

AN ELEMENTARY METHOD OF SUMMATION

D. G. MEAD
University of Santa Clara, Santa Clara, California

The purpose of this note is to present an elementary method for summing the first n terms of a sequence which satisfies a given homogeneous linear recursion relation. The method is, in fact, a simple extension of that normally used for summing a geometric progression, which we first recall.

Let:

$$S = a + ar + ar^2 + \dots + ar^n$$

Then:

$$-rS = -ar - ar^2 - \dots - ar^n - ar^{n+1}$$

Therefore:

$$S(1 - r) = a - ar^{n+1}$$

and if $r \neq 1$,

$$S = \frac{a - ar^{n+1}}{1 - r} .$$

We now turn to the general case. If for every positive integer j , G_j satisfies

$$(1) \quad G_{j+k} + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i G_{j+k-i} = 0,$$

where the c_i are fixed quantities, we write, as above

$$\begin{array}{l} S = G_1 + G_2 + G_3 + \dots + G_{k+1} + G_{k+2} + \dots + G_n \\ c_1 S = c_1 G_1 + c_1 G_2 + \dots + c_1 G_k + c_1 G_{k+1} + \dots + c_1 G_{n-1} + c_1 G_n \\ c_2 S = c_2 G_1 + \dots + c_2 G_{k-1} + c_2 G_k + \dots + c_2 G_{n-2} + c_2 G_{n-1} + c_2 G_n \\ \dots \\ c_k S = c_k G_1 + c_k G_2 + \dots + c_k G_{n-k} + \dots + c_k G_n \end{array}$$

Since, adding vertically and using (1), the sum of the terms inside the dotted lines is zero, we see:

$$S(1 + c_1 + \dots + c_k) = G_1(1 + c_1 + \dots + c_{k-1}) + G_2(1 + c_1 + \dots + c_{k-2}) + \dots + G_k \\ + G_n(c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_k) + G_{n-1}(c_2 + \dots + c_k) + \dots + c_k G_{n-k+1}.$$

If $1 + c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_{k-1} \neq 0$, we can solve for S .

The same method can be used to find

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^t G_i, \text{ for a given } t,$$

if the G_i satisfy (1). To facilitate the presentation, we collect some terminology and facts.

Let E be the operator with the property that

$$EG_i = G_{i+1}.$$

To say that G_j satisfies (1) is equivalent to the statement that the operator

$$\phi(E) = E^k + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i E^{k-i}$$

when applied to any G_j , yields zero (E^0 being the identity operator). The associated polynomial

$$\phi(x) = x^k + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i x^{k-i}$$

is called the characteristic polynomial.* The special role of the number one in our generalization is now easily stated, for

$$1 + c_1 + \dots + c_k \neq 0$$

if and only if unity is not a root of the characteristic polynomial.

* $\phi(x)$ is unique if we assume $\psi(E)G_j = 0$ for all positive j implies the degree of $\psi(x) \geq k$.

It is known ([2], pp. 548-552) that if $\phi(E)G_j = 0$, then $B_j = j^{t-1} G_j$ satisfies

$$[\phi(E)]^t B_j = 0, \text{ for } t \geq 1.$$

If $\phi(1) \neq 0$ then $\psi(1) \neq 0$, where $\psi(x) = [\phi(x)]^t$, and the method just described can be used to find

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^n B_j = \sum_{j=1}^n j^{t-1} G_j .$$

Writing

$$\psi(x) = x^{kt} + \sum_{i=1}^{kt} d_i x^{kt-i},$$

we find:

$$p_0 T = \sum_{j=1}^{kt-j} p_j B_j + \sum_{j=0}^{kt-1} r_j B_{n-j}$$

where

$$p_j = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{kt-j} d_i \text{ and } r_j = \sum_{i=j+1}^{kt} d_i .$$

Since $\phi(E)G_j = 0$ and $B_j = j^t G_j$, one can easily obtain T in terms of $G_1, \dots, G_{k-1}; G_{n-k+2}, \dots, G_n$.

