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Show that
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{p}{m-i} \binom{q}{m-j} \binom{p+q-m+j}{j} = c_m(p, q, r) \]
is symmetric in \( p, q, r \).


Consider, after Hoggatt and H.257, the array \( D \), indicated below in which \( L_{2n+1} \) \( (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots) \) is written in staggered columns

\[
\begin{align*}
1 & \\
4 & 1 \\
11 & 4 & 1 \\
29 & 11 & 4 & 1 \\
76 & 29 & 11 & 4 & 1
\end{align*}
\]

i. Show that the row sums are \( L_{2n+2} - 2 \).
ii. Show that the rising diagonal sums are \( L_{2n+3} - 1 \), where \( L_{2n+1} \) is the largest element in the sum.
iii. Show that if the columns are multiplied by \( 1, 2, 3, \ldots \) sequentially to the right then the row sums are \( L_{2n+3} - (2n + 3) \).

SOLUTIONS

LOOK SERIES

H.251 Proposed by P. Bruckman, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois.

Prove the identity:
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(x^n)^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(x^n)^2}, \]
where
\[ (x)_n = (1 - x)(1 - x^2) \ldots (1 - x^n), \quad (x)_0 = 1. \]

Solution by the Proposer.

Define \( f(z, y) \) by the following:
\[
(1) \quad f(z, y) = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 + y^{2r-1}z).
\]
Then we may set
\[ f(z,y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m(y) z^m, \]
also observing that \( f(0,y) = 1 = A_0(y). \)

Now,
\[ f(y^2 z, y) = (1 + yz)^{-1} f(z, y), \]
which is readily derived from the definition of \( f(z, y), \) i.e.,
\[ f(z, y) = (1 + yz) f(y^2 z, y). \]

Translating this relation into series notation, we obtain the following:
\[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m(y) z^m = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m(y) y^{2m} z^m + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{m-1}(y) y^{2m-1} z^m. \]

This yields the simple recursion:
\[ (1 - y^{2m}) A_m(y) = y^{2m} A_{m-1}(y), \]
with \( A_0(y) = 1. \) By an easy induction, we derive the formula:
\[ A_m(y) = \frac{y^{m^2}}{(y^2)^m} \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots). \]

Hence,
\[ f(z, y) = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 + y^{2^{r-1}} z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{m^2}}{(y^2)^m} z^m. \]

Similarly,
\[ f(z^{-1}, y) = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 + y^{2^{r-1}} z^{-1}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{n^2}}{(y^2)^n} z^{-n}. \]

We now employ the well known Jacobi identity:
\[ f(z, y) f(z^{-1}, y) = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 - y^{2^{r}}) = \sum_{k=\infty}^{\infty} y^k z^k. \]

Let \( \theta(y) \) denote the coefficient of \( y^0 \) in \( f(z, y) f(z^{-1}, y). \) Multiplying the series in (2) and (3), we see that \( \theta(y) \) is obtained by letting \( m = n; \) hence,
\[ \theta(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2k^2}}{(y^2)^k}. \]

However, from (4),
\[ \theta(y) = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 - y^{2^r})^{-1}. \]

Making the substitution \( x = y^2 \) we obtain the result:
\[ \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^r)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(x)_n}. \]

Now the infinite product in (6) is the well known generating function for \( p(n), \) the number of partitions of \( n; \) however, it is also equal to the series:
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(x)_n}. \]
To establish this, define
\[ g(z,x) = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 - zx^r)^{-1}, \]
and set
\[ g(z,x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x)x^n, \]
observing that \( g(0,x) = 1 = B_0(x). \) By inspection of the infinite product definition of \( g(z,x), \) we may obtain the relation: \( g(z,x) = (1 - zx)g(z,x); \) as before, translating this into the infinite series expansions, we obtain the recursion:
\[ (1 - x^n)B_n(x) = xB_{n-1}(x), \quad B_0(x) = 1. \]
From this, we readily establish that
\[ B_n(x) = x^n/(x)_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \]
Hence, we have derived the following:
\[ \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^r)^{-1} = g(1,x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho(n)x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(x)_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x)_n} \right)^2}, \]
for suitable region of convergence (actually, for \( |x| < 1. \)) This establishes the result.

Also solved by G. Lord and P. Tracy.

