CLEMENT FRAPPIER

Université de Montréal, Montréal, Quebec H3C 3J7, Canada (Submitted August 1985)

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

1.1 Let f, g be functions sufficiently differentiable. Put $G(z) = f(z^z)$, where $z^z := \exp(z \ln z)$ (exp $t := e^t$, $\ln 1 = 0$). If f is the identity function, i.e., if $G(z) = z^z$, then (see [7], p. 110)

$$G^{(m)}(1) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ (-1)^2 0! & {1 \choose 1} & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ (-1)^3 1! & (-1)^2 0! {2 \choose 1} & {2 \choose 2} & \dots & 0 \\ (-1)^{m-1} (m-3)! & (-1)^{m-2} (m-4)! {m-2 \choose 1} & (-1)^{m-3} (m-5)! {m-2 \choose 2} & \dots & -1 \\ (-1)^m (m-2)! & (-1)^{m-1} (m-3)! {m-1 \choose 1} & (-1)^{m-2} (m-4)! {m-1 \choose 2} & \dots {m-1 \choose m-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

for $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ A particular case of a result obtained in this article shows that (1) may be replaced by

$$G^{(m)}(1) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} (-1)^{k+m} S_1(m, k) \ell^{k-\ell} \binom{k}{\ell},$$
 (2)

where $S_1(m, k)$ is the sequence of Stirling numbers of the first kind, which may be defined by

$$S_1(m, 1) = (m - 1)!,$$

 $S_1(m, m) = 1,$

and

$$S_1(m, k) = (m-1)S_1(m-1, k) + S_1(m-1, k-1), 1 < k < m.$$

Let us consider the sequence $\omega(m,\ k,\ j)$ defined, for $0 \le j \le k,\ 1 \le k \le m$, in the following way:

$$j!\omega(m, k, j) := {m \choose k - j} \sum_{s=0}^{j} (-1)^{s} {j \choose s} (k - s)^{m-k+j}.$$
 (3)

We have

$$\omega(m, k, 0) = {m \choose k} k^{m-k},$$

$$\omega(m, m, j) = {m \choose j}$$

$$\left(\text{since } \sum_{s=0}^{j} (-1) {j \choose s} (m-s) = j!; \text{ note that } s {j+1 \choose s} = (j+1) {j \choose s-1}\right)$$
and (see [3], II, p. 38) $\omega(m, k, k) = S(m, k),$

the sequence of Stirling numbers of the second kind, which may be defined by

$$S(m, 1) = S(m, m) = 1$$

and

$$S(m, k) = kS(m-1, k) + S(m-1, k-1), 1 < k < m.$$

That kind of generalization of Stirling numbers has already been considered by Carlitz ([1]; see also [2] and [4]). In fact, we have (see [1], II, p. 243)

$$\omega(m, k, j) = (-1)^{k+m} {m \choose k-j} R(m-k+j, j, -k),$$

where

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m} R(m, j, \lambda) \frac{x^{m}y^{j}}{m!} = \exp(\lambda x + y(e^{x} - 1)), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The combinatorial aspect of the sequence $R(m, j, \lambda)$ and other related numbers have been studied in the aforesaid articles. We want, here, to give some complements. To begin, we state the following theorem.

Theorem 1: Suppose that G(z) is defined as above; we have

$$G^{(m)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{k+m} S_{1}(m, k) S(\ell, r) \omega(k, \ell, s) z^{rz+\ell-m} (\ln z)^{s} f^{(r)}(z^{z}).$$
(4)

If $f(z) \equiv z$, then $G(z) = z^z$ and (4) becomes

$$G^{(m)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{k+m} S_{1}(m, k) \omega(k, \ell, s) z^{z+\ell-m} (\ln z)^{s};$$
 (5)

we obtain (2) with z = 1.

