## LENGTH OF THE *n*-NUMBER GAME

### Anne Ludington-Young

Loyola College, Baltimore, MD 21210 (August 1988)

The *n*-number game is defined as follows. Let  $S = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$  be an *n*-tuple of nonnegative integers. A new *n*-tuple  $D(S) = (\hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2, \ldots, \hat{s}_n)$  is obtained by taking numerical differences; that is,  $\hat{s}_i = |s_i - s_{i+1}|$ . Subscripts are reduced modulo *n* so that  $\hat{s}_n = |s_n - s_1|$ . The sequence *S*, D(S),  $D^2(S)$ , ... is called *the n-number game generated by S*. To see that a game contains only a finite number of distinct tuples let  $|S| = \max\{s_i\}$  and observe that  $|S| \ge |D(S)|$ . Since there are only a finite number of *n*-tuples with entries less than or equal to |S|, eventually repetition must take place. When  $n = 2^{\omega}$ , it is well known that every game terminates with  $(0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ . That this is not the case for other values of *n* is easily seen by considering the following 3-tuple:

R = (1, 0, 0) D(R) = (1, 0, 1)  $D^{2}(R) = (1, 1, 0)$   $D^{3}(R) = (0, 1, 1)$  $D^{4}(R) = (1, 0, 1) = D(R)$ 

The tuples D(R),  $D^2(R)$ , and  $D^3(R)$  form what is called a *cycle*.

For any *n*-tuple *S*, we say the game generated by *S* has *length*  $\lambda$ , denoted by L(S), if  $D^{\lambda}(S)$  is in a cycle, but  $D^{\lambda-1}(S)$  is not. Thus, in the example above, L(R) = 1, while L(D(R)) = 0. For each *n*, the length of games is unbounded. That is, for any  $\lambda$ , there exists an *n*-tuple *S* such that  $L(S) > \lambda$ . On the other hand, for tuples *S* with  $|S| \leq m$ , there is a game of maximum length, since there are only a finite number of such tuples. We introduce the following notation:

 $\mathcal{G}_n(m) = \{ S \mid S \text{ is an } n\text{-tuple with } |S| = m \},$  $\mathcal{G}_n(m) = \max\{ L(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{G}_n(m) \}.$ 

On occasion, when the context is clear, we will drop the subscript. The values of  $\mathscr{L}_4(m)$  and  $\mathscr{L}_7(m)$ , along with tuples giving games of maximum lengths, have been determined in [10] and [6]. We consider this question when n is not a power of 2. We first find an upper bound on  $\mathscr{L}_n(m)$ . Then we show that this bound is actually realized when  $n = 2^{\omega} + 1$ .

Before proceeding, a few additional comments are in order. Observe that, for any tuple S, if we multiply all the entries by a constant c and denote the resulting tuple by cS, then

(1) D(cS) = cD(S).

Additionally, if all the nonzero entries of S are equal with  $S \in \mathscr{S}(m)$ , then S = mE for some  $E \in \mathscr{S}(1)$ . In particular, an entry  $e_i$  in E equals 1 if and only if the corresponding entry in S,  $s_i$ , equals m.

Since a game concludes when a cycle is reached, it is important to be able to identify those tuples which occur in a cycle. This author did that in [5]. The following theorem gives the salient facts from that work. We say that an n-tuple S has a predecessor if S = D(R) for some n-tuple R.

Theorem 1: Let n = kr where  $k = 2^k$  and r is odd with r > 1. Suppose S is an *n*-tuple. Then

1990]

#### LENGTH OF THE *n*-NUMBER GAME

(i) S has a predecessor if and only if there exist values  $\varepsilon_{\ell} \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $\ell = 1, 2, n$ , such that

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{\ell} S_{\ell} = 0.$$

(ii) S is in a cycle if and only if all its entries are 0 or |S| and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} e_{i+jk} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where  $S = |S| \cdot E$  with  $E = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n) \in \mathcal{G}(1)$ .

