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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Several definitions of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers with real subscript are available in literature. In general, these definitions give complex quantities when the subscript is not an integer [1], [3], [8], [9].

In this paper we face, from a rather general point of view, the problem of defining numbers $F_{x}$ and $L_{x}$ which are real when subscript $x$ is real. In this kind of definition, the minimum requirement is, obviously, that $F_{x}$ and $L_{x}$ and the usual Fibonacci numbers $F_{n}$ and Lucas numbers $L_{n}$ coincide when $x=n$ is an integer. Further, for all $x$, the fulfillment of some of the main properties possessed by $F_{n}$ and $L_{n}$ is desirable. Some of these definitions have already been given by other authors (e.g., [6], [10]).

Here, after a brief discussion on some general aspects of these definitions, we propose two distinct expressions for both $F_{x}$ and $L_{x}$ and study some of their properties. More precisely, in Section 2, we give an exponential representation for $F_{x}$ and $L_{x}$, whereas in Section 3 we give a polynomial representation for these numbers. In spite of the fact that the numbers defined in the above said ways coincide only when $x$ is an integer, they are denoted by the same symbol. Nevertheless, there is no danger of confusion since each definition applies only to the proper section.

We confine ourselves to consider only nonnegative values of the subscript, so that in all the statements involving numbers of the form $F_{x-y}$ and $L_{x-y}$ it is understood that $y \leq x$. The following notation is used throughout the paper:
$\lambda(x)$, the greatest integer not exceeding $x$,
$\mu(x)$, the smallest integer not less than $x$.

## 2. EXPONENTIAL REPRESENTATION OF $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ AND $\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$

Keeping in mind the Binet forms for $F_{n}$ and $L_{n}$ leads, quite naturally to consideration of expressions of the following types:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x}=\left[\alpha^{x}-f(x) \alpha^{-x}\right] / \sqrt{5} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{x}=\alpha^{x}+f(x) \alpha^{-x} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ is the positive root of the equation $z^{2}-z-1=0$, and $f(x)$ is a function of the real variable $x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(n)=(-1)^{n} \text { for all integers } n \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is plain that the numbers $F_{x}$ and $L_{x}$ defined by (2.1)-(2.3) and the usual Fibonacci numbers $F_{n}$ and Lucas numbers $L_{n}$ coincide whenever $x=n$ is an integer.

If we require that $F_{x}$ and $L_{x}$ enjoy some of the properties of $F_{n}$ and $L_{n}$, we must require that $f(x)$ has some additional properties beyond that stated in (2.3).

Theorem 1: If, for all $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+1)=-f(x) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the fundamental relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x+2}=F_{x+1}+F_{x} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{x+2}=L_{x+1}+L_{x} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied.
Proof: By (2.2) and (2.4), we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{x+1}+L_{x} & =\alpha^{x+1}+f(x+1) \alpha^{-x-1}+\alpha^{x}+f(x) \alpha^{-x} \\
& =\alpha^{x+1}+\alpha^{x}+f(x)\left(\alpha^{-x}-\alpha^{-x-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha^{2}=\alpha+1$ and $\alpha^{-2}=1-\alpha^{-1}$, we have $\alpha^{x+1}+\alpha^{x}=\alpha^{x+2}$ and $\alpha^{-x}-\alpha^{-x-1}=\alpha^{-x-2}$. Thus,

$$
L_{x+1}+L_{x}=\alpha^{x+2}+f(x) \alpha^{-x-2}+f(x+2) \alpha^{-x-2}=L_{x+2} \text {. Q.E.D. }
$$

Theorem 2: If, for a particular $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{2}(x)=f(2 x), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x} L_{x}=F_{2 x} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied.
Proof: By (2.1) and (2.2), after some simple manipulations, we get

$$
F_{x} L_{x}=\left[\alpha^{2 x}-f^{2}(x) \alpha^{-2 x}\right] / \sqrt{5} \text {. Q.E.D. }
$$

Theorem 3: If the condition (2.4) is satisfied for all $x$, then the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{x}=F_{x-1}+F_{x+1} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
The proof of Theorem 3 is analogous to that of Theorem 1 and is omitted for brevity.
Parker [10] used the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\cos (\pi x) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain real Fibonacci and Lucas numbers with real subscripts. The function (2.10) satisfies (2.3) and (2.4) but does not satisfy (2.7). Other circular functions (or functions of circular functions) might be used as $f(x)$. For example, $f(x)=\cos ^{k}(\pi x)$ and $f(x)=\cos ^{1 / k}(\pi x)$ ( $k$ an odd integer) satisfy the above properties as well. Further functions might be considered. For example, the function

$$
f(x)=\left[a^{2}-\sin ^{2}(\pi x)\right]^{1 / 2}-a+\cos (\pi x) \quad(a \geq 1)
$$

which describes the piston stroke as a function of the crank angle $\pi x$ and the ratio $a$ of the rod length to the crank radius, satisfies (2.3) but does not satisfy (2.4).

In my opinion, the simplest function $f(x)$ satisfying (2.3) and (2.4) is the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=(-1)^{\lambda(x)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the definitions

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x}=\left[\alpha^{x}-(-1)^{\lambda(x)} \alpha^{-x}\right] / \sqrt{5} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{x}=\alpha^{x}+(-1)^{\lambda(x)} \alpha^{-x} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that (2.12) can be viewed as a particular function of circular functions. In fact, this function and the special Fourier series

$$
f(x)=\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin [(2 k+1) \pi x]}{2 k+1}
$$

coincide, except for the integral values of $x$.
As an illustration, the behavior of $F_{x}$ vs $x$ is shown in Figure 1 for $0 \leq x \leq 10$.


FIGURE 1. Behavior of $F_{x}$ vs $x$ for $0 \leq x \leq 10$
The discontinuities (observable for small values of $x$ ) connected with the integral values of $x$ are obviously due to the greatest integer function inherent in the definition (2.13).

The numbers $F_{x}$ and $L_{x}$ defined by (2.13) and (2.14), respectively, enjoy several properties of the usual Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. For example, the following two propositions can be stated.

Proposition 1: $5 F_{x}^{2}=L_{x}^{2}-4(-1)^{\lambda(x)}$.
Proposition 2 (Simson formula anallog): $F_{x-1} F_{x+1}-F_{x}^{2}=(-1)^{\lambda(x)}$.

For the sake of brevity, we shall prove only Proposition 2.
Proof of Proposition 2: From (2.13), we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{x-1} F_{x+1}-F_{x}^{2}= & {\left[\alpha^{2 x}-(-1)^{\lambda(x+1)} \alpha^{-2}-(-1)^{\lambda(x-1)} \alpha^{2}+(-1)^{\lambda(x-1)+\lambda(x+1)} \alpha^{-2 x}\right.} \\
& \left.-\alpha^{2 x}-\alpha^{-2 x}+2(-1)^{\lambda(x)}\right] / 5 \\
= & {\left[-(-1)^{\lambda(x)+1} \alpha^{-2}-(-1)^{\lambda(x)-1} \alpha^{2}+(-1)^{2 \lambda(x)} \alpha^{-2 x}-\alpha^{-2 x}+2(-1)^{\lambda(x)}\right] / 5 } \\
= & {\left[(-1)^{\lambda(x)}\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha^{-2}\right)+2(-1)^{\lambda(x)}\right] / 5 } \\
= & (-1)^{\lambda(x)}\left(L_{2}+2\right) / 5=(-1)^{\lambda(x)} \text {. Q.E.D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us conclude this section by offering the sums of some finite series involving the numbers $F_{x}$ and $L_{x}$. These are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} T_{x+k}=T_{n+x+2}-T_{x+1} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ stands for both $F$ and $L$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} F_{k / n}=1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}\left(L_{1 / n}-2\right)}-\frac{F_{(n-1) / n}+F_{1 / n}}{L_{1 / n}-2} \quad(n \geq 2)  \tag{2.16}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{n} L_{k / n}=\frac{\sqrt{5}-L_{(n-1) / n}}{L_{1 / n}-2} \quad(n \geq 2) \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

The proofs of (2.15)-(2.17) can be obtained from (2.13) and (2.14) with the aid of the geometric series formula. They are left to the interested reader.

