# SOME SEQUENCE-TO-SEQUENCE TRANSFORMATIONS WHICH PRESERVE COMPLETENESS

# J. L. BROWN, JR. The Pennsylvania State University, State College, Pennsylvania 16801

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

A sequence  $\{s_i\}_{1}^{\infty}$  of positive integers is termed *complete* if *every* positive integer N can be expressed as a distinct sum of terms from the sequence; it is well known ([1], Theorem 1) that if  $\{s_i\}_{1}^{\infty}$  is nondecreasing with  $s_1 = 1$ , then a necessary and sufficient condition for completeness is

(1) 
$$s_{n+1} \leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Using this criterion for completeness, we will exhibit several transformations which convert a given complete sequence of positive integers into another sequence of positive integers without destroying completeness. Since the Fibonacci numbers ( $F_1 = F_2 = 1$ ,  $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$  for  $n \ge 2$ ) and the sequence of primes with unity adjoined ( $P_1 = 1$ ,  $P_2 = 2$ , 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, ...) are examples of complete sequences, our results will yield as special cases some new complete sequences associated with the Fibonacci numbers and the primes.

### 2. QUANTIZED LOGARITHMIC TRANSFORMATION

Let [x] denote the greatest integer contained in x, and define the function  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  by

Thus  $\langle x \rangle$  is the least integer  $\rangle x$  in contrast to [x], the greatest integer  $\langle x$ . Both  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  and  $[\cdot]$  may be thought of as quantizing characteristics in the sense that a non-integral x is rounded off to the integer immediately following x in the case of  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  or to the integer immediately preceding x when  $[\cdot]$  is used. If x is an integer, then [x] = x and  $\langle x \rangle = 1 + x$ . The following lemma shows that  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  is subadditive:

Lemma 1. 
$$\langle x + y \rangle \leq \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle$$
.  
Proof. If  $x = [x] + \eta_x$  and  $y = [y] + \eta_y$  with  $0 \leq \eta_x$ ,  $\eta_y < 1$ , then

 $\langle x + y \rangle = \langle [x] + [y] + \eta_x + \eta_y \rangle \leq [x] + [y] + 2 = 1 + [x] + [y] + 1 = \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle.$ 

Lemma 2. Let  $\ln x$  denote the natural logarithm of x. Then for  $x, y \ge 2$ ,

$$\ln(x + y) \leq \ln x + \ln y$$

that is, the logarithm is subadditive on the domain  $[2, \infty)$ .

Proof. For x, y > 2,

 $x + y \leq 2 \cdot \max(x,y) \leq \min(x,y) \max(x,y) = xy$ ,

and  $\ln (x + y) \le \ln (xy) = \ln x + \ln y$ , from the nondecreasing property of the logarithm.

Theorem 1. Let  $\{s_i\}_{1}^{\infty}$  be a strictly increasing, complete sequence of positive integers. Then the sequence  $\{<\ln s_i>\}_{2}^{\infty}$  is also complete.

*Proof.* By the assumed completeness,

# SOME SEQUENCE-TO-SEQUENCE TRNASFORMATIONS

$$s_{n+1} \leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Since  $s_1 = 1$ , we may write

$$s_{n+1} \le 2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} s_i$$
 for  $n \ge 1$ ;

hence,

$$\ln s_{n+1} \leq \ln \left(2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}\right) ,$$

and, on noting  $s_i \ge 2$  for  $i \ge 2$ , it follows from Lemma 2 (by induction) that

$$\ln s_{n+1} \leq \ln 2 + \sum_{2}^{n} \ln s_{i}.$$

Now we may use the nondecreasing and subadditive (lemma 1) properties of  $<\cdot>$  to conclude

$$<\ln s_{n+1}> < <\ln 2 + \sum_{2}^{n} \ln s_{i} > < <\ln 2 > + \sum_{2}^{n} <\ln s_{i} > = 1 + \sum_{2}^{n} <\ln s_{i} >$$
 for  $n \ge 2$ .

Hence (noting  $<\ln s_2 > = <\ln 2 > = 1$ ) by the completeness criterion, the sequence  $\{<\ln s_i >\}_2^{\infty}$  is complete, proving the theorem.