While proving (4), we shall obtain some identities relating two differential operators, denoted by $f_m^{(3)}$, $f_m^{(4)}$, and defined by

$$f_0^{(3)} := f, \ f_1^{(3)}(z) := \exp\left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right), \ f_m^{(3)} := (f_{m-1}^{(3)})_1^{(3)}, \ m > 1,$$
 (6)

and

$$f_0^{(4)} := f, \ f_1^{(4)}(z) := \exp\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right), \ f_m^{(4)} := (f_{m-1}^{(4)})_1^{(4)}, \ m > 1.$$
 (7)

We shall in fact consider two well-known operators, denoted here by $f_{m}^{(1)}$, $f_{m}^{(2)}$, and defined by

$$f_0^{(1)} := f, \ f_1^{(1)}(z) := f'(z), \ f_m^{(1)} := (f_{m-1}^{(1)})_1^{(1)}, \ m > 1,$$
 (6')

and

$$f_0^{(2)} := f, \ f_1^{(2)}(z) := zf'(z), \ f_m^{(2)} := (f_{m-1}^{(2)})_1^{(2)}, \ m > 1.$$
 (7')

Those operators have been studied for a very long time. The operator f_1 is the ordinary derivative of f; it is easy to verify that

$$f_m^{(2)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m S(m, k) z^k f^{(k)}(z).$$

Of course $\ln f_1^{(3)}$ is nothing but the logarithmic derivative of f. The operator $\ln f_1^{(4)}$ is useful in geometric function theory; for example, a function f(z), holomorphic in the unit disk, is called starlike (see [6], p. 46) if

$$\left|f_1^{(4)}(z)\right| \geq 1$$

in that disk.

[Aug.

1.2 A classical formula of Faa Di Bruno ([3], I, p. 148; [5], p. 177) says that if h(z):=f(g(z)) then

$$h^{(m)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{\pi(m,k)} c(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \prod_{j=1}^{m} (g^{(j)}(z))^{k_j} \cdot f^{(k)}(g(z))$$
(8)

where $\pi\left(m,\ k\right)$ means that the summation is extended over all nonnegative integers k_1,\ldots,k_m such that $k_1+2k_2+\cdots+mk_m=m$ and $k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_m=k$; we have put

$$e(k_1, \ldots, k_m) := \frac{m!}{k_1! \ldots k_m! (1!)^{k_1} \ldots (m!)^{k_m}}.$$

Formula (8) is equivalent to

$$\ln h_m^{(3)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\pi(m,k)} c(k_1, \dots, k_m) \prod_{j=1}^m (g^{(j)}(z))^{k_j} \cdot \ln f_k^{(3)}(g(z)). \tag{8'}$$

It can be proved in several ways; a simple proof is contained in [8]. We can prove the next theorem using only the principle of mathematical induction.

Theorem 2: If h(z) := f(g(z)), then we have the identities

$$h_m^{(2)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{m(m,k)} c(k_1, \dots, k_m) \prod_{j=1}^m (g_j^{(2)}(z))^{k_j} \cdot f_k^{(1)}(g(z))$$
(9)

and

$$\ln h_m^{(4)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\pi(m,k)} c(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \prod_{j=1}^m (\ln g_j^{(4)}(z))^{k_j} \cdot \ln f_k^{(4)}(g(z)). \tag{9'}$$

Formula (9') may also be written in the form

$$H_m^{(2)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{m(m,k)} c(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \prod_{j=1}^m (g_j^{(2)}(z))^{k_j} \cdot f_k^{(2)}(e^{g(z)}), \qquad (9")$$

where $H(z) := f(\exp(g(z)))$.

