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COMPOSITES AND PRIMES AMONG POWERS OF FIBONACCI NUMBERS, INCREASED OR DECREASED BY ONE
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It is well known that, among the Fibonacci numbers $F_{n}$, given by

$$
F_{1}=1=F_{2}, \quad F_{n+1}=F_{n}+F_{n-1},
$$

$F_{n}+1$ is composite for each $n \geqslant 4$, while $F_{n}-1$ is composite for $n \geqslant 7$. It is easily shown that $F_{n}^{2} \pm 1$ is also composite for any $n$, since

$$
F_{n}^{2} \pm 1=F_{n-2} F_{n+2}, \quad F_{n}^{2} \mp 1=F_{n+1} F_{n-1} .
$$

Here, we raise the question of when $F_{k}^{m} \pm 1$ is composite.
First, if $k \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, then $F_{k}$ is odd, $F_{k}^{m}$ is odd, and $F_{k}^{m} \pm 1$ is even and hence composite. Now, suppose we deal with $F_{3 k}^{m} \pm 1$. Since $A^{n}-B^{n}$ always has $(A-B)$ as a factor, we see that $F_{3 k}^{m}-1^{m}$ is composite except when $(A-B)=1$; that is, for $k=1$. Thus,
Theorem 1. $F_{k}^{m}-1$ is composite, $k \neq 3$.
We return to $F_{3 k}^{m}+1$. For $m$ odd, then $A^{m}+B^{m}$ is known to have the factor $(A+B)$, so that $F_{3 k}^{m}+1^{m}$ has the factor ( $F_{3 k}+1$ ), and hence is composite. If $m$ is even, every even $m$ except powers of 2 can be written in the form $(2 j+1) 2^{i}=m$, so that

$$
F_{3 k}^{m}+1^{m}=\left(F_{3 k}^{2 i}\right)^{2 j+1}+\left(1^{2}\right)^{2 j+1}
$$

which, from the known factors of $A^{m}+B^{m}, m$ odd, must have $\left(F_{3 k}^{2}+1\right)$ as a factor, and hence, $F_{3 k}^{m}+1$ is composite.
This leaves only the case $F_{3 k}^{m}+1$, where $m=2^{i}$. When $k=1$, we have the Fermat primes $2^{2^{i}}+1$, prime for $i=0,1,2,3,4$ but composite for $i=5,6$. It is an unsolved problem whether or not $22^{i}+1$ has other prime values. We note in passing that, when $k=2, F_{6}=8=2^{3}$, and $8^{m} \pm 1=\left(2^{3}\right)^{m} \pm 1=\left(2^{m}\right)^{3} \pm 1$ is always composite, since $A^{3} \pm B^{3}$ is always factorable. It is thought that $F_{9}^{4}+1$ is a prime.
Since $F_{3 k} \equiv 0(\bmod 10), k \equiv 0(\bmod 5), F_{15 k^{\prime}}^{2 i}+1=102^{i} \cdot t+1$.
Since $F_{3 k}^{2^{i}} \equiv 6(\bmod 10), i \geqslant 2, k \not \equiv 0(\bmod 5), F_{3 k}^{2^{i}}+1$ has the form $10 t+7, k \neq 0(\bmod 5)$. We can summarize these remarks as
Theorem 2. $F_{k}^{m}+1$ is composite, $k \neq 3$ s, $F_{3 k}^{m}+1$ is composite, $m \neq 2^{i}$.
It is worthwhile to note the actual factors in at least one case. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{k+2} F_{k-2}-F_{k}^{2}=(-1)^{k+1} \\
& F_{k+1} F_{k-1}-F_{k}^{2}=(-1)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

moving $F_{k}^{2}$ to the right-hand side and then multiplying yields

$$
F_{k-2} F_{k-1} F_{k+1} F_{k+2}=F_{k}^{4}-1
$$

We now note that

$$
F_{k}^{5}-F_{k}=F_{k-2} F_{k-1} F_{k} F_{k+1} F_{k+2}
$$

which causes one to ask if this is divisible by 5 !. The answer is yes, if $k \not \equiv 3(\bmod 6)$, but if $k \equiv 3(\bmod 6)$, then only 30 can be guaranteed as a divisor.

