

SOME PROPERTIES OF DIVISIBILITY OF HIGHER-ORDERED  
LINEAR RECURSIVE SEQUENCES

GERÖCS LÁSZLÓ

Balzac U. 35, Budapest, 1136, V.3. Hungary

(Submitted August 1981)

In this paper we consider the Fibonacci sequence defined by

$$F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1, \text{ and } F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}, n \geq 2,$$

the  $k$ -ordered Fibonacci sequence  $\{G_n^{(k)}\}$ , and the generalized  $k$ -ordered linear recursive sequence  $\{R_n^{(k)}\}$ , both of which will be defined.

First a new relation on the Fibonacci sequence will be proved and a well-known relation on the Fibonacci sequence will be generalized for the  $k$ -ordered Fibonacci sequence. Then an infinite set of positive integers will be found such that no integer in this set is a divisor of any term in the sequence  $\{R_n^{(k)}\}$ . Finally, a result of Lieuwens [1] will be generalized for  $k$ -ordered linear recursive sequences.

DEFINITION 1: For every  $k > 1$ , the  $k$ -ordered Fibonacci sequence  $\{G_n^{(k)}\}$  is defined by  $G_0^{(k)} = G_1^{(k)} = \dots = G_{k-1}^{(k)} = 1$ , and

$$G_n^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^k G_{n-i}^{(k)}, n \geq k.$$

(When  $k = 2$ , this sequence is essentially the Fibonacci sequence.)

DEFINITION 2: For every  $k > 1$ , the generalized  $k$ -ordered linear recursive sequence  $\{R_n^{(k)}\}$  is defined by  $R_0^{(k)} = R_1^{(k)} = \dots = R_{k-1}^{(k)} = 1$ , and

$$R_n^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i R_{n-i}^{(k)}, n \geq k,$$

where the  $a_i$  are integers not all equal to 0.

DEFINITION 3: If  $m \neq 0$  is an integer, then for every  $k > 1$ , the length of the period modulo  $m$  of  $\{R_n^{(k)}\}$  is the least natural number  $p(m)$  such that there exists an index  $n_0$ , and for  $n > n_0$ ,

$$R_{n+p}^{(k)} \equiv R_n^{(k)} \pmod{m}.$$

A sequence is called absolutely periodic modulo  $m$  if  $n_0 = 0$ .

REMARK: Every sequence  $\{R_n^{(k)}\}$  is clearly periodic.

DEFINITION 4: The occurrence order of the natural number  $m > 1$  in the sequence  $\{R_n^{(k)}\}$  is the number  $r(m)$ , for which  $m | R_r^{(k)}$ , but  $m \nmid R_n^{(k)}$  if  $0 < n < r$ .

EXAMPLE 1: Let the  $\alpha_i = 1$  and  $k = 3$ . Then we have the sequence

$$\{R_n^{(3)}\} \equiv 1, 1, 1, 3, 5, 9, 17, 31, 57, 105, 193, \dots$$

If  $m = 5$ , this sequence reduced modulo 5 becomes

$$1, 1, 1, 3, 0, 4, 2, 1, 2, 0, 3, 0, 3, 1, 4, 3, 3, 0, 1, 4, \\ 0, 0, 4, 4, 3, 1, 3, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1, 1, 3, \dots$$

and we have

$$p(5) = 31, n_0 = 0, r(5) = 4.$$

THEOREM 1: If  $\{R_n\}$  is the sequence defined by

$$R_0 = 1, R_n = \sum_{j=1}^n jR_{n-j}, n > 0,$$

then for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$(a) R_n = F_{2n};$$

$$(b) \sum_{j=0}^n R_j = F_{2n+1}.$$

PROOF: (a) For  $n = 2, 3$ , and  $4$ , the theorem is easily established. Using finite induction, and assuming that for  $i > 4$ ,

$$R_i = F_{2i},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2(i+1)} &= F_{2i+2} = F_{2i+1} + F_{2i} = F_{2i} + F_{2i-1} + F_{2i} \\ &= 2F_{2i} + F_{2i} - F_{2i-2} = 3F_{2i} - F_{2(i-1)} = 3R_i - R_{i-1} \\ &= 3 \sum_{j=1}^i jR_{i-j} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} jR_{i-j-1} = \sum_{j=1}^i (2j+1)R_{i-j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^i jR_{i-j} + \sum_{j=2}^{i+1} jR_{i+1-j} = R_i + \sum_{j=2}^{i+1} jR_{i+1-j} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} jR_{i+1-j} = R_{i+1},$$

as required.

