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H-299 Proposed by Gregory Wulczyn, Bucknell University, Lewisburg, PA

Vandermonde determinants:

(A) Evaluate

\[
\Delta = \begin{vmatrix}
F_2r & F_6r & F_{10r} & F_{14r} & F_{18r} \\
F_{4r} & F_{12r} & F_{20r} & F_{28r} & F_{36r} \\
F_{6r} & F_{18r} & F_{30r} & F_{42r} & F_{54r} \\
F_{8r} & F_{28r} & F_{40r} & F_{56r} & F_{72r} \\
F_{10r} & F_{30r} & F_{50r} & F_{70r} & F_{90r}
\end{vmatrix}
\]

(B) Evaluate

\[
D = \begin{vmatrix}
1 & L_{2r+1} & L_{4r+2} & L_{6r+3} & L_{8r+4} \\
1 & -L_{6r+3} & L_{12r+6} & L_{18r+9} & L_{24r+12} \\
1 & L_{10r+5} & L_{20r+10} & L_{30r+15} & L_{40r+20} \\
1 & -L_{14r+7} & L_{28r+14} & -L_{42r+21} & L_{56r+28} \\
1 & L_{18r+9} & L_{36r+18} & L_{54r+27} & L_{72r+36}
\end{vmatrix}
\]

(C) Evaluate

\[
D_1 = \begin{vmatrix}
1 & L_{2r} & L_{4r} & L_{6r} & L_{8r} \\
1 & L_{6r} & L_{12r} & L_{18r} & L_{24r} \\
1 & L_{10r} & L_{20r} & L_{30r} & L_{40r} \\
1 & L_{18r} & L_{36r} & L_{54r} & L_{72r}
\end{vmatrix}
\]

H-300 Proposed by James L. Murphy, California State College, San Bernardino, CA

Given two positive integers \(A\) and \(B\) relatively prime, form a "multiplicative" Fibonacci sequence \(\{A_n\}\) with \(A_1 = A\), \(A_2 = B\), and \(A_{n+2} = A_nA_{n+1}\). Now form the sequence of partial sums \(\{S_n\}\) where
\[ S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i. \]

\( \{S_n\} \) is a subsequence of the arithmetic sequence \( \{T_n\} \) where
\[ T_n = A + nB, \]
and by Dirichlet's theorem we know that infinitely many of the \( T_n \) are prime. The question is: Does such a sparse subsequence \( \{S_n\} \) of the arithmetic sequence \( A + nB \) also contain infinitely many primes?

Notes:
\[ S_1 = A, \quad S_2 = A + B, \quad S_3 = A + B + AB, \]
\[ S_4 = A + B + AB + AB^2, \quad S_5 = A + B + AB + AB^2 + A^2B^3, \quad \text{etc.} \]

Some examples:
For \( A = 2 \) and \( B = 3 \), the first few \( S_i \) are:
\[ 2, 5, 11, 29, 137, 2081, \text{all prime, and} \]
\[ S_7 = 212033 = 43 \times 4931. \]

For \( A = 3 \) and \( B = 14 \), the first few \( S_i \) are:
\[ 3, 17, 59, 647, 25343, 14546591, \text{all prime, and} \]
\[ S_7 = 358631287199 = 43 \times 8340262493. \]

For \( A = 2 \) and \( B = 21 \), the first few \( S \) are:
\[ 2, 23, \text{prime; } S_3 = 65, \text{a composite; but} \]
\[ S_4 = 947 \text{ and } S_5 = 37881, \text{both prime.} \]

Looking at the first six terms of the sequence \( \{S_i\} \) for 68 different choices of \( A \) and \( B \), I found the following distribution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Primes in the First Six Terms</th>
<th>Number of Sequences Having This Number of Primes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H-301 Proposed by Verner E. Hoggatt, Jr.,
San Jose State University, San Jose, CA

Let \( A_0, A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n, \ldots \) be a sequence such that the \( n \)th differences are zero (that is, the Diagonal Sequence terminates). Show that, if
\[ A(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i x^i, \]
then
\[ A(x) = \frac{1}{1 - x} \cdot D\left(\frac{x}{1 - x}\right), \]
where \( D_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} d_i x^i. \)
SOLUTIONS

