

SUMS OF ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS

Roger Cook and David Sharpe

School of Mathematics & Statistics, Pure Mathematics Section
University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7RH, England
(Submitted September 1993)

Readers of *Mathematical Spectrum* have recently indicated an interest in the problem of expressing a natural number n as a sum of (at least two) consecutive natural numbers (see [1]-[5]). Bob Bertuello showed that this is not possible when n is a power of 2. We prove here a general result which determines those natural numbers that can be expressed as a sum of natural numbers in arithmetic progression with common difference d .

A consequence of the case $d = 1$ is that a natural number is a sum of consecutive natural numbers if and only if it is not a power of 2. (We believe this result may already be known, but have not been able to trace it in the literature.) When $d = 2$, our theorem shows that a natural number n is a sum of natural numbers in arithmetic progression with common difference 2 if and only if n is not a prime. We shall also illustrate the result for the case $d = 3$.

Theorem: Let the natural number d be given. Then the natural number $n = 2^h m$, where m is odd and $n > 1$, is a sum of natural numbers which form an arithmetic progression with common difference d if and only if

- (1) for d odd, n is not a power of 2 and either $m > d(2^{h+1} - 1)$ or $n > \frac{1}{2} dp(p-1)$, where p is the smallest odd prime factor of n ,
- (2) for d even, either n is even and $n > d$ or n is odd and $n > \frac{1}{2} dp(p-1)$, where again p is the smallest odd prime factor of n .

Proof: We first prove that the conditions given are necessary. Suppose that n is a sum of natural numbers which form an arithmetic progression with common difference d , say,

$$n = r + (r + d) + (r + 2d) + \cdots + (r + sd)$$

for some natural numbers r and s . (It is always understood that there is more than one term in the sum.) Then

$$n = (s+1) \left(r + \frac{sd}{2} \right).$$

We consider four cases.

Case 1. d odd, s odd. Then

$$n = \frac{s+1}{2} (2r + sd)$$

and $2r + sd$ is an odd divisor of n . Hence, n is not a power of 2 and $\frac{s+1}{2} \geq 2^h$, i.e., $s \geq 2^{h+1} - 1$. Thus,

$$2^h m = n > \frac{s+1}{2} sd \geq 2^h d(2^{h+1} - 1),$$

whence $m > d(2^{h+1} - 1)$.

Case 2. d odd, s even. Then

$$n = (s+1) \left(r + \frac{s}{2}d \right)$$

and n has the odd divisor $s+1 > 1$. Hence, n is not a power of 2 and $s+1 \geq p$, where p is the smallest odd prime factor of n . Thus,

$$n > (s+1) \frac{s}{2}d \geq \frac{1}{2}dp(p-1).$$

Case 3. d even, n even. Clearly, $n > d$.

Case 4. d even, n odd. Then

$$n = (s+1) \left(r + s \frac{d}{2} \right)$$

and n has the (odd) divisor $s+1 > 1$. The argument of Case 2 gives $n > \frac{1}{2}dp(p-1)$.

We now prove that the conditions are sufficient. Again, there are four cases.

Case 1. d odd, $m > d(2^{h+1} - 1)$ (so that n is not a power of 2). Put $s = 2^{h+1} - 1$ and $r = \frac{1}{2}[m - d(2^{h+1} - 1)]$. Then r and s are natural numbers and

$$\begin{aligned} r + (r+d) + (r+2d) + \cdots + (r+sd) &= (s+1) \left(r + \frac{1}{2}sd \right) \\ &= 2^{h+1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}[m - d(2^{h+1} - 1)] + \frac{1}{2}d(2^{h+1} - 1) \right\} \\ &= 2^h m = n. \end{aligned}$$

It is worth noting that, in this case, the arithmetic progression contains $s+1 = 2^{h+1}$ terms.

Case 2. d odd, n not a power of 2, and $n > \frac{1}{2}dp(p-1)$. Choose $s = p-1$ and $r = \frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{2}d(p-1)$. Then r and s are natural numbers and

$$\begin{aligned} r + (r+d) + (r+2d) + \cdots + (r+sd) &= (s+1) \left(r + \frac{1}{2}sd \right) \\ &= p \left\{ \frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{2}d(p-1) + \frac{1}{2}d(p-1) \right\} = n. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the arithmetic progression contains p terms, where p is the smallest odd prime factor of n .

Case 3. d even, n even, and $n > d$. Choose $s = 1$ and $r = \frac{1}{2}(n-d)$. Then r and s are natural numbers and $r + (r+d) = n$. In this case, there are just two terms in the arithmetic progression.

Case 4. d even, n odd, and $n > \frac{1}{2}dp(p-1)$. The argument is the same as for Case 2, and n is the sum of p terms in arithmetic progression.

This completes the proof of the general result. Finally, we consider what it looks like in the cases $d = 1, 2$, and 3 .

Corollary 1: A natural number > 1 is a sum of (at least two) consecutive natural numbers if and only if it is not a power of 2.

Proof: From the Theorem (with $d = 1$), if n is a sum of consecutive natural numbers, then it cannot be a power of 2.