Part (ii) guarantees that when n is not a power of 2, there are nontrivial cycles; indeed, for n odd, the tuple  $\mathbb{E} = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 1)$  is in a cycle. Moreover, (ii) along with (1) gives

(2) L(cS) = L(S).

# 2. A Bound on $\mathscr{L}_n(m)$

For  $S \in \mathcal{G}_n(m)$ , we say that S has  $\mu$  0's and m's in a row, denoted by  $\mu(S)$ , if the following conditions are met: there exists an integer  $\eta$  such that  $s_i \in \{0, m\}$  for  $i = \eta, \eta + 1, \ldots, \eta + \mu - 1$ , at least one of these  $s_i$  equals m, and  $\mu$  is as large as possible. As usual, we reduce subscripts modulo n. Thus, for example,

 $\mu(S) = 6$  when S = (3, 2, 3, 0, 1, 3, 0, 3, 0, 0).

Loosely speaking, a tuple *S* will produce a long game if, at each step,  $\mu(D^k(S))$  is as large as possible. In determining an upper bound on  $\mathscr{L}_n(m)$ , the following lemmas will be useful.

Lemma 1: Let  $S \in \mathcal{G}_n(m)$ ,  $\mu(S) = t$ , and t < n. If  $D(S) \in \mathcal{G}_n(m)$ , then  $\mu(D(S)) \le t - 1$ .

*Proof:* By hypothesis, for some n, we have

 $\begin{array}{l} s_i \in \{0, \, m\} & \text{for } i = \eta, \, \eta + 1, \, \dots, \, \eta + t - 1, \\ s_i = m & \text{for some } i, \, \eta \leq i \leq \eta + t - 1, \\ 1 \leq s_{\eta-1}, \, s_{\eta+t} \leq m - 1. \end{array}$ 

As before, let  $D(S) = (\hat{s}_1, \ldots, \hat{s}_n)$ . Then

 $\hat{s}_i \in \{0, m\}$  for i = n, n + 1, ..., n + t - 2, $1 \le \hat{s}_{n-1}, \hat{s}_{n+t-1} \le m - 1.$ 

Hence, if |D(S)| = m, then  $\mu(D(S)) \leq t - 1$ .

At first glance, it might seem in Lemma 1 that, if |D(S)| = m, then  $\mu(D(S))$  must equal t - 1. It is possible, however, to have strict inequality. This would occur if  $\hat{s}_i = 0$  for  $\eta \le i \le \eta + t - 2$ , while  $\hat{s}_j = m$  for some other j.

Lemma 2: Suppose that  $S \in \mathcal{G}_n(m)$  and not all the nonzero entries equal m. Then  $|D^{n-1}(S)| \leq m - 1$ . Further, if S has a predecessor, then  $|D^{n-2}(S)| \leq m - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mu(S) = t$ . By hypothesis,  $t \le n - 1$ , and if S has a predecessor, then by Theorem 1(i),  $t \le n - 2$ . In either case, Lemma 1 applies. So, if  $|D^i(S)| = m$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, t - 1$ , then  $\mu(D^i(S)) \le t - i$ . Of course, if  $\mu(D^j(S)) = 1$ , then  $|D^{j+1}(S)| \le m - 1$ . Thus,  $|D^t(S)| \le m - 1$ .  $\Box$ 

In a moment we will consider those tuples in which all nonzero entries equal *m*. In that case, S = mE for some  $E \in \mathcal{S}(1)$ . For tuples in  $\mathcal{S}_n(1)$ , the following is useful. Let  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{Z}_2[t]/\mathcal{S}$  where  $\mathbb{Z}_2[t]$  is the polynomial ring over

[Aug.

 $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\mathscr{I}$  is the principal ideal generated by  $t^n + 1$ . We associate with  $\mathbb{E} = (e_1, \ldots, e_n) \in \mathscr{G}_n(1)$ , the polynomial

$$\mathcal{P}_{E}(t) = e_{n} + e_{n-1}t + \cdots + e_{2}t^{n-2} + e_{1}t^{n-1}$$
 in A.