The assumption that unity not be a root of the characteristic polynomial has been critical to our discussion so far. We now assume $\{G_j\}$ satisfies

$$\chi(E) G_j = 0$$

where $\chi(E)$ is a polynomial with $\chi(1) = 0$. Factoring out all the factors $x - 1$ in $\chi(x)$, we obtain

$$\chi(x) = (x - 1)^a \phi(x), \text{ where } \phi(1) \neq 0.$$

Letting $C_j = \phi(E)G_j$, we note:

$$\phi(1)S = \phi\left(\frac{1}{1}\right) \sum_{j=1}^n G_j = \sum_{j=1}^k G_j q_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} C_j + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} G_{n-j} s_j,$$

where

$$\chi(x) = x^k + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i x^{k-i},$$

$$q_j = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-j} c_i \quad \text{and} \quad s_j = \sum_{i=j+1}^k c_i.$$

However, it is known ([2], pp. 548-552) that if $(E-1)^a C_j = 0$, then C_j is a polynomial of degree $\leq a-1$. If we assume the formulas for

$$\sum_{j=1}^n j^p$$

are known, for j a positive integer, the only problem remaining is that of determining the polynomial $C_j = d_0 + d_1 j + \dots + d_{a-1} j^{a-1}$. It is easy to show that the difference operator $E-1$ when applied to a polynomial of degree r yields a polynomial of degree $r-1$. Therefore $(E-1)^j C_1$ involves only $d_{a-1}, d_{a-2}, \dots, d_j$ and the system of linear equations on the d_i obtained by computing $(E-1)^j C_1, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, a-1$ can clearly be solved for the d_i .

The above is a generalization of the technique used by Erbacher and Fuchs to solve problem H-17. [4]

Example: Assume that for each positive integer j, G_j satisfies $\chi(E)G_j = 0$, where $\chi(x) = (x-1)^3(x^3 - 3x^2 + 4x + 2) = (x-1)^3 \phi(x)$. If $G_1 = G_2 = G_3 = G_4 = G_5 = 0, G_6 = 1$, then $C_1 = \phi(E)G_1 = 0, C_2 = \phi(E)G_2 = 0, C_3 = \phi(E)G_3 = 1$. With $C_j = d_0 + d_1 j + d_2 j^2$, we find $(E-1)^2 C_1 = 2d_2 = 1, (E-1) C_1 = d_1 + 3d_2 = 0$ and $C_1 = d_0 + d_1 + d_2$. Hence $C_j = 1 - (3/2)j + j^2/2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(1)S &= 4S = 2G_1 - 2G_2 + G_3 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-3} (1 - (3j)/2 + j^2/2) + 3G_n + 6G_{n-1} + 2G_{n-2} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-3} (1 - (3j)/2 + j^2/2) + 3G_n + 6G_{n-1} + 2G_{n-2} . \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, we have seen how the elementary method used to sum a geometric progression can be generalized to find the sum of the first n terms of a sequence which satisfies a linear homogeneous recursion relation. It may be worth stating that this method is applicable to a sequence whose terms are products of corresponding terms of sequences each of which satisfy a linear homogeneous recursion relation (see [1] pp. 42-45 for a special case).

We propose as a problem for the reader: Find in closed form the sum of the first n terms of the sequence $\{w_n\}$:

$$1, 2, 10, 36, 145, \dots$$

where $w_n = F_n G_n$ with $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$ ($F_1 = F_2 = 1$) and $G_{n+2} = 2G_{n+1} + G_n$ ($G_1 = 1, G_2 = 2$).

REFERENCES

1. Dov Jarden, *Recurring Sequences*, Jerusalem, 1958.
2. C. Jordan, "Calculus of Finite Differences," Chelsea, New York, Ed. 1950.
3. James A. Jeske, "Linear Recurrence Relations, Part I," *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 69-74.
4. Problem H-17, *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, Proposed in Vol. 1, No. 2, 1963, p. 55 and solved by Joseph Erbacher and John Allen Fuchs in Vol. 2, No. 1, 1964, p. 51.

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX