - (1 - \lambda) a_2) x_2 = a_2 (\lambda x + 1) - a_2 (\lambda - \mu) x_1 . \]

Hence

\[ (1 - (1 - \lambda) a_2) (1 - (1 - \lambda) a_1) x_2 = a_2 \left( 1 - (1 - \mu) a_1 \right) (\lambda x + 1) . \]

Similarly, for \( j = 3 \),

\[ x_3 = a_3 + \mu a_3 (x_1 + x_2) + a_3 x_3 + \lambda a_3 (x - x_1 - x_2 - x_3) . \]

After a little manipulation we get

\[ (1 - (1 - \lambda) a_3) (1 - (1 - \lambda) a_2) (1 - (1 - \lambda) a_1) x_3 = a_3 \left( 1 - (1 - \mu) a_1 \right) \left( 1 - (1 - \mu) a_2 \right) (\lambda x + 1) . \]

The general formula

\[ f_1(\lambda) f_2(\lambda) \cdots f_k(\lambda) = a_k f_1(\mu) \cdots f_{k-1}(\mu) (\lambda x + 1) \quad (1 < k < n) , \]

where

\[ f_k(\lambda) = 1 - (1 - \lambda) a_k , \]

is now easily proved by induction on \( k \).

Returning to (*), we take \( j = n \). Thus

\[ x_n = a_n + \mu a_n (x - x_n) + a_n x_n , \]

so that

\[ (1 - (1 - \mu) a_n) x_n (\mu x + 1) . \]

For \( j = n - 1 \) we get

\[ x_{n-1} = a_{n-1} + \mu a_{n-1} (x - x_n - x_{n-1}) + a_{n-1} x_{n-1} + \lambda a_{n-1} x_n . \]

This gives

\[ (1 - (1 - \mu) a_n) (1 - (1 - \mu) a_{n-1}) x_{n-1} = a_{n-1} \left( 1 - (1 - \lambda) a_n \right) (\mu x + 1) . \]

Similarly, for \( j = n - 2 \),

\[ (1 - (1 - \mu) a_n) (1 - (1 - \mu) a_{n-1}) (1 - (1 - \mu) a_{n-2}) x_{n-2} = a_{n-2} \left( 1 - (1 - \lambda) a_n \right) \left( 1 - (1 - \lambda) a_{n-1} \right) (\mu x + 1) . \]

The general formula

\[ f_n(\mu) f_{n-1}(\mu) \cdots f_{n-k+1}(\mu) (x + 1) = a_n f_{n-k+1}(\lambda) \cdots a_{k+2}(\lambda) (\mu x + 1) \quad (1 < k < n) \]

is easily proved by induction.

In (2) replace \( k \) by \( n - k + 1 \):

\[ f_n(\mu) f_{n-1}(\mu) \cdots f_k(\mu) = a_k f_n(\lambda) \cdots f_{k+1}(\lambda) (\mu x + 1) \quad (1 < k < n) . \]

Comparing (3) with (1) we get

\[ a_k f_1(\mu) \cdots f_{k-1}(\mu) (\lambda x + 1) = a_k f_n(\lambda) \cdots f_{k+1}(\lambda) \cdot f_k(\mu) (\mu x + 1) . \]
Since \( a_k \neq 0 \), it follows that

\[ F_n(\mu)(\lambda x + 1) = F_n(\lambda)(\mu x + 1), \]

where

\[ (5) \quad F_n(\lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} f_k(\lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( 1 - (1 - \lambda)a_k \right). \]

Solving (4) for \( x \), we get

\[ (6) \quad x = \frac{F_n(\lambda) - F_n(\mu)}{\lambda F_n(\mu) - \mu F_n(\lambda)}. \]

Since, by (6),

\[ x + 1 = \frac{(\lambda - \mu)F_n(\lambda)}{\lambda F_n(\mu) - \mu F_n(\lambda)}. \]

