SUMS OF POWERS OF DIGITAL SUMS

Robert E. Kennedy and Curtis Cooper

Department of Mathematics, Central Missouri State University, Warrensburg, MO 64093 (Submitted January 1992)

1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent article [2], the authors showed that, for any positive integer k,

$$\frac{1}{x}\sum_{n\leq x}s(n)^k = \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^k \log^k x + O\left(\log^{k-\frac{1}{3}}x\right),$$

where s(n) denotes the digital sum of the nonnegative integer n and log x denotes the base 10 logarithm of x. It was conjectured that, for any positive integer k,

$$\frac{1}{x}\sum_{n\leq x}s(n)^k = \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^k \log^k x + O\left(\log^{k-1}x\right).$$

During a presentation of this result, Carl Pomerance asked if there was any evidence for this better "big-oh" term. At the time, the conjecture was based solely on two results, one by Cheo and Yien [1] which states that

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \le x} s(n) = \frac{9}{2} \log x + O(1)$$

and the other by Kennedy and Cooper [3] which states that

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \le x} s(n)^2 = \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^2 \log^2 x + O(\log x).$$

In this article we will show that

$$\frac{1}{10^n}\sum_{i=0}^{10^n-1} s(i)^k = \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^k n^k + O(n^{k-1}).$$

This provides more evidence for this better "big-oh" term.

In [1], Cheo and Yien found that

$$\frac{1}{10^n} \sum_{i=0}^{10^n - 1} s(i) = \frac{9}{2}n$$

In a similar manner, Kennedy and Cooper [3] showed that

$$\frac{1}{10^n}\sum_{i=0}^{10^n-1}s(i)^2=\frac{81}{4}n^2+\frac{33}{4}n.$$

Furthermore, using MAPLE, the following formulas were calculated:

1993]

$$\frac{1}{10^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{10^{n}-1} s(i)^{3} = \frac{729}{8} n^{3} + \frac{891}{8} n^{2},$$

$$\frac{1}{10^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{10^{n}-1} s(i)^{4} = \frac{6561}{16} n^{4} + \frac{8019}{8} n^{3} + \frac{3267}{16} n^{2} - \frac{3333}{40} n,$$

$$\frac{1}{10^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{10^{n}-1} s(i)^{5} = \frac{59049}{32} n^{5} + \frac{120285}{16} n^{4} + \frac{147015}{32} n^{3} - \frac{29997}{16} n^{2},$$

$$\frac{1}{10^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{10^{n}-1} s(i)^{6} = \frac{531441}{64} n^{6} + \frac{3247695}{64} n^{5} + \frac{3969405}{64} n^{4} - \frac{1080783}{64} n^{3} - \frac{329967}{32} n^{2} + \frac{15873}{4} n,$$

$$\frac{1}{10^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{10^{n}-1} s(i)^{7} = \frac{4782969}{128} n^{7} + \frac{40920957}{128} n^{6} + \frac{83357505}{128} n^{5} - \frac{56133}{128} n^{4} - \frac{20787921}{64} n^{3} + \frac{999999}{8} n^{2},$$

$$\frac{1}{10^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{10^{n}-1} s(i)^{8} = \frac{43046721}{256} n^{8} + \frac{122762871}{64} n^{7} + \frac{750217545}{128} n^{6} + \frac{76284747}{32} n^{5} - \frac{1372208607}{256} n^{4} + \frac{67777479}{64} n^{3} + \frac{371095263}{320} n^{2} - \frac{33333333}{80} n.$$

These results were obtained by initially considering the function

$$f(x) = (1 + x + x^{2} + \dots + x^{9})^{n}.$$

We then repeatedly differentiated, multiplied by x, and substituted x = 1. However, when an exponent of 9 was used, the computation became too big for the memory of the computer. Nevertheless, these results reinforced our belief that the conjecture is true. We proceeded to delve more deeply into the generating function.