The following theorem yields a similar conclusion for a class of functions  $\phi$  where each  $\phi$  possesses properties similar to that of the logarithmic function.

Theorem 2. Let  $\{s_i\}_1^\infty$  be a nondecreasing complete sequence of positive integers and let  $\phi(\cdot)$  be a function defined on the domain  $x \ge 1$ , nondecreasing and subadditive on that domain with  $0 \le \phi(1) < 1$ . Then  $\{\langle \phi(s_i) \rangle\}_1^\infty$  is complete.

Proof. From

$$s_{n+1} \leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i$$
,

it follows that

$$\phi(s_{n+1}) \leq \phi\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}\right) \leq \phi(1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(s_{i})$$

Then

$$<\phi(s_{n+1})> < <\phi(1)>+\sum_{1}^{n} <\phi(s_{i})> = 1 + \sum_{1}^{n} <\phi(s_{i})>$$

so that, with  $\langle \phi(1) \rangle = 1$  and the completeness criterion, the sequence  $\{\phi(s_i)\}_{1}^{\infty}$  is complete.

NOTE. Theorem 1 is not a special case of Theorem 2 since the logarithm is not subadditive on  $[1, \infty)$ . It is also clear that the domain of  $\phi$  could be restricted to only those integers lying in [1, 4).

EXAMPLE. If  $\phi(x) = \sqrt{x - 1/2}$  for  $x \ge 1$ , the reader may easily verify that  $\phi$  is nondecreasing, subadditive and  $0 \le \phi(1) = \sqrt{1/2} \le 1$ . Therefore  $\{\langle \sqrt{s_i - 1/2} \rangle\}_1^\infty$  is complete whenever  $\{s_i\}_1^\infty$  is a nondecreasing complete sequence of positive integers.

EXAMPLE. The function  $\phi(x) = ax$  for  $x \ge 1$  and some fixed a > 0 is nondecreasing and subadditive, and if

[FEB.

0 < a < 1, then  $\phi(1) = a$  and  $\phi$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2. Thus, for example, the sequence

 $\left\{\left\langle \frac{s_i}{2}\right\rangle\right\}_1^{\infty}$ 

is complete whenever  $\{s_i\}_1^{\infty}$  is a nondecreasing complete sequence of positive integers. EXAMPLE: If  $P_1 = 1, P_2 = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \cdots$  denotes the sequence of primes (with unity adjoined); then it is well known [2] that  $\{P_i\}_1^{\infty}$  is complete. Hence by Theorem 1, the sequence  $\{<\ln P_i>\}_2^{\infty}$  is also complete, and thus each positive integer N has an expansion of the form

$$N = \sum_{2}^{\infty} a_i < \ln P_i > ,$$

where each  $a_i$  is binary (zero or one). The series is clearly finite, since  $a_i = 0$  for  $i \ge k$ , where k is such that <In  $P_k$ > exceeds N.

It is of interest to prove the completeness of  $\{\langle \ln P_i \rangle\}_2^{\infty}$  directly without using the completeness of  $\{P_i\}_1^{\infty}$ . In this manner, we avoid the implicit use of Bertrand's postulate which is normally invoked in showing the primes are complete.

Theorem 3. The sequence  $\{\langle \ln P_i \rangle\}_2^{\infty}$  is complete.

Proof. Using Euler's classical argument, we observe that

$$1 + \prod_{i=2}^{n} P_i$$

is not divisible by  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , ...,  $P_n$  and therefore must have a prime divisor larger than  $P_n$ ; that is

$$1 + \prod_{2}^{n} P_i \ge P_{n+1}$$

or

$$P_{n+1} < 1 + \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_i < 2 \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_i \text{ for } n > 1.$$

Since the logarithm is an increasing function,

$$\ln P_{n+1} \leq \ln 2 + \sum_{1}^{n} \ln P_{i}$$

and consequently,

$$<\ln P_{n+1}> < <\ln 2> + \sum_{1}^{n} <\ln P_{i}> = 1 + \sum_{1}^{n} <\ln P_{i}>$$

establishing the result by the completeness criterion.

