0. W. McCLUNG

University of San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94117

(Submitted November 1983)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, unless otherwise stated, lower-case letters denote positive integers with p and q reserved for primes.

### Definition

A divisor d of n is a unitary divisor if (n, n/d) = 1, denoted by d | n.

The sum of all unitary divisors of n will be denoted  $\sigma^*(n)$ . If

 $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ 

then

 $\sigma^{*}(n) = (1 + p_{1}^{e_{1}})(1 + p_{2}^{e_{2}}) \cdots (1 + p_{k}^{e_{k}}).$ 

Hence,  $\sigma^*$  is multiplicative. If  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum of all divisors of n, then

 $\sigma(n) = \sigma^*(n)$  iff *n* is square-free.

Note that

 $\sigma^{*}(n) = n \text{ iff } n = 1.$ 

Hagis [1] defines a pair of positive integers m and n to be unitary amicable numbers if  $\sigma^*(m) = \sigma^*(n) = m + n$ . If m and n are both square-free, then the pair m, n is amicable (see [2]) iff it is unitary amicable. Independently, Wall [3] studies unitary amicable numbers and finds approximately six hundred pairs that are not amicable pairs. Hagis proves some elementary theorems concerning unitary amicable numbers and gives a table of thirty-two unitary amicable pairs that are not amicable pairs. (A thirty-third such pair,

 $11777220 = 2^2 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 719$ ,  $12414780 = 2^2 3^2 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 59 \cdot 167$ ,

follows from his theorem 4 and was inadvertently omitted from the table.) This paper generalizes Theorems 4 and 5 of [1] and augments Hagis' list of unitary amicable pairs that are not amicable pairs by twenty-five.

#### 2. THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we find conditions on a unitary amicable pair which are sufficient to generate another such pair. The main idea is that of a generator.

#### Definition

The pair (f, k), where f is a rational number not equal to one and k is an integer, is a *generator* if fk is an integer and  $\sigma^*(fk) = f\sigma^*(k)$ .

158

[May

Remark: If k = 1 in the above definition, then  $\sigma^*(f) = f$ , which implies that f = 1. Thus  $k \neq 1$ .

Generators, in conjunction with unitary amicable pairs of a specified form, produce new unitary amicable pairs. In what follows, m and n denote a unitary amicable pair.

## Theorem 1

If (f, k) is a generator,  $m = km_1$ ,  $n = kn_1$ , and  $(fk, m_1n_1) = (k, m_1n_1) = 1$ , then  $fkm_1$ ,  $fkn_1$  is a unitary amicable pair.

<u>Proof</u>:  $\sigma^*(km_1) = \sigma^*(kn_1) = k(m_1 + n_1)$ , since *m*, *n* is a unitary amicable pair. Thus,

 $\sigma^{*}(k)\sigma^{*}(m_{1}) = \sigma^{*}(k)\sigma^{*}(n_{1}) = k(m_{1} + n_{1}),$ 

since  $(k, m_1n_1) = 1$ . Hence,

$$f\sigma^{*}(k)\sigma^{*}(m_{1}) = f\sigma^{*}(k)\sigma(m_{1}) = fk(m_{1} + n_{1}),$$

which yields

$$\sigma^{*}(fk)\sigma^{*}(m_{1}) = \sigma^{*}(fk)\sigma(n_{1}) = fk(m_{1} + n_{1}),$$

since (f, k) is a generator.

Both f, a rational number, and k can be factored uniquely into a product of primes with nonzero (possibly negative) powers. Let  $\pi(f)$  and  $\pi(k)$  denote the number of primes in the factorization of f and k, respectively. Subsequent results classify all generators with  $\pi(f) \leq 2$  and  $\pi(k) = 1$ .

#### Definition

The numbers f and k are *relatively prime* if their prime factorizations have no common prime.

#### Lemma 1

If (f, k) is a generator, then f and k are not relatively prime.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose that f and k are relatively prime. Then they have distinct primes in their prime factorizations. Since fk is an integer, f is also. Thus,

 $\sigma^{\star}(fk) = \sigma^{\star}(f)\sigma^{\star}(k) = f\sigma^{\star}(k),$ 

yielding  $\sigma^*(f) = f$ , which implies f = 1, a contradiction to the definition of a generator.