1.3 If f^{-1} denotes the inverse function of f [i.e.,

$$f(f^{-1}(z)) \equiv f^{-1}(f(z)) \equiv z$$
,

then (see [3], I, p. 161), for $m = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$

$$(f^{-1})_{m}^{(1)}(z) \tag{10}$$

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\sum_{\pi_1(m,k)}\frac{(-1)^k(m+k-1)!}{m!}c_1(k_1,\ldots,k_m)\prod_{j=2}^m(f^{(j)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{k_j}\cdot (f'(f^{-1}(z)))^{-m-k},$$

where $\pi_1(m, k)$ means that the summation is extended over all nonnegative integers k_2, \ldots, k_m such that $2k_2 + \cdots + mk_m = m + k - 1$ and $k_2 + \cdots + k_m = k$. Here,

$$c_1(k_1, \ldots, k_m) := c(0, k_2, \ldots, k_m).$$

The same kind of reasoning which could be used to prove (9) or (9') will help us to verify the following theorem.

Theorem 3: If f^{-1} denotes the inverse function of f, then the following identities are valid for $m = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$:

$$(f^{-1})_{m}^{(2)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\pi_{1}(m,k)} \frac{(-1)^{k} (m+k-1)!}{m!} c_{1}(k_{1},\ldots,k_{m})$$

$$\cdot \prod_{j=2}^{m} (\ln f_{j}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{k_{j}} \cdot (\ln f_{1}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{-m-k};$$

$$\ln(f^{-1})_{m}^{(3)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\pi_{1}(m,k)} \frac{(-1)^{k} (m+k-1)!}{m!} c_{1}(k_{1},\ldots,k_{m})$$

$$\cdot \prod_{j=2}^{m} (f_{j}^{(2)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{k_{j}} \cdot (f_{1}^{(2)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{-m-k};$$

$$\ln(f^{-1})_{m}^{(4)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\pi_{1}(m,k)} \frac{(-1)^{k} (m+k-1)!}{m!} c_{1}(k_{1},\ldots,k_{m})$$

$$\cdot \prod_{j=2}^{m} (\ln f_{j}^{(4)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{k_{j}} \cdot (\ln f_{1}^{(4)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{-m-k}.$$

$$(11')$$

It is to be noted that (11') may be obtained from (11") by replacing f(z) by $\exp f(z)$: also, if we replace f(z) by $f(e^z)$ in (11), then we obtain (11"). The distinction between formulas (8) and (9) and formulas (10) and (11) is also to be observed. Finally, while the identity

$$\ln \left(f(z) \right)_{m}^{(3)} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} {m \choose k} g^{(m-k)}(z) \ln f_{k}^{(3)}(z)$$

is nothing but the Leibnitz formula, we have

$$\ln \left(f(z) \right)_{m}^{(4)} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} {m \choose k} g_{m-k}^{(2)}(z) \ln f_{k}^{(4)}(z)$$

or, what is the same thing (see [5], p. 222):

$$(f(z)g(z))_{m}^{(2)} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} {m \choose k} f_{k}^{(2)}(z) g_{m-k}^{(2)}(z).$$

2. COMPLEMENTARY RESULTS

It follows from the recurrence relations for Stirling's numbers that:

Lemma 1: We have, for m = 1, 2, 3, ...,

$$f_m^{(2)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m S(m, k) z^k \cdot f_k^{(1)}(z)$$
 (12)

and

$$z^{m} f_{m}^{(1)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k+m} S_{1}(m, k) \cdot f_{k}^{(2)}(z).$$
 (12')

To obtain (4), we shall also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2: The sequence $\omega(m, k, j)$, defined by (3), satisfies the following recurrence relation:

$$\omega(m, 1, 0) = m, \ \omega(m, m, j) = {m \choose j} \ (0 \le j \le m),$$

$$\omega(m, k, k) = S(m, k) \ (1 \le k \le m),$$

$$\omega(m+1, k, 0) = k\omega(m, k, 0) + \omega(m, k-1), \ 0) + \omega(m, k, 1), \ 1 < k \le m;$$

$$\omega(m+1, k, j) = k\omega(m, k, j) + (j+1)\omega(m, k, j+1)$$

$$+ \omega(m, k-1, j-1) + \omega(m, k-1, j), \ 1 \le j \le k \le m.$$

$$(13)$$

Proof: If m = 1, then k = 1 and j = 0 or 1; in that case the relation (13) is trivial. Also, since

and
$$\omega(m, k, 0) = {m \choose k} k^{m-k}$$

$$\omega(m, k, 1) = (k^{m-k+1} - (k-1)^{m-k+1}) {m \choose k-1},$$

we have immediately

and

$$k\omega(m, k, 0) + \omega(m, k-1, 0) + \omega(m, k, 1) = \omega(m+1, k, 0), 1 < k \le m.$$