#### **3. LUCAS TRANSFORMATION**

The transformation defined in the following theorem is called a Lucas Transformation since it corresponds to the manner in which the Lucas sequence is generated from the Fibonacci sequence.

Theorem 4. Let  $\{u_i\}_{1}^{\infty}$  be a nondecreasing complete sequence with  $u_1 = u_2 = 1$ . Define a sequence  $\{v_i\}_0^\infty$  by

$$\begin{cases} v_0 = 1 \\ v_1 = 2 \\ v_n = u_{n-1} + u_{n+1} & \text{for } n \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\{v_i\}_0^\infty$  is complete.

1978]

# SOME SEQUENCE-TO-SEQUENCE TRANSFORMATIONS WHICH PRESERVE COMPLETENESS

Proof. For 
$$n \ge 1$$
.

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + u_{n+2} \le 1 + \sum_{1}^{n-1} u_i + 1 + \sum_{1}^{n+1} u_i = (u_{n+1} + u_{n-1}) + (u_n + u_{n-2}) + \dots + (u_3 + u_1) + u_2 + u_1 + 2$$

$$= v_n + v_{n-1} + \dots + v_2 + u_2 + u_1 + 2 = v_n + v_{n-1} + \dots + v_2 + v_1 + v_0 + 1 = 1 + \sum_{0}^{n} v_i ,$$

where we have used  $u_2 + u_1 + 2 = 4 = v_1 + v_0 + 1$ . Thus  $v_0 = 1$  and

$$v_{n+1} \leq 1 + \sum_{0}^{n} v_{i}$$

for  $n \ge 0$  which implies that  $\{v_i\}_0^\infty$  is complete. EXAMPLE: Let  $u_i = F_i$ , where  $\{F_i\}_1^\infty$  is the Fibonacci sequence. Then the sequence defined by

 $v_0 = 1$ ,  $v_1 = 2$ ,  $v_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n+1}$  for  $n \ge 2$ 

is complete by Theorem 4. Moreover, recalling that the Lucas numbers  $\{L_n\}_0^\infty$ , defined by

 $L_0 = 2$ ,  $L_1 = 1$ ,  $L_{n+1} = L_n + L_{n-1}$  for  $n \ge 1$ ,

are also expressible by

$$L_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n+2}$$
 for  $n \ge 2$ ,

we see that  $\{v_n\}_0^\infty$  is simply the sequence  $\{L_n\}_0^\infty$  put in nondecreasing order by an interchange of  $L_0$  and  $L_1$ . Completeness is not affected by a renumbering of the sequence; however, the inequality criterion for completeness must be applied only to nondecreasing sequences.

#### 4. SUMMARY

If S denotes the set of all nondecreasing complete sequences of positive integers, we have considered certain transformations which map S into itself. In particular, it was shown, as special cases of the general results, that the sequences  $\{ \exists n \ F_n \}_{2}^{\infty}, \{ \exists n \ P_n \}_{2}^{\infty} \text{ and } \{ a \ F_n \}_{2}^{\infty} \text{ are complete sequences, where } <\cdot > \text{ is defined by } <x> = 1 + [x], \{F_n\} = r\{1, 1, 2, 3, 5, \cdots\} \text{ is the Fibonacci sequence, } \{P_n\} = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \cdots\} \text{ is the sequence of } \{P_n\} = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \cdots\} \text{ is the sequence of } \{P_n\} = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \cdots\} \text{ is the sequence of } \{P_n\} = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \cdots\} \text{ is the sequence } \{P_n\} = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \cdots\} \text{ is the sequence } \{P_n\} = \{$ primes with unity adjoined and a is a fixed constant satisfying 0 < a < 1.

# REFERENCES

- 1. J. L. Brown, Jr., "Note on Complete Sequences of Integers," American Math. Monthly, Vol. 68, No. 6, June-July, 1961, pp. 557-560.
- 2. V.E. Hoggatt, Jr., and Bob Chow, "Some Theorems on Completeness," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 10, No. 5, 1972, pp. 551-554.

#### \*\*\*\*

#### FEB. 1978