(b) Applying (a) above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2n+1} &= F_{2(n+1)} - F_{2n} = R_{n+1} - R_n \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} jR_{n+1-j} - \sum_{j=1}^n jR_{n-j} = \sum_{j=0}^n R_j. \end{aligned}$$

A well-known identity for Fibonacci numbers is

$$F_n = \sum_{i=2}^n F_{n-i} + 1, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (1)$$

An alternate form of (1), which we obtain by renaming  $F_0 = 1$ ,  $F_1 = 1$ ,  $F_2 = 2$ , and generalize as Theorem 2, is

$$F_n = \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} F_{n-i} + 3, \quad n \geq 4. \quad (2)$$

**THEOREM 2:** If  $G_n^{(k)}$  is as in Definition 1, then for all  $n \geq 2k$ ,

$$G_n^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} iG_{n-i-1}^{(k)} + (k-1) \sum_{i=k}^{n-k} G_{n-i}^{(k)} + \frac{k(k+1)}{2}. \quad (3)$$

Note that  $G_n^{(2)} = F_n$  as defined in (2) and hence (2) is a special case of (3).

**PROOF:** Let  $k \geq 2$  be fixed. If  $n = 2k$ , then using the definition of  $G_{2k}^{(k)}$  twice and performing the indicated sums, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2k}^{(k)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k G_{2k-i}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k G_{2k-i-j}^{(k)} \\ &= G_{2k-2}^{(k)} + 2G_{2k-3}^{(k)} + \cdots + (k-2)G_{k+1}^{(k)} + (k-1)G_k^{(k)} + \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} iG_{2k-i-1}^{(k)} + (k-1)G_k^{(k)} + \frac{k(k+1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

(Recall that  $G_0^{(k)} = G_1^{(k)} = \cdots = G_{k-1}^{(k)} = 1$ .)

Now suppose that (3) is true for  $m > 2k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} G_{m+1}^{(k)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k G_{m-i+1}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} G_{m-i}^{(k)} = G_m^{(k)} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} G_{m-i}^{(k)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} iG_{m-i-1}^{(k)} + (k-1) \sum_{i=k}^{m-k} G_{m-i}^{(k)} + \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} G_{m-i}^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k-3} iG_{m-i-1}^{(k)} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} G_{m-i}^{(k)} \right] + \left[ (k-2)G_{m-(k-1)}^{(k)} + G_{m-(k-1)}^{(k)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (k-1) \sum_{i=k}^{m-k} G_{m-i}^{(k)} \right] + \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} iG_{(m+1)-i-1}^{(k)} + (k-1) \sum_{i=k}^{m+1-k} G_{(m+1)-i}^{(k)} + \frac{k(k+1)}{2},
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves that (3) is true for  $n = m + 1$  and hence for all  $n$ .

We now turn to the question of divisibility of the terms of the sequence  $\{R_n^{(k)}\}$  by the natural number  $m$  and state the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3:** If  $\{R_n^{(k)}\}$  is as in Definition 2, and if  $m$  is a natural number such that

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right) - 1 \neq 0$$

and

$$\text{g.c.d.} \left( m, \left( \sum_{i=1}^k a_i - 1 \right) \right) = d > 1,$$

then  $m \nmid R_n^{(k)}$  for any  $n$ . That is,  $r(m)$  does not exist.

**PROOF:** Let

$$M = \left( \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right) - 1.$$

If  $\text{g.c.d.} (m, M) = d > 1$ , we show that for every  $n$ ,

$$R_n^{(k)} \equiv 1 \pmod{M}.$$

If  $n < k$ , then  $R_n^{(k)} = 1$  and  $M \nmid R_n^{(k)}$ , since  $M > 1$ .

