Edited by DMITRI THORO San Jose State College, San Jose, California

THE EUCLIDEAN ALGORITHM I 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the problem of finding the greatest common divisor of 34 and 144. The factorizations $34 = 2 \cdot 17$, $144 = 2^4 \cdot 3^2$ make this a trivial problem. However, this approach is discouraging when one deals with, say, "long" Fibonacci numbers. Fortunately in Prop. 2 of Book VII, Euclid gave an elegant algorithm. As usual, we shall designate the g.c.d. of s and t by (s,t).

2. THE ALGORITHM

The algorithm may be defined by the following flow chart. $A \rightarrow B$ means A replaces B, i.e., set B = the current value of A.

M represents the remainder in the division of K by L.



Flow Chart for Computing the G. C. D of Positive Integers I and J

For I = 13 and J = 8, the successive values of K, L, and M are:

K	$\underline{\mathbf{L}}$	M
13	8	5
8	5	3
5	3	2
3	2	1
2	1	0

The last value of L is the desired g.c.d. In the following computation, (10946, 2584) = the last non-zero remainder.



In this discussion we shall emphasize computational considerations. There are, however, numerous "theoretical" applications of the Euclidean Algorithm. As LeVeque [1] expresses it, "...it is the cornerstone of multiplicative number theory." For a related theorem see Glenn Michael [2], this issue.

3. A FORTRAN PROGRAM

With an occasional glance at our flow chart, it is easy to decipher the following Fortran program. (Fortran is a problem-oriented language commonly used in conversing with electronic digital computers.)

(i) A = B means A is replaced by B.

(ii) The READ and PUNCH statements refer to card input/output.

(iii) In this context, N = K/L is an instruction to set N equal to [K/L], i.e., the greatest integer not exceeding K/L (sometimes called an <u>integer</u> or <u>fixed point</u> quotient). Thus if K = 13 and L = 3, N will equal 4.

(iv) The symbol for multiplication is an asterisk.

(v) A "conditional transfer" is achieved by using an IF statement: if $M \le 0$, go to statement 3 for the next instruction; otherwise go to statement 4.

(vi) The FORMAT and END statements are technical requirements (which may be ignored).

```
READ 10, I, J

10 FORMAT (315)

K = I

L = J

2 N = K/L

M = K - L * N

IF (M) 3, 3, 4

4 K = L

L = M

GO TO 2

3 PUNCH 10, I, J, L

END
```

4. Length of the Algorithm

A natural question arises: What is the "length" of this algorithm? I.e., if s and t are given, how many divisions are required to compute (s,t) via the Euclidean Algorithm?

Let us designate this number by N(s,t). For convenience we may assume $s \ge t$. Thus for n > 1, N(n + 1, n) = 2; the first division yields the remainder 1, whereas the second results in a zero remainder — signifying termination of the algorithm. (As a byproduct we see that any two consecutive integers are relatively prime.)

In Part II we shall see how Fibonacci numbers $(F_1 = F_2 = 1, F_{i+1} = F_i + F_{i-1})$ were used by Lamé to establish a remarkable result. Additional properties of N(s,t) are suggested in the following exercises.

5. EXERCISES

E1. Note that the Euclidean Algorithm applied to the positive integers s and t may be described by the equations

1964]

Explain why we must reach a remainder (r_{n+1}) which is zero in a finite number of steps. Hint: Look at the inequalities.

E2. In E1, show that $(s,t) = r_n$ (the last non-zero remainder). Hint: Use repeated applications of Problem 1.3 [3].

E3. (a) Verify that M = K - L * N is the remainder in the division represented by statement 2 (N = K/L) of the Fortran program.

(b) Can the Fortran program be used to compute (I, J) when $I \leq J$?

E4. Prove that if $n \ge 3$, then N(n,3) = 1, 2, or 3.

E5. Suppose that n > 5 is chosen at random. Find the probability that N(n, 5) > 2.

E6. Prove that for n > 3, N(n + 3, n) = 2, 3, or 4.

E7. For what values of n is $3 \le N(2n - 5, n) \le 6$?

E8. Express (F_{n+1}, F_n) as a function of n.

E9. Investigate the following conjecture: If $a \leq F_K$, then $N(n,a) \leq K$ - 1. Can n be any positive integer?

E10. Investigate the following conjecture: Let $F \ge 2$ be any Fibonacci number. Then max $N(n, F) = 1 + \max_{n} (n, F - 1)$.

REFERENCES

 William J. LeVeque, <u>Topics in Number Theory</u>, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1956, Vol. I, Chap. 2.

 Glenn Michael, "A New Proof for an Old Property," <u>Fibonacci Quarterly</u>, Vol. 2, No. 1, Feb. 1964, p. 57.

 D. E. Thoro, "Divisibility I," <u>Fibonacci Quarterly</u>, Vol. 1, No. 1, Feb. 1963, p. 51.

÷