Conversely, suppose n is not a power of 2. We can write $n = 2^h p^a q$, where $q \geq 1$ and the prime factors of q (if any) are all greater than p . If $q > 1$, then $q > p$ and $n > p^2 > \frac{1}{2} p(p-1)$ and, by the Theorem, n is expressible in the manner required. If $q = 1$ and $a > 1$, then $n \geq p^2 > \frac{1}{2} p(p-1)$ and, again, n is so expressible. If $q = 1$ and $a = 1$, then $n = 2^h p$. We need either $p > 2^{h+1} - 1$ or $2^h p > \frac{1}{2} p(p-1)$, i.e., either $p > 2^{h+1} - 1$ or $p < 2^{h+1} + 1$. One of these must hold, so that n is expressible as a sum of consecutive natural numbers.

Corollary 2: A natural number > 1 is a sum of natural numbers which form an arithmetic progression with common difference 2 if and only if it is not prime.

Proof: It is easy to see that, if n is prime, then it does not satisfy the conditions (2) in the Theorem with $d = 2$, so that n is not expressible in the way required. Suppose n is not prime. If n is even, it is greater than 2. If n is odd, say $n = pq$, for some odd integer $q \geq p$, then $n \geq p^2 > p(p-1)$. Hence, n is expressible in the manner required.

Corollary 3: A natural number > 1 is a sum of natural numbers which form an arithmetic progression with common difference 3 if and only if it is not one of the following:

- (a) a power of 2;
- (b) $2^h p$, where p is an odd prime such that $\frac{1}{3}(2^{h+1} + 1) < p \leq 3(2^{h+1} - 1)$.

Proof: If n is a power of 2, then, from the Theorem (with $d = 3$), it is not expressible in the way required. If $n = 2^h p$, where p is an odd prime, then n is expressible in the way required if and only if either $p > 3(2^{h+1} - 1)$ or $2^h p > \frac{3}{2} p(p-1)$. The latter is equivalent to $p \leq \frac{1}{3}(2^{h+1} + 1)$. Hence, n is not expressible in this way if and only if p lies between these two values, viz:

$$\frac{1}{3}(2^{h+1} + 1) < p \leq 3(2^{h+1} - 1).$$

If $n = 2^h pq$, where p is an odd prime and q is an odd number such that $q \geq p$, then, if $h = 0$ and $m = pq > 3(2^1 - 1)$, and if $h > 0$, then $n = 2^h pq \geq 2p^2 > \frac{3}{2} p(p-1)$, so that n is expressible in the way required.

Thus, examples of natural numbers *not* expressible as a sum of natural numbers in arithmetic progression with common difference 3 are:

- $h = 0$: $2^0 \times 3$,
- $h = 1$: $2 \times 3, 2 \times 5, 2 \times 7$,
- $h = 2$: $2^2 p, p$ prime, $5 \leq p \leq 19$,
- $h = 3$: $2^3 p, p$ prime, $7 \leq p \leq 43$.

REFERENCES

1. Bob Bertuello. *Mathematical Spectrum* **23.3** (1990/91):94.
2. Bob Bertuello. *Mathematical Spectrum* **24.1** (1991/92):23-24.
3. L. B. Dutta. *Mathematical Spectrum* **22.3** (1989/90):78.
4. Joseph McLean. *Mathematical Spectrum* **18.2** (1985/86):57.
5. Joseph McLean. *Mathematical Spectrum* **23.2** (1990/91):60.

AMS Classification Number: 11B25



Announcement

**SEVENTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON
FIBONACCI NUMBERS AND
THEIR APPLICATIONS**

July 14-July 19, 1996

**INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK
TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT GRAZ
STEYRERGASSE 30
A-8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA**

LOCAL COMMITTEE

Robert Tichy, Chairman
Helmut Prodinger, Co-chairman
Peter Grabner
Peter Kirschenhofer

INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| A. F. Horadam (Australia), Co-chair | M. Johnson (U.S.A.) |
| A. N. Philippou (Cyprus), Co-chair | P. Kiss (Hungary) |
| G. E. Bergum (U.S.A.) | G. M. Phillips (Scotland) |
| P. Filippini (Italy) | J. Turner (New Zealand) |
| H. Harborth (Germany) | M. E. Waddill (U.S.A.) |
| Y. Horibe (Japan) | |

LOCAL INFORMATION

For information on local housing, food, tours, etc., please contact:

PROFESSOR DR. ROBERT F. TICHY
INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK
TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT GRAZ
STEYRERGASSE 30
A-8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

Call for Papers

The SEVENTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON FIBONACCI NUMBERS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS will take place at Technische Universität Graz from July 14 to July 19, 1996. This conference will be sponsored jointly by the Fibonacci Association and Technische Universität Graz.

Papers on all branches of mathematics and science related to the Fibonacci numbers as well as recurrences and their generalizations are welcome. Abstracts and manuscripts should be sent in duplicate following the guidelines for submission of articles found on the inside front cover of any recent issue of *The Fibonacci Quarterly* to:

PROFESSOR GERALD E. BERGUM
THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY
COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT
BOX 2201
SOUTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY
BROOKINGS, SD 57007-1596

or

PROFESSOR F. T. HOWARD
DEPARTMENT OF MATH. & COMP. SCI.
BOX 7388 REYNOLDA STATION
WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY
WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27109