Since  $\hat{e}_i = |e_i - e_{i+1}| = e_i + e_{i+1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $t^n = 1$  in A,

(3) 
$$\mathscr{P}_{D(E)}(t) = (e_n + e_1) + (e_{n-1} + e_n)t + \dots + (e_2 + e_3)t^{n-2} + (e_1 + e_2)t^{n-1} = (1 + t)\mathscr{P}_E(t).$$

Lemma 3: Let n = kr, where  $k = 2^k$  and r is odd with r > 1. Suppose  $S \in \mathcal{S}_n(m)$  and all the nonzero entries equal m. Then  $L(S) \leq k$ . Further, if S has a predecessor, then  $L(S) \leq k - 1$ .

*Proof:* As usual, we let S = mE, where  $E = (e_1, \ldots, e_n) \in \mathcal{G}(1)$ . For the first part, by (2), we need only show that  $D^k(E)$  is in a cycle. Using (3), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{D^{k}(E)}(t) &= (1+t)^{k} \ \mathcal{P}_{E}(t) \\ &= (1+t^{k}) \ \mathcal{P}_{E}(t) \\ &= (1+t^{k}) (e_{n}+e_{n-1}t+\cdots+e_{2}t^{n-2}+e_{1}t^{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{k-1} (e_{n-k}+e_{k-k})t^{k} + \sum_{k=k}^{n-1} (e_{n-k}+e_{n+k-k})t^{k} \text{ in } \mathbf{A}. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality holds since k is a power of 2 and so all the binomial coefficients in  $(1 + t)^k$  except for the first and last are even. From the above, we see that

$$D^{k}(E) = (e_{1} + e_{k+1}, e_{2} + e_{k+2}, \dots, e_{n-k} + e_{n}, e_{n-k+1} + e_{1}, \dots, e_{n} + e_{k}).$$

We now check condition (ii) of Theorem 1. In doing so, we use the fact that n - k = (r - 1)k. For i = 1, we have

 $(e_1 + e_{k+1}) + (e_{k+1} + e_{2k+1}) + \dots + (e_{n-k+1} + e_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$ 

Similarly, (ii) holds for all other values of i. Thus,  $D^{k}(E)$  is in a cycle and  $L(E) \leq k$ .

For the second part, it is also sufficient to show that  $L(E) \leq k - 1$ . Consider the tuple  $F = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n) \in \mathcal{G}(1)$  defined by

 $f_1 = 0, f_i = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_{i-1} \pmod{2}, i = 2, \dots, n.$ 

Since S has a predecessor, E does as well; because the entries of E are either 0 or 1, Theorem 1(i) gives

 $e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

This means that  $f_n = e_n$  and so D(F) = E. Thus,  $L(E) = L(D(F)) \le k - 1$ . Theorem 2: Let n = kr, where  $k = 2^k$  and r is odd with r > 1. Then  $\mathcal{L}_n(m) \le (m-1)(n-2) + k$ .

*Proof:* Let *S* ∈  $\mathscr{G}_n(m)$ . If all the nonzero entries of *S* are equal, then by Lemma 3, *L*(*S*) ≤ *k* and so the theorem holds. Otherwise, by Lemma 2,  $|D^{n-1}(S)| \le m - 1$ . Continuing, suppose that, for some  $\ell = 1, \ldots, m - 2$ , all the nonzero entries of  $D^{\ell(n-2)+1}(S)$  are equal. Then, again by Lemma 3,  $L(D^{\ell(n-2)+1}(S)) \le k - 1$ , which means  $L(S) \le \ell(n-2) + k$ . On the other hand, if the latter condition does not hold, then, by Lemma 2,  $|D^{(m-1)(n-2)+1}(S)| \le 1$ . Another application of Lemma 3 gives the desires result. □

If there is a tuple  $S \in \mathcal{P}_n(m)$  with L(S) = (m-1)(n-2) + k, then the proof of Theorem 2 tells us what the tuples in the game must look like.

