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## EXTENDED BINET FORMS FOR GENERALIZED QUATERNIONS OF HIGHER ORDER

## A. L. IAKIN

University of New England, Armidale, Australia
In a prior article [4], the concept of a higher-order quaternion was established and some identities for these quaternions were then obtained. In this paper we introduce a "Binet form" for generalized quaternions and then proceed to develop expressions for extended Binet forms for generalized quaternions of higher order. The extended Binet formulas make possible an approach for generating results which differs from that used in [4].

We recall from Horadam [1] the Binet form for the sequence $W_{n}(a, b ; p, q)$, viz.,

$$
W_{n}=A \alpha^{n}-B \beta^{n}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{0} & =a, & W_{1} & =b \\
A & =\frac{b-\alpha \beta}{\alpha-\beta}, & B & =\frac{b-\alpha \alpha}{\alpha-\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the roots of the quadratic equation

$$
x^{2}-p x+q=0
$$

We define the vectors $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\beta}$ such that

$$
\underline{\alpha}=1+i \alpha+j \alpha^{2}+k \alpha^{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \underline{\beta}=1+i \beta+j \beta^{2}+k \beta^{3},
$$

where $i, j, k$ are the quaternion vectors as given in Horadam [2].
Now, as in [4], we introduce the operator $\Omega$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega W_{n} & =W_{n}+i W_{n+1}+j W_{n+2}+k W_{n+3} \\
& =A \alpha^{n}-B \beta^{n}+i\left(A \alpha^{n+1}-B \beta^{n+1}\right)+j\left(A \alpha^{n+2}-B \beta^{n+2}\right)+k\left(A \alpha^{n+3}-B \beta^{n+3}\right) \\
& =A \alpha^{n}\left(1+i \alpha+j \alpha^{2}+k \alpha^{3}\right)-B \beta^{n}\left(1+i \beta+j \beta^{2}+k \beta^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\beta} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the Binet formula for the generalized quaternion of order one. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta W_{n} & =W_{n}+i q W_{n-1}+j q^{2} W_{n-2}+k q^{3} W_{n-3} \\
& =A \alpha^{n}-B \beta^{n}+i q\left(A \alpha^{n-1}-B \beta^{n-1}\right)+j q^{2}\left(A \alpha^{n-2}-B \beta^{n-2}\right)+k q^{3}\left(A \alpha^{n-3}-B \beta^{n-3}\right) \\
& =A \alpha^{n}\left(1+i q \alpha^{-1}+j q^{2} \alpha^{-2}+k q^{3} \alpha^{-3}\right)-B \beta^{n}\left(1+i q \beta^{-1}+j q^{2} \beta^{-2}+k q^{3} \beta^{-3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

but

$$
\alpha \beta=q
$$

i.e., $\alpha=q \beta^{-1}$ and $\beta=q \alpha^{-1}$; hence,

$$
\Delta W_{n}=A \alpha^{n}\left(1+i \beta+j \beta^{2}+k \beta^{3}\right)-B \beta^{n}\left(1+i \alpha+j \alpha^{2}+k \alpha^{3}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\beta}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\alpha} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we see that the quaternion formed by the $\Delta$ operator, that proved so useful in [3] and [4], has a Binet form which is a simple permutation of result (1) above.

We now examine quaternions of order $\lambda$ (for $\lambda$ an integer) and prove by induction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\lambda} W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proo f: When $\lambda=1$, the result is true because

$$
\Omega^{1} W_{n}=\Omega W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\beta} .
$$

Assume that the result is true for $\lambda=m$, i.e.,

$$
\Omega^{m} W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{m}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\beta}^{m} .
$$

Now, for $\lambda=m+1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{m+1} W_{n}= & \Omega^{m} W_{n}+i \Omega^{m} W_{n+1}+j \Omega^{m} W_{n+2}+k \Omega^{m} W_{n+3} \\
= & A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{m}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\beta}^{m}+i\left(A \alpha^{n+1} \underline{\alpha}^{m}-B \beta^{n+1} \underline{\beta}^{m}\right)+j\left(A \alpha^{n+2} \underline{\alpha}^{m}-B \beta^{n+2} \underline{\beta}^{m}\right) \\
& +k\left(A \alpha^{n+3} \underline{\alpha}^{m}-B \beta^{n+3} \underline{\beta}^{m}\right) \\
= & A \alpha^{n}\left(1+i \alpha+j \alpha^{2}+k \alpha^{3}\right) \underline{\alpha}^{m}-B \beta^{n}\left(1+i \beta+j \beta^{2}+k \beta^{3}\right) \underline{\beta}^{m} \\
= & A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha} \underline{\alpha}^{m}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\beta} \underline{\beta}^{m} \\
= & A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{m+1}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\beta}^{m+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the result is true for $\lambda=1$ and also true for $\lambda=m+1$ whenever the result holds for $\lambda=m$, it follows from the principle of induction that the result is true for all integral $\lambda$. Similarly, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{\lambda} W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega \Delta W_{n}=\Delta W_{n}+i \Delta W_{n+1}+j \Delta W_{n+2}+k \Delta W_{n+3} \\
& \Delta \Omega W_{n}=\Omega W_{n}+i q \Omega W_{n-1}+j q^{2} \Omega W_{n-2}+k q^{3} \Omega W_{n-3},
\end{aligned}
$$

