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Moll and Venkatesan showed in [2] that the set of Fibonacci numbers is not context-free. Recall that a language is CF (context-free) if and only if there exists a context-free grammar generating it. It is only natural to ask where exactly in Chomsky's Hierarchy the Fibonacci numbers lie. By the Hierarchy Theorem (Theorem 9.9 of [1]), we have the following proper containments:

```
Regular sets \(\subset\) CFL's \(\subset\) CSL's \(\subset\) RE's
```

RE's (recursively enumerable languages) are defined to be those sets generated by unrestricted grammars. Unrestricted grammars are simply grammars in which all the productions are of the form $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are arbitrary strings of grammar symbols, with $\alpha \neq \varepsilon$. By definition, CSL's (context-sensitive languages) are generated by CSG's (context-sensitive grammars). CSG's are very much like unrestricted grammars, with the added condition that for all productions $\alpha \rightarrow \beta,|\alpha| \leq|\beta|$.

In this paper we offer a CSG $G$ generating the language of unary Fibonacci numbers, $L=\left\{0^{i} \mid i=F_{n}\right\}$, hence demonstrating the title claim. But before doing this, it will prove useful to construct an unrestricted grammar $G^{\prime}$ for $L$.

## THE UNRESTRICTED GRAMMAR $G^{\prime}$

Formally define $G^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime}, T, P^{\prime}, S\right)$, where $V^{\prime}=\{S, A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, J, K, L, M, N, P\}$, $T=\{0\}$, and $P^{\prime}$ is given by the list of productions:

| 1) | $S \rightarrow 0$ | 14) | $K C \rightarrow L C 0$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2) | $S \rightarrow A E 0 B 0 C D$ | $15)$ | $0 L \rightarrow L 0$ |
| 3) | $A E \rightarrow A H$ | $16)$ | $B L \rightarrow B J$ |
| 4) | $H 0 \rightarrow F 0$ | $17)$ | $B J C \rightarrow B M$ |
| 5) | $F 00 \rightarrow 0 F 0$ | $18)$ | $M 0 \rightarrow 0 M$ |
| 6) | $F 0 B \rightarrow B F 0$ | $19)$ | $M D \rightarrow N C D$ |
| 7) | $F O C \rightarrow G C 0$ | $20)$ | $0 N \rightarrow N 0$ |
| 8) | $0 G \rightarrow G 0$ | $21)$ | $B N \rightarrow N B$ |
| 9) | $B G \rightarrow G B$ | $22)$ | $A N \rightarrow A E$ |
| 10) | $A G \rightarrow A H$ | $23)$ | $A E \rightarrow P$ |
| 11) | $A H B \rightarrow A B J$ | $24)$ | $P 0 \rightarrow 0 P$ |
| 12) | $B J 0 \rightarrow 0 B K$ | $25)$ | $P B \rightarrow P$ |
| 13) | $K 0 \rightarrow 0 K$ | $26)$ | $P C D \rightarrow \varepsilon$ |

Observe that there are two starting productions. Production 1 generates the nonrecursive base cases; production 2 generates all other Fibonacci numbers $F_{n}$, with $n>2$. In general selection of production 3 eventually leads to a string of the form
(*) $\quad A E 0 \ldots 0 B 0 \ldots 0 C D$.
The 0 's between $A$ and $B$ represent unary $F_{n-2}$, while those between $B$ and $C$ represent $F_{n-1}$. Repeated selection of production 3 "increments" (*), while choosing production 23 outputs $F_{n}$ by eliminating the markers.

In summary, productions 1 and 2 enable us to generate either the base or recursive case. Productions 3 through 11 move $F_{n-2}$ into the space between $C$ and $D$; productions 12 through 22 perform the updating and restoration of the string to the form of (*). Finally, productions 23 to 26 output the answer. It is easily verified that $G^{\prime}$ generates exactly $L$.

Because $G^{\prime}$ is an unrestricted grammar that generates $L, L$ is recursively-enumerable. Note that $G^{\prime}$ is not a CSG because the left-hand sides of productions 23,25 , and 26 are longer than their right-hand sides.

## THE CONTEXT-SENSITIVE GRAMMAR $G$

We use the method of Example 9.5 of [1] to create a context-sensitive grammar $G$ which mimics $G^{\prime}$. Instead of the "single" variables of $G^{\prime}$, we use "composite" variables that combine 0 with each of its possible contexts. For example, the single nonterminal [AE0] replaces the two variable string $A E$ in a particular context.