1990]

261

Corollary 1: Let n = kr, where  $k = 2^{k}$  and r is odd with r > 1. If

$$\mathscr{L}_{n}(m) = (m - 1)(n - 2) + k,$$

then there exists  $S \in \mathscr{G}_n(m)$  such that

- (i)  $|D^{\ell(n-2)+1}(S)| = m \ell$  and  $\mu(D^{\ell(n-2)+1}(S)) = n 2$ for  $\ell = 0, \ldots, m - 1$ ,
- (ii)  $L(D^{(m-1)(n-2)+1}(S)) = k 1.$

*Proof:* This follows immediately from the proof of Theorem 2.  $\Box$ 

In a moment we will state a condition for the existence of a game of maximum length in terms of the *n*-tuple (0, ..., 0, 1, 1). Before proceeding, two comments are in order. First, if the entries of an *n*-tuple are rearranged so that adjacent elements remain adjacent, then similar games result. Or, more precisely, if  $S = (s_1, s_2, ..., s_n)$  and  $\sigma_1$  is a permutation contained in the dihedral group  $\mathcal{D}_n$ , then

(4) 
$$\mathcal{D}(\sigma_1(S)) = \sigma_2(\mathcal{D}(S))$$
 for some  $\sigma_2 \in \mathscr{D}_n$ .

Second, it is convenient to associate with  $S = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$  an *n*-tuple  $\mathcal{M}(S) \in \mathcal{S}(1)$  which is related to the parity of the entries of S. We define  $\mathcal{M}(S) = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n)$  in the obvious way with  $m_i \equiv s_i \pmod{2}$ . Observe that

(5) 
$$\mathcal{M}(D(S)) = D(\mathcal{M}(S)).$$

Theorem 3: Let n = kr, where  $k = 2^{\kappa}$  and r is odd with r > 1. Suppose for  $m \ge 4$ ,  $\mathscr{L}_n(m) = (m-1)(n-2) + k$ . Then, for some  $\sigma \in \mathscr{D}_n$ ,

 $D^{2(n-2)}(\mathbb{E}) = \sigma(\mathbb{E}), \text{ where } \mathbb{E} = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 1).$ 

*Proof:* By hypothesis, there exists an *n*-tuple S with |S| = m and

L(S) = (m - 1)(n - 2) + k.

Let  $T = D^{(m-4)(n-2)+1}(S)$ . Corollary 1 implies that

 $|T| = |D^{(m-4)(n-2)+1}(S)| = 4, \ \mu(T) = n - 2, \ \text{and} \ |D^{(n-2)}(T)| = 3.$ 

Since  $\mu(T) = n - 2$ , T has exactly two adjacent entries with values in  $\{1,2,3\}$ . One of these must equal either 1 or 3; for, if not, then  $|D^{(n-2)}(T)| \leq 2$ . Moreover, since T has a predecessor, Theorem 1(i) guarantees that both are in  $\{1,3\}$ . This shows that

$$\mathcal{M}(T) = \sigma_1(\mathbb{E})$$
 for some  $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{D}_n$ .

Similarly,

 $\mathscr{M}(\mathbb{D}^{2(n-2)}(\mathbb{T})) = \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}) \text{ for } \sigma_2 \in \mathscr{D}_n.$ 

Hence,

 $\sigma_{2}(\mathbf{E}) = \mathcal{M}(D^{2(n-2)}(T))$  $= D^{2(n-2)}(\mathcal{M}(T))$  $= D^{2(n-2)}(\sigma_{1}(\mathbf{E}))$  $= \sigma_{3}(D^{2(n-2)}(\mathbf{E}))$ 

The second equality follows from (5); the last, from (4). Thus, for  $\sigma = \sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{D}_n$ ,  $D^{2(n-2)}(\mathbf{E}) = \sigma(\mathbf{E})$ .

