

## ON FIBONACCI RESIDUES

JOHN H. HALTON

University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado

In a recent note ("Exploring Fibonacci Residues" Fib. Quart. 2 (1964) 1: 42), Brother Alfred asks whether one or other of the least positive and negative residues, when one Fibonacci number is divided by another, is always itself a Fibonacci number.

The answer is YES, as is shown by the following somewhat more detailed result.

**THEOREM.** If  $m \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 3$  are integers, and if  $A$  and  $-B$  are the least positive and negative residues when  $F_m$  is divided by  $F_n$ , then at least one of  $A$  and  $B$  is itself a Fibonacci number  $F_s$ , where  $k$  and  $s$  are unique integers such that  $s = 0$  if  $n$  divides  $m$ , and otherwise

$$(1) \quad m = 2kn + r, \quad k \geq 0, \quad 0 < |r| < n, \quad s = |r| .$$

**Proof.** It is well-known that  $F_m$  is divisible by  $F_n$  if and only if either  $m$  is divisible by  $n$  or  $n = 2$ . Thus if  $n \geq 3$  and  $n$  divides  $m$ , the theorem holds, since  $F_0 = 0$ . If  $n$  does not divide  $m$ , we can find  $k$  and  $r$  uniquely by (1). Well-known identities now show that

$$(2) \quad F_m = F_{2kn+r} = \sum_{h=0}^{2k} \binom{2k}{h} F_n^h F_{n-1}^{2k-h} F_{r+h} \equiv F_{n-1}^{2k} F_r \pmod{F_n}$$

and

$$(3) \quad F_{n-1}^2 = F_{n-2} F_n + (-1)^n \equiv (-1)^n \pmod{F_n} .$$

Therefore we see that

$$(4) \quad F_m \equiv (-1)^{kn} F_r \equiv (-1)^{kn+r-1} F_{-r} \equiv \pm F_s \pmod{F_n} .$$

Since the Fibonacci sequence is strictly increasing for values of the index greater than one,  $F_s < F_n$ , so that  $\pm F_s$  is the least positive or negative residue of  $F_m$  modulo  $F_n$ ; that is,  $F_s = A$  or  $B$ .

To complete the treatment of Brother Alfred's question, it must be noted that, if  $n = 1$  or  $2$ ,  $F_n = 1$  and so divides  $F_m$ , yielding a residue of  $F_0 = 0$ . And if  $m$  or  $n$  is negative, the well-known relation

$$F_{-t} = (-1)^{t-1} F_t,$$

which was used in the derivation of (4), shows that the residue is still  $\pm F_s$ .

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

**NOTICE TO ALL SUBSCRIBERS!!!**

Please notify the Managing Editor AT ONCE of any address change. The Post Office Department, rather than forwarding magazines mailed third class, sends them directly to the dead-letter office. Unless the addressee specifically requests the Fibonacci Quarterly be forwarded at first class rates to the new address, he will not receive it. (This will usually cost about 30 cents for first-class postage.) If possible, please notify us AT LEAST THREE WEEKS PRIOR to publication dates: February 15, April 15, October 15, and December 15.

**CORRECTED FACTORIZATIONS OF FIBONACCI NUMBERS**

DAVID M. BLOOM  
University of Massachusetts

Kraitchik's table of factors of the Fibonacci numbers (*Recherches sur la Theorie des Nombres*, " p. 77-79) contains at least two errors, as follows:

( $u_n$  denotes  $n^{\text{th}}$  Fibonacci number, as in Kraitchik)

$n$	$u_n$	Kraitchik's Factorization	Correct Factorization
57	365, 435, 296, 162	$2 \cdot 37 \cdot 113 \cdot 4371901$	$2 \cdot 37 \cdot 113 \cdot 797 \cdot 54833$
67	44, 945, 570, 212, 853	prime	$269 \cdot 116849 \cdot 1429913$

Note: in the factorization of  $u_{57}$ ,  $797 \cdot 54833 = 43701901$ , not  $4371901$   
Have these errors been pointed out elsewhere?