### A. G. SHANNON

The New South Wales Institute of Technology, Sydney, Australia and
Universidad de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain
(Submitted September 1981)

### INTRODUCTION

We consider here intersections of positive integer sequences

$$\{w_n(w_0, w_1; p, -q)\}$$

which satisfy the second-order linear recurrence relation

$$w_n = pw_{n-1} + qw_{n-2},$$

where p, q are positive integers,  $p \ge q$ , and which have initial terms  $w_0$ ,  $w_1$ . Many properties of  $\{w_n\}$  have been studied by Horadam [2; 3; 4] (and elsewhere), to whom some of the notation is due. We look at conditions for fewer than two intersections, exactly two intersections, and more than two intersections. This is a generalization of work of Stein [5] who applied it to his study of varieties and quasigroups [6] in which he constructed groupoids which satisfied the identity  $a((a \cdot ba)a) = b$  but not  $(a(ab \cdot a))a = b$ .

### 2. FEWER THAN TWO INTERSECTIONS

We shall first establish some lemmas which will be used to show that two of these generalized Fibonacci sequences with the same p and q generally do not meet.

Suppose the integers  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$ ,  $b_0$ , and  $b_1$  are such that

$$a_{\mathrm{2}} > b_{\mathrm{0}} > a_{\mathrm{0}} \quad \text{ and } \quad a_{\mathrm{3}} > b_{\mathrm{1}} > a_{\mathrm{1}}.$$

These conditions are not as restrictive as they might appear, although they may require the sequences being compared to be realigned by redefining the initial terms. We consider the sets

$$\{w_n(a_0, a_1; p, -q)\}\$$
 and  $\{w_n(b_0, b_1; p, -q)\},\$ 

and we seek an upper bound  ${\it L}$  for the number of  $\alpha_1$  's  $(b_1>\alpha_1\geqslant b_0)$  such that

$$\{w_n(a_0, a_1; p, -q)\} \cap \{w_n(b_0, b_1; p, -q)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

[Feb.

We shall show that if A(b) = b - L  $(b = b_1 - b_0)$  is the number of  $a_1$ 's such that if this intersection is nonempty, then  $\lim_{b \to \infty} A(b)/b = 1$ ; that is, these generalized sequences do not meet, because if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} A(n)/n = 1$ , then we can say that for the predicate P about positive integers  $n \in P(n)$  is true has density 1, which means that P holds "for almost all n."

We first examine where  $\{w_n(\alpha_0, \alpha_1; p, -q)\}$  and  $\{w_n(b_0, b_1; p, -q)\}$  might meet. Since  $\alpha_0 < b_0$  and  $\alpha_1 < b_1$ , then  $\alpha_n < b_n$  for all n by induction. Thus, if  $\alpha_k \in \{w_n(b_0, b_1; p, -q)\}$  and  $\alpha_k = b_i$ , then i must be less than k.

Now  $\alpha_2>b_0, \text{ and }\alpha_3>b_1,$  so that  $\alpha_4=p\alpha_3+q\alpha_2>pb_1+qb_0=b_2, \text{ and so on;}$  that is,  $\alpha_k>b_{k-2} \text{ for } k\geqslant 3.$  Thus, if

 $a_k \ \epsilon \ \{w_n(b_0 \mbox{, } b_1; \ p \mbox{, -}q)\},$  then

 $b_{k-2} < \alpha_k < b_k$ ; that is,  $\alpha_k = b_{k-1}$ .

We next examine the  $a_1$  for which  $a_k = b_{k-1}$ . Since

$$a_k = a_1 u_{k-1} + q a_0 u_{k-2}$$
 (from (3.14) of [2])

where  $\{u_n\} = \{w_n(1, p; p, -q)\}$  is related to Lucas' sequence, then

$$a_k = b_{k-1}$$

is equivalent to

$$b_{k-1} = a_1 u_{k-1} + q a_0 u_{k-2}$$
 or  $a_1 = (b_{k-1} - q a_0 u_{k-2}) / u_{k-1}$ .

We now define

$$x_k = (b_{k-1} - qa_0u_{k-2})/u_{k-1},$$

and we shall show that  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ , ... has a limit X, that it approaches this limit in an oscillating fashion, and that  $x_{k+1}$  -  $x_k$  approaches zero quickly.