Pell Mell

H-275 Proposed by Verner E. Hoggatt, Jr., San Jose State University, San Jose, CA

Let \( P_n \) denote the Pell Sequence defined as follows:
\[
P_1 = 1, \quad P_2 = 2, \quad P_{n+2} = 2P_{n+1} + P_n \quad (n \geq 1).
\]

Consider the array below:
\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 5 & 12 & 29 & 70 \ldots (P_n) \\
1 & 3 & 7 & 17 & 41 \ldots \\
2 & 4 & 10 & 24 \ldots \\
2 & 6 & 14 \ldots \\
4 & 8 \ldots \\
\end{array}
\]

Each row is obtained by taking differences in the row above.
Let \( D_n \) denote the left diagonal sequence in this array; i.e.,
\[
D_1 = D_2 = 1, \quad D_3 = D_4 = 2, \quad D_5 = D_6 = 4, \quad D_7 = D_8 = 8, \ldots .
\]

(i) Show \( D_{2n-1} = D_{2n} = 2^{n-1} \) \((n \geq 1).\)

(ii) Show that if \( F(x) \) represents the generating function for \( \{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and \( D(x) \) represents the generating function for \( \{D_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \), then
\[
D(x) = F\left(\frac{x}{1 + x}\right).
\]

Solution by George Berzsenyi, Lamar University, Beaumont, TX

First observe that each row in the array inherits the recursive relation of the Pell numbers. This is true more generally, for if \( \{x_n\} \) is a sequence defined recursively by
\[
x_{n+2} = \alpha x_{n+1} + \beta x_n
\]
and if \( \{y_n\} \) is defined by
\[
y_n = x_{n+1} - x_n,
\]
then
\[
y_{n+2} = x_{n+3} - x_{n+2} = \alpha (x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}) + \beta (x_{n+1} - x_n)
\]
\[
= \alpha y_{n+1} + \beta y_n.
\]

Let \( E_n \) be the second diagonal sequence in the array; i.e.,
\[
E_1 = 2, \quad E_2 = 3, \quad E_3 = 4, \quad E_4 = 6, \quad E_5 = 8, \ldots .
\]

We shall prove by induction that for each \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, D_{2n-1} = D_{2n} = 2^{n-1}, \)
while \( E_{2n-1} = 2 \cdot 2^{n-1} \) and \( E_{2n} = 3 \cdot 2^{n-1} \). The portion of the array shown exhibits this fact for \( n = 1 \); assume it for \( n = k \). Then the first few members of the \( 2k - 1 \)st and \( 2k \)th rows can be obtained by using the recursion formula
and upon taking differences one obtains the first two members of the next two rows as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
2^{k-1} & 2 & 2^{k-1} & 5 & 2^{k-1} & 12 & 2^{k-1} & 29 & 2^{k-1} \\
2^{k-1} & 3 & 2^{k-1} & 7 & 2^{k-1} & 17 & 2^{k-1} & \\
2^k & 2^k & 5 & 2^k & \\
2^k & 3 & 2^k & \\
\end{array}
\]

This completes the induction and establishes part (i).

To prove part (ii), recall that

\[
F(x) = \frac{x}{1 - 2x - x^2},
\]

and therefore,

\[
F\left(\frac{x}{1 + x}\right) = \frac{x + x^2}{1 - 2x^2}.
\]

On the other hand, if

\[
D(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n x^n,
\]

then

\[
D(x) = (x + x^2) + 2(x^3 + x^4) + 2^2(x^5 + x^6) + \cdots
\]

while

\[
-2x^2 D(x) = -2(x^3 + x^4) - 2^2(x^5 + x^6) - \cdots.
\]

Hence, \((1 - 2x^2)D(x) = x + x^2\), and

\[
D(x) = \frac{x + x^2}{1 - 2x^2}.
\]

Consequently the desired relationship, \(D(x) = F\left(\frac{x}{1 + x}\right)\) follows.

Also solved by V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., P. Bruckman, G. Wulczyn, and A. Shannon.

Late Acknowledgment: P. Bruckman solved H-274.