Theorem 3 is the heart of the matter. Whether or not there exists an *n*-tuple which has the maximum possible length depends in large part on  $\mathbb{E}$ . Since  $\mathbb{E} \in \mathscr{S}(1)$ , Theorem 3 can be recast in terms of polynomials in A. Using (3), we see that, in order to have an *n*-tuple of maximum length,

262

[Aug.

$$(1+t)^{2(n-2)} \mathscr{P}_{\mathbb{F}}(t) = \mathscr{P}_{\sigma(\mathbb{F})}(t).$$

Since  $\mathscr{P}_{\mathbb{E}}(t) = 1 + t$ ,  $\mathscr{P}_{\sigma(\mathbb{E})}(t) = t^{j} + t^{j+1}$  for some j, where, if necessary, the exponent j + 1 is reduced modulo n. Thus, we have

Corollary 2: Let n = kr, where  $k = 2^{\kappa}$  and r is odd with r > 1. Suppose that, for  $m \ge 4$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_n(m) = (m-1)(n-2) + k$ . Then, for some j,

(6) 
$$(1+t)^{2n-3} = t^j(1+t)$$

in A. 🗌

Theorem 4: Let n be an integer such that  $n \neq 2^{\omega}$  and  $n \neq 2^{\omega} + 1$  for any  $\omega$ . Then, for  $m \ge 4$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_n(m) < (m-1)(n-2) + k$ .

*Proof:* First, suppose *n* is even. By Theorem 1(ii),  $\mathbf{E} = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 1)$  is not in a cycle. Thus,  $D^i(\mathbf{E}) \neq \mathbf{E}$  for any *i*. Now, if  $D^{2(n-2)}(\mathbf{E}) = \sigma(\mathbf{E})$  for some  $\sigma \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ , then  $D^{2(n-2)p}(\mathbf{E}) = \mathbf{E}$  where *p* is the order of  $\sigma$  in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ . Consequently, the conclusion of Theorem 3 cannot hold.

For *n* odd, we will expand  $(1 + t)^{2n-3}$  denoting the  $l^{\text{th}}$  binomial coefficient by  $c_l$ .

$$(1+t)^{2n-3} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{2n-3} c_{\ell} t^{\ell} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-3} (c_{\ell} + c_{\ell+n}) t^{\ell} + (c_{n-2}t^{n-2} + c_{n-1}t^{n-1})$$
$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-3} (c_{\ell} + c_{n-3-\ell}) t^{\ell} + (c_{n-2}t^{n-2} + c_{n-2}t^{n-1})$$
$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\frac{n-5}{2}} (c_{\ell} + c_{n-3-\ell}) (t^{\ell} + t^{n-3-\ell})$$
$$+ 2c_{n-3}t^{\frac{n-3}{2}} + c_{n-2}(t^{n-2} + t^{n-1}).$$

The second equality follows by using  $t^n = 1$ ; the third, from  $c_{\ell} = c_{2n-3-\ell}$ . Now when  $2n - 3 = 2^v - 1$  for some v, all the binomial coefficients are odd, so that we have

 $(1 + t)^{2n-3} = t^{n-2}(1 + t).$ 

Thus, (6) holds for  $n = 2^{w} + 1$ , where w = v - 1. On the other hand, when  $2n - 3 \neq 2^{v} - 1$  for any v, then  $c_{n-2}$  is even. So, if  $t^{k}$  is present in the expansion of  $(1 + t)^{2n-3}$ , then so also is  $t^{n-3-k}$ . Hence, (6) cannot hold.  $\Box$ 

3. The Case 
$$n = 2^{w} + 1$$

We now consider the case in which  $n = 2^{\omega} + 1$ . Corollary 2 and Theorem 4 imply that a game of maximum length is possible. We show that this actually occurs. Before examining the general case, we consider the special case n = 3. Lemma 4: Let n = 3 and define  $T_m = (m - 1, 1, m)$ . Then, for  $m \ge 2$ ,  $D(T_m) = \sigma(T_{m-1})$  for some  $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_3$ .