Now, for $1 \le i < k$,

$$\begin{split} &j! \left[k\omega(m,\ k,\ j)\ +\ (j+1)\omega(m,\ k,\ j+1)\ +\ \omega(m,\ k-1,\ j-1)\ +\ \omega(m,\ k-1,\ j)\right] \\ &= k\binom{m}{k-j} \sum_{s=0}^{j} (-1)^s \binom{j}{s} (k-s)^{m-k+j} + \binom{m}{k-j-1} \sum_{s=0}^{j+1} (-1)^s \binom{j+1}{s} (k-s)^{m-k+j+1} \\ &+ j\binom{m}{k-j} \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} (-1)^s \binom{j-1}{s} (k-1-s)^{m-k+j} + \binom{m}{k-j-1} \sum_{s=0}^{j} (-1)^s \binom{j}{s} (k-1-s)^{m-k+j+1} \\ &= \binom{m}{k-j} \sum_{s=0}^{j} (-1)^s \binom{j}{s} (k-s)^{m+1-k+j} + \binom{m}{k-j-1} \sum_{s=0}^{j} (-1)^s \binom{j}{s} (k-s)^{m+1-k+j} \\ &= \binom{m+1}{k-j} \sum_{s=0}^{j} (-1)^s \binom{j}{s} (k-s)^{m+1-k+j} = j! \omega(m+1,\ k,\ j) \,. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

3. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

The proof of Theorem 2 is similar to that of Theorem 3; it suffices to define the sequence corresponding to (11*) below in an appropriate manner.

Proof of Theorem 1: Let us verify that if $G(z) := f(z^z)$ then

$$G_m^{(2)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^k \omega(m, k, j) z^k (\ln z)^j f_k^{(2)}(z^z).$$
 (14)

It is sufficient to show that if we write

$$G_m^{(2)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^k w(m, k, j) z^k (\ln z)^j f_k^{(2)}(z^z)$$

1987]

then the sequence w(m, k, j) satisfies the same recurrence relation (13) as $\omega(m, k, j)$ with the same initial conditions. Observe that

$$(f+g)_1^{(2)}(z) \equiv f_1^{(2)}(z) + g_1^{(2)}(z);$$

it follows from (7') that

$$G_{m+1}^{(2)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{k} kw(m, k, j)z^{k}(\ln z)^{j} f_{k}^{(2)}(z^{z})$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{k} jw(m, k, j)z^{k}(\ln z)^{j-1} f_{k}^{(2)}(z^{z})$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{k} w(m, k, j)z^{k+1}(\ln z)^{j+1} f_{k+1}^{(2)}(z^{z})$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{k} w(m, k, j)z^{k+1}(\ln z)^{j} f_{k+1}^{(2)}(z^{z}).$$
(15)

Relation (13) then follows immediately if we change, respectively, j to j+1, j to j-1 and k to k-1, and k to k-1 in the second, third, and fourth double summation of the right-hand side of (15). To see that w(m, k, j) satisfies the same initial conditions as $\omega(m, k, j)$, we may use the observations made after the definition (3).