we secure, using equations (2) and (1), respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega \Delta W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\beta} \underline{\alpha}  \tag{5}\\
& \Delta \Omega W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\underline{\alpha}} \underline{\alpha}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta}
\end{align*}
$$

If we let $\lambda=2$ in equations (3) and (4) and also use equations (5) and (6), we can derive the six permutations for quaternions of order 3 involving both $\Omega$ and $\Delta$ operators, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega^{2} \Delta W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{2} \underline{\beta}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\beta}^{2} \underline{\alpha}  \tag{7}\\
& \Delta^{2} \Omega W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\beta}^{2} \underline{\alpha}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{2} \underline{\beta}  \tag{8}\\
& \Omega \Delta^{2} W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta}^{2}-B \alpha^{n} \underline{\beta} \underline{\alpha}^{2}  \tag{9}\\
& \Delta \Omega^{2} W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\beta} \underline{\alpha}^{2}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta}^{2}  \tag{10}\\
& \Omega \Delta \Omega W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta} \underline{\alpha}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\beta} \underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta}  \tag{11}\\
& \Delta \Omega \Delta W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\beta} \underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta} \underline{\alpha} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

We now pause to investigate the effects of operators $\Omega^{*}$ and $\Delta^{*}$ on the Binet forms. Note from [4] that

$$
\Omega^{*} \Delta W_{n}=\Delta W_{n}+\Delta W_{n+1} \cdot i+\Delta W_{n+2} \cdot j+q^{3} \Delta W_{n+3} \cdot k=\Delta \Omega W_{n}
$$

and

$$
\Delta^{*} \Omega W_{n}=\Omega W_{n}+q \Omega W_{n-1} \cdot i+q^{2} \Omega W_{n-2} \cdot j+q^{3} \Omega W_{n-3} \cdot k=\Omega \Delta W_{n}
$$

and thus the operators $\Omega^{*}$ and $\Delta^{*}$ provide no new results for quaternions of order 2. Since equations (7) to (12) and equations (3) and (4) for $\lambda=3$ provide every possible triad of combination of $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\beta}$, it is unlikely that quaternions of order 3 involving the starred operators will produce any Binet form distinct from those given. A close inspection of the modus operandi of $\Omega^{*}$ and $\Delta^{*}$ verifies that this is indeed the case. For example, it is easily calculated that

$$
\Omega^{*} \Delta \Omega W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\beta} \underline{\alpha}^{2}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{2} \underline{\beta}^{2}
$$

which is the same expression as that for $\Delta \Omega^{2} W_{n}$.
We can generalize these statements to say that the operators $\Omega^{*}$ and $\Delta^{*}$ yield no results that cannot be obtained solely by manipulating the operators $\Omega$ and $\Delta$.

From equations (3) and (4), it can be readily shown that, for $\mu$ an integer,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta^{\lambda} \Omega^{\mu} W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda} \underline{\alpha}^{\mu}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda} \underline{\beta}^{\mu}  \tag{13}\\
& \Omega^{\lambda} \Delta^{\mu} W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda} \underline{\beta}^{\mu}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\underline{\beta}}^{\lambda} \underline{\alpha}^{\mu}
\end{align*}
$$