## 3. POLYNOMIAL REPRESENTATION OF $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ AND $\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$

Let us recall the well-known formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}=\sum_{j=0}^{U}\binom{n-1-j}{j} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is a suitable integral function of $n$, which gives the $n^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number. It is also well known (see, e.g., [5, p. 48]) that the binomial coefficient defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{a}{0}=1,\binom{a}{k}=\frac{a(a-1) \cdots(a-k+1)}{k!} \quad(k \geq 1 \text { an integer }) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

makes sense also if $a$ is any real quantity.
In light of (3.2), some conditions must be imposed on the upper range indicator, $U$, for (3.1) to be efficient. In my opinion, the usual choice $U=\infty$ (see, e.g., [13, (54)]) is not correct. For example, for $n=5$ and $U=\infty$, we have the infinite series

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{5} & =\binom{4}{0}+\binom{3}{1}+\binom{2}{2}+\binom{1}{3}+\binom{0}{4}+\binom{-1}{5}+\binom{-2}{6}+\binom{-3}{7}+\binom{-4}{8}+\cdots \\
& =1+3+1+0+0-1+7-36+165-\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

the sum of which is clearly different from 5. It can be readily proved that formula (3.1) works correctly if the following inequalities are satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda[(n-1) / 2] \leq U \leq n-1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the basis of (3.2) and (3.3), a polynomial representation of $F_{x}$ can be obtained by simply replacing $n$ by $x$ in (3.1). Following the choice of Schroeder [12, p. 68] (i.e., $U=\lambda[(n-1) / 2]$ ), we define the numbers $F_{x}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x}=\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda[(x-1) / 2]}\binom{x-1-j}{j} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that, under the convention that a sum vanishes when the upper range indicator is smaller than the lower one and taking into account that $\lambda(-x)=-\mu(x)$, expression (3.4) allows us to obtain $F_{0}=0$.

Other choices of $U$ are possible, within the interval (3.3). In a recent paper [1] AndréJeannin considered the numbers $G(x)(x>0)$ obtained by replacing $n$ by $x$ and $U$ by $m(x)$ in (3.1), $m(x)$ being the integer defined by $x / 2-1 \leq m(x)<x / 2$. It is readily seen that $m(x)=\mu(x / 2-1)$, and $m(x)=\lambda[(x-1) / 2]$ when $x$ is an integer. Moreover, we can see that $F_{x}$ and $G(x)$ coincide for $2 h-1 \leq x \leq 2 h(h=1,2, \ldots)$, and both of them give the usual Fibonacci numbers $F_{n}$ when $x=n$ is an integer.

As an illustration, we give the value of $F_{x}$ for $0 \leq x<9$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{x}=0, \text { for } 0 \leq x<1, \\
& F_{x}=1, \text { for } 1 \leq x<3, \\
& F_{x}=x-1, \text { for } 3 \leq x<5, \\
& F_{x}=\left(x^{2}-5 x+10\right) / 2, \text { for } 5 \leq x<7, \\
& F_{x}=\left(x^{3}-12 x^{2}+59 x-90\right) / 6, \text { for } 7 \leq x<9 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The behavior of $F_{x}$ vs $x$ for $0 \leq x<9$ is shown in Figure 2 below.
Replacing $n$ by $x$ in [4, (1.3)-(1.4)] leads to an analogolis polynomial representation of $L_{x}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{x}=\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda(x / 2)} \frac{x}{x-j}\binom{x-j}{j} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that, for $x=0$, this definition gives the indeterminate form $0 / 0$. So, $L_{0}=2$ cannot be defined by (3.5). As an illustration, we show the values of $L_{x}$ for $0<x<8$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{x}=1, \text { for } 0<x<2 \\
& L_{x}=x+1, \text { for } 2 \leq x<4 \\
& L_{x}=\left(x^{2}-x+2\right) / 2, \text { for } 4 \leq x<6, \\
& L_{x}=\left(x^{3}-6 x^{2}+17 x+6\right) / 6, \text { for } 6 \leq x<8
\end{aligned}
$$