Now, using (12') and (14), then (12), we obtain

$$\begin{split} G_{m}^{(1)}(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k+m} S_{1}(m, k) z^{-m} G_{k}^{(2)}(z) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{k+m} S_{1}(m, k) \omega(k, \ell, s) z^{\ell-m} (\ln z)^{s} \cdot f_{\ell}^{(2)}(z^{z}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{k+m} S_{1}(m, k) S(\ell, r) \omega(k, \ell, s) z^{rz+\ell-m} (\ln z)^{s} f_{r}^{(1)}(z^{z}). \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 3: It remains only to prove (11). That formula is clear for m = 2. Suppose that it is satisfied for a given m > 2. Then

$$(f^{-1})_{m+1}^{(2)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\pi_{1}(m,k)} (-1)^{k} \frac{(m+k-1)!}{m!} c_{1}(k_{1},\ldots,k_{m})$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i=2}^{m} (\ln f_{i}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{k_{i}}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{j=2}^{m} k_{j} \frac{\ln f_{j+1}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z))}{\ln f_{j}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z))} (\ln f_{1}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{-m-k-1}$$

$$- \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\pi_{1}(m,k)} (-1)^{k} \frac{(m+k-1)!}{m!} c_{1}(k_{1},\ldots,k_{m})$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i=2}^{m} (\ln f_{i}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{k_{i}} \cdot \ln f_{2}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z))$$

$$\cdot (\ln f_{1}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{-m-k-2}.$$
234

Let us put

$$k_{i}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} k_{2} + 1, & i = 2 \\ k_{i}, & 2 < i \leq m \\ 0, & i = m + 1, \end{cases}$$

$$k_{i}^{(j)} = \begin{cases} k_{i}, & 2 \leq i < j \\ k_{j} - 1, & i = j \\ k_{j+1} + 1, & i = j + 1 \\ k_{i}, & j + 1 < i \leq m \\ 0, & i = m + 1, 2 \leq j < m, \end{cases}$$

$$(11*)$$

$$k_{i}^{(m)} = \begin{cases} k_{i}, & 2 \leq i < m \\ k_{m} - 1, & i = m \\ 1, & i = m + 1. \end{cases}$$

We have
$$\sum_{i=2}^{m+1} ik_i^{(1)} = m+k+1, \quad \sum_{i=2}^{m+1} k_i^{(1)} = k+1,$$
 and
$$\sum_{i=2}^{m+1} ik_i^{(j)} = m+k, \quad \sum_{i=2}^{m+1} k_i^{(j)} = k, \quad 1 < j \leq m.$$

Identity (16) may thus be written in the form

$$(f^{-1})_{m+1}^{(2)}(z) = \sum_{j=2}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\pi_{1}^{(j)}(m+1,k)} (-1)^{k} \frac{(m+k-1)!}{m!} c_{1}(k_{1}^{(j)}, \dots, k_{m}^{(j)}) (j+1) k_{j+1}^{(j)}$$
(17)
$$\cdot \prod_{i=2}^{m+1} (\ln f_{i}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{k_{i}^{(j)}} \cdot (\ln f_{1}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{-m-k-1}$$

$$- \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\pi_{1}^{(1)}(m+1,k+1)} (-1)^{k} \frac{(m+k)!}{m!} c_{1}(k_{1}^{(1)}, \dots, k_{m}^{(1)}) \cdot 2k_{2}^{(1)}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i=2}^{m+1} (\ln f_{i}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{k_{i}^{(1)}} \cdot (\ln f_{1}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{-m-k-2},$$

where $\pi_1^{(j)}(m+1, k)$ means that the summation is extended over the numbers $k_2^{(j)}$, ..., $k_{m}^{(\bar{j})}$, related to the numbers k_{2} , ..., k_{m} by (11*), satisfying

$$2k_2^{(j)} + \cdots + mk_m^{(j)} = m + k, k_2^{(j)} + \cdots + k_m^{(j)} = k, 1 < j \leq m;$$

 $\pi_1^{(1)}(m+1, k+1)$ means that

$$2k_2^{(1)} + \cdots + mk_m^{(1)} = m + k + 1, k_2^{(1)} + \cdots + k_m^{(1)} = k + 1.$$