#### Theorem 2

There does not exist a generator (f, k) with  $\pi(f) = \pi(k) = 1$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose that (f, k) is a generator with  $\pi(f) = \pi(k) = 1$ . By Lemma 1, there is a prime p such that  $f = p^a$  and  $k = p^b$  for some a and b. Since fk is an integer,  $a + b \ge 0$ . Because  $k \ne 1$  in a generator, we must have  $b \ge 0$ . Similarly,  $f \ne 1$  implies  $a \ne 0$ .

1985]

Case 1: If 
$$\alpha + b = 0$$
, then  
 $\sigma^*(fk) = \sigma^*(p^{\alpha+b}) = \sigma^*(1) = 1$ 

#### and

 $f\sigma^*(k) = p^a\sigma^*(p^b) = p^a(1+p^b) = p^a + p^{a+b} = p^a + 1.$ Since  $\sigma^*(fk) = f\sigma^*(k)$ , we have  $1 = p^a + 1$  or  $p^a = 0$ , a contradiction.

Case 2: If a + b > 0, then  $\sigma^*(fk) = \sigma^*(p^{a+b}) = 1 + p^{a+b}$ 

and

 $f\sigma^*(k) = p^a + p^{a+b}.$ 

Thus,  $1 + p^{a+b} = p^a + p^{a+b}$ , which implies  $p^a = 1$  or a = 0, a contradiction.

#### Definition

For the positive rational number f, the prime p divides f (written p|f) if p occurs in the prime factorization of f.

#### Lemma 2

Let (f, k) be a generator and p be a prime such that  $p^a || k$  and  $p \nmid f$ . Then  $(f, kp^{-a})$  is a generator.

<u>Proof</u>: Let  $k = p^a r$ , where a > 0 and (p, r) = 1. Then  $fk = fp^a r$  is an integer. Since  $p \nmid f$ , it follows that fr is an integer and that  $p \nmid fr$ . Hence,

 $\sigma^{\star}(fk) = \sigma^{\star}(fp^{a}r) = (1 + p^{a})\sigma^{\star}(fr).$ 

Also

 $f\sigma^{*}(k) = f\sigma^{*}(p^{a}r) = f(1 + p^{a})\sigma^{*}(r).$ 

Hence,  $(1 + p^a)\sigma^*(fr) = (1 + p^a)f\sigma^*(r)$ , yielding  $\sigma^*(fr) = f\sigma^*(r)$ . Thus, (f, r) is a generator.

Therefore, "extraneous" primes may be eliminated from k.

#### Theorem 3

There does not exist a generator (f, k) with  $\pi(f) = 1$  and  $\pi(k) = 2$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose that (f, k) is a generator with  $\pi(f) = 1$  and  $\pi(k) = 2$ . Then there is a prime p and an integer a with  $p^a || k$  and  $p \nmid f$ . By Lemma 2,  $(f, kp^{-a})$  is a generator with  $\pi(f) = \pi(kp^{-a}) = 1$ , a contradiction of Theorem 2.

Theorem 4 characterizes all generators (f, k) with  $\pi(f) = 2$  and  $\pi(k) = 1$ .

### Theorem 4

The pair (f, k) is a generator with  $\pi(f) = 2$  and  $\pi(k) = 1$  iff there are primes p and q and positive integers a, b, and c such that  $f = p^b q^c$ ,  $k = p^a$ , and  $1 + p^{a+b} = q^c(p^b - 1)$ .

[May

<u>Proof</u>: Let (f, k) be a generator with  $\pi(f) = 2$  and  $\pi(k) = 1$ . By Lemma 1, there are primes p and q and nonzero integers a, b, and c such that  $f = p^b q^c$  and  $k = p^a$ . Since  $k \neq 1$ , it follows that a > 0. Because fk is an integer, we have  $a + b \ge 0$  and c > 0. We therefore have  $fk = p^{a+b}q^c$ .

