

Therefore,  $\text{NEWTON}(x_{n-1}) = \text{SECANT}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1})$ , and so (iii) follows from (iv). Note that this identity holds for any polynomial equation  $f(x) = 0$ .

(iv) By (6),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SECANT}(u_{m+1}/u_m, u_{n+1}/u_n) &= \frac{a(u_{m+1}/u_m)(u_{n+1}/u_n) - c}{a(u_{m+1}/u_m + u_{n+1}/u_n) + b} \\ &= \frac{au_{m+1}u_{n+1} - cu_mu_n}{au_{m+1}u_n + au_mu_{n+1} + bu_mu_n} \\ &= \frac{au_{m+1}u_{n+1} - cu_mu_n}{au_{m+1}u_n - cu_mu_{n-1}} \\ &= au_{m+n+1}/au_{m+n} \quad (\text{by the lemma}) \\ &= u_{m+n+1}/u_{m+n} \cdot \square \end{aligned}$$

Remarks:

1. The theorem does not generalize to polynomials of degree higher than 2.
2. Not only do the ratios of the consecutive Fibonacci numbers converge to  $\varphi$ , they are the "best" rational approximation to  $\varphi$ ; i.e., if  $n > 1$ ,  $0 < F \leq F_n$  and  $P/F \neq F_{n+1}/F_n$ , then  $|F_{n+1}/F_n - \varphi| < |P/F - \varphi|$  by [4]. Since Newton's method and the secant method produce subsequences of Fibonacci ratios, they also produce the best rational approximation to  $\varphi$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The above results were discovered in response to a question posed by Ernst Specker. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant GK-43121 at Stanford University and by the Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, Zürich.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Henrici. *Elements of Numerical Analysis*. New York: Wiley, 1964.
2. D. E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming*. Vol. I: *Fundamental Algorithms*. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1968.
3. A. Ralston. *A First Course in Numerical Analysis*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1965.
4. G. H. Hardy & E. M. Wright. *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, p. 151, Theorem 181. London: Oxford, 1968.

\*\*\*\*\*

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTIONS TO PELL'S EQUATION $u^2 - Dv^2 = C$

M. J. DeLEON

*Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL 33432*

Due to a confusion originating with Euler, the diophantine equation

$$(1) \quad u^2 - Dv^2 = C,$$

where  $D$  is a positive integer that is not a perfect square and  $C$  is a nonzero integer, is usually called *Pell's equation*. In a previous article [1, Theorem 2], the following theorem was proved.

Theorem 1: Let  $x_1 + y_1\sqrt{D}$  be the fundamental solution to  $x^2 - Dy^2 = 1$ . If  $k =$

$(y_1)/(x_1 - 1)$  and if  $u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D}$  is a fundamental solution to  $u^2 - Dv^2 = -N$ , where  $N > 0$ , then  $v_0 = |v_0| \geq k|u_0|$ . If  $k = (Dy_1)/(x_1 - 1)$  and if  $u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D}$  is a fundamental solution to  $u^2 - Dv^2 = N$ , where  $N > 1$ , then  $u_0 = |u_0| \geq k|v_0|$ .

In Theorem 4, we shall prove the converse of this result. In the sequel, the definition of a fundamental solution to Eq. (1) given in [1] will be used. This definition differs from the one in [2, p. 205] only when  $v_0 < 0$ . In this case, if the fundamental solution given in [1] is denoted by  $u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D}$ , then the one given by the definition in [2] would be  $-(u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D})$ . We shall need to recall Remark A of [1] and to add to the three statements of this remark the statement:

- (iv) If  $C \leq 1$  and  $-u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D}$  is in  $K$  then  $u_0 \geq 0$ . If  $C \geq 1$  and  $u_0 - v_0\sqrt{D}$  is in  $K$  then  $v_0 \geq 0$ .

Also, we shall need the following result (see [1, Theorem 5]).

**Theorem 2:** If  $u + v\sqrt{D}$  is a solution in nonnegative integers to the diophantine equation  $u^2 - Dv^2 = C$ , where  $C \neq 1$ , then there exists a nonnegative integer  $n$  such that  $u + v\sqrt{D} = (u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D})(x_1 + y_1\sqrt{D})^n$  where  $u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D}$  is the fundamental solution to the class of solutions of  $u^2 - Dv^2 = C$  to which  $u + v\sqrt{D}$  belongs and  $x_1 + y_1\sqrt{D}$  is the fundamental solution to  $x^2 - Dy^2 = 1$ .

We now need to prove a lemma and a simple consequence of this lemma.

**Lemma 3:** Let  $u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D}$  be a fundamental solution to a class of solutions to  $u^2 - Dv^2 = C$ . If, for  $n \geq 1$ , we let  $u_n + v_n\sqrt{D} = (u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D})(x_1 + y_1\sqrt{D})^n$ , then  $u_n > 0$  and  $v_n > 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof:* Since

$$u_1 + v_1\sqrt{D} = (u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D})(x_1 + y_1\sqrt{D}) = (u_0x_1 + Dv_0y_1) + (u_0y_1 + v_0x_1)\sqrt{D},$$

we have that  $u_1 = u_0x_1 + Dv_0y_1$  and  $v_1 = u_0y_1 + v_0x_1$ .

We now begin an induction proof of Lemma 3. First, suppose  $u_0^2 - Dv_0^2 = C$ , where  $C < 0$ . This implies, by Remark A [1],  $v_0 > 0$ . Hence  $u_0 \geq 0$  implies  $u_1 > u_0x_1 \geq u_0 \geq 0$  and  $v_1 > v_0 > 0$ . Thus suppose  $u_0 < 0$ . By Theorem 1,

$$v_0 \geq \frac{-u_0y_1}{x_1 - 1} = \frac{-u_0(x_1 + 1)}{Dy_1}.$$

Whence,  $u_1 = u_0x_1 + Dv_0y_1 \geq -u_0 > 0$  and  $v_1 = u_0y_1 + v_0x_1 \geq v_0 > 0$ . Therefore, for  $C < 0$ ,  $u_1 > 0$  and  $v_1 > 0$ .