Hence (1) gives

\[ (7) \quad x_k = a_k \frac{F_{k-1}(\mu)}{F_k(\lambda)} \frac{(\lambda - \mu)F_n(\lambda)}{\lambda F_n(\mu) - \mu F_n(\lambda)} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n), \]

where

\[ F_k(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} f_k(\lambda) \quad (1 \leq k \leq n). \]

**PRODUCTIVE IDENTITY**

**H-245 Proposed by P. Bruckman, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois.**

Prove the identity

\[ (1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}(k-1)!}{(k)_k(k)_{n-k}} = 2 \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \frac{(1 + x^r)}{(k)_n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \]

where

\[ (k)_n = (1 - x)(1 - x^2)(1 - x^3) \cdots (1 - x^n), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots; \quad (k)_0 = 1. \]

**Solution by the Proposer.**

**Lemma 1.** If

\[ A(w,x) = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + x^r w}{(1 + x^r)}, \quad \text{then} \quad A(w,x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}}{(k)_n} w^n. \]

**Proof.** In a previously submitted proposed problem for this section [H-236], the author established the following identity:

\[ (2) \quad f(x,y) = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + y^r z^r}{(1 + y^r)}, \quad \text{then} \quad f(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}}{(k)_n} z^n. \]

Letting \( y = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \), \( z = wx^{\frac{1}{2}} \) in this identity, we find that the lemma is established, with \( A(w,x) = f(wx^{\frac{1}{2}}, x^{\frac{1}{2}}). \)

**Lemma 2.** If

\[ B(w,x) = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - x^r w^{-1}}{(1 - x^r)}, \quad \text{then} \quad B(w,x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(k)_n} w^n. \]

**Proof.** This is equivalent to identity (7) in the above-mentioned problem. Now, consider the product

\[ F(w,x) = A(w,x)B(w,x), \]

which is also equal to

\[ \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + x^r w}{1 - x^r w}. \]
We observe that

\[ F(w, x) = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + x^r w}{1 - x^r w} = \prod_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 + x^r w}{1 - x^r w} = \frac{1 - xw}{1 + xw} F(w, x). \]

Now suppose

\[ F(w, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_n(x) w^n. \]

We then have

\[ (1 - xw) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_n(x) w^n = (1 + xw) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_n(x) (xw)^n, \]

which yields the recursion

\[ \theta_n(x) = \frac{x(1 + x^{n-1})}{1 - x^n} \theta_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

Since \( F(0, x) = 1 = \theta_0(x) \), we readily obtain, by induction, that

\[ \theta_n(x) = 2x^n(1 + x)(1 + x^2) \cdots (1 + x^{n-1}) \left( \frac{x}{(x)_n} \right), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \]

with \( \theta_0(x) = 1 \).

Hence,

\[ F(w, x) = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + x^r w}{1 - x^r w} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{x^n}{(x)_n} \right) \frac{(1 + x) \cdots (1 + x^{n-1})}{(x)_n}. \]

However, since

\[ F(w, x) = A(w, x) B(w, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(x)_n} w^n \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(x)_n} w^n, \]

we also obtain the formula

\[ F(w, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{x^n}{(x)_k} \frac{x^{n-k}}{(x)_k(n-k)}. \]

Comparing coefficients of \( w \) in (3) and (4), we obtain for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \),

\[ 2x^n(1 + x) \cdots (1 + x^{n-1}) \frac{x^n}{(x)_n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{x^{n+k(k-1)}}{(x)_k(n-k)}. \]

Upon dividing each side by \( x^n \), we find that (1) is established.

Also solved by P. Tracy and A. Shannon.

Fib, Luc, et al.

H-246 Proposed by L. Carlitz, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.

Put

\[ F(m, n) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} F_i F_{m-i} F_{i+j} F_{i+n-j} F_{m-i+n-j}, \]

\[ L(m, n) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} L_i L_{m-i} L_{i+j} L_{i+n-j} L_{m-i+n-j}. \]

[Continued on page 473.]