Case 1: If a + b = 0, then  $\sigma^*(fk) = \sigma^*(q^c) = 1 + q^c$ 

and

 $fo^{*}(k) = p^{b}q^{c}o^{*}(p^{a}) = p^{b}q^{c}(1 + p^{a}) = p^{b}q^{c} + p^{a+b}q^{c} = p^{b}q^{c} + q^{c}.$ 

Thus,  $1 + q^c = p^b q^c + q^c$ , which implies  $p^b q^c = 1$ . Thus, b = c = 0, a contradiction.

Case 2: If 
$$a + b > 0$$
, then  
 $\sigma^*(fk) = \sigma^*(p^{a+b}q^c) = (1 + p^{a+b})(1 + q^c) = 1 + p^{a+b} + q^c + p^{a+b}q^c$ 

and

$$f \circ^{\star}(k) = p^{b} q^{c} \circ^{\star}(p^{a}) = p^{b} q^{c}(1 + p^{a}) = p^{b} q^{c} + p^{a+b} q^{c}.$$

Therefore,

$$1 + p^{a+b} + q^{c} + p^{a+b}q^{c} = p^{b}q^{c} + p^{a+b}q^{c},$$

yielding

 $1 + p^{a+b} + q^c = p^b q^c.$ 

Since  $1 + p^{a+b} + q^c$  is an integer,  $p^b q^c$  is an integer and, hence,  $b \ge 0$ . If b = 0, then  $k = p^a$ ,  $f = q^c$ , and (f, k) = 1, a contradiction of Lemma 1. Thus, b > 0 and  $1 + p^{a+b} = q^c(p^b - 1)$ .

If p and q are primes, and a, b, and c are positive integers such that  $f = p^b q^c$ ,  $k = p^a$ , and  $1 + p^{a+b} = q^c(p^b - 1)$ , then clearly fk is an integer. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\star}(fk) &= \sigma^{\star}(p^{a+b}q^{c}) = (1+p^{a+b})(1+q^{c}) = 1+p^{a+b}+q^{c}+p^{a+b}q^{c} \\ &= q^{c}(p^{b}-1)+q^{c}+p^{a+b}q^{c} = p^{b}q^{c}+p^{a+b}q^{c} \\ &= p^{b}q^{c}(1+p^{a}) = f\sigma^{\star}(k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (f, k) is a generator.

Theorem 5

The equation

 $1 + p^{a+b} = q^{c}(p^{b} - 1)$ 

has a solution only if p = 2 and b = 1 or p = 2 and b = 2 or p = 3 and b = 1.

Proof: Suppose that  $1 + p^{a+b} = q^c(p^b - 1)$  has a solution. Then,

 $p^{b} - 1 | p^{a+b} + 1$  or  $p^{a+b} = -1$  in  $Z(p^{b} - 1)$ ,

the ring of integers modulo  $p^{b} - 1$ . Since  $p^{b} = 1$  in  $Z(p^{b} - 1)$ , we have

 $p^{a+b} = p^a p^b = p^a$  in  $Z(p^b - 1)$ .

Hence,

 $p^a = -1 = p^b - 2$  in  $Z(p^b - 1)$ .

1985]

Since

 $(p, p^{b} - 1) = (p^{b} - 2, p^{b} - 1) = 1,$ 

we see that p and  $p^b$  - 2 belong to  $U(p^b - 1)$ , the group of units of  $Z(p^b - 1)$ . Thus,  $p^a = p^b - 2$  in  $U(p^b - 1)$ . Also, there exist a and b such that

 $p^{a} = p^{b} - 2 \text{ iff } p^{b} - 2 \in \langle p \rangle,$ 

the cyclic subgroup generated by p in  $U(p^{b} - 1)$ . If  $c \leq b$ , then

 $p^{c} - 1 \leq p^{b} - 1$  and  $p^{b} - 1 \nmid p^{c} - 1$ , so  $p^{c} \neq 1$  in  $U(p^{b} - 1)$ . Since  $p^{b} = 1$  in  $U(p^{b} - 1)$ , the order of p in  $U(p^{b} - 1)$ is b and  $\langle p \rangle = \{1, p, p^{2}, \dots, p^{b-1}\}$ . Note that

