REFERENCES

- 1. Niels Henrick Abel, Crelle's Journal, I, 1826, p. 311. The proof for complex exponents also appears in Abel's Oeuvres, I. p. 219, Christiania, 1881,
- 2. G. Chrystal, Textbook of Algebra, Vols, I and II, Chelsea, New York, 1964. This is a reprint of works of 1886 and 1889. Also reprinted by Dover, New York, 1961.
- 3. David Lee Hilliker, "A Study in the History of Analysis up to the Time of Leibniz and Newton in Regard to Newton's Discovery of the Binomial Theorem. First part, Contributions of Pascal," The Mathematics Student, Vol. XL, No. 1 (1972), pp. 28-34.
- , "A Study in the History of Analysis up to the Time of Leibniz and Newton in Regard to 4. Newton's Discovery of the Binomial Theorem. Second part, Contributions of Archimedes," The Mathematics Student, Vol. XLII, No. 1 (1974), pp. 107-110.
- , "A Study in the History of Analysis up to the Time of Leibniz and Newton in Regard to 5. Newton's Discovery of the Binomial Theorem. Third Part, Contributions of Cavalieri," The Mathematics Student, Vol. XLII, No. 2 (1974), pp. 195-200.

, "A Study in the History of Analysis up to the Time of Leibniz and Newton in Regard to 6. Newton's Discovery of the Binomial Theorem. Fourth part, Contributions of Newton," The Mathematics Student, Vol. XLII, No. 4 (1974), pp. 397-404.

____, "On the Infinite Multinomial Expansion," *The Fibonacci Quarterly,* Oct. 1976, 203–205. ____, "On the Multinomial Theorem," *The Fibonacci Quarterly,* to appear, Feb. 1977. 7.

8.

A. I. Markushevich, Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable, Vols. I, II, and III, Prentice-Hall, Engle-9. wood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1965. Translated from the Russian.

[Continued from page 391.]

Let q^{b} denote one of the $p_{i}^{a_{i}}$ and P denote $q^{b-2}(q-1)^{2}$. Now,

(3)
$$q^{b-2}(q-1)^2 = q^{b-1}(q-2+1/q).$$

From (3), it can be seen that P > 1, for all q, and that P > 8, for all $q \ge 11$. Furthermore, for q < 11, the following table can be obtained, by checking the right side of (3) for the case b = 1, and the left side of (3) for the case $b \ge 2$.

Prime q	3	3	5	5	7	7
Exponent <i>b</i>	2	3	1	2	1	2
P greater than	4	8	2	8	4	8
or equal to						

Hence, (2) holds for p - 1 possibly equal to 2.3, 2.3², 2.5, 2.7, 2.3.5, 2.3.7 (a = 1); 4.3, 4.5, 4.3.5 (a = 2); or $8 \cdot 3$ (a = 3); and (2) fails to hold for all other choices. These combinations lead to the primes 7, 11, 13, 19, 31, 43, 61.

Theorem 3. If p is a prime greater than 5, then the primitive roots are not consecutive.

Proof. For the primes excluded in the Lemma, the primitive roots are: for 7 - 3, 5; for 11 - 2, 6, 7, 8; for 13 – 2, 6, 7, 11; for 19 – 2, 3, 10, 13, 14, 15; for 31 – 3, 11, 12, 13, 17, 21, 22, 24; for 43 – 3, 5, 12, 18, 19, 20, 26, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34; for 61 – 2, 6, 7, 10, 17, 18, 26, 30, 31, 35, 43, 44, 51, 54, 55, 59. None of these primes have consecutive primitive roots.

Now, let p denote a prime for which the Lemma applies and suppose that k is a positive integer for which $k^2 \leq p - 1$. Then,

$$k^{2} - (k-1)^{2} = 2 \cdot k - 1 < 2 \cdot k \leq 2\sqrt{p-1} \leq \phi(p-1).$$

Therefore, consecutive squares appear within a span less than $\phi(p - 1)$. Since squares are quadratic residues, and therefore not primitive roots, no string of consecutive primitive roots can be of length $\phi(p-1)$. Consequently, the primitive roots are not consecutive.