*Proof:* The result is immediate since  $D(T_m) = (m - 2, m - 1, 1)$ . Lemma 5: Suppose  $n = 2^{\omega} + 1$ ,  $\omega \ge 2$ . Let  $T_m = (0, 0, \ldots, 0, m - 1, 1, m)$ . Then, for  $m \ge 2$ ,

$$D^{n-4}(T_m) = (0, m-1, t_3, t_4, \dots, t_{n-1}, m)$$
  

$$D^{n-3}(T_m) = (m-1, 1, \dots, 1, m)$$
  

$$D^{n-2}(T_m) = (m-2, 0, \dots, 0, m-1, 1)$$

where the entries in  $D^{n-4}(T_m)$  have the property that  $|t_i - t_{i+1}| = 1$  for i = 2, ..., n - 1.

1990]

**Proof:** The proof proceeds by induction on w. Suppose that w = 2 so that n = 5. Then  $T_m = (0, 0, m - 1, 1, m)$  and it is easily seen that  $D(T_m) = (0, m - 1, m - 2, m - 1, m)$ .

Suppose that the Lemma 5 holds for w - 1; more specifically, suppose that

$$D^{\ell-4}(T_m) = (0, m-1, r_3, r_4, r_{\ell-1}, m), \text{ and }$$

$$D^{k-4}(T_{m-1}) = (0, m-2, s_3, s_4, \dots, s_{k-1}, m-1),$$

where  $\ell = 2^{\omega-1} + 1$ ,  $|r_i - r_{i+1}| = 1$ , and  $|s_i - s_{i+1}| = 1$  for  $i = 2, \ldots, \ell - 1$ . Consider the  $(2^{\omega} + 1)$ -tuple  $T_m$ . We can view  $T_m$  as a  $2^{\omega-1}$  zero-tuple concatenated with a  $2^{\omega-1} + 1$  " $T_m$ -type-tuple." Thus, when we compute  $D^k(T_m)$  for k less than  $2^{\omega-1} - 2$ , we have the same pattern we have for the  $2^{\omega-1} + 1$  case. Thus, we have

| ĸ                             | D( <b>1</b> )   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| $\frac{2^{w-1}}{2^{w-1}} - 3$ | $(0, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, 0, m - 1, r_3, r_4, \ldots, r_{\ell-1}, m)$   |
| $2^{\omega-1} - 2$            | $(0, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, m - 1, 1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1, m)$  |
| $2^{\omega-1} - 1$            | $(0, 0, \ldots, 0, m-1, m-2, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, m-1, m)$  |
| $2^{\omega - 1}$              | $(0, 0, \ldots, m - 1, 1, m - 2, 0, \ldots, 0, m - 1, 1, m)$  |
| •                             |   |
| 2 <sup>w</sup> - 3            | $(0, m - 1, s_{\ell-1}, \ldots, s_3, m - 2, m - 1, r_3, r_4, \ldots, n_{\ell-1}, m_{\ell-1}, m_{\ell-1}, m_{\ell-1}, m_{\ell-1}, m_{\ell-1})$ |

Note that for  $k = 2^{\omega-1}$ ,  $D^k(T_m)$  may be viewed as the  $2^{\omega-1} + 1$  " $T_{m-1}$ -type" tuple, (0,..., 0, m - 1, 1, m - 2), concatenated with the  $2^{\omega-1}$  tuple, (0,..., 0, m - 1, 1, m). The latter is like the  $2^{\omega-1} + 1$  " $T_m$ -type" tuple, except that it is missing the leading zero. By induction, the second through  $(n - 1)^{\text{st}}$  entries in  $D^k(T_m)$ ,  $k = 2^{\omega} - 3 = n - 4$ , differ from the next one by 1. Thus,  $D^{n-4}(T_m)$ has the proper form. The conclusion for  $D^{n-3}(T_m)$  and  $D^{n-2}(T_m)$  follows immediately.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 5: Suppose  $n = 2^{\omega} + 1$  for  $\omega \ge 1$ . Define  $R_m = (0, 0, ..., 0, m - 1, m)$  for  $m \ge 1$ . Then  $L(R_m) = (m - 1)(n - 2) + 1$ .