2. HIGHER DERIVATIVES

Because of the form of the function which was initially differentiated, i.e.,

$$(1+x+x^2+\cdots+x^9)^n,$$

we set out to find a formula for

$$\frac{d^m}{dx^m}g(x)^n,$$

where n and m are positive integers and g is an arbitrary, continuously differentiable function. After investigating the situation using the computer algebra system DERIVE, we noticed the following pattern.

Lemma 1: Let *n* and *m* be positive integers and *g* be a continuously differentiable function. Then

$$\frac{d^m}{dx^m}g^n = \sum_{n_1+2n_2+\dots+mn_m=m} n(n-1)\cdots(n-n_1-\dots-n_m+1)g^{n-n_1-\dots-n_m}$$
$$\cdot \frac{m!}{(1!)^{n_1}n_1!(2!)^{n_2}n_2!\cdots(m!)^{n_m}n_m!}(g^{(1)})^{n_1}(g^{(2)})^{n_2}\cdots(g^{(m)})^{n_m}$$

where $n_1, n_2, ..., n_m$ are nonnegative integers.

[NOV.

342

The proof of this result is by induction on m. However, it might be noted here that Lemma 1 is just a special case of Faá di Bruno's formula [4] which states that if f(x) and g(x) are functions for which all the necessary derivatives are defined and m is a positive integer, then

$$\frac{d^m}{dx^m}f(g(x)) = \sum_{n_1+2n_2+\dots+mn_m=m} \frac{m!}{n_1!\dots n_m!} \left(\frac{d^{n_1+\dots+n_m}}{dx^{n_1+\dots+n_m}}f\right)(g(x))$$
$$\cdot \left(\frac{\frac{d}{dx}g(x)}{1!}\right)^{n_1}\dots \left(\frac{\frac{d^m}{dx^m}g(x)}{m!}\right)^{n_m},$$

where $n_1, n_2, ..., n_m$ are nonnegative integers.

3. MAIN RESULT

We will need one final lemma before we can state and prove the main result. To do this, we let

$$f_0(x) = (1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^9)^n$$

and for any positive integer k,

$$f_k(x) = x \cdot f'_{k-1}(x)$$

Using f_k , we have the identity

$$\sum_{i=0}^{10^n-1} s(i)^k = f_k(1).$$

With these definitions in mind, we can state the following lemma.

Lemma 2: For any positive integer *m*,

$$f_m(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m {m \\ i} x^i f_0^{(i)}(x),$$

where $\{\cdot\}$ denotes a Stirling number of the second kind.

Proof: We shall prove this result by induction on m. The result is clearly true for m = 1. Now assume that the result is true for any positive integer $m \ge 1$. By the definition of f_{m+1} and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$f_{m+1}(x) = x \cdot f'_{m}(x) = x \cdot \frac{d}{dx} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\{ m \atop i \right\} x^{i} f_{0}^{(i)}(x) \right).$$

Next, by the product rule and simplification, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot \frac{d}{dx} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} {m \atop i} x^{i} f_{0}^{(i)}(x) \right) &= x \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} {m \atop i} \left(x^{i} f_{0}^{(i+1)}(x) + f_{0}^{(i)}(x) \cdot i x^{i-1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left({m \atop i} x^{i+1} f_{0}^{(i+1)}(x) + {m \atop i} \cdot i x^{i} f_{0}^{(i)}(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

1993]

343

Finally, by simplification and the fact that

$$\binom{m}{i-1} + i \binom{m}{i} = \binom{m+1}{i},$$

we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\left\{ {m \atop i} \right\} x^{i+1} f_0^{(i+1)}(x) + \left\{ {m \atop i} \right\} \cdot i x^i f_0^{(i)}(x) \right)$$

= $\left\{ {m \atop 1} \right\} x f_0^{(1)}(x) + \sum_{i=2}^{m} \left(\left\{ {m \atop i-1} \right\} + i \left\{ {m \atop i} \right\} \right) x^i f_0^{(i)}(x) + \left\{ {m \atop m} \right\} x^{m+1} f_0^{(m+1)}(x)$
= $\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \left\{ {m+1 \atop i} \right\} x^i f_0^{(i)}(x).$

Thus, the result is true for m + 1. Therefore, by induction, Lemma 2 is true for any positive integer m.