Next, suppose  $u_0^2 - Dv_0^2 = C$ , where  $C > 0$ . This implies  $u_0 > 0$ . Thus  $v_0 \geq 0$  implies  $u_1 > u_0 > 0$  and  $v_1 > v_0 \geq 0$ . Thus suppose  $v_0 < 0$ . Hence  $C > 1$ , so by Theorem 1,

$$u_0 \geq \frac{-Dv_0y_1}{x_1 - 1} = \frac{-v_0(x_1 + 1)}{y_1}.$$

Whence,  $u_1 \geq u_0 > 0$  and  $v_1 \geq -v_0 > 0$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3 for  $n = 1$ .

Since

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} (u_{n+1} + v_{n+1}\sqrt{D}) &= (u_n + v_n\sqrt{D})(x_1 + y_1\sqrt{D}) \\ &= (u_nx_1 + Dv_ny_1) + (x_1v_n + y_1u_n)\sqrt{D}, \end{aligned}$$

the assumption  $u_n > 0$  and  $v_n > 0$  implies  $u_{n+1} > 0$  and  $v_{n+1} > 0$ .

**Corollary:** With  $u_0, v_0, u_n$ , and  $v_n$  defined as in Lemma 3, we have  $u_{n+1} > u_n$  and  $v_{n+1} > v_n$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof:* In the proof of Lemma 3, it was shown that  $v_1 \geq v_0$  and that, in addition, for  $u_0 \geq 0$  or  $C > 0$  we actually have  $v_1 > v_0$ . For the case  $u_0 < 0$  and  $C < 0$ , it follows from the proof of Lemma 3 that  $v_1 = v_0$  implies  $u_1 = -u_0$ . So

$-u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D} = u_1 + v_1\sqrt{D}$  belongs to the same class of solutions to  $u^2 - Dv^2 = C$  as  $u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D}$ . Since we are assuming  $u_0 < 0$ , this contradicts (iv) of Remark A [1]. Hence, even in this case,  $v_1 > v_0$ . In a similar manner, it is seen that we always have  $u_1 > u_0$ . Since  $u_n > 0$  and  $v_n > 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , (2) implies that  $u_{n+1} > u_n$  and  $v_{n+1} > v_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 4:** If  $u + v\sqrt{D}$  is a solution in nonnegative integers to  $u^2 - Dv^2 = -N$ , where  $N \geq 1$ , and if  $v \geq ku$ , where  $k = (y_1)/(x_1 - 1)$ , then  $u + v\sqrt{D}$  is the fundamental solution of a class of solutions to  $u^2 - Dv^2 = -N$ . If  $u + v\sqrt{D}$  is a solution in nonnegative integers to  $u^2 - Dv^2 = N$ , where  $N > 1$ , and if  $u \geq kv$ , where  $k = (Dy_1)/(x_1 - 1)$ , then  $u + v\sqrt{D}$  is the fundamental solution of a class of solutions to  $u^2 - Dv^2 = N$ .

**Proof:** By Theorem 2,  $u + v\sqrt{D} = (u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D})(x_1 + y_1\sqrt{D})^n = u_n + v_n\sqrt{D}$ , where  $n$  is a nonnegative integer and  $u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D}$  is a fundamental solution to  $u^2 - Dv^2 = \pm N$ . We shall prove  $u + v\sqrt{D} = u_0 + v_0\sqrt{D}$ . So assume  $n \geq 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_n + v_n\sqrt{D} &= (u_{n-1} + v_{n-1}\sqrt{D})(x_1 + y_1\sqrt{D}) \\ &= (x_1u_{n-1} + Dy_1v_{n-1}) + (x_1v_{n-1} + y_1u_{n-1})\sqrt{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $u_{n-1} = x_1u_n - Dy_1v_n$  and  $v_{n-1} = -y_1u_n + x_1v_n$ .

First, suppose  $u + v\sqrt{D}$  is a solution to  $u^2 - Dv^2 = -N$ . We know that

$$v = v_n \geq ku_n = \frac{y_1u_n}{x_1 - 1}.$$

Hence

$$v_{n-1} = -y_1u_n + x_1v_n = (x_1 - 1)v_n - y_1u_n + v_n \geq v_n.$$

But by the corollary to Lemma 3,  $v_{n-1} < v_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Thus  $n = 0$  and the proof is complete for the case  $u^2 - Dv^2 = -N$ .

Now, suppose  $u + v\sqrt{D}$  is a solution to  $u^2 - Dv^2 = N$ . We know that

$$u_n \geq kv_n = \frac{Dy_1v_n}{x_1 - 1}.$$

(Please turn to page 92)

## STRUCTURAL ISSUES FOR HYPERPERFECT NUMBERS

DANIEL MINOLI

Bell Laboratories, Holmdel, NH 07733

### ABSTRACT

An integer  $m$  is said to be  $n$ -hyperperfect if  $m = 1 + n[\sigma(m) - m - 1]$ . These numbers are a natural extension of the perfect numbers, and as such share remarkably similar properties. In this paper we investigate sufficient forms for hyperperfect numbers.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Integers having "some type of perfection" have received considerable attention in the past few years. The most well-known cases are: perfect numbers ([1], [12], [13], [14], [15]); multiperfect numbers ([1]); quasiperfect numbers ([2]); almost perfect numbers ([3], [4], [5]); semiperfect numbers ([16], [17]);