**Proof:** Note that  $D(R_m) = T_m = (0, ..., 0, m - 1, 1, m)$ . Now, by Lemmas 4 and 5,  $D^{n-2}(T_m) = \sigma(T_{m-1})$  for some  $\sigma \in \mathscr{D}_n$  and  $m \ge 2$ . Further,  $T_1$  is contained in a cycle, but no other  $T_m$  is. Thus, we have  $L(R_m) = (m - 1)(n - 2) + 1$ .

## 4. Remaining Questions

For *n* not a power of 2 and  $n \neq 2^{\omega} + 1$ , how large is  $\mathscr{L}_n(m)$ ? What tuple produces the longest game? Only for n = 7 are the answers to these questions known [6].

Because Theorem 3 cannot hold for even n, it is tempting to try to prove a related version using  $\mathbb{E} = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ , where the l's occur in the  $(n - k)^{\text{th}}$  and  $n^{\text{th}}$  places. All efforts to date have failed. What relation, if any, does  $\mathscr{L}_{2n}(m)$  have to  $\mathscr{L}_n(m)$ ? The following is a limited answer to that question.

Theorem 6:  $2\mathscr{L}_n(m) \leq \mathscr{L}_{2n}(m)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $S \in \mathscr{G}_n(m)$  with  $L(S) = \mathscr{L}_n(m)$ . Then the tuple  $S \wedge 0$ , where

 $S \wedge 0 = (0, s_1, 0, s_2, 0, s_3, \dots, 0, s_n)$ 

is in  $\mathscr{G}_{2n}(m)$ . By Theorem 1(ii),  $D(S \wedge 0)$  is in a cycle if and only if S is. Further,  $D^2(S \wedge 0) = D(S) \wedge 0$ . Thus,  $L(S \wedge 0) = 2L(S)$ .

Unfortunately, from the few cases studied, it appears that the above inequality is a strict one.

[Aug.

The *n*-number game has been studied extensively; indeed, many key results keep reappearing in the literature and being reproved. An extensive bibliography appears in [7]. In the interest of completeness, additional references which either do not appear in that article or were published after 1982 are listed below.

# References

- K. D. Boklan. "The n-Number Game." Fibonacci Quarterly 22 (1984):152-55.
   J. W. Creely. "The Length of a Two-Number Game." Fibonacci Quarterly 25 (1987):174-79.
- 3. J. W. Creely. "The Length of a Three-Number Game." Fibonacci Quarterly 26 (1988):141-43.
- 4. A. Ehrlich. "Columns of Differences." Math Teaching (1977):42-45.
- 5. A. L. Ludington. "Cycles of Differences of Integers." J. Number Theory 13 (1981):155-61.
- 6. A. L. Ludington. "The Length of the 7-Number Game." Fibonacci Quarterly 26 (1988):195-204.
- 7. L. Meyers. "Ducci's Four-Number Problem: A Short Bibliography." Crux Math. 8 (1982):262-66.
- 8. S. P. Mohanty. "On Cyclic Difference of Pairs of Integers." Math. Stud. 49 (1981):96-102.
- 9. W. Webb. "A Mathematical Curiosity." Math Notes from Wash. State Univ. 20 (1980).
- 10. W. Webb. "The Length of the Four-Number Game." Fibonacci Quarterly 20 (1982):33-35.

\*\*\*\*