Finally, we have the main theorem.

Theorem: For all positive integers n and k,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{10^{n}-1} s(i)^{k} = \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} n^{k} 10^{n} + O(n^{k-1}10^{n}).$$

Proof: We first use Lemma 2 to obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{10^{n}-1} s(i)^{k} = f_{k}(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \begin{cases} k \\ i \end{cases} 1^{i} f_{0}^{(i)}(1).$$

Next, by Lemma with $g^n = f_0$ and the fact that $f_0(x) = (1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^9)^n$, we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} {k \\ i} 1^{i} f_{0}^{(i)}(1) = n^{k} 10^{n-k} 45^{k} + O(n^{k-1} 10^{n}) = \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} n^{k} 10^{n} + O(n^{k-1} 10^{n}).$$

This proves our main result.

4. QUESTIONS

We conclude this paper with some open questions:

Can we find an exact formula for

$$\frac{1}{10^n} \sum_{i=0}^{10^n - 1} s(i)^9$$

and is there a general exact formula for

$$\frac{1}{10^n} \sum_{i=0}^{10^n - 1} s(i)^k$$

[NOV.

344

.

SUMS OF POWERS OF DIGITAL SUMS

for all positive integers n and k? Finally, despite the fact that we now have more compelling evidence, we still have not established the conjecture that, for any positive integer k.

$$\frac{1}{x}\sum_{n\leq x}s(n)^k = \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^k \log^k x + O(\log^{k-1} x).$$

REFERENCES

- 1. P. Cheo & S. Yien. "A Problem on the K-adic Representation of Positive Integers." Acta Math. Sinica 5 (1955):433-38.
- 2. C. Cooper & R. E. Kennedy. "Digital Sum Sums." Accepted for publication in the *Journal* of the Institute of Mathematics & Computer Sciences **5.1** (1992):45-49.
- 3. R. E. Kennedy & C. Cooper. "An Extension of a Theorem by Cheo and Yien Concerning Digital Sums." *Fibonacci Quarterly* **29.2** (1991):145-49.
- 4. S. Roman. "The Formula of Faá di Bruno." Amer. Math. Monthly 87 (1980):805-09.

AMS numbers: 11A63; 11B73

Author and Title Index

The AUTHOR, TITLE, KEY-WORD, ELEMENTARY PROBLEMS, and ADVANCED PROBLEMS indices for the first 30 volumes of *The Fibonacci Quarterly* have been completed by Dr. Charles K. Cook. Publication of the completed indices is on a 3.5-inch high density disk. The price for a copyrighted version of the disk will be \$40.00 plus postage for non-subscribers while subscribers to *The Fibonacci Quarterly* need only pay \$20.00 plus postage. For additional information, or to order a disk copy of the indices, write to:

> PROFESSOR CHARLES K. COOK DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT SUMTER 1 LOUISE CIRCLE SUMTER, SC 29150

The indices have been compiled using WORDPERFECT. Should you wish to order a copy of the indices for another wordprocessor or for a non-compatible IBM machine, please explain your situation to Dr. Cook when you place your order and he will try to accommodate you. DO NOT SEND YOUR PAYMENT WITH YOUR ORDER. You will be billed for the indices and postage by Dr. Cook when he sends you the disk. A star is used in the indices to indicate unsolved problems. Furthermore, Dr. Cook is working on a SUBJECT index and will also be classifying all articles by use of the AMS Classification Scheme. Those who purchase the indices will be given one free update of all indices when the SUBJECT index and the AMS Classification of all articles published in *The Fibonacci Quarterly* are completed.

1993]