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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $s(n)$ denote the sum of the base 10 digits of the nonnegative integer $n$, and let $\log x$ denote the base 10 logarithm of $x$. R. E. Kennedy and C. Cooper have shown [1] that for any positive integer $k$,

$$
\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq k} s(n)^{k}=\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} \log ^{k} x+O\left(\log ^{k-\frac{1}{3}} x\right),
$$

and they conjectured that for any positive integer $k$,

$$
\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq k} s(n)^{k}=\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} \log ^{k} x+O\left(\log ^{k-1} x\right)
$$

Recently [2] the same authors have shown, providing some evidence for the truth of this conjecture, that for each fixed positive integer $k$,

$$
\frac{1}{10^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{10^{n}-1} s(i)^{k}=\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} n^{k}+O\left(n^{k-1}\right) .
$$

In this note, we extend the result just mentioned. When $k$ is a fixed positive integer, we show that for each $m$ it is true that

$$
\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq k} s(n)^{k}=\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} \log ^{k} x+O\left(\log ^{k-1} x\right)
$$

provided that $x$ is restricted to the set of those positive integers having at most $m$ nonzero digits in their base 10 representations. (Thus, the Kennedy \& Cooper result is exactly the case $m=1$.) We use the Kennedy \& Cooper result in the course of our proof.

We state our result in the following form.
Proposition: Let $m \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$ be fixed integers. Then there is a constant $A=A(k, m)$ such that if $x$ is a positive integer with at most $m$ nonzero digits in its base 10 representation,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=0}^{x-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k}(\log x)^{k}\right|<A(\log x)^{k-1}
$$

## 2. REMARKS AND LEMMAS

Remark 1: It is easy to check that if $m, k$ are fixed positive integers and

$$
\left|\frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=0}^{x-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k}[\log x]^{k}\right|<c[\log x]^{k-1}
$$

then

$$
\left|\frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=0}^{x-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k}(\log x)^{k}\right|<d(\log x)^{k-1}
$$

where [ ] denotes the greatest integer function and $d$ is a constant that depends only on $c$ and $k$.
To see this, suppose $10^{n} \leq x \leq 10^{n+1}$, so that $n=[\log x]$. Let $\log x=n+\alpha$, where $0 \leq \alpha<1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=0}^{x-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k}(n+\alpha)^{k}\right|<\left|\frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=0}^{x-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} n^{k}\right|+\left|\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} n^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k}(n+\alpha)^{k}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=0}^{x-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k}(n+\alpha)^{k}\right|+\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k}\left\{k \alpha n^{k-1}+\binom{k}{2} \alpha^{2} n^{k-2}+\cdots+\binom{k}{k} \alpha^{k}\right\} \\
& <c n^{k-1}+c^{\prime} n^{k-1}=d n^{k-1} \leq d(n+\alpha)^{k-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2: In view of Remark 1, to prove the Proposition above, it is sufficient (and convenient) to prove the following statement, which will be done by induction on $m$.

For fixed positive integers $m, k$, there is an $A=A(k, m)$ such that if $10^{n} \leq x<10^{n+1}$ and $x$ has at most $m$ nonzero digits in its base 10 representation, then

$$
\left|\frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=0}^{x-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} n^{k}\right|<A n^{k-1}
$$

In the following three lemmas, $k, n, p, y$, and $t$ all denote integers.
Lemma 1: For each $k \geq 1$, there is an $A(k)$ such that

$$
\frac{n \cdot 10^{p+1}}{10^{n}+10^{p+1}}\left(1-\left(\frac{p}{n}\right)^{k}\right)<A(k) \text { for all } n, p \text { with } 1 \leq p \leq n
$$

Proof: Let $s=n-p-1$. Then $-1 \leq s \leq n-2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{n \cdot 10^{p+1}}{10^{n}+10^{p+1}}\left(1-\left(\frac{p}{n}\right)^{k}\right) & =\frac{n}{10^{s}+1}\left(1-\left(1-\frac{s+1}{n}\right)^{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{n}{10^{s}+1}\left(k \cdot \frac{s+1}{n}-\binom{k}{2} \frac{(s+1)^{2}}{n^{2}}+\binom{k}{3} \frac{(s+1)^{3}}{n^{3}}-\cdots-(-1)^{k}\binom{k}{k} \frac{(s+1)^{k}}{n^{k}}\right) \\
& =\frac{k \cdot(s+1)}{10^{s}+1}+o(1)<A(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for $i \geq 2$,

$$
\frac{n}{10^{s}+1} \cdot \frac{(s+1)^{i}}{n^{i}} \leq\left(\max _{-1 \leq s<\infty} \frac{(s+1)^{i}}{10^{s}+1}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{n^{i-1}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Lemma 2: Fix $k \geq 1$. Let $A(k)$ be as in Lemma 1. Then for any $y$ in the interval $10^{p} \leq y<$ $10^{p+1} \leq 10^{n}$ and any $t \geq 1$,

$$
\left|\frac{t \cdot 10^{n}}{t \cdot 10^{n}+y}\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} n^{k}+\frac{y}{t \cdot 10^{n}+y}\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} p^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} n^{k}\right|<\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} A(k) n^{k-1} .
$$

## Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{t \cdot 10^{n}}{t \cdot 10^{n}+y} n^{k}+\frac{y}{t \cdot 10^{n}+y} p^{k}-n^{k}\right|=n^{k} \frac{y}{t \cdot 10^{n}+y}\left(1-\left(\frac{p}{n}\right)^{k}\right) \\
& <n^{k} \frac{10^{p+1}}{t \cdot 10^{n}+10^{p+1}} \cdot\left(1-\left(\frac{p}{n}\right)^{k}\right) \leq n^{k-1} \cdot \frac{n \cdot 10^{p+1}}{10^{n}+10^{p+1}}\left(1-\left(\frac{p}{n}\right)^{k}\right)<A(k) \cdot n^{k-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality is given by Lemma 1 .
Lemma 3: Let $t \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$ be fixed. Then

$$
\frac{1}{t \cdot 10^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{t \cdot 10^{n}-1} s(i)^{k}=\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} n^{k}+O\left(n^{k-1}\right)
$$

In other words, there is $B(t, k)$ with

$$
\left|\frac{1}{t \cdot 10^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{t \cdot 10^{n}-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} n^{k}\right|<B(t, k) n^{k-1} .
$$

To prove the Proposition, we will only need this lemma for $t=1,2, \ldots, 9$.
Proof: We use induction on $t$. For $t=1$, this is the result of Kennedy \& Cooper mentioned above. Now fix $t \geq 1$ and assume the result for this $t$. Then, using the fact that $s\left(t \cdot 10^{n}+i\right)=$ $s(t)+s(i), 0 \leq i \leq 10^{n}-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{(t+1) \cdot 10^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{(t+1) \cdot 10^{n}-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k}\right| \leq\left|\frac{t}{t+1}\left(\frac{1}{t \cdot 10^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{t \cdot 10^{n}-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k}\right)\right| \\
& +\left|\frac{1}{t+1}\left(\frac{1}{10^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{10^{n}-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{t+1} \cdot \frac{1}{10^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{10^{n}-1}\left(c_{1} s(i)^{k-1}+c_{2} s(i)^{k-2}+\cdots+c_{k}\right) \\
& <\frac{t}{t+1} B(t, k) n^{k-1}+\frac{1}{t+1} B(1, k) n^{k-1}+C n^{k-1}<B(t+1, k) n^{k-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that we used the result of Kennedy \& Cooper a second time. Here $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}, C$ are constants that depend only on $k$ and $t$.

## 3. PROOF OF THE PROPOSITION

According to Remark 2, we need to show that, for each $m \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$, there is a constant $A(k, m)$ such that if $10^{n} \leq x<10^{n+1}$ and $x$ has at most $m$ nonzero digits in its base 10 representation, then

$$
\left|\frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=0}^{x-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k} n^{k}\right|<A(k, m) n^{k-1}
$$

If $m=1$, this follows from Lemma 3 (for all $k$ ), since then $x=t \cdot 10^{n}, 1 \leq t \leq 9$.
Now assume the result for a given $m \geq 1$, and let $x$ have $m+1$ nonzero digits, say,

$$
10^{n} \leq x<10^{n+1}, x=t \cdot 10^{n}+y, 1 \leq t \leq 9,10^{p} \leq y<10^{p+1} \leq 10^{n}
$$

where $y$ has $m$ nonzero digits. Then, using $s\left(t \cdot 10^{n}+i\right)=t+s(i)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=0}^{x-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k}\right| \leq\left|\frac{t \cdot 10^{n}}{t \cdot 10^{n}+y}\left(\frac{1}{t \cdot 10^{n}} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{t \cdot 10^{n}-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k}\right)\right| \\
& +\left|\frac{y}{t \cdot 10^{n}+y}\left(\frac{1}{y} \sum_{i=0}^{y-1} s(i)^{k}-\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{k}\right)\right|+\frac{y}{t \cdot 10^{n}+y} \cdot \frac{1}{y} \sum_{i=0}^{y-1}\left(c_{1} s(i)^{k-1}+c_{2} s(i)^{k-2}+\cdots+c_{k}\right) \\
& <A(k, 1) n^{k-1}+A(k, m) p^{k-1}+D p^{k-1}<A(k, m+1) n^{k-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}, D$ are constants that depend on $k$ and $t$, but since $1 \leq t \leq 9$, they in fact depend only on $k$. For the second equality, we used Lemma 3 as well as the induction hypothesis.
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