**SUB PRODUCT**

H-252 Proposed by V. E. Haggott, Jr., San Jose State College, San Jose, California.

Let \( A_{n \times n} \) be an \( n \times n \) lower semi-matrix and \( B_{n \times n}, C_{n \times n} \) be matrices such that \( A_{n \times n}B_{n \times n} = C_{n \times n}. \) Let \( A_{k \times k}, B_{k \times k}, C_{k \times k} \) be the \( k \times k \) upper left submatrices of \( A_{n \times n}, B_{n \times n}, \) and \( C_{n \times n}. \) Show \( A_{k \times k}B_{k \times k} = C_{k \times k} \) for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \)

**Solution by Paul S. Bruckman, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago Circle, Illinois.**

Let \( a_{ij}, b_{ij} \) and \( c_{ij} \) denote the entries of \( A, B \) and \( C, \) respectively \((i,j = 1, 2, \ldots, n).\) By hypothesis,
\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{r=1}^{n} a_{ir}b_{rj} &= c_{ij}, & i,j = 1, 2, \ldots, n; \\
a_{ir} &= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad j < r.
\end{align*}
\]

Combining (1) and (2), we thus have:
\[ \sum_{r=1}^{j} a_{ir}b_{rj} = c_{ij}, \quad i,j = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \]

If we impose the restriction: \( i < k, \) where \( k < n, \) then in view of (2) we may as well extend the sum in (3) as follows:
\[ \sum_{r=1}^{k} a_{ir}b_{rij} = c_{ij}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, k, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \]
In particular,
\[ \sum_{r=1}^{k} a_{ir}b_{rij} = c_{ij}, \quad i,j = 1, 2, \ldots, k. \]

This is equivalent to the desired result.
TRIPLE PLAY


Show that
\[
\sum_{t=0}^{k} \binom{\beta - 1}{n + t + 1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-1} \binom{n - k - 1}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{j} (-1)^{p+m+k+1} \binom{j}{m} \\
\times \sum_{r=0}^{n+m-t-j-1} \binom{n + m - j - t - r - 1}{2j + r - 1} = 2^{n-k-1} \binom{\beta}{k},
\]
where \( \beta \) is an arbitrary complex number and \( n \) and \( k \) are positive integers, \( k < n \).

This identity, in the case \( \beta = 2 \), arose in solving a combinatorial problem in two different ways.

Solution by the Proposer.

To prove the identity we replace \( n \) by \( n + k + 1 \) and use

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{\alpha + \beta k}{k} w^k = \frac{x^{\alpha + t}}{(1 - \beta) x + \beta},
\]
where \( w^\beta - x + 1 = 0 \). This follows from the Lagrange expansion formula (cf. Pólya and Szegő, Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis, I, Berlin, Springer, 1954, p. 125).

From (1) we have

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{\alpha + \beta k}{k} w^k = \frac{2^n w^{\alpha + \beta + 1}}{(1 - \beta) x + \beta},
\]
where \( w^\beta - x + 1 = 0 \). Also from (1) we get

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{\beta - 1}{n + k + 1} w \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{j} (-1)^{p+m} \binom{j}{m} \sum_{r=0}^{n+m-t-j-1} \binom{n + m - j - t - r - 1}{2j + r - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{\beta - 1}{n + k + 1} w \sum_{t=0}^{n} \binom{n}{t} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{p+m} \binom{n}{m} \\
\times \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{j} (-1)^{p+m} \binom{j}{m} \sum_{r=0}^{n+m-t-j-1} \binom{n + m - j - t - r - 1}{2j + r - 1},
\]
where \( w^\beta - x + 1 = 0 \).

Now

\[
\frac{x^{\beta + 1}}{(1 - \beta) x + \beta} \sum_{k=0}^{n} w^k x^{(\beta - 1)(n+k)} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{j} (-1)^{p+i-m} \binom{j}{m} \sum_{r=0}^{m} \binom{n+k-m}{2j + r - 1} = \frac{x^{\beta + 1}}{(1 - \beta) x + \beta} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} \sum_{k=0}^{\min{k, j}} x^{\beta - 1} w^k \sum_{m=0}^{\min{k, j}} (-1)^{p+i+m} \binom{j}{k-m},
\]

[Continued on page 192.]