$$p^{b-1} < p^{b} - 2 \quad \text{iff } p^{b} - p^{b-1} > 2$$
  

$$\text{iff } p^{b-1}(p-1) > 2$$
  

$$\text{iff } p^{b-1} > \frac{2}{p-1}$$
  

$$\text{iff } b - 1 > \log_{p} \frac{2}{p-1}$$
  

$$\text{iff } b > 1 + \log_{p} \frac{2}{p-1}.$$

If p = 2, then

$$\log_p \frac{2}{p-1} = \log_2 \frac{2}{2-1} = \log_2 2 = 1.$$

Then

 $b > 2 \quad \text{iff } p^{b-1} < p^b - 2$  $\text{iff } p^b - 2 \notin \langle p \rangle,$ 

a contradiction. Thus, if b > 2, there does not exist a solution to (1).

If p = 3, then

$$\log_p \frac{2}{p-1} = \log_3 1 = 0.$$

Then b > 1 iff  $p^{b-1} < p^b - 2$ . Hence, if b > 1, there does not exist a solution to (1).

Also

$$\log_{p} \frac{2}{p-1} < 0 \quad \text{iff } \log_{p} 2 - \log_{p} (p-1) < 0$$
  
iff  $\log_{p} 2 < \log_{p} (p-1)$   
iff  $2 < p-1$   
iff  $p > 3$ .

Thus, if p > 3, then

$$1 + \log_p \frac{2}{p-1} < 1$$
,

which yields

 $b > 1 + \log_p \frac{2}{p - 1}$  for all b.

Hence,  $p^{b-1} < p^b$  - 2 and there does not exist a solution to (1).

[May

A computer-assisted search for solutions to (1) for a restricted range of values of  $\alpha$  yields Table 1, which also lists the sixteen generators associated with these solutions. When these sixteen generators are applied, iteratively, to the table of thirty-three unitary amicable pairs that are not amicable pairs in [1], the result is the collection of twenty-five pairs in Table 2. Although not in [1], all but the  $12^{th}$ ,  $17^{th}$ , and  $18^{th}$  pairs are found in [3].

	α	С	q	k	f
$p = 2, b = 1, 1 \le a \le 31$	1	1	5	2	2 • 5
	2	2	3	2 <sup>2</sup>	2 • 3
	3	1	17	2 <sup>3</sup>	2 • 17
	7	1	257	2 <sup>7</sup>	2 • 257
	15	1	65537	2 <sup>15</sup>	2 • 65537
$p = 2, b = 2, 1 \le a \le 30$	1 3 9 11 15 17 21	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3 11 43 683 2731 43691 174763 2796203	2 2 <sup>3</sup> 2 <sup>5</sup> 2 <sup>9</sup> 2 <sup>11</sup> 2 <sup>15</sup> 2 <sup>17</sup> 2 <sup>2</sup> 1	2 <sup>2</sup> 3 2 <sup>2</sup> 11 2 <sup>2</sup> 43 2 <sup>2</sup> 683 2 <sup>2</sup> 2731 2 <sup>2</sup> 43691 2 <sup>2</sup> 173763 2 <sup>2</sup> 2796203
$p = 3, b = 1, 1 \le a \le 19$	1	1	5	3	3 • 5
	3	1	41	3 <sup>3</sup>	3 • 41
	15	1	21523361	3 <sup>15</sup>	3 • 21523361

Tab	le	1

Table 2. Unitary Amicable Pairs

(1)	$1707720 = 2^{3}3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 19 \cdot 107$ 2024760 = 2 <sup>3</sup> 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 47 \cdot 359
(2)	$3951990 = 2 \cdot 3^{4}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 41$ $4974858 = 2 \cdot 3^{4}7 \cdot 41 \cdot 107$
(3)	$6940890 = 2 \cdot 3^{4}5 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 41$ $7937190 = 2 \cdot 3^{4}5 \cdot 41 \cdot 239$
(4)	$29656530 = 2 \cdot 3^{4}5 \cdot 19 \cdot 41 \cdot 47$ $29855790 = 2 \cdot 3^{4}5 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 41$
(5)	$58062480 = 2^{4}3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 107$ $68841840 = 2^{4}3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17 \cdot 47 \cdot 359$
(6)	$72696690 = 2 \cdot 3^{4}5 \cdot 11 \cdot 41 \cdot 199$ $76084110 = 2 \cdot 3^{4}5 \cdot 29 \cdot 41 \cdot 79$
(7)	$75139680 = 2^{5}3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 107$ $89089440 = 2^{5}3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 47 \cdot 359$
(8)	$491170680 = 2^{3}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 29 \cdot 47$ $553923720 = 2^{3}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 503$