FIGURE 2. Behavior of $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ vs $\boldsymbol{x}$ for $\mathbf{0} \leq \boldsymbol{x}<\mathbf{9}$
Also the numbers $F_{x}$ and $L_{x}$ defined by (3.4) and (3.5), respectively, enjoy several properties of the usual Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. Sometimes these properties hold for all $x$, but, in most cases, their validity depends on the parity of $\lambda(x)$. We shall give an example for each case. The proof of the latter is omitted for brevity.
Proposition 3: $F_{x-1}+F_{x+1}=L_{x}$.
Proof: From (3.5), the binomial identity available in [11, p. 64], and (3.4), we can write

$$
L_{x}=\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda(x / 2)}\left[\binom{x-j}{j}+\binom{x-1-j}{j-1}\right]=F_{x+1}+\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda(x / 2)}\binom{x-1-j}{j-1}=F_{x+1}+\sum_{j=-1}^{\lambda(x / 2)-1}\binom{x-2-j}{j} .
$$

By virtue of the assumption [5, p. 48],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x}{-k}=0 \quad(k \geq 1 \text { an integer }) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(x / 2)-1=\lambda[(x-2) / 2] \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and definition (3.4), the previous expression becomes

$$
L_{x}=F_{x+1}+\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda[(x-2) / 2]}\binom{x-2-j}{j}=F_{x+1}+F_{x-1} \text {. Q.E.D. }
$$

## Proposition 4:

$$
F_{x}+F_{x+1}= \begin{cases}F_{x+2}, & \text { if } \lambda(x) \text { is even } \\ F_{x+2}-\binom{x-\lambda(x / 2)-1}{\lambda(x / 2)+1}, & \text { if } \lambda(x) \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Let us conclude this section by considering a special case [namely, $n=(2 k+1) / 2$ ] of the well-known identity $F_{n} L_{n}=F_{2 n}$. The numerical evidence shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{(2 k+1) / 2} L_{(2 k+1) / 2}=F_{2 k+1}-g(k) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $g(k)$ for the first few values of $k$ are shown below:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g(0)=1 & g(4)=2.9375 \\
g(1)=1 & g(5)=3.734375 \\
g(2)=1.5 & g(6)=6.4921875 \\
g(3)=1.75 & g(7)=8.57421875 .
\end{array}
$$

I was able to find neither a closed form expression nor sufficiently narrow bounds for $g(k)$. Establishing an expression for this quantity is closely related to the problem of expressing $F_{x}$ and $L_{x}$ as functions of $F_{\lambda(x)}$ and $L_{\lambda(x)}$, respectively ( $x=k+1 / 2$ in the above case). This seems to be a challenging problem the solution of which would allow us to find many more identities involving the numbers $F_{x}$ and $L_{x}$. Any contribution of the readers on this topic will be deeply appreciated.

## 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper we have proposed an exponential representation and a polynomial representation for Fibonacci numbers $F_{x}$ and Lucas numbers $L_{x}$ that are real if $x$ is real. Some of their properties have also been exhibited.

As for the polynomial representation, we point out that other sums, beyond (3.1) and [4, (1.3)-(1.4)] [see (3.5)], give the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. These sums can be used to obtain further polynomial representations for $F_{x}$ and $L_{x}$. For example, if we replace $n$ by $x$ in the expression for Fibonacci numbers available in [2], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x}=\sum_{j=-\lambda(x / 5)}^{\lambda[(x-1) / 5]}(-1)^{j}\binom{x-1}{\lambda[(x-1-5 j) / 2]} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that (4.1) and (3.4) coincide for $0 \leq x<5$. Getting the polynomials in $x$ given by (4.1) for higher values of $x$, requires a lot of tedious calculations. As an illustration, we give the value of $F_{x}$ for $0 \leq x<8$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{x}=0, \text { for } 0 \leq x<1, \\
& F_{x}=1, \text { for } 1 \leq x<3, \\
& F_{x}=x-1, \text { for } 3 \leq x<5, \\
& F_{x}=\left(-x^{4}+10 x^{3}-23 x^{2}+14 x\right) / 24, \text { for } 5 \leq x<6, \\
& F_{x}=\left(-x^{5}+15 x^{4}-85 x^{3}+285 x^{2}-454 x+120\right) / 120, \text { for } 6 \leq x<7, \\
& F_{x}=\left(-x^{5}+15 x^{4}-65 x^{3}+105 x^{2}-54 x-120\right) / 120, \text { for } 7 \leq x<8 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Plotting these values shows clearly that definition (4.1) is rather unsatisfactory if compared with definition (3.4). We reported definition (4.1) here for the sake of completeness and because it might be interesting per se.
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