We have put

$$c_1(k_1^{(j)}, \ldots, k^{(j)}) := \frac{m!}{k_2^{(j)}! \ldots k_m^{(j)}! (2!)^{k_2^{(j)}} \ldots (m!)^{k_m^{(j)}}}, \ 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

1987]

Replacing k by k-1 in the last summation of (17), we readily obtain

$$(f^{-1})_{m+1}^{(2)}(z) = \sum_{j=2}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\pi_{1}^{(j)}(m+1,k)} (-1)^{k} \frac{(m+k-1)!}{m!} c_{1}(k_{1}^{(j)}, \dots, k_{m}^{(j)}) (j+1)k_{j+1}^{(j)}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i=2}^{m+1} (\ln f_{i}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{k_{i}^{(j)}} \cdot \ln f_{1}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{-m-k-1}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=2}^{m} \sum_{\pi_{1}^{(1)}(m+1,k)} (-1)^{k} \frac{(m+k-1)!}{m!} c_{1}(k_{1}^{(1)}, \dots, k_{m}^{(1)}) \cdot 2k_{2}^{(1)}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{j=2}^{m+1} (\ln f_{i}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{k_{i}^{(1)}} \cdot (\ln f_{1}^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{-m-k-1}.$$
(18)

Now, let $(k_2^*, \ldots, k_{m+1}^*)$ be a solution of the system

$$2k_2^* + \dots + (m+1)k_{m+1}^* = m+k$$

$$k_2^* + \cdots + k_{m+1}^* = k$$
,

$$k_{i}^{*} \ge 0$$
, $1 < j \le m + 1$, $(1 \le k \le m)$.

- (i) If $k_2^{\star} \neq 0$, then $k_{m+1}^{\star} = 0$ (otherwise, $k_{m+1}^{\star} = 1$ and $2k_2^{\star} + \cdots + mk_m^{\star} = k-1 = k_2^{\star} + \cdots + k_m^{\star}$, which implies that $k_2^{\star} = \cdots = k_m^{\star} = 0$); in that case, to each solution $(k_2^{\star}, \ldots, k_m^{\star}, 0)$ there corresponds a solution $(k_2^{(1)}, \ldots, k_m^{(1)}, 0)$; it is possible, since the hypothesis $k_2^{\star} \neq 0$ implies that $k_2 = k_2^{(1)} 1 = k_2^{\star} 1 \geq 0$. Conversely, to each solution $(k_2^{(1)}, \ldots, k_{m+1}^{(1)})$, there corresponds a solution $(k_2^{\star}, \ldots, k_m^{\star}, k_{m+1}^{\star} = 0)$.
- (ii) Suppose that 1 < j < m. If $k_{j+1}^* \neq 0$ then $k_{m+1}^* = 0$; in that case, to each solution $(k_2^*, \ldots, k_{m+1}^*)$, there corresponds a solution $(k_2^{(j)}, \ldots, k_{m+1}^{(j)} = 0)$; it is possible, since $k_{j+1} = k_{j+1}^{(j)} 1 = k_{j+1}^* 1 \ge 0$.
- (iii) If $k_{m+1}^{\star} \neq 0$, then $k_{m+1}^{\star} = 1$ and $k_2^{\star} = \cdots = k_m^{\star} = 0$, k = 1. In that case, to the solution $(0, \ldots, 0, k_{m+1}^{\star} = 1)$, there corresponds the solution $(0, \ldots, 0, k_{m+1}^{(m)} = 1)$.

Rearranging the terms in the summations of (18), we may thus write

$$(f^{-1})_{m+1}^{(2)}(z) = \sum_{j=2}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\substack{\pi_1^*(m+1,k) \\ j+1}} (-1)^k \frac{(m+k-1)!}{(m+1)!} e_1(k_1^*, \dots, k_{m+1}^*) (j+1) k_{j+1}^*$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i=2}^{m+1} (\ln f_i^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{k_i^*} \cdot (\ln f_1^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{-m-k-1}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\pi_1^*(m+1,k) \\ j=2}} (-1)^k \frac{(m+k-1)!}{(m+1)!} e_1(k_1^*, \dots, k_{m+1}^*) \cdot 2k_2^*$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i=2}^{m+1} (\ln f_i^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{k_i^*} \cdot (\ln f_1^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{-m-k-1},$$
(19)

[Aug.

where

$$2k_2^* + \cdots + (m+1)k_{m+1}^* = m+k, k_2^* + \cdots + k_{m+1}^* = k,$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_{1}(k_{1}^{*}, \ldots, k_{m+1}^{*}) := \frac{(m+1)!}{k_{1}^{*} \ldots k_{m+1}^{*}! (1!)^{k_{1}^{*}} \ldots ((m+1)!)^{k_{m+1}^{*}}}.$$

In the first summation of (19) we may add the terms corresponding to k=m since $2k_2^* + \cdots + (m+1)k_{m+1}^* = 2m$, $k_2^* + \cdots + k_{m+1}^* = m$ imply

$$(m-1)k_{m+1}^* + \cdots + 2k_{\mu}^* + k_{\beta}^* = 0,$$

i.e., $k_3^* = \cdots = k_{m+1}^* = 0$. Similarly, we may add, in the second summation of (19), the terms corresponding to k = 1. Writing

$$\sum_{j=2}^{m} (j+1)k_{j+1}^{*} = m+k-2k_{2}^{*},$$

we obtair

$$(f^{-1})_{m+1}^{(2)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{m_1^*(m+1,k) \\ i=2}} (-1)^k \frac{(m+k)!}{(m+1)!} c_1(k_1^*, \dots, k_{m+1}^*)$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i=2}^{m+1} (\ln f_i^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{k_i^*} \cdot (\ln f_1^{(3)}(f^{-1}(z)))^{-m-1-k}.$$
(20)

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

4. SOME REMARKS AND EXAMPLES

4.1 Remark on Taylor's formula: Let us write

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k!} (g(z - z_0))^k, \ a_0 := f(z_0).$$
 (21)

We have, in a neighborhood of $z = z_0$, (g(0) = 0),

$$a_k = (f(z_0 + g^{-1}(z))^{(k)}(z = 0).$$

Put

$$f_1(z_0) := a_1 = \frac{f'(z_0 + g^{-1}(0))}{g'(g^{-1}(0))}$$
 and $f_k := (f_{k-1})_1, k > 1.$ (22)

In order that α_{k} $\equiv f_{k}\left(\mathbf{z_{0}}\right),$ we must have

$$(f(z_0 + g^{-1}(z)))^{(k)}(z = 0) \equiv \frac{f^{(k)}(z_0 + g^{-1}(0))}{(g'(g^{-1}(0))^k)},$$

whence

$$f(z_0 + g^{-1}(z)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(z_0 + g^{-1}(0))}{k!} \left(\frac{z}{g'(g^{-1}(0))}\right)^k$$
$$= f\left(\frac{z}{g'(g^{-1}(0))} + z_0 + g^{-1}(0)\right),$$

1987]

in a neighborhood of z = 0. It follows that if g is normalized by the conditions

$$g(0) = 0, g'(0) = 1$$
 (24)

then $g(z) \equiv z$. The unique function g, normalized by (24), for which the expansion (21) is valid, where a_k is the k^{th} iteration of the operator induced by $f_1 := a_1$, is the identity function g(z) = z; in that case, $f_1 = f'$. A similar argument may be made for expansions of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_k}{k!} \left(\ln \frac{z}{z_0} \right)^k, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\ln \alpha_k}{k!} (z - z_0)^k, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\ln \alpha_k}{k!} \left(\ln \frac{z}{z_0} \right)^k. \tag{25}$$

It is in fact easy to come down to the previous case. For the expansions (25) we have, respectively, $f_1 = f_1^{(2)}$, $f_1 = f_1^{(3)}$, $f_1 = f_1^{(4)}$ [see (6), (7), and (7')].

It is of interest to observe here that for expansions of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k!} (g(z) - g(z_0))^k, \quad a_0 := f(z_0), \tag{21'}$$

we have always that a_k is the $k^{\rm th}$ iteration of the operator induced by

$$f_1(z_0) := \frac{f'(z_0)}{g'(z_0)}.$$

To see this, we may easily show that

$$f_k(z_0) = \frac{\partial^k f(g^{-1}(z + g(z_0)))}{\partial z^k} \bigg|_{z=0}, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

4.2 (i) Let us take $f(z) = e^z$, then z = 1, in (4); we obtain:

$$(\exp(z^{z}))_{m}^{(1)}(z=1) = e \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{k+m} S_{1}(m, k) S(\ell, r) \cdot {k \choose \ell} \ell^{k-\ell}.$$
 (26)

(ii) If $g(z)=z^z$ in (9'), then we obtain, using (14) and $g_j^{(4)}(z)=z^ze^{jz}$, $j=0,\,1,\,2,\,\ldots$, the identity

$$\sum_{\substack{m \, (m, \, k)}} c \, (k_1, \, \ldots, \, k_m) \prod_{j=1}^m (z + j)^{k_j} = \sum_{j=0}^k \omega(m, \, k, \, j) z^j, \, z \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (27)

Note that we can deduce from (8) (see [5], p. 191) the relation

$$\sum_{\pi(m,k)} \frac{k!}{k_1! \dots k_m!} \prod_{j=1}^m j^{k_j} = {m+k-1 \choose m-k}, \ 1 \le k \le m.$$

(iii) Lagrange expansion [concerning a root of equations of the form $z=\alpha+\xi\phi(z)$, $\xi\to0$] in conjunction with (8) may be used to prove the formula

$$\sum_{\pi(m,k)} c(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \prod_{j=1}^m ((\phi^j(\alpha))^{(j-1)})^{k_j} \equiv {m-1 \choose k-1} (\phi^m(\alpha))^{(m-k)},$$
 (28)

 $1 \leqslant k \leqslant m$,

which implies that

$$\sum_{\pi(m)} c(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \prod_{j=1}^{m} ((\phi^j(\alpha))^{(j-1)})^{k_j} \equiv e^{-\alpha} (\phi^m(\alpha) e^{\alpha})^{(m-1)}, \tag{29}$$

where $\pi(m)$ means that the summation is extended over all nonnegative integers k_1, \ldots, k_m such that $k_1 + 2k_2 + \cdots + mk_m = m$.

REFERENCES

- 1. L. Carlitz. "Weighted Stirling Numbers of the First and Second Kind, I," and "Weighted Stirling Numbers of the First and Second Kind, II." The Fibonacci Quarterly 18, no. 2 (1980):147-62, and no. 3 (1980):242-57.
- 2. Ch. A. Charalambides. "On Weighted Stirling and Other Related Numbers and Some Combinatorial Applications." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 22, no. 4 (1984): 296-309.
- 3. L. Comtet. Analyse Combinatoire, vols. I and II. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1970.
- 4. F. T. Howard. "Weighted Associated Stirling Numbers." The Fibonacci Quarterly 22, no. 2 (1984):156-65.
- 5. J. Riordan. Combinatorial Identities. New York: Wiley & Sons, 1968.
- St. Ruscheweyh. Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory. Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieurs. Montréal: Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1982.
- 7. I. J. Schwatt. An Introduction to the Operations with Series. New York: Chelsea Publishing Company, 1924.
- 8. R. Steven. "The Formula of Faa Di Bruno." Amer. Math. Monthly 87, no. 10 (1980):805-09.