1985]

## Table 2—continued

(9)	1476394920 6479522280	=	$2^{3}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 71 \cdot 241$ $2^{3}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23 \cdot 10163$
(10)	5530444920 5791411080	=	$2^{3}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 103 \cdot 149$ $2^{3}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 10399$
(11)	6365038680 7221188520	=	$2^{3}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 1039$ $2^{3}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 53 \cdot 4159$
*(12)	12924024960 15323383680	=	$2^{7}3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 43 \cdot 107$ $2^{7}3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 359$
(13)	16699803120 18833406480	=	$2^{4}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 47$ $2^{4}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 503$
(14)	74555240760 83515287240	=	2 <sup>3</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 13 • 19 • 10889 2 <sup>3</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 83 • 36299
(15)	88962742748880 95916546799920	=	$2^{4}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 43 \cdot 131 \cdot 1289$ $2^{4}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 43 \cdot 139 \cdot 17027$
(16)	209173484520 221927955480	=	2 <sup>3</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 13 • 43 • 13499 2 <sup>3</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 29 • 359 • 769
*(17)	214910193960 216191246040	=	2 <sup>3</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 19 • 53 • 7699 2 <sup>3</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 17 • 149 • 3079
*(18)	408774005640 418940759160	=	$2^{3}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 191 \cdot 5939$ $2^{3}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 307 \cdot 2591$
(19)	2534878185840 2839519766160	=	2 <sup>4</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 13 • 17 • 19 • 10899 2 <sup>4</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 17 • 83 • 36299
(20)	2616551257320 2821074905880	=	2 <sup>3</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 13 • 43 • 131 • 1289 2 <sup>3</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 43 • 139 • 17027
(21)	6642948829440 7876219211520	=	2 <sup>8</sup> 3 • 5 • 7 • 11 • 19 • 43 • 107 • 257 2 <sup>8</sup> 3 • 5 • 7 • 11 • 43 • 47 • 257 • 359
(22)	7111898473680 7545550486320	=	2 <sup>4</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 13 • 17 • 43 • 13499 2 <sup>4</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 17 • 29 • 359 • 769
(23)	13898316191760 14243985811440	=	2 <sup>4</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 13 • 17 • 191 • 5939 2 <sup>4</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 17 • 19 • 307 • 2591
(24)	32583815704440 33402225434760	=	2 <sup>3</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 11 • 13 • 181 • 499559 2 <sup>3</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup> 5 • 7 • 13 • 17 • 181 • 229 • 1447
(25)	106595643389918760 106934121830433240	-	$2^{3}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 61 \cdot 853 \cdot 3889679$ $2^{3}3^{2}5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 37 \cdot 61 \cdot 853 \cdot 68239$

# 3. CONJECTURES

A preliminary investigation of generators in which  $\pi(f) \ge 2$  and  $\pi(k) \ge 2$  suggests the following.

# Conjecture 1

The only generator (f, k) with  $\pi(f) = \pi(k) = 2$  is (3/2, 12).

[May

## Conjecture 2

There are no generators (f, k) with  $\pi(f) > 2$  or  $\pi(k) > 2$ .

# REFERENCES

 P. Hagis, Jr. "Unitary Amicable Numbers." Math. of Comp. 25 (1971):915-18.
 U. Dudley. Elementary Number Theory. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman, 1969.
 C. R. Wall. "Topics Related to the Sum of Unitary Divisors of an Integer." Ph.D. Dissertation, The University of Tennessee, 1970.

\*\*\*\*