The pattern between the higher-order quaternions and their related Binet forms being clearly established, we deduce, for integral $\lambda_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, the ensuing extended Binet formulas of finite order:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega^{\lambda_{1}} \Delta^{\lambda_{2}} \ldots \Omega^{\lambda_{m}} W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda_{1}} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda_{2}} \cdots \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda_{m}}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda_{1}} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda_{2}} \cdots \underline{\beta}^{\lambda_{m}}  \tag{15}\\
& \Omega^{\lambda_{1}} \Delta^{\lambda_{2}} \ldots \Delta^{\lambda_{m}} W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda_{1}} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda_{2}} \cdots \underline{\beta}^{\lambda_{m}}-B \underline{\beta}^{n} \underline{\underline{\beta}}^{\lambda_{1}} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda_{2}} \cdots \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda_{m}}  \tag{16}\\
& \Delta^{\lambda_{1}} \Omega^{\lambda_{2}} \ldots \Omega^{\lambda_{m} W_{n}}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda_{1}} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda_{2}} \cdots \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda_{m}}-B \underline{\beta}^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda_{1}} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda_{2}} \cdots \underline{\beta}^{\lambda_{m}}  \tag{17}\\
& \Delta^{\lambda_{1}} \Omega^{\lambda_{2}} \ldots \Delta^{\lambda_{m}} W_{n}=A \alpha^{n} \underline{\underline{\beta}}^{\lambda_{1}} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda_{2}} \cdots \underline{\beta}^{\lambda_{m}}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda_{1}} \underline{\underline{\beta}}^{\lambda_{2}} \cdots \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda_{m}} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (2.6) and (2.7) of Horadam [1], we derive the following Binet formulas:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega^{\lambda} U_{n}=\left[\alpha^{n+1} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda}-\beta^{n+1} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda}\right] / \alpha  \tag{19}\\
& \Delta^{\lambda} U_{n}=\left[\alpha^{n+1} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda}-\beta^{n+1} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda}\right] / d  \tag{20}\\
& \Omega^{\lambda} V_{n}=\alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda}+\beta^{n} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda}  \tag{21}\\
& \Delta^{\lambda} V_{n}=\alpha^{n} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda}+\beta^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

We now use the extended Binet formulas to establish some identities. As an example, consider a simple generalization of equation (28) in [4]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{\lambda} V_{r} \Omega^{\mu} V_{n} & =\left(\alpha^{r} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda}+\beta^{r} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda}\right)\left(A \alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\mu}-B \beta^{n} \underline{\beta}^{\mu}\right) \\
& =A \alpha^{n+r} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda+\mu}+A \alpha^{n} \underline{\beta}^{r} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda} \underline{\alpha}^{\mu}-B \alpha^{r} \beta^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda} \underline{\beta}^{\mu}-B \beta^{n+r} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda+\mu} \\
& =A \alpha^{n+r} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda+\mu}-B \beta^{n+r} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda+\mu}+\alpha^{r} \beta^{r}\left(A \alpha^{n-r} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda} \underline{\alpha}^{\mu}-B \beta^{n-r} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda} \underline{\beta}^{\mu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\lambda} V_{r} \Omega^{\mu} W_{n}=\Omega^{\lambda+\mu_{W_{n+r}}+q^{r} \Delta^{\lambda} \Omega^{\mu} W_{n-r}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, in turn, can easily be further extended to provide a most generalized formula, viz.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\lambda_{1}} \Delta^{\lambda_{2}} \ldots \Omega^{\lambda_{m}} V_{r} \Omega^{\mu_{1}} \Delta^{\mu_{2}} \ldots \Omega^{\mu_{m}} W_{n} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\Omega^{\lambda_{1}} \Delta^{\lambda_{2}} \ldots \Omega^{\lambda_{m}+\mu_{1}} \Delta^{\mu_{2}} \ldots \Omega^{\mu_{m}} W_{n+r}+q^{r} \Delta^{\lambda_{1}} \Omega^{\lambda_{2}} \ldots \Delta^{\lambda_{m}} \Omega^{\mu_{1}} \Delta^{\mu_{2}} \ldots \Omega^{\mu_{m}} W_{n-r}
$$

It is obvious to the reader that other similar generalizations of the results in [4] can be procured by this method.

We now look at an equation not contained in [3] or [4]. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\Omega^{\lambda} V_{n}+d \Omega^{\lambda} U_{n-1}}{2}\right)^{m} & =\left(\frac{\alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda}+\beta^{n} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda}+\alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda}-\beta^{n} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda}}{2}\right)^{m}=\left(\alpha^{n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda}\right)^{m}=\alpha^{m n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda m} \\
& =\frac{2 \alpha^{m n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda m}}{2}=\frac{\alpha^{m n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda m}+\beta^{m n} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda m}-\beta^{m n} \underline{\beta}^{\lambda m}+\alpha^{m n} \underline{\alpha}^{\lambda m}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Omega^{\lambda} V_{n}+d \Omega^{\lambda} U_{n-1}}{2}\right)^{m}=\frac{\Omega^{\lambda m} V_{m n}+d \Omega^{\lambda m} U_{m n-1}}{2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a De Moivre type identity for higher-order quaternions.
Thus we see that the extended Binet formulas not only permit direct verification of the identities contained in [3] and [4], and extensions of these as we have shown, but also facilitate the attainment of new results.
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