Now, if we assume that the theorem is true for any  $k$  successive terms of the sequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_n^{(k)} &= j_0 M + 1 \\
 R_{n+1}^{(k)} &= j_1 M + 1 \\
 &\dots \dots \dots \\
 R_{n+k-1}^{(k)} &= j_{k-1} M + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying each of these equations successively by  $a_k, a_{k-1}, \dots, a_1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_k R_n^{(k)} &= a_k j_0^M + a_k \\ a_{k-1} R_{n+1}^{(k)} &= a_{k-1} j_1^M + a_{k-1} \\ &\dots \\ a_1 R_{n+k-1}^{(k)} &= a_1 j_{k-1}^M + a_1, \end{aligned}$$

and then adding, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{n+k}^{(k)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k a_i R_{n+k-i}^{(k)} = M \sum_{i=1}^k a_i j_{k-i} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right) - 1 + 1 \\ &= M \left( \sum_{i=1}^k a_i j_{k-i} + 1 \right) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

which establishes that  $R_{n+k}^{(k)} \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$ .

Now we assume that for some  $s$ ,

$$m | R_s^{(k)}.$$

Then  $d | R_s^{(k)}$  and  $d | M$  and hence there exist integers  $j$ ,  $r_1$ , and  $r_2$  such that

$$R_s^{(k)} = r_1 d = jM + 1 = r_2 d + 1,$$

which implies  $d | 1$ , a contradiction, and the proof is complete.

If g.c.d.  $(m, M) = 1$ , then it is not known whether, in general, there exists  $n$  such that  $m | R_n^{(k)}$ .

Finally, we examine  $p(m)$ , the length of the period of  $\{R_n^{(k)}\}$  modulo  $m$ .

Waddill[2] has shown that in the special case where  $R_0 = 0$ ,  $R_1 = R_2 = 1$ ,  $k = 3$ ,  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 1$ , and  $m = q_1^{\alpha_1}, q_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, q_r^{\alpha_r}$ ,  $q_i$  prime, then

$$p(m) = \text{l.c.m. } [p(q_1^{\alpha_1}), p(q_2^{\alpha_2}), \dots, p(q_r^{\alpha_r})]. \tag{4}$$

Lieuwens [1] has shown that (4) holds for an arbitrary 2-ordered sequence. We show that (4) is true for every  $k$ -ordered sequence.

**THEOREM 4:** Let  $\{R_n^{(k)}\}$  be as in Definition 2 and let  $m > 1$  be an arbitrary integer, where

$$m = q_1^{\alpha_1} q_2^{\alpha_2} \dots q_r^{\alpha_r}, \quad q_i \text{ prime,}$$

then

$$p(m) = \text{l.c.m. } [p(q_1^{\alpha_1}), p(q_2^{\alpha_2}), \dots, p(q_r^{\alpha_r})].$$

PROOF: For every integer  $q_i^{\alpha_i}$ , there exists an index  $n_{0_i}$  such that for  $n > n_{0_i}$ ,

$$R_{n+jp(q_i^{\alpha_i})}^{(k)} \equiv R_n^{(k)} \pmod{q_i^{\alpha_i}}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $n^* = \max(n_{0_1}, n_{0_2}, \dots, n_{0_r})$ . Then for every integer  $t > 0$ ,  $j \geq 0$ ,

$$R_{n^*+jp(q_i^{\alpha_i})+t}^{(k)} \equiv R_{n^*+t}^{(k)} \pmod{q_i^{\alpha_i}}$$

for all  $i$ . Hence, for  $i = 1, 2$ , say,

$$R_{n^*+jp(q_1^{\alpha_1})+t}^{(k)} \equiv R_{n^*+t}^{(k)} \pmod{q_1^{\alpha_1}}$$

$$R_{n^*+jp(q_2^{\alpha_2})+t}^{(k)} \equiv R_{n^*+t}^{(k)} \pmod{q_2^{\alpha_2}},$$

Since  $\text{g.c.d.}(q_1, q_2) = 1$ , then the smallest integer,  $p$ , such that

$$R_{n^*+p+t}^{(k)} \equiv R_{n^*+t}^{(k)} \pmod{q_1^{\alpha_1} q_2^{\alpha_2}}$$

occurs when

$$p = \text{l.c.m.}[p(q_1^{\alpha_1}), p(q_2^{\alpha_2})],$$

since  $p$  must be a multiple of both  $p(q_1^{\alpha_1})$  and  $p(q_2^{\alpha_2})$ . The general case follows similarly.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author acknowledges the assistance of Professor Marcellus E. Waddill in editing this manuscript for publication.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Lieuwens. *Fermat Pseudo Primes*. Drukkerij, Hoogland, Delft, 1971.
2. Marcellus E. Waddill. "Some Properties of a Generalized Fibonacci Sequence Modulo  $m$ ." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 16, No. 4 (August 1978):344-353.

★★★★★