Formally define $G=(V, T, P,[S])$, where $V=\{[S],[A E 0],[B 0 C D],[A H 0],[A F 0],[A B F 0]$, [0CD], [AB0], [F0CD], [F0], [A0], [BF0], [B0], [GC0D], [C0D], [GC0], [0D], [ABG0], [G0], [BG0], [GB0], [ $A G 0$ ], [C0], [ $A G B 0],[A H B 0],[A B J 0],[B K C 0 D],[B K 0],[B J 0],[B K C 0]$, [KCOD], [K0], [KC0], [BLC0], [LC0], [BL0], [L0], [BJC0], [BM0], [M0D], [0MD], [M0], [ $O N C D$ ], [NOCD], [BNO], [0CD], [NB0], [AN0], [N0], [P0], [PB0], [P0CD], [OPCD]\}, and $P$ is given by the following list of productions, which are grouped according to the production of $G^{\prime}$ they mimic:

| 1) | $[S] \rightarrow 0$ | 14) | $[B K C O D] \rightarrow[B L C O][0 D]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $[K C 0 D] \rightarrow[L C 0][0 D]$ |
| 2) | $[S] \rightarrow[A E 0][B 0 C D]$ |  | $[B K C 0] \rightarrow[$ [ $2 C 0] 0$ |
|  |  |  | $[K C 0] \rightarrow[L C 0] 0$ |
| 3) | $[A E 0] \rightarrow[A H 0]$ |  |  |
|  |  | 15) | [B0][LC0] $\rightarrow$ [BL0][C0] |
| 4) | $[A H 0] \rightarrow[A F 0]$ |  | $0[L C 0] \rightarrow[L 0][C 0]$ |
|  |  |  | $[B 0][L 0] \rightarrow[B L 0] 0$ |
| 5) | $[A B F 0][0 C D] \rightarrow[A B 0][F 0 C D]$ |  |  |
|  | $[A B F 0] 0 \rightarrow[A B 0][F 0]$ | 16) | $[B L C 0] \rightarrow[B J C 0]$ |
|  | [F0][ $0 C D] \rightarrow 0[F O C D]$ |  | $[B L 0] \rightarrow[B J 0]$ |
|  | $[A F 0] 0 \rightarrow[A 0][F 0]$ |  |  |
|  | $[B F 0] 0 \rightarrow[B 0][F 0]$ | 17) | $[B J C 0] \rightarrow[B M 0]$ |
|  | $[F 0] 0 \rightarrow 0[F 0]$ |  |  |
|  |  | 18) | $[B M 0][0 D] \rightarrow[B 0][M O D]$ |
| 6) | $[A F 0][B 0 C D] \rightarrow[A B F 0][0 C D]$ |  | $[M O D] \rightarrow[0 M D]$ |
|  | $[A F 0][B 0] \rightarrow[A B F O] 0$ |  | $[B M 0] 0 \rightarrow[B 0][M 0]$ |
|  | $[F 0][B 0] \rightarrow[B F O] 0$ |  | $[M 0][0 D] \rightarrow 0[M O D]$ |
|  |  |  | $[M 0] 0 \rightarrow 0[M 0]$ |
| 7) | $[F O C D] \rightarrow[G C O D]$ |  |  |
|  | $[F 0][C O D] \rightarrow[G C 0][0 D]$ | 19) | $[0 M D] \rightarrow[0 N C D]$ |
| 8) | $[A B 0][G C O D] \rightarrow[A B G 0][C O D]$ | 20) | $[0 N C D] \rightarrow[N O C D]$ |
|  | $0[G C O D] \rightarrow[G 0][C O D]$ |  | $[B 0][N O C D] \rightarrow[B N O][0 C D]$ |
|  | [ABO][G0] $\rightarrow$ [ABG0]0 |  | $[A 0][N B 0] \rightarrow[A N 0][B 0]$ |
|  | $0[G 0] \rightarrow[G 0] 0$ |  | $0[N O C D] \rightarrow[N 0][0 C D]$ |
|  | [B0][G0] $\rightarrow$ [BG0]0 |  | $[B 0][N 0] \rightarrow[B N O] 0$ |
|  | $[A 0][G B 0] \rightarrow[A G 0][B 0]$ |  | $0[N B 0] \rightarrow[N 0][B 0$ |
|  | $0[G C 0] \rightarrow[G 0][C 0]$ |  | $[A 0][N 0] \rightarrow[A N O] 0$ |
|  |  |  | $0[N 0] \rightarrow[N 0] 0$ |



It is straightforward to see that $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha^{\prime}$ (i.e., a string $\alpha^{\prime}$ is derived from $S$ ) through $G^{\prime}$ if and only if $[S] \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$ with $G$, where $\alpha$ is formed from $\alpha^{\prime}$ by grouping with a 0 all markers (i.e., elements of $\left.V^{\prime}-\{S\}\right)$ appearing between it and the 0 to its left, and also by grouping the first 0 with any markers to its left and with the last 0 any markers to its right; e.g., if $\alpha^{\prime}$ is $A 00 B 0 K C 000 D$, then $\alpha$ is $[A 0] 0[B 0][K C 0] 0[0 D]$. Observe that the right side of every production of $G$ is at least as long as the left side. Clearly, $G$ is a context-sensitive grammar.

Thus, we have
Theorem: $L$ is a context-sensitive language.
Proof: Immediate from construction of $G$.
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