#### Lemma 1

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_{k+1} - x_k &= (-q)^{k-1} (b_1 - b_0 - qa_0) / u_k u_{k-1}. \\ \\ \underline{\text{Proof:}} & x_{k+1} - x_k &= \frac{b_k - qa_0 u_{k-1}}{u_k} - \frac{b_{k-1} - qa_0 u_{k-2}}{u_{k-1}} \\ &= \frac{(b_k u_{k-1} - b_{k-1} u_k) + qa_0 (u_k u_{k-2} - u_{k-1}^2)}{u_k u_{k-1}} \end{array}$$

1983]

Now

$$(-q)^{k-1} = u_{k-1}^2 - u_k u_{k-2}$$
, (from (27) of [3])  
 $b_k u_{k-1} = b_1 u_{k-1}^2 + q b_0 u_{k-1} u_{k-2}$ , (from (3.14) of [2])  
 $b_{k-1} u_k = b_1 u_k u_{k-2} + q b_0 u_k u_{k-3}$ ,

so that

$$\begin{split} b_k u_{k-1} - b_{k-1} u_k &= b_1 (u_{k-1}^2 - u_k u_{k-2}) + q b_0 (u_{k-1} u_{k-2} - u_k u_{k-3}) \\ &= (-q)^{k-1} b_1 - (-q)^{k-1} b_0 \end{split}$$

since

$$(-q)^{k-2} = u_{k-1}u_{k-2} - u_ku_{k-3}$$
 (from 4.21) of [2]).

This gives the required result.

### Lemma 2

 $|x_{k+1}-x_k|<|b_1-b_0-qa_0|/\alpha^{2k-4},$  where  $\alpha,$   $\beta,$   $|\alpha|>|\beta|,$  are the roots, assumed distinct, of

$$x^2 - px - q = 0.$$

Proof: 
$$u_k = pu_{k-1} + qu_{k-2} \ge pu_{k-1}$$

$$\geq q u_{k-1} \qquad (p \geq q)$$

$$\geq q^2 u_{k-2} \geq \cdots \geq q^k u_0 \geq q^{k-1}$$

and

$$u_k u_{k-1} > q^{2k-3}$$
.

Thus

$$|x_{k+1} - x_k| < |(b_1 - b_0 - qa_0)/q^{k-2}|,$$

which implies that the  $\boldsymbol{x}_k$  's converge to a limit  $\mathbf{X}$  in an oscillating fashion. Now

 $|q|^{k-2} = |\alpha|^{k-2} |\beta|^{k-2} < \alpha^{2k-4},$ 

and

$$|x_{k+1} - x_k| < |b_1 - b_0 - qa_0|/\alpha^{2k-4}$$
.

# Theorem 1

If  $\alpha_0$  is a positive integer and  $\{w_n\}$  is a generalized Fibonacci sequence, then for almost all  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\{w_n(\alpha_0, \alpha_1; p, -q)\} \cap \{w_n\}$  consists of at most the element  $\alpha_0$ .

<u>Proof</u>: It follows from Lemma 2 that at most one  $x_k$  is an integer for those k which satisfy the inequality

$$(b_1 - b_0 - qa_0)/\alpha^{2k-4} < 1$$
,

or, equivalently, the inequality

$$k > 2 + \frac{\log(b_1 - b_0 - qa_0)^{1/2}}{2}$$

in which  $\underline{\log}$  stands for logarithm to the base  $|\alpha|$ . Thus the total number of k's for which  $x_k$  is an integer (since  $a_1$  must be an integer) is at most

$$L = 2 + \log(b_1 - b_0 - qa_0)^{1/2}$$
.

If we choose  $b_0$  such that  $b_0 = c_m$  and  $b_1 = c_{m+1}$ ,  $c_m \in \{w_n(c_0, c_1; p, -q)\}$ , where  $c_{m+1}/c_m \le [1+\alpha]$ , then L is small in comparison with  $b-b_0$ . There is such an integer m:

 $c_{m+1}/c_m < [1+\alpha]$  for all  $k \ge m$ 

since

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} c_{k+1}/c_k = \alpha.$$
 ((1.22) of [4])

We could take  $b_0 = c_{m+1}$  or  $c_{m+2}$  and still conclude that the total number of  $a_1$ 's  $(b_0 \le a_1 \le b_1)$  for which  $\{w_n(a_0, a_1; p, -q)\}$  meets  $\{w_n(b_0, b_1; p, -q)\}$  is small in comparison with  $b = b_1 - b_0$ .

Thus

$$A(b) = b - L,$$

and since

$$\lim_{b \to \infty} (\log b)/b = 0,$$

we have

$$\lim_{b \to \infty} A(b)/b = 1 - \lim_{b \to \infty} (2 + \log(b - qa_0)^{1/2})/b$$
= 1, as required.

Thus, for allmost all  $a_1$ ,  $\{w_n\} \cap \{w_n(a_0, a_1; p, -q)\}$  contains  $a_0$  only or is empty.

# 3. EXACTLY TWO INTERSECTIONS

### Lemma 3

If  $a_i = b_i$  and  $a_{i-1} \neq b_{i-1}$ , then for  $r \ge 1$ 

$$b_{j+r} \notin \{w_n(a_0, a_1; p, -q)\}$$
 and  $a_{i+r} \notin \{w_n(b_0, b_1; p, -q)\}.$ 

<u>Proof</u>: If  $a_{i-1} > b_{j-1}$ , then  $a_{i+1} > b_{j+1}$ , and

$$a_{i+1} = pa_i + qa_{i-1} < pb_{j+1} + qb_j = b_{j+2},$$

since

$$a_{i-1} < a_i = b_i < b_{i+1}$$

Thus

$$\alpha_i < b_{j+1} < \alpha_{i+1} \quad \text{ and } \quad \alpha_{i+1} < b_{j+2} < \alpha_{i+2},$$

and, by induction,

$$a_{i+r-1} < b_{j+r} < a_{i+r} \qquad (r \ge 1).$$

Hence,  $b_{j+r} \notin \{w_n(a_0, a_1; p, -q)\}, r \ge 1$ , from which the lemma follows.

### Theorem 2

If  $\{w_n(a_0, a_1; p, -q)\}$  and  $\{w_n(b_0, b_1; p, -q)\}$  meet exactly twice, then at least one of these statements holds:

$$a_0 \in \{w_n(b_0, b_1; p, -q)\}, b_0 \in \{w_n(a_0, a_1; p, -q)\}.$$

As an illustration of Theorem 2, consider the sequences

the second of these is the sequence of ordinary Fibonacci numbers

$$\{w_n(1, 1; 1, -1)\}.$$

<u>Proof of Theorem 2</u>: If  $a_i = b_j$ , i, j > 0, and the sequences meet exactly twice, then  $a_{i-1} \neq b_{j-1}$ ; otherwise the sequences would be identical from those terms on, as can be seen from Theorem 3. (We need i, j > 0, since we have not specified  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$  for n < 0.) Thus, from Lemma 3,

$$b_{j+r} \notin \{w_n(a_0, a_1; p, -q)\}$$
 and  $a_{i+r} \notin \{w_n(b_0, b_1; p, -q)\}, r \ge 1.$ 

So  $a_n = b_m$ ,  $0 \le m \le j$ ,  $0 \le n \le i$ , and, again,  $a_{n-1} \ne b_{m-1}$ ; otherwise the sequences would be identical from those terms on. But from Lemma 3 this implies that

$$b_{m+r} \notin \{w_n(a_0, a_1; p, -q)\}$$
 and  $a_{n+r} \notin \{w_n(b_0, b_1; p, -q)\}, r \ge 1$ ,

which contradicts the assumption that  $a_i = b_j$ . So the only other possibilities are that  $a_0 = b_m$  for some m or  $a_n = b_0$  for some n, as required. This establishes the theorem.

# 4. MORE THAN TWO INTERSECTIONS

### Theorem 3

If  $\{w_n(\alpha_0, \alpha_1; p, -q)\}$  and  $\{w_n(b_0, b_1; p, -q)\}$  have two consecutive terms equal, then they are identical from those terms on.

Proof: If 
$$a_i = b_j$$
 and  $a_{i-1} = b_{j-1}$ , then

$$a_{i+1} = pa_i + qa_{i-1} = pb_j + qb_{j-1} = b_{j+1}$$

and the result follows by induction.

# 5. REMARKS

A. It is of interest to note that the number of terms of  $\{w_n(\alpha_0, \alpha_1; p, -q)\}$  not exceeding  $b_0$  is asymptotic to

$$\log(b_0(\alpha - \beta)/(a_1\alpha + a_0\alpha\beta))$$
. (Horadam [4])

B. As an illustration of Theorem 1, if we consider the case where p=q=1, and if we take  $\alpha_0=1$ ,  $b_0=100$ ,  $b_1=191$ , then  $b_2=291$ ,  $b_3=392$ ,  $b_4=683$ . When:

$$a_1 = 100$$
,  $a_1 = b_0$ ;  $a_1 = 190$ ,  $a_2 = b_1$ ;  $a_1 = 145$ ,  $a_3 = b_2$ ;  $a_1 = 130$ ,  $a_4 = b_3$ ;  $a_1 = 136$ ,  $a_5 = b_4$ .

Thereafter, there are no more integer values of  $\alpha_1$  that yield  $\alpha_k = b_{k-1}$ . Thus 100, 130, 136, 145, and 190 are the only values of  $\alpha_1$  (100  $\leq \alpha_1 \leq$  191) for which

$$\{w_n(1, \alpha_1; 1, -1)\} \cap \{w_n(100, 191; 1, -1)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Also,  $\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}(4 + \underline{\log} 90)\right)\right] = 6$ , so the bound L is valid.

C. It is not apparent how Theorem 1 can be elegantly generalized to arbitrary order sequences. If  $\{w_n^{(r)}\}$  satisfies the recurrence relation

$$w_n^{(r)} = \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^{j+1} P_{rj} w_{n-j}^{(r)} \qquad n \geqslant r$$

with suitable initial values, where the  $P_{rj}$  are arbitrary integers, and if  $\{u_n^{(r)}\}$  satisfies the same recurrence relation, but has initial values given by

$$u_0^{(r)} = u_1^{(r)} = \cdots = u_{r-2}^{(r)} = 0, \ u_{r-1}^{(r)} = 1,$$

then it can be proved that

$$w_n^{(r)} = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{j} (-1)^{j-k} P_{rj} w_k^{(r)} \right) u_{n-j+1}^{(r)},$$

where  $P_{r0} = 1$ . When r = 2, this becomes

$$\begin{split} w_n^{(2)} &= w_1^{(2)} u_n^{(2)} + w_0^{(2)} u_{n+1}^{(2)} - P_{21} u_n^{(2)} \\ &= w_1^{(2)} u_n^{(2)} - P_{22} w_0^{(2)} u_{n-1}^{(2)} \end{split}$$

which is Eq. (3.14) of [2] for the sequences

$$\{w_n^{(2)}\} = \{w_n(w_0^{(2)}, w_1^{(2)}; P_{21}, P_{22})\}$$

and

$$\{u_{n+1}^{(2)}\} \ = \ \{w_n(1\,,\ P_{_{2\,1}};\ P_{_{2\,1}},\ P_{_{2\,2}})\}.$$

1983]

Thus, one of the key equations in Theorem 1 generalizes to

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{w}_{r-1}^{(r)} &= \left( w_n^{(r)} - \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} (-1)^{j-r-1} P_{r, r-j-1} w_j^{(r)} u_{n-r+2}^{(r)} \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{k=0}^{j} (-1)^{j-k} P_{r, j-k} w_k^{(r)} u_{n-j+1}^{(r)} \right) \middle/ u_{n-r+2}^{(r)}, \end{split}$$

which is rather cumbersome.

Thanks are expressed to the referee for several useful suggestions.

# REFERENCES

- 1. A. F. Horadam. "A Generalized Fibonacci Sequence." American Mathematical Monthly 68 (1961):455-459.
- 2. A. F. Horadam. "Basic Properties of a Certain Generalized Sequence of Numbers." Fibonacci Quarterly 3 (1965):161-176.
- 3. A. F. Horadam. "Generating Functions for Powers of a Certain Generalized Sequence of Numbers." Duke Math. J. 32 (1965):437-446.
- 4. A. F. Horadam. "Generalizations of Two Theorems of K. Subba Rao." Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 58 (1966):23-29.
- 5. S. K. Stein. "The Intersection of Fibonacci Sequences." *Mich. Math. J.* 9 (1962):399-402.
- 6. S. K. Stein. "Finite Models of Identities." *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 14 (1963):216-222.

♦♦♦♦